



Proposed Far North District Plan further submission form

Form 6: Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission(s) on the notified Proposed Far North District Plan

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Far North District Council

This is a further submission in support of or in opposition to submission(s) on the Proposed Far North District Plan.

1. Further submitter details (mandatory in	nformation)
Full name of individual/organisation making further submission:	Sheryl Valentine
Contact person (if different from above):	
Email address:	valentinefamily98@gmail.com
Postal address:	PO Box 42211, Orakei, Auckland 1745
Preferred method of contact:	✓ Email Post
Phone contact:	Daytime: V 0275981730

2. Eligibility to make a further submission (for information on this section go to RMA Schedule 1, clause 8)
I am: A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest. In this case, also specify below the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or
A person who has an interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has. In this case, also specify below the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or the local authority
My reasons for selecting the category ticked above are:
My husband, Miles Valentine (original submitter) and I have owned a property at Rangiputa since 1993
For example: Any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest would likely include public interest environmental groups Any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has is likely to include owners of land and users of resources directly affected by plan provisions. It is also likely
3. Request to be heard at hearing Yes, I wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission; or No, I do not wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing Yes No
Signature of further submitter: (or person authorised to sign on behalf of further submitter) Sheryl Valentine Date: 29 August 2023
(A signature is not required if you are making your further submission by electronic means)

ž.

Important information:

- 1. A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within five working days after it is served on Far North District Council.
- 2. The Far North District Council must receive this further submission before the closing date and time for further submissions (5pm Monday, 4 September 2023)
- 3. Please note that further submissions, including your name and contact details are treated as public documents and will be made available on council's website. Your further submission will only be used for the purpose of the District Plan review.
- 4. Submitters who indicate they wish to speak at the hearing will be emailed a copy of the planning officers report (please ensure you include an email address on this further submission form). If you don't have an email address, it will be posted.

Please note that your further submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the further submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared
 by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to
 give expert advice on the matter.

Send your further submission to:

Post to:

Proposed Far North District Plan

Planning and Policy, Far North District Council

Private Bag 752 KAIKOHE 0400

Email to:

pdp@fndc.govt.nz

Or you can also deliver this further submission form to any Far North District Council service centre or library (check the Council website for opening hours).

Please refer to pdp.fndc.govt.nz for further information and updates.

Please note that original documents will not be returned. Please retain copies for your file.

Name of original submitter	Address of original submitter	Original submitter number	Original submission point number	Support or oppose	Reasons for supporting or opposing	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed) <i>Give precise details</i>
Example MileshValent	Example Inéerikeri Road Kerikeri 0230	Example 65	265.001	oppose plan.	I supprese below	Tseek that the FS117.0
PO Box 42 Auckland 1	211, Orakei 745			support original submitte		submission be allowed (see below)

Name of original submitter	Address of original submitter	Original submitter number	Original submission point number	Support or oppose	Reasons for supporting or opposing	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission b allowed (or disallowed) Give precise details
CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE						

I support the original submitter, and oppose the Plan's proposal to designate the western side of Rangiputa Rd as Rural Production. That would be completely farcical and make a nonsense of most of that land along Rangiputa Rd that has long been subdivided into smaller lifestyle lots, not to mention that it would also be financially and commercially unviable. The land is of very poor soil and has a sandstone pan which makes it unsuitable for horticulture or farming. It is ideal for small lifestyle or beach settlement lots. Almost all of the western side of Rangiputa Rd has already been subdivided into lifestyle or bea settlement lots for several years, and the area is well suited to that use. Over the many years since when we purchased in 1993, Rangiputa has evolved into a diverse and vibrant seaside community, with many full time residents. The subdividing of lots that has occurred over the years has added to the community by allowing families, retirees, people moving out of towns/cities to purchase and build homes on lot sizes that are affordable and viable. Extending the minimum lot size would be totally inappropriate in this particular area, as the area is largely subdivided into smaller sections and lifestyle lots already, and has been for many years. There is no productive rural land on the western side of Rangiputa Road. It is ideal for seaside properties and small lifestyle blocks of minimum 1 - 1.5ha size. This would provide more properties for people to purchase and build on, which would also provide more rates for the Council. I support the original submitter, Miles Valentine, in this regard, and oppose the proposed plan to designate the land rui production as being totally inappropriate for the area.