

Remember submissions close at 5pm, Friday 21 October 2022

Proposed District Plan submission form

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Feel free to add more pages to your submission to provide a fuller response.

Form 5: Submission on Proposed Far North District Plan

TO: Far North District Council

This is a submission on the Proposed District Plan for the Far North District.

1. Submitter details:

Full Name:	Lynley Newport		
Company / Organisation Name: (if applicable)			
Contact person (if different):			
Full Postal Address:	59 Cook Road		
	R D 1 OKAIHAU 0475		
Phone contact:	Mobile: 021 257 3892	Home:	Work:
Email (please print):	Inewport2015@gmail.com		
□ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission □ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission 3. □ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition □ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition □ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition Note: if you are a person who could gain advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 The specific provisions of the Plan that my submission relates to are: (please provide details including the reference number of the specific provision you are submitting on) RRZ-P2 & P4 Confirm your position: Support Support In-part Ø Oppose Confirm your position: Support Support In-part Ø Oppose			



My submission is:

(Include details and reasons for your position) RRZ-P2: Do not use the word "avoid" in isolation in policies, especially where the permitted activity rule suite enables activities that may well not be able to be consistent with this policy and where the site is already used for an activity that might be considered incompatible with the Zone. RRZ-P4: The Rural Residential zone is a Rural Zone. The policy is dictating how a property owner MUST receive their phone/telecommunications connectivity and power connectivity. There should be scope for alternatives. Telecommunications no longer must be in ground fibre or copper wire; power no longer must be conventional nonrenewable means. Technology has advanced. Other Rural zones do not have a policy worded such as RRZ-P4 so why is Rural Residential any different? I seek the following decision from the Council: (Give precise details. If seeking amendments, how would you like to see the provision amended?) Amend RRZ-P2 to read: Manage new activities that are potentially incompatible with the role, function and predominant character and amenity of the Rural Residential Zone, including by: a. Ensuring activities are consistent with the density anticipated in the Rural Residential Zone, or where the existing density is already more than that anticipated by the zone, ensuring activities do not increase that existing density; b. Ensuring any adverse amenity effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated; c. Enable commercial or industrial activities that are more appropriately located in an urban zone or a settlement zone only where those activities are compatible with, and create no greater effects than, activities provided for in the zone. First preference is to delete RRZ-P4. Second preference is to amend RRZ-P4 to read: Encourage all subdivision leave a-b unchanged; add sentence at the end; And where it is proposed to rely on alternatives to the reticulated services outlined above, the alternative shall be capable of providing the same level of service as conventional reticulated services. I wish to be heard in support of my submission I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (Please tick relevant box) If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing V Yes No Do you wish to present your submission via Microsoft Teams? Yes No Signature of submitter: (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

S106.001

S106.002

Date: 17/10/2022 (A signature is not required if you are making your submission by electronic means)

Important information:

1. The Council must receive this submission before the closing date and time for submissions (5pm 21 October 2022)



- 2. Please note that submissions, including your name and contact details are treated as public documents and will be made available on council's website. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the District Plan Review.
- 3. Submitters who indicate they wish to speak at the hearing will be emailed a copy of the planning officers report (please ensure you include an email address on this submission form).

Send your submission to:

Post to: Proposed District Plan Strategic Planning and Policy, Far North District Council Far North District Council, Private Bag 752 KAIKOHE 0400

Email to: pdp@fndc.govt.nz

Or you can also deliver this submission form to any Far North District Council service centre or library, from 8am – 5pm Monday to Friday.

Submissions close 5pm, 21 October 2022

Please refer to pdp.fndc.govt.nz for further information and updates.

Please note that original documents will not be returned. Please retain copies for your file.

Note to person making submission

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- It contains offensive language
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.