Online Further Submission

Further Submitters Name Marie Lindsay FS217

Further Submitter Number FS217

Wish to be heard Yes

FS qualifier a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has (e.g. land owner, resource user)

FS qualifier reason The property requested to be rezoned is adjacent to the further submitter's property.

Joint presentation Yes

Attention: Mr. Wayne smith

Contact organisation Zenith Planning Consultants

Address for service 12 Halyard Loop

Haruru

Northland 0204

Telephone

Mobile 021 202 3898

Email wayne@zenithplanning.co.nz

Online further submitter? Yes

Date raw FS lodged 04/09/2023 9:04am

FS217.001-.002

Further submission points

Raw FS number Original submitter Related Submission Point Plan section Provision OS Decision Requested SupportOppose FS Decision requested Reasons

FS217.1	Kaizen Management Limited	\$392.001	Planning maps	Rural Residential Zone	Amend to rezone 6 Waterfront Drive, Mangonui (Lot 1 DP 174109 NA106D/655) from Rural Residential Zone to Light Industrial Zone.	Oppose	Disallow	The site should not be re-zoned to Light Industrial as the property is adjacent to residential properties and should be zoned Rural Residential as originally proposed. There would be unacceptable reverse sensitivity concerns on residential properties adjacent to the site from noise, traffic, operating hours, and general amenity particularly if the likely uses are comparable to the resource consensapplication currently lodged for the site to which the submitter opposes A review of the zones by Council has retained the Rural Residential zonin which is the correct zoning for the property. We would therefore ask that the submission for the rezoning of the land to Light Industrial be disallowed.
FS217.2	Grant Alan Billington and Georgina McGarry	S372.001	Planning maps	Rural Residential Zone	Amend to rezone 8 Waterfront Drive, Mangonui from Rural Residential Zone to Light Industrial Zone.	Oppose	Disallow	The site should not be re-zoned to Light Industrial as the property is adjacent to residential properties and should be zoned Rural Residential as originally proposed. There would be unacceptable reverse sensitivity concerns from

Light Industrial as the property is adjacent to residential properties and should be zoned Rural Residential as originally proposed. There would be unacceptable reverse sensitivity concerns from noise, traffic, operating hours, and general amenity particularly if the likely uses are comparable to the resource consent application currently lodged for the site to which the submitter opposes. A review of the zones by Council has retained the Rural Residential zoning which is the correct zoning for the property. We would therefore ask that the submission for the rezoning of the land to Light Industrial be disallowed.