### Appendix 2 - Officer's Recommended Decisions on Submissions (Strategic Direction)

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S559.001	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia	General / Process	Support in part	Urban Sustainability and Affordable Infrastructure are of interest to Ngāti Rēhia, including better management of urban infrastructure, land and building resources to reduce wasted and insufficient use of existing land and infrastructure resources that increase the living costs. The studies alongside affordable housing options, would assist in meeting SD- UFD-O1.	and placema modelling to completed b Council) to r of plan-enab Kerikeri-Wai	ndertake a feasibility aking study utilising a ol (like that by Whangarei District nodel the likelihood oled development in ipapa and to shape I feel of the area.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning framework
FS151.133	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning framework
FS25.013	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning framework
FS243.019	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support in part		Allow in part		Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS325.0010	Turnstone Trust Limited	ne Trust	Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning framework
FS277.2	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning framework
FS570.2191	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning framework
FS348.028	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning framework
FS566.2205	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning framework
FS569.2227	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning framework
S559.032	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia	General / Process	Support in part	This would help to meet SD-UFD-O1.	Insert desig Kerikeri tow	n guidelines for the n centre in	Reject	Section 5.2.9

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons		Summary of Decision Requested		Relevant section of s42A report
					conjunctior and hapū.	n with the community		Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS151.340	Ngāi Tukairangi		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9
	No.2 Trust							Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS243.021	Kainga Ora		Oppose		Disallow	Insert design	Accept in part	Section 5.2.9
	Homes and Communities					guidelines for the Kerikeri town centre in conjunction with the community and hapū.		Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS277.4	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9
								Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS570.2222	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS348.059	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS566.2236	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS569.2258	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
						original submission		
S428.003	Kapiro Residents Association	General / Process	Support in part	The PDP fails to address the urgent need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever possible now, and fails to adequately avoid or mitigate the anticipated effects.	reduce greel	s/standards that will nouse gas emissions e activities covered	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS25.005	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports including provisions that encourage quality, compact built form to, among other things, reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate drafting.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS325.005	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate drafting.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S449.003	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Process	Support in part	We support intensification of the urban area. However, intensification needs to be carefully planned, with good design principles, appropriate infrastructure and adequate green open spaces for the community. Sub-zones or precincts (or whatever terms are now required by the National Planning Standards) need to be identified to achieve good connectivity, good	introduce mo precincts as Planning Sta good connec functionality		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De Requested	cision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				functionality and protect character and amenity values. Sub-zones are needed to ensure that building height and density are reduced in a graduated manner moving out from the central area to high density residential areas and then lower density residential areas. Policies/rules are also needed to avoid pepper-potting multi-storied buildings in diverse locations in random fashion. Within close distance to Kerikeri township, there are limited opportunities to develop greenfield land for future growth. We consider that the PDP zoning, at present, does not focus on greenfield sites that are more appropriate for future growth, taking into account potential for infrastructure, connectivity, traffic, and other issues.				
FS25.008	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Given anticipated growth in the Kerikeri and Waipapa areas, the FNDP zoning needs to focus on greenfield sites which are more appropriate for future development. The level of urban development required to meet	su su ap m	low the original Ibmission Ibject to Ipropriate apping and ording.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	of Decision I	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
			expected demand cannot be provided by infill development alone.					
FS407.002	Far North Holdings Limited		Support	The submission is supported on the basis that it seeks intensification of urban areas subject to more appropriate infrastructure, good design principles and the use of alternative planning approaches i.e subzones / precinct to support urban outcomes.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS325.006	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS277.10	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS569.1802	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS570.1819	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
\$529.003	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General / Process	Support in part	We support intensification of the urban area for the reasons outlined in our previous submissions and discussions with council. However, intensification needs to be carefully planned, with good design principles, appropriate infrastructure and adequate green open spaces for the community. Sub-zones or precincts (or whatever terms are now required by the National Planning Standards) need to be identified to achieve good connectivity, good functionality and protect character and amenity values. Sub-zones are needed to ensure that building height and density are reduced in a graduated manner moving out from the central area to high density residential areas and then lower density residential areas. Policies/rules are also needed to avoid pepper-potting multi-storied buildings in diverse locations in random fashion.	Amend the zoning framework to introduce more subzones or precincts as per the National Planning Standards to achieve good connectivity, good functionality and protect character and amenity values.	Reject – No More Zones	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS25.011	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited	e Company	Support in part		Allow in part	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate mapping and wording.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS243.014	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support in part		Allow in part	Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS325.009	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support in part		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS403.002	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Seek provision details as above.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS570.1893	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS566.1907	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS569.1929	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
\$522.002	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General / Process	Support in part	We support intensification of the urban area for the reasons outlined in our previous submissions and discussions with council. However, intensification needs to be carefully planned, with good design principles, appropriate infrastructure and adequate green open spaces for the community. Sub-zones or precincts (or whatever terms are now required by the National Planning Standards) need to be identified to achieve good connectivity, good functionality and protect character and amenity values. Sub-zones are needed to ensure that building height and density are reduced in a graduated manner moving out from the central area to high density residential areas and then lower density residential area iso needed to avoid pepper-potting multi-storied buildings in diverse locations in random fashion.	Amend the zoning framework to introduce more subzones or precincts as per the National Planning Standards to achieve good connectivity, good functionality and protect character and amenity values.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS243.008	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports provisions that enable housing with good access to jobs, amenities and services and the co-location of activities to contribute to economic, social, environmental, and cultural wellbeing. Kāinga Ora supports provisions that align development with the provision of adequate climate-resilient services and infrastructure and that enable varied, higher density housing around existing towns.	Allow in part	Insert a broader suite of zones, if necessary, to secure business land requirements for the Kerikeri- Waipapa area such as a Town Centre zoning.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS407.003	Far North Holdings Limited		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS277.6	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS566.1741	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
S427.007	Kapiro Residents Association	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should include provisions that support urban design	support urba	clude provisions that an design principles nd innovative	Reject	Section 5.2.9

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				principles for quality and innovative developments, such as the community goals and visions identified in the Community Plans published on Council's website.	developments that cater for mixed use, mixed dwellings and mixed income levels, whilst protecting and preserving the characteristics of respective townships and the things that communities value.		Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Urban Design
S427.017	Kapiro Residents Association	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	We consider that the PDP provisions for subdivision, land use and development need to place greater emphasis on character and amenity values, and promote 'placemaking' and other aspects that communities value.	Amend PDP policies/rules to have particular regard to the 'maintenance and enhancement of amenity values' (as required by s7 of the RMA) and include provisions that will protect the traditional and/or distinctive character of townships and rural areas, and other characteristics that are valued by local communities.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Urban Design
S428.001	Kapiro Residents Association	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	FNDC's Long Term Plan consultation document noted the importance of coordinating activities 'to reduce our carbon footprint and find ways to ensure climate change is addressed in all strategy, planning, policy and decision- making.' FNDC's Climate Change Road Map 3 sets out guiding principles and recognises the need to act now. It states that 'We will make climate change risks a key consideration in all our planning'-	Amend PDP objectives, policies and rules in order to implement Council statements on the need to address climate change in all planning and policy - to reduce climate emissions and help reduce the adverse effects of climate change: • FNDC's Long Term Plan consultation (p.5) recognised the importance of coordinating activities 'to reduce our carbon footprint and find ways to ensure climate change is addressed in all strategy, planning, policy and decision-making'. • FNDC's Climate Change Road Map	Reject Addressed through the various other council climate change initiatives	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					2020 stated that: 'We will operationalise climate change adaptation so it becomes 'business as usual' through our plans and strategies including the Long Term Plan, the District Plan, our Infrastructure Strategy,		
S428.002	Kapiro Residents Association	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The proposed District Plan presents an opportunity for the Council and communities to be proactive and to do significantly more to reduce emissions and protect and safeguard our communities and places against the effects of climate change.	Amend PDP to acknowledge that the climate emergency requires a new approach in the district plan right now.	Reject Separate climate change processes	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate change
S371.002	Bunnings Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The Strategic Direction Chapter does not include any form of direction by way of mapping or provisions to set a clear hierarchy of centres. Bunnings considers that the larger urban areas within the Far North, which would benefit from stronger policy direction with respect to economic growth and development.	Amend the strategic direction chapter to include stronger policy direction with respect to economic growth and development	Accept in part Address with changes implemented	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Strategic Direction Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
\$360.001	Waste Management NZ Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Within the Strategic Directions, or in any other part of the Proposed Plan, there is no recognition of the waste management system as a critical component of the district infrastructure that is necessary to manage the effects of human activity within the Far North District, or of its supporting role in responding to the overarching strategic directions for the district. The lack of strategic-level recognition for waste management infrastructure and facilities is fundamentally flawed. This lack of recognition for the waste management network flows down into the 	Amend Part 2 - District-wide Matters -Strategic Direction to recognise the importance that waste management facilities play in supporting the development and growth of the district	Reject Strategic direction is very high level not necessary to mention detail	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5- Urban Form and Development
S477.002	Te Waka Pupuri Putea Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	As Mana Whenua, we are key strategic stakeholders within our rohe. This means that we are intent on diversifying our operations appropriately over time and along with it, increasing our commercial and property imprint within	Amend the Plan as required to ensure that it enables, permits and promotes solutions to endemic and poverty related issues such as housing and historic underinvestment in critical infrastructure that disproportionately affect our whanau, hapu and iwi, as well as providing opportunities for adequate environmental protection and enhancement,	Accept in part Address with changes implemented	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Other

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				our rohe. Endemic and poverty related issues such as housing and historic underinvestment in critical infrastructure are within our immediate and future purview.	commercial return and regional economic growth.		
S516.001	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Ngā Tai Ora have concern with the way in which strategic direction for the Far North District Council has been expressed in the PDP. Ngā Tai Ora consider that the general language structure and drafting of the strategic direction objectives are inconsistent with other chapters of the PDP. The strategic direction chapters do not include policy and do not contain clear cross references to relevant policy located in other chapters.	Amend the objectives within the strategic direction sections to be consistent with the language structure/drafting format of objectives in other chapters of the plan. Amend the strategic direction sections to: • include policy, and • incorporate clear cross referencing to relevant policy located in other chapters	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Other
S516.002	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Under the National Planning Standards, the strategic direction provisions are key to understand the balance and trade-offs between often conflicting matters of national, regional and local importance. Ngā Tai Ora considers that the strategic direction should be	Amend the strategic direction section/s to indicate the balance and trade-offs between often conflicting matters of national, regional and local importance; including undertaking background analysis as per section 32(1)(a) of the RMA to determine the extent to which the objectives are the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the RMA (inferred)	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Other

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				more directive to understand the balance being struck and note that the proposed strategic direction objectives are not supported by any section 32 evaluation which considers the proposed objectives against section 32(1)(a) of the RMA to determine the extent to which the objectives are the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the RMA. This absence of section 32 evaluation for the strategic direction is considered to be a significant flaw in the PDP preparation.			
S516.023	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Section 5(1) of the RMA 1991 states that the purpose of the Act "is to promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources." and section 5 (2) defines sustainable management which includes enabling people to provide for their health and safety. The Strategic direction objectives do not explicitly mention sustainable development and	Amend all Strategic Direction objectives as necessary to refer to sustainable development and community health and safety.	Accept in part Consideration needed of where this is to be addressed	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Other

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				community health and safety. Ngā Tai Ora consider that sustainable development is a blueprint to promote, improve and protect community well-being by addressing the interconnected social, environment, and economic causes. The Strategic Direction chapters sets out the overarching direction for the District Plan, as such the objectives should refer to sustainable development and community health and safety.			
S516.024	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives. Ngā Tai Ora consider that there is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could lead to an ineffective plan.	Insert appropriate policy in to the Strategic Direction chapters to give effect to strategic direction objectives.	Reject No policies to be addressed	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Strategic Direction Policies
S516.026	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Ngā Tai Ora consider that the District Plan should encourage and facilitate a transition to greater provision of public and active modes of transport. This is crucial for maintaining and	Amend Strategic Direction and insert the following objective: Maintain and enhance accessibility and safety for communities and	Accept in part Consideration needed to add in this	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				enhancing the accessibility and safety for people and communities. It is also important that strategic direction is provided regarding integrating land use and transport planning to achieve greater connectivity for people and communities as an overall principle.	integrate land use and transport planning.		
S516.028	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Ngā Tai Ora consider that the provision of quality open spaces to enable recreational, cultural, community and educational use. Well designed and located open space is crucial for the social and cultural wellbeing of people and communities and Ngā Tai Ora consider that strong strategic direction is needed to ensure this is required at the time of subdivision and development.	Amend Strategic Direction and insert the following objective: <b>A</b> range of quality open space for the social and cultural well-being of a growing population.	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S522.007	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should include provisions that support urban design principles for quality and innovative developments, such as the community goals and visions identified in the Community Plans	Amend to include provisions that support urban design principles for quality and innovative developments that cater for mixed use, mixed dwellings and mixed income levels, whilst protecting and preserving the characteristics of respective townships and the things that communities value.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	) Requested		of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report		
				published on Council's website.				
FS93.36	Leonie M Exel	Support		Allow in part		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Urban Design	
FS243.0010	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports mechanisms that address severe housing shortage in Te Tai Tokerau, which has seen demand outpace supply of affordable market homes, private rental accommodation, and public housing. Kāinga Ora supports provisions that enable housing with good access to jobs, amenities and services and the co-location of activities to contribute to economic, social, environmental, and cultural wellbeing	Allow	Amend	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS277.24	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS566.1746	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S516.027	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Ngā Tai Ora consider that reverse sensitivity is a significant resource management issue with regards to public health and wellbeing. Locating sensitive activities (e.g., residential activities) adjacent to activities that create adverse nuisance effects (e.g., dust, noise, odour, spray drift etc.) can have detrimental effects for individual and public wellbeing. Likewise, locating activities that create significant nuisance effects (e.g., industrial activities) adjacent to existing established sensitive areas (e.g., residential or lifestyle areas) can have adverse effects. From Ngā Tai Ora's perspective, it is important that appropriate strategic direction is provided to address this.	insert the fo Avoid rev effects be	ible activities	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Other
FS129.1	Waste Management New Zealand Limited		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Other
FS354.017	Horticulture New Zealand		Support		Allow	Allow S516.027	reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Other

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S521.002	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The proposed District Plan presents an opportunity for the Council and communities to be proactive and to do significantly more to reduce emissions and protect and safeguard our communities and places against the effects of climate change.	Amend PDP to acknowledge that the climate emergency requires a new approach in the district plan right now.         Disallow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS176.19	Summit Forests New Zealand Limited		Oppose				Accept	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS277.15	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS566.1712	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S559.007	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Ngāti Rēhia would like to see climate change incorporated into the strategic direction.	change into direction and statement or will be enab	ions on climate the PDP strategic d include a clear n how communities led to respond y and to be resilient nange.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS151.140	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS570.2197	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the	Reject	Section 5.2.9

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	r (FS)		of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report		
						submission is consistent with our original submission		Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS348.034	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS566.2211	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS569.2233	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S559.010	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Water resilience is of great concern for Ngāti Rēhia, after the last draught and the impacts that had on available water supply, especially those that rely on surface water.	and resilien	sions for water supply ce into the strategic apter (inferred).	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS151.143	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS534.002	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS570.2200	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS348.037	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS566.2214	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS569.2236	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S559.011	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Ngāti Rēhia are the kaitiaki of the water resources within our rohe.	that focuses of tangata w ancestral wa	jective into the PDP on the relationship thenua to their aterways and the e of that relationship.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
FS151.144	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
FS570.2201	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS348.038	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
FS566.2215	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
FS569.2237	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
S559.049	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Our whenua is rural and, in most cases, lack a water supply network. With the growth of Kerikeri and its surrounding area, more demand is being put on our groundwater systems and in our coastal areas these systems are sensitive to extraction (saltwater intrusion).	Amend so that high intensity development is not enabled unless serviced by a supply network or adequate on-site storage is provided to cater for extended dry spells droughts.		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS151.358	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS570.2239	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS348.076	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS566.2253	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS569.2275	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S338.006	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The PDP should enshrine the principles/design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol, and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council and also take on board more recent improvements/develop ments in quality urban design.	qualities of t Protocol and Guide 2007 Council in th Plan, and al more, recen quality urban Cl Cl Cl Cl	inciples / design he Urban Design d Good Solution North Shore City e Proposed District so take on board t improvements in n design - ontext haracter hoice onnections reativity ustodianship ollaboration.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS25.001	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Implementation of urban design principles is a cornerstone to achieving well- functioning urban environments.	Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate drafting	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary Requested	of Decision I	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS325.001	Limited	Turnstone Trust Limited	Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate drafting.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS277.34	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS570.947	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS566.961	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS569.983	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S338.007	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The PDP should include provisions that support urban design principles for quality and innovative developments, such as the community goals and visions identified in the Community Plans published on Council's website.	support urb for quality a developme mixed use, mixed inco protecting a characteris	nclude provisions that boan design principles and innovative ents that cater for mixed dwellings and me levels, whilst and preserving the stics of respective and the things that es value.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS25.002	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Implementation of urban design principles is a cornerstone to achieving well-	Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate drafting.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				functioning urban environments.				
FS325.002	Turnstone Trust Limited	Suppo	Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate drafting.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS277.35	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS403.012	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS570.948	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS566.962	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS569.984	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S483.031	Top Energy Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	While Top Energy supports the inclusion of objectives referencing infrastructure broadly, it notes that	for 'Regiona Infrastructu	bijectives as follows ally Significant re': <b>Regionally</b> <b>nt Infrastructure</b> <b>ied and</b>	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				the Strategic Direction Chapter is silent on objectives and policies for Regionally Significant Infrastructure. Top Energy consider that it is important that strategic direction is provided at this level for Regional Significant Infrastructure, and note that other District Plans in Northland have specifically included strategic direction in this regard. Such an approach is required to give effect to the provisions of the Northland RPS relating to Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	protected. The benefits of Regionally Significant Infrastructure are recognised and provided for. Avoid, remedy, mitigate or offset adverse effects arising from the development, operation, maintenance, and upgrading of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.			
FS196.2	Joe Carr		Support	Top Energy's request is a FUNDAMENTAL NECESSITY	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS36.014	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS78.042	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Oppose		Disallow in part	Disallow the submission in part.	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS131.007	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran		Oppose		Disallow	Reject new objective as sought by the original	Accept	Section 5.2.6

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
	Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorportation					submission (inferred).		Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS304.007	Radio New Zealand		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS354.014	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow S483.031.	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS402.002	Te Whatu Ora - Health New Zealand		Support		Allow in part	Seek provision detail as above	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS346.056	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS345.082	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support		Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
S339.016	Te Aupõuri Commercial Development Ltd	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives. TACDL considers that there is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could	Chapter to: P fc de th	Strategic Direction rovide clear direction or growth and evelopment iroughout the Far orth District.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Strategic Direction Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				lead to an ineffective plan.	pc     st     ob     c     c     c     c     th	clude appropriate blicy to give effect to rategic direction ojectives. valuate objectives in eccordance with ection 32AA to onfirm that these are e most appropriate ojectives.		
FS25.019	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the submission, subject to appropriate wording.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS111.003	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support in part		Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS325.015	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow in part	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate drafting.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS369.005	Top Energy		Support in part		Allow in part	allow in part original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS403.016	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support the amendment of the Strategic Direction Chapter to provide clear direction and include policies.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
S344.001	Paihia Properties Holdings Corporate Trustee Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The PDP does not include any form of direction by way of mapping or provisions	hierarchy to direction for	stablish a centre set a clear policy the larger urban the District, and	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested amend provisions and zoning as necessary to implement the hierarchy that achieves a compact urban form.		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
	and UP Management Ltd			to set a clear hierarchy of centres. There is no identification of small, medium or large centres. This lack of strategic direction and centres hierarchy is considered to a significant flaw in the plan that will hinder the ability to achieve a sustainable and compact urban form.				
FS25.020	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	There are a range of centres in the Far North that fulfil different functions. It is important for the future vitality and sustainability of the various centres that there are appropriate provisions in the Plan to guide development and achieve optimal urban outcomes, including outcomes that support the wider communities.	Allow	Amend Plan to provide an appropriate hierarchy of centres.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS243.029	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support		Allow	Amend	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS370.0010	Bunnings Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS542.002	Foodstuffs North Island Limited		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS325.016	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Amend the District Plan to provide an appropriate	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					hierarchy of centres.			
FS406.003	McDonald's Restaurants (NZ) Limited		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	
FS406.065	McDonald's Restaurants (NZ) Limited		Support		Allow	amend MUZ-R1	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS403.018	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support		Allow	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS396.022	Ed and Inge Amsler		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
S522.016	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Overall, PDP policies/rules should pay particular regard to the 'maintenance and enhancement of amenity values' (as required by s7 of the RMA) and include provisions that will protect the traditional and/or distinctive character of townships and rural areas, and other characteristics that are valued by local communities.	enhance an include prov protect the t distinctive c and rural ar	P to maintain and nenity values and visions that will traditional and/or haracter of townships eas, and other ics that are valued by unities.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Provision Position Reasons Summary of Decision Requested			Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report	
FS550.020	Lloyd Anderson	Lloyd Anderson	Support		Allow	allow orginal submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS243.011	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Oppose		Disallow	Amend	Accept	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS333.005	Maree Hart		Support		Allow	Amend zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 to Horticulture zone or Rural Production zone; Amend Rural Production, Horticulture and Rural Lifestyle zone provisions to prevent urban sprawl, and protect productive soil, rural character and amenity values; Amend the District Plan to strengthen provisions for assessing and preventing cumulative and long-term adverse effects on productive areas, rural areas, areas visible from public land, ecological values and freshwater.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS62.008	Kapiro Conservation Trust 1		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Requested			Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report	
FS277.30	Jenny Collison	enny Collison	Support	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS566.1755	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS549.020	Vanessa Anderson		Support	Allow	allow orginal submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS443.020	Peter O'Neil Donnellon		Support	Allow	allow orginal submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS390.020	Tracey Schubert		Support	Allow	allow orginal submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS353.020	Al Panckhurst		Support	Allow	allow orginal submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS352.020	Kathryn Panckhurst		Support	Allow	allow orginal submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS342.020	Chris Baker		Support	Allow	allow orginal submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS338.020	Pearl Mahoney		Support	Allow	allow orginal submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS337.020	Kevin Mahoney		Support	Allow	allow orginal submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS336.020	Roger Holman		Support	Allow	allow orginal submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS335.020	Craig and Mary Sawers		Support		Allow	allow orginal submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS334.020	Fiona Clarke		Support		Allow	Allow original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S250.002	Willowridge Developments Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policies which give effect to proposed objectives.	chapters to	Strategic Direction include policies offect to proposed	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS111.001	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support in part	PHTTCCT support the inclusion of policies to give effect to objectives in the Strategic Development Chapters	Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS369.002	Top Energy		Support in part		Allow in part	allow in part original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS403.007	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support the inclusion of policies to give effect to objectives in the Strategic Development Chapters.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS570.688	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS566.702	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with	Accept	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
						our original submission		
FS569.724	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
S516.025	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain objectives and policy which give effect to objective 3.7 Regionally Significant Infrastructure of the Northland Regional Policy Statement. Ngā Tai Ora support recognition and promotion of the benefits of regionally significant infrastructure throughout the Far North District, particularly the importance of public hospitals.	Insert objectives and policies in the Strategic Direction chapters as follows: Objective: The benefits of Regionally Significant Infrastructure are recognised and provided for. Policy: To recognise and provide for the social, economic and cultural benefits of Regionally Significant Infrastructure by enabling its ongoing operation, maintenance, development, and upgrading where adverse effects are managed.		Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS304.011	Radio New Zealand		Support	The submitter agrees with the original submission that the proposed objective and policy appropriately give effect to the Northland Regional Policy Statement.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS354.016 S529.024	Horticulture New Zealand		Support in part		Allow in part	Allow S516.025 in part to recognise and provide for the benefits of regionally significant infrastructure.	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
\$529.024	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Overall, PDP policies/rules should pay particular regard to the 'maintenance and enhancement of amenity values' (as required by s7 of the RMA) and include provisions that will protect the traditional and/or distinctive character of townships and rural areas, and other characteristics that are valued by local communities.	enhance an include prov protect the t distinctive c and rural an	P to maintain and henity values and visions that will raditional and/or haracter of townships eas, and other ics that are valued by unities.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS243.015	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Oppose	Kāinga Ora encourage provisions that minimise barriers that constrain the ability to deliver housing development across the housing continuum. As such, policies and rules that look to control character and built quality should be written in a manner that recognises character and amenity values can be maintained and enhanced in a changing built environment and the positive affects	Disallow	Disallow	Accept	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				arising from such development.				
FS570.1914	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS566.1928	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS569.1950	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S529.025	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should control the types, qualities and quantity of buildings occurring in towns such as Kerikeri. The PDP or other appropriate mechanism needs to set standards relating to older houses (sometimes in relatively poor condition) moved from elsewhere, low cost housing and rental housing, so that quality standards are maintained for affordable housing	Amend the PDP to preserve local character through the control of building types, qualities, quantity and design		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS243.016	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Oppose	Kāinga Ora encourage provisions that minimise barriers that constrain the ability to deliver housing development across the housing continuum. As such, policies and rules that look to control character and built quality should be written in a manner that	Disallow	Disallow	Accept	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
			recognises character and amenity values can be maintained and enhanced in a changing built environment and the positive affects arising from such development.					
FS570.1915	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS566.1929	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS569.1951	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S338.047	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	We support intensification of the urban area. However, intensification needs to be carefully planned, with good design principles, appropriate infrastructure and adequate green open spaces for the community. Sub-zones or precincts (or whatever terms are now required by the National Planning Standards) need to be identified to achieve good connectivity, good functionality and protect character and amenity values. Sub-zones are needed to ensure that building height and density are reduced in	introduce n precincts a Planning S good conne functionalit	zoning framework to nore subzones or s per the National tandards to achieve ectivity, good y and protect and amenity values.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
			a graduated manner moving out from the central area to high density residential areas and then lower density residential areas. Policies/rules are also needed to avoid pepper-potting multi-storied buildings in diverse locations in random fashion.					
FS243.018	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support	Kāinga Ora agrees that a new Town Centre zone for Kerikeri would give recognition of its importance as a growing centre in the Far North. Furthermore, Kerikeri is the town centre least affected by flooding and therefore is more suitable for intensification as other centres are affected more significantly	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS277.40	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS403.013	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support the review of the suite of commercial zones proposed and zoning that reflects commercial development.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS570.985	Vision Kerikeri 3	i3	Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS566.999	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS569.1021	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
S516.007	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Ngā Tai Ora notes that the PDP does not include any form of direction by way of mapping or provisions to set a clear hierarchy of centres. There is no identification of small, medium or large centres, or rural/coastal settlements versus large towns. Ngā Tai Ora consider this lack of strategic direction and centres hierarchy to be a significant flaw in the Plan that will hinder the ability to achieve a sustainable and compact urban form, which it supports.	Amend the Plan to establish a centre hierarchy to set clear policy direction for the larger urban areas (e.g., Kerikeri, Kaikohe and Kaitaia) within the District, and amend provisions and zoning as necessary to implement the hierarchy that achieves a sustainable compact urban form.		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS243.025	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support	Kāinga Ora supports provisions that align development with the provision of adequate climate-resilient services and	Allow	Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				infrastructure and that enable varied, higher density housing around existing towns. This is particularly encouraged for the areas within a moderate walking distance of the commercial centre of Kerikeri.				
FS370.013	Bunnings Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS542.003	Foodstuffs North Island Limited		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS406.004	McDonald's Restaurants (NZ) Limited		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS361.002	Willowridge Developments Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
S516.029	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The PDP is largely silent on the need to maintain high-quality and safe urban environments. This can be achieved by requiring the inclusion of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles and traffic and pedestrian safety measures (e.g.,	insert the fo policy:New Ensure hi design th positively context a maintain	tegic Direction and llowing objective and v objective: gh quality urban at responds v to the local and creates and s safe urban nents in the Far	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				slow streets, footpath width) for new subdivision and development. Ngā Tai Ora support a focus on high quality urban design, as such approaches promote public health outcomes, such as the provision of shade reducing hate and UV at the time of subdivision and development, whilst also achieving greater connectivity for people and communities as an overall principle.	North DistrictNew policy: Ensure the application of high quality urban design by requiring subdivision and development to demonstrate how it will contribute to a compact, connected, distinctive, diverse, attractive, appropriate, sustainable and safe urban form. Insert associated rules and information requirements (e.g., requirements for urban design assessments) as required in the zone chapters to give effect to this objective and policy.			
FS243.026	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports provisions that align development with the provision of adequate climate-resilient services and infrastructure and that enable varied, higher density housing around existing towns. This is particularly encouraged for the areas within a moderate walking distance of the	Allow Amend		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				commercial centre of Kerikeri				
S344.005	Paihia Properties Holdings Corporate Trustee Limited and UP Management Ltd	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives. There is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could lead to an ineffective plan.	direction cha direction for developmen North Distric Insert appro Strategic Di give effect to objectives. Amend to ex hierarchy to direction for areas within amend zonii implement ti Amned an e objectives ir section 32A	t throughout the Far	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS243.030	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support	Kāinga Ora supports provisions that align development with the provision of adequate climate-resilient services and infrastructure and that enable varied, higher density housing around existing towns. This is particularly encouraged for the areas within a moderate walking distance of the commercial centre of Kerikeri	Allow	Amend and review	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS370.011	Bunnings Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	of Decision I	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS396.026	Ed and Inge Amsler		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
S344.006	Paihia Properties Holdings Corporate Trustee Limited and UP Management Ltd	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The Strategic Direction does not provide high level policy direction with respect to sufficient provision of business land to meet demand. Policy should reflect and give effect to the National Policy Statement on Urban Design.	give effect follows:Ob that ther opportun developr residenti land to n Policy: To there is s residenti developr and: Is se developr infrastru for devel infrastru	ment of ial and business neet demand. o ensure that sufficient ial and business ment capacity by and where ment is feasible erviced with ment cture; or Funding lopment cture is d in the Long	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development And Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS243.031	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support	Kāinga Ora supports provisions that align development with the provision of adequate climate-resilient services and infrastructure and that enable varied, higher	Allow	Insert objectives and policies to give effect to NPS- UD as follows: Objective: Ensure that there are sufficient opportunities for development of	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development And Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				density housing around existing towns.		residential and business land to meet demand. Policy: To ensure that there is sufficient residential and business development capacity by zoning land where development is feasible and: Is serviced with development infrastructure; or Funding for development infrastructure is identified in the Long-Term Plan.		
FS396.027	Ed and Inge Amsler		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development And Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
S521.001	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	FNDC's Long Term Plan consultation document noted the importance of coordinating activities 'to reduce our carbon footprint and find ways to ensure climate change is addressed in all strategy, planning, policy and decision- making.' (p.5) FNDC's Climate	and rules in Council state to address c planning and climate emis reduce the a climate chan • FN Pl: reduce the a climate chan • FN	objectives, policies order to implement ements on the need limate change in all d policy - to reduce sions and help dverse effects of age: NDC's Long Term an consultation (p.5) cognised the portance of ordinating activities	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				Change Road Map 3 (attached as Appendix 1) sets out guiding principles and recognises the need to act now. It states that 'We will make climate change risks a key consideration in all our planning' -	foo to ch in pla de FN CP 20 wi cli ad be us pla into Te	reduce our carbon otprint and find ways ensure climate ange is addressed all strategy, anning, policy and ecision-making'. NDC's Climate nange Road Map 120 stated that: 'We II operationalise mate change laptation so it ecomes 'business as sual' through our ans and strategies cluding the Long- erm Plan, the District an, our Infrastructure rategy,'		
FS403.001	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support	Development of spatial and strategic direction for District's urban centres is consistent with Te Whatu Ora's original relief sought to establish a centres hierarchy.	Allow	Seek provision details as above.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS277.14	Jenny Collison		Support in part		Allow in part		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS566.1711	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S274.006	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Supporting documents, strategies and guidelines must be	Insert urban design into everyday practices, strategies and guidelines and give effect to		Reject	Section 5.2.9

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS277.11	Jenny Collison			these in the Plan	Proposed District		Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design	
FS277.11	Jenny Collison		Support	I would add walking as well as cycling	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS403.0010	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS570.796	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS566.810	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS569.832	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
								Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S427.018	Kapiro Residents Association	nts General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should control the types, qualities and quantity of buildings occurring in towns such as Kerikeri. The PDP or other appropriate mechanism needs to set standards relating to older houses (sometimes in relatively poor condition) moved from elsewhere, low cost housing and rental housing, so that quality standards are maintained for affordable housing	local charac	PDP to preserve ter through the iilding types, antity and design	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS277.12	Jenny Collison		Support	This should be written into the plan	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S522.006	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should enshrine the principles/design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol, and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council and also take on board more recent improvements/develop ments in quality urban design.	Insert the principles / design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council in the Proposed District Plan, and also take on board more, recent improvements in quality urban design - Context Character Choice Connections Creativity Custodianship Collaboration.		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS277.23	Jenny Collison	Ilison	Support in part	To support Vision Kerikeri submission	Allow in part		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS403.026	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS566.1745	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S338.018	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Overall, PDP policies/rules should pay particular regard to the 'maintenance and enhancement of amenity values' (as required by s7 of the RMA) and include provisions that will protect the traditional and/or distinctive character of townships and rural areas, and other characteristics that are valued by local communities.	Amend PDP to maintain and enhance amenity values and include provisions that will protect the traditional and/or distinctive character of townships and rural areas, and other characteristics that are valued by local communities.		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS277.36	Jenny Collison		Support	I support Our Kerikeri submission	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS570.959	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
								Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS566.973	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS569.995	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S338.019	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The PDP should control the types, qualities and quantity of buildings occurring in towns such as Kerikeri. The PDP or other appropriate mechanism needs to set standards relating to older houses (sometimes in relatively poor condition) moved from elsewhere, low cost housing and rental housing, so that quality standards are maintained for affordable housing	Amend the PDP to preserve local character through the control of building types, qualities, quantity and design		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS277.37	Jenny Collison		Support	I support Our Kerikeri submission	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS570.960	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS566.974	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2	Conservation Trust 2	Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS569.996	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S371.001	Bunnings Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Bunnings have general concern that the Strategic Direction chapter contains objectives for each topic, and not policies. The objectives need policies to demonstrate how they are going to be achieved in the Plan. It is also important at this strategic level of the Proposed Plan, that the policies provide clear direction for the consideration of resource consents where there is conflict between different areas of strategic direction. No clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective could lead to an ineffective plan	chapter: • T d a th N • H p s • E h p la w a n ir th th th th th th th th th th	To provide clear lirection for growth and development hroughout the Far North District. Include appropriate bolicy to give effect to strategic direction objectives. Establish a centre aiterarchy to set a clear bolicy direction for the arger urban areas within the District, and amend zoning as necessary to mplement the aiterarchy. Evaluated objectives in accordance with section 32AA to confirm that these are he most appropriate bbjectives	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development And Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS403.022	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to	Allow	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development And

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.		Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
S427.006	Kapiro Residents Association	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should enshrine the principles/design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol, and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council and also take on board more recent improvements/develop ments in quality urban design.	Insert the principles / design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council in the Proposed District Plan, and also take on board more, recent improvements in quality urban design - Context Character Choice Connections Creativity Custodianship Collaboration [inferred].	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS403.024	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Allow in Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S529.006	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should enshrine the principles/design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol, and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council and also take on board more recent improvements/develop	Insert the principles / design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council in the Proposed District Plan, and also take on board more, recent improvements in quality urban design -	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	n Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				ments in quality urban design.	Context     Character     Choice     Connections     Creativity     Custodiansh     Collaboratio	ip	
FS403.028	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome	design s and guid	quality npact orm, urban strategies delines will ite to this	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS570.1896	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow Allow th submiss	e original Reject sion	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS566.1910	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow Allow th submiss	e original Reject sion	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS569.1932	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow Allow th submiss	e original Reject sion	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S433.002	GE Free Tai Tokerau	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support	Our community group shares the concerns of many Far North ratepayers/ residents about climate change (as well as any outdoor use of GE/GMOs) and would like to see FNDC join other councils (as well as the relevant agencies in NZ government) in	Amend the plan where to ameliorate climate of while continuing to op outdoor use of GE/GN including risky, contro- and unproven GE/GM edited grasses, trees, animals.	change pose any IOs, versial, O gene	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				prioritizing swift and appropriate action to combat climate change (while continuing to oppose any outdoor use of GE/GMOs, including risky, controversial, and unproven GE/GMO gene edited grasses, trees, or animals).				
FS570.1462	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS80.2	GE Free Northland		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS566.1476	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS569.1498	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S529.007	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should include provisions that support urban design principles for quality and innovative developments, such as the community goals and visions identified in	support urba for quality ar developmen mixed use, r mixed incom protecting ar	clude provisions that an design principles and innovative ts that cater for mixed dwellings and he levels, whilst and preserving the cs of respective	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary Requested	of Decision I	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					townships communitie	and the things that es value.		
FS570.1897	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support Allow Allow the origin submission		Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design	
FS566.1911	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS569.1933	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S529.047	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	FNDC's Long Term Plan consultation document noted the importance of coordinating activities 'to reduce our carbon footprint and find ways to ensure climate change is addressed in all strategy, planning, policy and decision- making.' FNDC's Climate Change Road Map 3 sets out guiding principles and recognises the need to act now. It states that 'We will make climate change risks a key consideration in all our planning' -	and rules in Council stat to address planning and climate emer reduce the climate cha end f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f	P objectives, policies n order to implement atements on the need climate change in all nd policy - to reduce aissions and help adverse effects of ange: FNDC's Long Term Plan consultation (p.5) recognised the mportance of coordinating activities to reduce our carbon to otprint and find ways to ensure climate change is addressed n all strategy, olanning, policy and decision-making'. FNDC's Climate Change Road Map 2020 stated that: 'We will operationalise climate change adaptation so it pecomes 'business as usual' through our	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					ii T F	blans and strategies ncluding the Long Ferm Plan, the District Plan, our Infrastructure Strategy,		
FS570.1936	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS566.1950	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS569.1972	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S529.048	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The proposed District Plan presents an opportunity for the Council and communities to be proactive and to do significantly more to reduce emissions and protect and safeguard our communities and places against the effects of climate change.	the climate	P to acknowledge that emergency requires roach in the district now.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS570.1937	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS566.1951	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS569.1973	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S529.049	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP fails to address the urgent need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever possible now, and fails to adequately avoid or mitigate the anticipated effects.	Amend PDP to include policies/rules/standards that will reduce greenhouse gas emissions related to the activities covered by district plans		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS570.1938	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS566.1952	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS569.1974	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S521.003	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP fails to address the urgent need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever possible now, and fails to adequately avoid or mitigate the anticipated effects.	reduce gree emissions r	P to include es/standards that will enhouse gas elated to the activities district plans.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS566.1713	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S443.001	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	FNDC's Long Term Plan consultation document noted the importance of coordinating activities 'to reduce our carbon footprint and find ways to ensure climate change is addressed in	Amend PDP objectives, policies and rules in order to implement Council statements on the need to address climate change in all planning and policy - to reduce climate emissions and help reduce the adverse effects of climate change: - FNDC's Long Term Plan		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				all strategy, planning, policy and decision- making.' (p.5) FNDC's Climate Change Road Map 3 (attached as Appendix 1) sets out guiding principles and recognises the need to act now. It states that 'We will make climate change risks a key consideration in all our planning' -	importance of activities 'to footprint and climate char all strategy, decision-mal - FNDC's Cli Map 2020 st operationalis adaptation s 'business as plans and st the Long Tel	(p.5) recognised the of coordinating reduce our carbon I find ways to ensure age is addressed in planning, policy and king'. imate Change Road tated that: 'We will se climate change o it becomes usual' through our rategies including rm Plan, the District rastructure Strategy,		
FS569.1746	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS570.1726	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S443.002	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The proposed District Plan presents an opportunity for the Council and communities to be proactive and to do significantly more to reduce emissions and protect and safeguard our communities and places against the effects of climate change.	the climate e	to acknowledge that emergency requires each in the district w.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS569.1747	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS570.1727	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S443.003	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP fails to address the urgent need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever possible now, and fails to adequately avoid or mitigate the anticipated effects.	reduce greet	s/standards that will nouse gas emissions e activities covered	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS569.1748	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS570.1728	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S449.007	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should enshrine the principles/design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol, and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council and also take on board more recent improvements/develop ments in quality urban design.	qualities of the Protocol and Guide 2007 Council in the Plan, and als more, recent quality urbar • Co • Ch • Ch • Ch • Ch	inciples / design he Urban Design I Good Solution North Shore City e Proposed District so take on board t improvements in n design - ontext naracter noice prinections reativity ustodianship pollaboration.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS569.1806	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS570.1823	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S449.008	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should include provisions that support urban design principles for quality and innovative developments, such as the community goals and visions identified in the Community Plans published on Council's website.	support urba for quality ar developmen mixed use, r mixed incom protecting ar characteristi	clude provisions that an design principles and innovative ts that cater for mixed dwellings and he levels, whilst and preserving the cs of respective and the things that is value.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS569.1807	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS570.1824	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S449.025	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Overall, PDP policies/rules should pay particular regard to the 'maintenance and enhancement of amenity values' (as required by s7 of the RMA) and include provisions that will protect the traditional and/or distinctive character of townships and rural areas, and other characteristics that are valued by local communities.	enhance am include prov protect the tr distinctive ch and rural are	to maintain and enity values and isions that will raditional and/or naracter of townships eas, and other cs that are valued by unities.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS569.1824	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS570.1841	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S449.026	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Conservation Content / the types, qualities and local character through the		al character through the htrol of building types,		Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design		
FS569.1825	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS570.1842	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S359.006	Northland Regional Council	Significant Resource Management Issue 3	Support in part	Request greater emphasis on response to climate change. There are climate change mitigation and adaptation responses relevant to district planning that could be set out now. Support the greater use of mixed-use zones and enabling greater density in urban centres subject to appropriate	Plan on clim including: 1. A clear sta district plan communities climate chan could be frar of "Far North communities the impacts and an equit low emissior	atement on how the enables the district's to respond to ge (e.g. an objective ned along the lines	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				requirements for water resilience and minimising risk from natural hazards. While councils are not yet required to consider greenhouse gas emissions and climate change in their planning, these are matters that will be required to be addressed within the expected lifespan of the plan.	for development patterns the are resilient to climate char impacts" and "Support the inclusion of design features take into account the impact climate change and the neu- transition to a low-carbon economy in proposals for li- use, subdivision and development"). 2. Signal that zoning, overli- and controls on subdivision and development are used minimise risk from natural hazards, protect high value resources that enable climi- change responses or are particularly vulnerable to predicted impacts (such as indigenous biodiversity, eli- soils and renewable energi- generation). They also pro- development patterns and uses and associated trans- infrastructure that enable emissions reduction (such mixed-use zoning, higher residential density in servic areas, renewable energy generation and special pur zoning such as horticulture	nge that ts of ed to and ays , use to e ate y mote land port / as sed pose	
FS25.050	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the intent of providing direction that provides a clear statement of how communities will respond to climate change.	Allow Allow the ori submission, subject to appropriate wording.	ginal Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS23.096	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow Allow the rel sought by m changes to r appropriate	aking	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
						provision for climate change		
FS325.030	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate wording.		Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS570.1042	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS346.467	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS566.1056	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS569.1078	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S385.031	McDonalds Restaurants (NZ) Limited	District Plan Framework	Oppose	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives. McDonald's consider that there is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could	Chapter to: - Provide clo growth and throughout the - Include ap	Strategic Direction ear direction for development the Far North District. opropriate policy to o strategic direction	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				lead to an ineffective plan.				
F\$172.157	Audrey Campbell- Frear		Support	For the reasons set out in this primary submission and in my primary submission to review commercial zones.	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS370.014	Bunnings Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS403.035	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
S359.010	Northland Regional Council	Approach to Integrated Management	Support in part	Water resilience is a particular concern for the Far North district, as was highlighted in the 2019/2020 drought	Insert provis need for a re water supply	sions addressing the esilient and reliable y (inferred)	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary Requeste	y of Decision d	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				that exposed the vulnerability of existing supplies, primarily those that rely on 'run of river' and are highly unreliable during extended dry periods. We suggest this be embedded in the relevant sections of strategic direction chapter. We note drought is included in the District Wide Matters section on Hazards and Risks but feel the significance of these issues could be more strongly highlighted.				
FS25.054	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the intent of the submission, subject to appropriate wording being provided.	Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate wording.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS534.007	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS354.024	Horticulture New Zealand		Support		Allow	Allow S359.010	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS325.034	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate wording.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS570.1046	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
						original submission		
FS346.471	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS566.1060	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS569.1082	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S516.078	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	Relationships between spatial layers	Not Stated	The PDP utilises a Mixed Use Zone (MUZ) for all existing urban centres, with no alternative commercial zones proposed. The National Planning Standards provide a range of commercial zones: Neighbourhood Centre Zone Local Centre Zone Commercial Zone Large Format Retail Zone Mixed Use Zone Town Centre Zone Metropolitan Centre Zone City Centre Zone. In the absence of a section 32 evaluation,	strategic dir urban form centres hier Plan. Recor to commerc reconsider t zoning for e villages whi existing and developmer areas. Provide suff	Plan to provide clear ection for a compact and establish a archy within the sider the approach ial zones and he most appropriate xisting centres and ch accurately reflects a planned levels of at specific to those icient section 32 o support the a zoning.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				Ngā Tai Ora are unable to understand why Council has chosen to only use one			
S516.079	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	Relationships between spatial layers	Not Stated	Ngā Tai Ora also acknowledge and support the zoning pattern surrounding Kerikeri recommending that this pattern should apply throughout the District, which utilises zoning buffers (Rural Residential and Rural Lifestyle, or other zones that don't provide for such intensive forms of residential development) between the Horticultural and Rural Production Zones and the General Residential activities and the more intensive land uses anticipated within the Rural Production and Horticultural zones which will in turn assist in minimising the extent of adverse effects on people's health and safety, and also minimising reverse sensitivity effects. Mineral Extraction Overlays also appear to be well separated from residential zoned land. The Kawakawa,	Amend the zoning pattern of larger centres such as Kawakawa, Kaikohe, Omapere, Rawene, and Kaitaia, with further consideration given to managing adverse effects at the zone interface throughout the District.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				Kaikohe, Omapere, Rawene, and Kaitaia settlements however don't appear to have the same level of protection.			
S425.005	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust	Directions Overview	Oppose	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives. PHTTCCT consider that there is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could lead to an ineffective plan.	Insert appropriate policy in to the Strategic Direction chapters to give effect to strategic direction objectives.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
S511.019	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Directions Overview	Support in part	Disagree that objectives found in other chapters are subservient to the strategic objective. Agree that there is no hierarchy and over- arching does not of itself imply supervisory direction. Saying that interpreting and Implementing the District Plan that all other objectives and policies are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with the strategic directions may not allow the plan to give effect to higher order documents such as the NZCPS or the RS or the NPS-FW. The imperative of all chapters' objectives	Amend: For the purposes of preparing, changing, interpreting, and implementing the District Plan, all other objectives aand policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these Strategic Directions. There is no hierarchy between the stated Objectives (i.e. no one Strategic Objective has primacy over another Strategic Objective, and	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				and policies to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with the Strategic Directions will not protect or maintain indigenous biodiversity in the Far North. The detail found in other chapters is where the plan gives effects to these higher order documents and should ensure that biodiversity is protected and maintained. Forest & Bird's proposed relief is the wording as approved by the Environment Court (with some modifications) in Darby Planning Ltd Partnership v QLDC [2019] NZEnvC 133 at annexure 2 clause 3.1B. See also Annexure 3 to this decision which is a Minute of the Court dated 29 March 2019 at [34] - [39] where this issue was discussed and the Court sought input on the suggested wording.	the Strategic Objectives should be read as a whole)For the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons			Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS67.107	The Shooting Box Limited		Oppose	The purpose of the strategic objectives is to provide strategic direction and as such they should direct the outcomes in lower order objectives and policies in the Plan.	Disallow		Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS68.105	P S Yates Family Trust		Support		Disallow		Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS69.102	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS66.187	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS164.019	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS548.139	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS570.1590	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS566.1604	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
						consistent with our original submission		
FS569.1626	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S442.039	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Directions Overview	Support in part	Disagree that objectives found in other chapters are subservient to the strategic objective. Agree that there is no hierarchy and over- arching does not of itself imply supervisory direction. Saying that interpreting and Implementing the District Plan that all other objectives and policies are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with the strategic directions may not allow the plan to give effect to higher order documents such as the NZCPS or the RS or the NPS-FW. The imperative of all chapters' objectives and policies to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with the Strategic Directions will not protect or maintain indigenous biodiversity in the Far North. The detail found	preparing interpreti- implement Plan, all o aand politic chapters of Plan are t achieved- consistem Strategic There is n between Objective Strategic primacy of Strategic the Strate should be whole).Fo	ther objectives cies in all other of this District o be read and in a manner t with these Directions. o hierarchy the stated s (i.e. no one Objective has over another Objective, and egic Objectives read as a or the purpose	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				in other chapters is where the plan gives effects to these higher order documents and should ensure that biodiversity is protected and maintained. Forest & Bird's proposed relief is the wording as approved by the Environment Court (with some modifications) in Darby Planning Ltd Partnership v QLDC [2019] NZEnvC 133 at annexure 2 clause 3.1B. See also Annexure 3 to this decision which is a Minute of the Court dated 29 March 2019 at [34] - [39] where this issue was discussed and the Court sought input on the suggested wording.	plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
	The Shooting Box Limited			The purpose of the strategic objectives is to provide strategic direction and as such they should direct the outcomes in lower order objectives and policies in the Plan.	no fixed hierarchy exists between them.			
FS67.108 FS68.106			Oppose		Disallow		Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS68.106	P S Yates Family Trust		Oppose		Disallow		Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS69.103	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS66.188	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS570.1735	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS346.650	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S14.001	Hinemoa Conner	Directions Overview	Support	The Far North District Council is to be congratulated on its vision and strategic direction relating to historic and cultural wellbeing and cultural prosperity. This will ensure that historic heritage and environment, which includes sites and areas of significance to Māori and cultural	No change	required	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				resources, and indigenous biodiversity, are managed to ensure long-term protection for future generations.				
FS51.85	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT's primary submission (409) supports the planning framework notified for the protection of the district's historic heritage values.	Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S421.012	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Directions Overview	Support in part	Federated Farmers supports the directions set in the strategic overview. However, we have concerns with direction 6 in relation to the management of urban growth.It is important that the district plan recognises and acknowledges the productive capacity of land regardless of where that land is located. There could be circumstances where land is needed for housing, but that land contains productive soils. The Council will need a framework in its district plan that provides for compromises to occur to protect the productive capacity of land.	strategic dire 6. The mana growth integ future infrast sufficient lan meet growth housing and recognisin theproduc	ctive es of the soils	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS196.13	Joe Carr		Support	this is a very necessary consideration where the activities on rural land are already constrained by adjoining existing residential land use/zoning	Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS354.045	Horticulture New Zealand		Support		Allow	Allow S421.012	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS570.1244	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS346.246	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS566.1258	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS569.1280	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S359.005	Northland Regional Council	Directions Overview	Support in part	Request greater emphasis on response to climate change. There are climate change mitigation and adaptation responses relevant to district	Plan on clim including: • A clear the district p district's com	gic direction in the ate change, statement on how lan enables the munities to respond nange (e.g. an	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				planning that could be set out now. Support the greater use of mixed-use zones and enabling greater density in urban centres subject to appropriate requirements for water resilience and minimising risk from natural hazards.While councils are not yet required to consider greenhouse gas emissions and climate change in their planning, these are matters that will be required to be addressed within the expected lifespan of the plan.	<ul> <li>objective could be framed along the lines of "Far North District communities are prepared for the impacts of climate change and an equitable transition toa low emission economy", and policies could include: "Provide for development patterns that are resilient to climate change impacts" and "Support the inclusion of design features that take into account the impacts of climate change and the need to transition to a low-carbon economy in proposals for land use, subdivision and development").</li> <li>Signal that zoning, overlays and controls on subdivision, use and development are used to minimise risk from natural hazards, protect high value resources that enable climate change responses or are particularly vulnerable to predicted impacts (such as indigenous biodiversity, elite soils and renewable energy generation). They also promote development patterns and land uses and associated transport / infrastructure that enable emissions reduction (such as mixed-use zoning, higher residential density in serviced areas, renewable energy generation and special purpose zoning such as horticulture).</li> </ul>		
FS25.049	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the intent of providing direction that provides a clear statement of how communities will	Allow Allow the original submission, subject to	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				respond to climate change.		appropriate wording.		
FS225.16	Pacific Eco-Logic		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS36.016	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS23.095	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought by making changes to make appropriate provision for climate change	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS243.045	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support		Allow	Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS354.044	Horticulture New Zealand		Support in part		Allow	Allow S359.005	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS325.029	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate wording.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS570.1041	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS346.466	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	n	Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS566.1055	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
FS569.1077	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S349.003	Neil Construction Limited	Directions Overview	Oppose	A better outcome for the submitters land is to utilise the land more efficiently for rural residential use, adding much needed housing to Kerikeri in a way that does not impose any burden on the community in terms of providing or funding infrastructure.	Delete or amend 'strategic directions overview' in Part 2 of the PDP to make greater provision for rural residential capacity.		Reject	Section 5.3.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS36.017	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Oppose	The submitter considers that large amounts of low density residential/rural residential development can result in an increase in private vehicle movements that impact the transport network and result in an increase in emissions.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.3.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS354.043	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow S349.003	Accept	Section 5.3.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS62.037	Kapiro Conservation Trust 1		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept	Section 5.3.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS333.024	Maree Hart		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept	Section 5.3.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S385.006	McDonalds Restaurants (NZ) Limited	Directions Overview	Oppose	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives. McDonald's consider that there is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could lead to an ineffective plan.	Amend the Strategic Direction Chapter to: - Provide clear direction for growth and development throughout the Far North District. - Include appropriate policy to give effect to strategic direction objectives. - Establish a centre hierarchy to set a clear policy direction for the larger urban areas within the District, and amend zoning as necessary to implement the hierarchy. - Evaluate objectives in accordance with section 32AA to confirm that these are the most appropriate objectives.		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development, Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS111.022	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT agree that the Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development, Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS370.015	Bunnings Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development, Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
S363.006	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Directions Overview	Not Stated	The submitter identifies that the Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives and consider that there is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could lead to an ineffective plan.	chapter to pr for growth ar throughout th Insert approp Strategic Dir give effect to appropriate   Strategic Dir give effect to objectives. objectives be accordance	trategic direction ovide clear direction and development he Far North District. oriate policy in to the ection chapters to o strategic Insert policy in to the ection chapters to o strategic direction That proposed e evaluated in with section 32AA to these are the most policy insert	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development, Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS111.023	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT agree that the Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development, Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
S363.007	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Directions Overview	Not Stated	The submitter considers that the Strategic Direction does not provide high level policy direction with respect to sufficient provision of business land to meet demand and that policy should reflect and give effect to the National Policy	to give effect follows (or to <b>Objective</b> there are opportuni developm	ities for	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development, and Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
	Submitter (FS)		Statement on Urban Design.	land to meet demand. Policy: To ensure that there is sufficient residential and business development capacity by zoning land where development is feasible and: Is serviced with development infrastructure; or Funding for development infrastructure is identified in the Long Term Plan.				
FS111.024	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT agree that the Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development, and Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
S356.002	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Directions Overview	Support in part	It is acknowledged that the PDP has been prepared in accordance with the higher order documents but would be good to acknowledge these within the Strategic Directions overview. Suggested amendments to include alignment with central government strategic	Alignmer governm direction Policy Sta Gives effe	ext as follows:7. In with central ent strategic and National atements;8. ect to the d Regional atement.	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision I	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
			direction and with the Northland Regional Policy Statement.					
FS243.044	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support	Kāinga Ora supports provisions that give effect to the National Policy Statement on Urban Development	Allow	Insert text and Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
\$511.020	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	overview: For the preparing interpret impleme Plan all of and police chapters Plan are achieved consister strategic If it is pro- decision replace v wording Overview of Districe developr plan chai strategic	enting the District other objectives cies in all other of this District to be read and hin a manner at with these objectives. referred by the maker then with the desired for the Strategic v For the purpose ct Plan ment, including nges, the cobjectives in oter provide	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					development of the more detailed provisions contained in the DistrictPlan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking 		
FS164.020	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS548.140	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS570.1591	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS566.1605	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS569.1627	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S442.040	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	overview: purposes changing, and imple District Pl objective all other e District Pl read and manner e these stree	each sections For the of preparing, interpreting ementing the lan all other s and policies in chapters of this lan are to be achieved in a consistent with ategic objectives. ferred by the	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic OverviewFor the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed.			
FS570.1736	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS346.651	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	
S13.001	James Conner	Objectives	Support	The Far North District Council is to be congratulated on its vision and strategic direction relating to historic and cultural wellbeing and cultural prosperity. This will ensure that historic heritage and environment, which includes sites and areas of significance to Māori and cultural resources, and indigenous biodiversity, are managed to ensure long-term protection for future generations.	No changes requested.		Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2 – Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
FS51.83	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT's primary submission (409) supports the planning framework notified for the protection of the district's historic heritage values.	Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2 – Historic and Cultural Wellbeing

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S399.013	Te Hiku lwi Development Trust	SD-CP-O1	Support in part	We support the intent of these policies, but are concerned that Council obligations under the Treaty are not explicit and the policies infer obligations on other parties.	Amend Policy SD-CP-01 to read as follows: Te Tiriti o Waitangi partnership <del>S</del> with Council supports iwi and hapū to deliver on the social, economic, environmental and cultural wellbeing outcomes for tangata whenua.		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
S339.017	Te Aupōuri Commercial Development Ltd	SD-CP-O1	Support	TACDL supports the intention of the objectives, particularly in relation to SD-CP-01 and SD-CP-02.	Retain Objective SD-CP-O1		Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
FS51.263	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Objective SD-CP-O1.	Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
S421.013	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SD-CP-O1	Support in part	Federated Farmers acknowledges the role tangata whenua play and often lead for outcomes for the district. We suggest minor amendments to the objectives to recognise that involvement of iwi and hapu alongside communities will enable better outcomes for the district as a whole and its communities.	follows: Te Tiriti o W support iwi a on the socia environmen wellbeing ou	ective SD-CP-O1 as aitangi partnerships and hapū to deliver I, economic, tal and cultural utcomes for tangata <b>d the district as</b>	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
FS570.1245	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
						inconsistent with our original submission		
FS346.247	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
FS566.1259	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
FS569.1281	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
S399.014	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-CP-O2	Support in part	We support the intent of these policies, but are concerned that Council obligations under the Treaty are not explicit and the policies infer obligations on other parties.	as follows: Te ao māori, tangata whe embedded ir	y SD-CP-02 to read tikanga māori and nua as kaitiaki, and integral to ecision making.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
S339.018	Te Aupōuri Commercial Development Ltd	SD-CP-O2	Support	TACDL supports the intention of the objectives, particularly in relation to SD-CP-01 and SD-CP-02.	Retain Objec	ctive SD-CP-O2	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
FS51.264	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Objective SD-CP-O2.	Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
S300.001	Creative Northland	SD-CP-O3	Support	Creative Northland as a leadership organisation mandated to build	Arts, Cultura	e development of the I and Heritage Ilign frameworks for	Reject	Section 5.2.2

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				capability for Arts, Heritage and Culture - we realise the significance to the wellbeing of a healthy vibrant community across Te Tai Tokerau through the arts as a vehicle. We recognise that cultural prosperity is significant to a thriving community. Community celebration of multi-culturism is important to the development of community wellbeing, and spaces are integral to the success of community inclusivity. How can we help these spaces be better supported by council to engage community on a more frequent basis? Place making is significant to community and can be recognised through the evidence of Art and Design activation to make culture storytelling present and what many see as the heart of a community that is felt and recognised. These outcomes consider deeper stories and hold significant ties to history. Creativity and activation is a conduit - glue - bridge of bringing	outcomes that can be achieved with partnership with existing organisations across the region. Having the Strategy is vital to set out priorities across the region for decision making and outcomes for community. Nurture the diverse cultures of the region- get locals more open to explore their cultural identity as a vehicle of empowerment. Have specific diverse cultured outcomes identified that it would like to see achieved- ie: a number of festivals to support the celebration of cultural diversity? More visibly see multi cultural presence integration via artworks/ infrastructure design / or culturally considered architecture - activated spaces along the cycle ways etc. Culture/ Heritage and Art as way of life evident within community		Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				people together. This			
				can also be achieved through festivals that			
				not only celebrate			
				space/ place but			
				community- both			
				domestic and			
				international. How could Creative			
				Northland in			
				partnership with council			
				help fulfill and			
				encourage community			
				activity and activation to in turn develop			
				sustainable career			
				pathways in the			
				heritage and cultural			
				fields. Our network			
				spreads wide and far and we would be happy			
				to understand the gaps			
				and help fill need where			
				required to help council			
				achieve its strategy			
				outcomes. 'He Whenua Rangatira'			
				If the district is working			
				to be one of sustainable			
				prosperity and			
				wellbeing then strong			
				partnership are required to generate a			
				strong waka to power in			
				the direction of the			
				council and			
				communities desires.			
				Creative Northland are			
				in support to engage with you to help unite			
				and empower growth of			
				cultural identity as a			
				region. We can support			

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				by engaging and uniting a collective of organisations who already are working in this space for the greater community. A strengthened community will naturally draw attraction and the development / retention of highly motivated and educated skilled people to settle long term.				
S399.015	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-CP-O3	Support	We support the intent of these policies.	Retain Obje	ctive SD-CP-O3	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
S339.019	Te Aupōuri Commercial Development Ltd	SD-CP-O3	Support	TACDL supports the intention of the objectives, particularly in relation to SD-CP-01 and SD-CP-02.	Retain Obje	ctive SD-CP-O3	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
FS51.265	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Objective SD-CP-O3.	Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
S399.016	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-CP-O4	Support	We support the intent of these policies.	Retain Obje	ctive SD-CP-O4	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
S486.075	Te Rūnanga o Whaingaroa	SD-CP-O4	Oppose	Te Rūnanga o Whaingaroa believes that located within the northernmost district, Te Tai Tokerau will be exposed to extreme and tropical weather events including invasive pests and diseases. Efforts to mitigate climate change are failing and are	follows: The District's identified an ensure its lo for current a generations <b>change, i</b>	, climate ncluding by with tāngata	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				based on a Western scientific lens. Genuine engagement with tāngata whenua and the utilisation of maramataka and other ecological tirotiro will only be beneficial for our communities.	utilisation of maramataka will lead to a broader and more effective array of solutions.		
S390.061	Te Runanga o Ngai Takoto Trust	SD-CP-O4	Oppose	The submitter opposes objective SD-CP-04 (or SD-CP-05) as efforts to mitigate climate change are failing and are based on a Western scientific lens. Genuine engagement with tāngata whenua and the utilisation of maramataka and other ecological tirotiro will only be beneficial for our communities.	Amend objective SD-CP-O4 (or SD-CP-05) by adding: "climate change, including by engaging with tāngata whenua and the utilisation of maramataka will lead to a broader and more effective array of solutions."	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
S498.062	Te Rūnanga Ā lwi O Ngapuhi	SD-CP-O4	Oppose	The submitter opposes objective SD-CP-04 (or SD-CP-05) as efforts to mitigate climate change are failing and are based on a Western scientific lens. Genuine engagement with tāngata whenua and the utilisation of maramataka and other ecological tirotiro will only be beneficial for our communities.	Amend objective SD-CP-O4 (or SD-CP-05) by adding: "climate change, including by engaging with tāngata whenua and the utilisation of maramataka will lead to a broader and more effective array of solutions."	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing.
FS151.107	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS23.230	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
S339.020	Te Aupōuri Commercial Development Ltd	SD-CP-O4	Support	SupportTACDL supports the intention of the objectives, particularly in relation to SD-CP-01 and SD-CP- 02.	Retain Objective SD-CP-O4		Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing.
FS51.266	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Objective SD-CP-O4.	Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
S463.004	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	SD-CP-O4	Oppose	The outcome sought by this objective is unclear due to the conflation of social and climate change considerations. It is not apparent how the reference to climate change adaptation is meant to be operationalised through policies and rules of the Plan and what weight this objective would be given in decision making. Therefore, the implications of the objective for resource consent applications are (unreasonably) uncertain.	Amend to cl outcome so Prosperity c that is able t through the resource co making; AN	objective prefix as	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS91.1	Moana Kiff		Oppose	We are opposed to deleting this point, as tangata whenua we support the promotion of communities and	Disallow		Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				places in the Far North district that will serve the needs of both current population and future generations. These places should also be able to adapt to the effects of climate change.			
S389.015	Merata Kawharu Taituha, Renata Tane, Albie Apiata, Billie Taituha and Hirini Tane	SD-CP-O5	Support in part	We could not find anything that clarified what the framework (at objective 5) looks like. These objectives need to be backed up with resourcing and plans. There needs to be a specific plan at Council level as to how it proposes to go about its work, and to be transparent to hapū/iwi about this.	Amend Objective SD-CP-O5 to clarify te ao māori decision making framework, developed with iwi and hapu	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing.
S399.017	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-CP-O5	Support	We support the intent of these policies	Retain Objective SD-CP-O5	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing.
S477.008	Te Waka Pupuri Putea Trust	SD-CP-O5	Not Stated	As Kaitiaki, we are and have been acutely aware of the degradation of Papatuanuku (Earth mother) and all living things between her and Ranginui (Sky Father) and the unavoidable consequences that can be generally categorised as Climate Change issues since pre-colonial times until present.	Amend the Plan as required to be forward-thinking regarding climate-related issues as the geography of our rohe makes us more susceptible to these issues and their potentially dire consequences	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing.

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S339.021	Te Aupõuri Commercial Development Ltd	SD-CP-O5	Support	Support TACDL supports the intention of the objectives, particularly in relation to SD-CP-01 and SD-CP- 02.	Retain Objective SD-CP-O5		Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing.
FS51.267	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Objective SD-CP-O5.	Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
S561.012	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	SD-CP-O5	Support	Kāinga Ora recognises the need to be adaptive to climate change as it will support the long term future of communities within the Far North District.	Retain SD-0	CP-O5 as notified	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing.
FS36.018	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support	Supports the recognition of climate change in SD-CP-O5 as it aligns with government strategic direction through the Emission Reduction Plan, Government Policy Statement on Land Transport and aligns with the strategic direction of Waka Kotahi in Toitu Te Taiao.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing.
FS32.066	Jeff Kemp		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing.
FS23.284	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing.

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS47.026	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing.
FS348.099	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing.
S300.004	Creative Northland	Overview	Support	We often get educated out of being creative (which is linked directly to wellbeing and identity). Without creativity how does change occur, how does development occur. Its about connecting and pushing the boundaries of what is possible. Being creative is not about just the outcomes but the process in which they are generated and how can creativity be addressed as significant to social equity in a community. I stand in support of developing wellbeing outcomes and access for all community and to acknowledge how cultural and creative sectors are a significant source of jobs and income that also generate important spillover effects to the wider economy. The creative economy and its industries are	sector/indus Heritage an Long Term Recognise t being Creat communitie uplift creativ whose abilit multidiscipli utilised acro Effective de will mean pe organisation together tow outcomes. Collaboratio	the significance of ive across the s of Te Tai Tokerau- res and its people ies are nary and can be res sectors. livery of a strategy	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				strategic sectors that if nurtured can boost competitiveness, productivity, sustainable growth, employment and exports potential. The Far North needs to better support the creative industries to drive innovation for the region and developing the Arts, Heritage and Cultural Strategy can help solidify outcomes and goals for across the region.			
S386.002	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew	Overview	Support in part	Ballantyne & Agnew consider that the overview has insufficient detail to provide understanding of what is intended to be achieved by these objectives. At a minimum, this needs to be amended to provide the overarching context of what social and economic wellbeing means in the Far North District context, outline the issues that are being addressed, and how the PDP seeks to address them.	Amend the Strategic Direction chapter in include objectives and policies which provide the overarching context of what social and economic wellbeing means in the Far North District context, outline the issues that are being addressed, and how the PDP seeks to address them.	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S511.021	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement	Delete from each sections overview: <del>For the</del> <del>purposes of preparing, changing, interpreting</del>	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
	Further	Provision	Position	Reasons         need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.         verview.			
					determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of I Requested	Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them			
FS67.23	The Shooting Box Limited		Oppose	The purpose of the strategic objectives is to provide strategic direction and as such they should direct the outcomes in lower order objectives and policies in the Plan.	Disallow		Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS68.24	P S Yates Family Trust		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS69.23	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS66.39	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS164.021	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS548.141	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS570.1592	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS566.1606	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS569.1628	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S442.041	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	overview: purposes changing, and imple District Pl objective all other of District Pl read and manner of these stra	each sections -For the of preparing, interpreting ementing the lan all other s and policies in chapters of this lan are to be achieved in a consistent with ategic objectives ferred by the	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic OverviewFor the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				objective chapter) a considere	are to be ed together and iierarchy exists			
FS68.25	P S Yates Family Trust		Oppose	The purpose of the strategic objectives is to provide strategic direction and as such they should direct the outcomes in lower order objectives and policies in the Plan.	Disallow		Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS69.24	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS66.40	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS570.1737	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS346.652	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S251.001	New Zealand Maritime Parks Ltd	Overview	Support in part	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives. NZMPL consider that there is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could lead to an ineffective plan.	Chapter to: -Provide clear growth and throughout t -Include app give effect to objectives. -Establish a set a clear p	Strategic Direction ar direction for levelopment he Far NorthDistrict. oropriate policy to o strategic direction centre hierarchy to olicy direction for the areas within the	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development, Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					District and amend zoning as necessary to implement the hierarchy. -Evaluate objectives in accordance with section 32AA to confirm that these are the most appropriate objectives.			
FS400.006	The Paihia Property Owners Group		Support	Submission 251 rightly notes that the underlying analyses related to the Coastal Environment provisions has not sufficiently considered the appropriate implementation of these provision in the urban environment. Specific provisions such a height limits and gross floor area restrictions (for example) require flexibility when considered against the urban environment values and existing environment.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development, Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS396.006	Ed and Inge Amsler		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development, Section 5.2.9 Key Issue
								9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS370.016	Bunnings Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development,

Submission Point			Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
								Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
S167.004	Bentzen Farm Limited	Objectives	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.       Retain Strategic Objectives SD-SP-O1 to SD-EP-O5       Accept		Accept in part	All objectives	
FS143.2	Mataka Residents' Association Inc		Support	The encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing set out in these Strategic Objectives is supported.	Allow		Accept in part	All objectives
FS51.126	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support in part		Allow in part		Accept in part	All objectives
FS566.366	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow		Accept in part	All objectives
S271.007	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	Without policies, it is difficult to understand how the Strategic Direction is intended to be implemented throughout the plan. With specific regard to integrated transport networks, a policy is sought that provides	SD-EP-O4 r transport ne PXXTo en modal int transport	sponding policy to regarded integrated etworks : <b>SD-EP-</b> nsure multi tegrated t networks by:a. g Integrated	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
			this direction, and wording suggested. Transport Assessment the time of subdivi- Ensuring that provi- for planned integri- transport network made at the time of development.c. Fu for integrated multimodal transport networks is identifi- the Long Term Pla		of subdivision.b. that provision ed integrated networks is he time of nent.c. Funding ated lal transport is identified in			
FS25.065	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support in part	Supports the proposal subject to the inclusion of corresponding rules and supporting assessment criteria, specified triggers for this requirement as a subdivision involving only 1 or 2 additional lots is unlikely to warrant this requirement and other methods to secure this outcome for smaller scale developments without the need for a full ITA such as Council's Subdivision Standards and Structure Plans secured in the Plan through Precincts to give statutory weight.	Allow in part	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate wording.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS36.019	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Oppose		Disallow in part	Allow the intent of the original submission,	Accept	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					however disallow the specific requirements until further clarified and moved to the transport chapter.			
FS111.026	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS325.041	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support in part		Allow in part	Allow the original submission in part.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS570.730	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS566.744	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS569.766	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
S222.003	Wendover Two Limited	Objectives	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strat SP-01	tegic Objectives SD-	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS51.117	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Strategic Objective SD- SP-O1	Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S187.004	The Shooting Box Limited	Objectives	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- SP-O1 - SD-EP-O5.		Accept in part	All objectives
FS51.121	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support in part	HNZPT also supports the retention of Strategic Objectives SD-SP-O1 - SD-SP-O4.	Allow in part		Accept in part	All objectives
S425.007	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	PHTTCCT consider that the District Plan should encourage and facilitate a transition to greater provision of public and active modes of transport. This is crucial for maintaining social and economic wellbeing of people and communities. It is also important that strategic direction is provided regarding integrating land use and transport planning to achieve greater connectivity for people and communities as an overall principle.	Amend Strategic Direction and insert the following objective: "Maintain and enhance accessibility and safety for communities and integrate land use and transport planning."		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS36.020	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support	The submitter recognises accessibility, safety and integration of land use and transport planning align with governments	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				strategic direction through the Government Policy Statement on Land Transport and Waka Kotahi strategies such as Arataki - 30 Year Plan.				
S446.007	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	Without policies, it is difficult To understand how the Strategic Direction is intended to be implemented throughout the plan. With specific regard to integrated transport networks, a policy is sought that provides this direction, and wording suggested.	Insert corresponding policy to SD-EP-O4 regarded integrated transport networks : <b>SD-EP-</b> <b>PXTo ensure multi modal</b> integrated transport networks by:a. Requiring Integrated Transport Assessments at the time of subdivision.b. Ensuring that provision for planned integrated transport networks is made at the time of development.c. Funding for integrated multimodal transport networks is identified in the Long Term Plan		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Strategic Direction Policies
FS111.025	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT agree that without policies, it is difficult to understand how the Strategic Direction is intended to be implemented throughout the plan.	Allow	allow original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Strategic Direction Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS111.027	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT agree that without policies, it is difficult to understand how the Strategic Direction is intended to be implemented throughout the plan.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Strategic Direction Policies
FS569.1766	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Strategic Direction Policies
FS570.1765	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Strategic Direction Policies
S529.072	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	Without policies, it is difficult to understand how the Strategic Direction is intended to be implemented throughout the plan. With specific regard to integrated transport networks, a policy is sought that provides this direction, and wording suggested.	SD-EP-O4 rd transport ne PXXTo en modal int transport Requiring Transport the time of Ensuring for for planne transport made at t developm for integr	networks by:a. Integrated Assessments at of subdivision.b. that provision ed integrated networks is the time of nent.c. Funding	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested networks is identified in the Long Term Plan		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS111.028	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT support the inclusion of policies requiring integrated transport networks to be considered at the time of subdivision.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS570.1960	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS566.1974	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS569.1996	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
\$524.007	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	Objectives	Not Stated	Without policies, it is difficult to understand how the Strategic Direction is intended to be implemented throughout the plan. With specific regard to integrated transport networks, a policy is sought that provides this direction, and wording suggested.	SD-EP-O4 transport r PXXTo e modal in transpo Requirin Transpo the time Ensuring for plan transpo made at	responding policy to regarded integrated networks :SD-EP- ensure multi- ntegrated rt networks by:a. ng Integrated ort Assessments at e of subdivision.b. g that provision ned integrated rt networks is t the time of oment.c. Funding grated	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons			Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS111.029	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT support the inclusion of policies requiring integrated transport networks to be considered at the time of subdivision.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS566.1825	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
S251.002	New Zealand Maritime Parks Ltd	Objectives	Support in part	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives. NZMPL consider that there is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could lead to an ineffective plan.	Chapter to: - Provide cle growth and throughout t - Include ap give effect to objectives. - Establish a set a clear p larger urban District and necessary to hierarchy. - Evaluate o accordance	with section 32AA to these are the most	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development, Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS400.007	The Paihia Property Owners Group		Support	Submission 251 rightly notes that the underlying analyses related to the Coastal Environment provisions has not sufficiently considered the appropriate	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development, Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				implementation of these provision in the urban environment. Specific provisions such a height limits and gross floor area restrictions (for example) require flexibility when considered against the urban environment values and existing environment.				
FS396.007	Ed and Inge Amsler		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development, Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
FS370.017	Bunnings Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development, Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
S356.003	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Objectives	Not Stated	There is a link between social prosperity and good accessibility to amenities and services which has not been referenced in the objectives. Inclusive access is one of five transport outcomes recognised by the Ministry of Transport - Transport Outcomes Framework.	having good social and er opportunities education ar where practi provision of	s such as work, nd healthcare, and	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons			Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
Hoi Coi	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities	Support	Support	Kāinga Ora supports provisions that enable housing with good access to jobs, amenities and services and the co-location of activities to contribute to economic, social, environmental and cultural wellbeing.	Allow	Allow	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S511.027	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Objectives	Support in part	Suggest amending the 'EP' this is the same as the strategic directions for Economic Prosperity. This lead to confusion for plan users	Prosperity of	r the title Economic the title to tal Prosperity.	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1 – Overview
FS164.027	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1 – Overview
FS570.1598	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1 – Overview
FS566.1612	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1 – Overview
FS569.1634	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1 – Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S511.034	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Objectives	Not Stated	F&B considers the strategic direction objectives and policies should at a minimum provide for the protection of significant indigenous biodiversity, the maintenance of indigenous biodiversity and opportunities for enhancement where indigenous biodiversity has been degraded.	Insert new objective Indigenous Biodiversity is maintained.		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS164.034	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS548.149	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS404.086	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS570.1605	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.1619	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS569.1641	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					consistent with our original submission		
S425.006	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust	Objectives	Oppose	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain objectives and policy which give effect to objective 3.7 Regionally Significant Infrastructure of the RPS. PHTTCCT support recognition and promotion of the benefits of regionally significant infrastructure throughout the Far North District (in particular the Trail), and the importance of protection of this infrastructure existing and planned) from incompatible land use and development as directed in policy 5.1.3 and 5.3.1 of the RPS.	Insert objectives and policies in the Strategic Direction chapters as follows:"Objective: The benefits of Regionally Significant Infrastructure are recognised and provided for.Policy: To recognise and provide for the social, economic and cultural benefits of Regionally Significant Infrastructure by enabling its ongoing operation, maintenance, development, and upgrading where adverse effects are managed.Policy: To protect existing and planned regionally significant infrastructure from adverse effects resulting from new subdivision use and development. '	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS369.085	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to ensure that the plan provisions	Allow in allow in part the original decision	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				provide for and enable regionally significant infrastructure			
S421.015	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Objectives	Support in part	In respect of the objectives for economic prosperity, Federated Farmers believes that it is more appropriate for the Council to recognise and provide for a high-earning diverse local economy which would include enabling the Māori economy to make a significant contribution.As written, objective SD-EP-O1 is unclear and slightly confusing. Is the purpose of the objective to enable Māori enterprises so that these enterprises can make a significant contribution to the district's economy? If this is what is actually intended, then it is more appropriate for an objective to be included which specifically refers to enabling and supporting Māori initiatives within the district's economy.It is also felt that the objective as currently drafted places an unfair burden on Māori initiatives and enterprises to contribute to the	Insert a new objective which supports and enables initiatives and enterprises within the district's economy which are carried out by iwi and hapu.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				district's economy. It is inappropriate to place such an emphasis on one aspect of the district economy's without having the approportionate information that the contribution sought is achievable.				
FS570.1247	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS346.249	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS566.1261	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS569.1283	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S442.047	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Objectives	Support in part	Suggest amending the 'EP' this is the same as the strategic directions for Economic Prosperity. This lead to confusion for plan users	Prosperity of	er the title Economic r the title to tal Prosperity.	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS570.1743	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.3.14 Key Issue 14: Other Submissions
FS346.658	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.3.14 Key Issue 14: Other Submissions
S442.054	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Objectives	Neutral	F&B considers the strategic direction objectives and policies should at a minimum provide for the protection of significant indigenous biodiversity, the maintenance of indigenous biodiversity and opportunities for enhancement where indigenous biodiversity has been degraded.	Insert new objective:"Ir Biodivers maintaine	ity is	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS570.1750	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS346.665	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S148.012	Summit Forests New Zealand Limited	Objectives	Support	SFNZ supports the objectives for economic and social prosperity and, in particular, objectives DS-SP-03, SD-EP-02, SD-EP-04, and SD-EP-05. The forest industry plays an important part in the social and economic prosperity of the Northland district. The	SD-EP-02, S EP-05; Delete any p and rules fro to constrain activities bey adverse env Delete any p and rules fro to "pick winr	ctives DS-SP-03, SD-EP-04, and SD- policies, objectives, m the plan that seek primary production yond the evidence of ironmental effects; policies, objectives, m the plan that seek lers" between luction activities.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				Section 32 Rural Environment report estimates that "the			
				Forestry and Logging sector in the Far North comprises of some 280			
				businesses and 173 workers. The average			
				number of workers per business is around 1			
				(2016) and the total turnover (gross output)			
				of the sector is estimated at \$134m (from all sources of			
				business income), which contributes \$42m			
				to the district economy (value added)".			
				Opportunities arising from the Government's Forestry Industry			
				Transformation Plan and the drive to move			
				New Zealand to a biocircular economy			
				have the potential to significantly increase the economic and			
				social benefits to the District. The proposed			
				District Plan needs to provide for flexible and			
				adaptive land use so that landowners can			
				respond to economic, climate, and environmental			
				challenges without the delays and uncertainty			
				of a regulatory process.			

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS346.518	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS566.124	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S386.003	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew	SD-SP-O1	Support in part	Objective SD-SP-O1 is considered to be too vague and does not provide sufficient direction for plan users or decision-makers. The objective does not distinguish between urban or rural environments or provide direction on	assist plan u makers to u	active SD-SP-O1 to users and decision nderstand what 'sense of place'.	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				what characteristics or qualities make up a 'sense of place'. There are no qualifying features of the objective to direct plan users or decision makers on whether this objective is or is not being achieved. Further, the objective is considered to inadequately align with Objective 4, Policy 6 or 8 of the NPS-UD. In the absence of section 32 evaluation of the appropriateness of these objectives, it is unclear what is meant by a 'sense of place' or what this outcome achieves.				
S168.003	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-SP-01	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Obje	ective SD-SP-01	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS51.122	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Strategic Objective SD- SP-O1	Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS405.002	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose		Allow in part	disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S333.004	P S Yates Family Trust	SD-SP-O1	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- SP-O1 - SD-EP-O5		Accept in part	All objectives
FS47.012	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose		Disallow	retain Policy PA- P2 as drafted in the Proposed District Plan	Reject in part	All objectives
FS405.005	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose		Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject in part	All objectives
FS569.036	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	retain Policy PA- P2	Reject in part	All objectives
S138.001	Kairos Connection Trust and Habitat for Humanity Northern Region Ltd	SD-SP-O1	Support in part	Agree that community wellbeing is heightened by a sense of place and that the ability to live and work in the same settlement contributes to social and economic wellbeing. Housing affordability in urban settlements, particularly Kerikeri is having a negative effect on social and economic wellbeing.	Retain Obje	ctive SD-SP-O1.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS405.001	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose	Ballantyne & Agnew opposes the retention of SD-SP-O1 as notified, as it is too vague and does not distinguish between urban or rural	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
		environments, or provide direction on what characteristics or qualities make up a 'sense of place'.						
S243.005	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-SP-01	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Obje	ective SD-SP-O1	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS405.003	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose	Ballantyne & Agnew opposes the retention of SD-SP-O1 as notified, as it is too vague and does not distinguish between urban or rural environments, or provide direction on what characteristics or qualities make up a 'sense of place'.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS570.563	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS566.577	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS569.599	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with	Reject in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
						our original submission		Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S302.001	Kristine Kerr	SD-SP-O1	Support in part	Sense of place is not just about local iwi, it's about creating a sustainable and vibrant community for all. Design guidelines, town heirachies and types of shop/ services located in each area needs to be set out.	guidelines for form, identif and districts measures, a	rovide for design or urban design and y heirachy of towns with suitable design and plan the specific ices and facilities to	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS405.004	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Support in part	Ballantyne & Agnew supports in part the submission point that SD-SP-O1 should be amended, but notes that in their original submission they seek the amendment of the objective to assist plan users and decision makers to understand what makes up a 'sense of place'.	Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S222.011	Wendover Two Limited	SD-SP-O2	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strat SP-O2	egic Objectives SD-	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS51.118	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Strategic Objective SD- SP-O2	Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S168.004	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-SP-O2	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Obje	ctive SD-SP-O2	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS51.123	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Strategic Objective SD- SP-O2	Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S561.013	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	SD-SP-O2	Support	Kāinga Ora note that as Māori make up 40% of the District's population and 17% of theDistrict's land is Māori land, enabling development will support the wellbeing of Tangata Whenua now and into the future.	Retain SD-5	SP-O2 be notified	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS32.067	Jeff Kemp		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS23.285	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS47.027	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	st	Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS348.100	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S243.006	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-SP-O2	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Obje	ctive SD-SP-O2	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS570.564	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS566.578	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS569.600	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S442.049	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-SP-O2	Support	No reason stated	Retain SD-E	EP-02.	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS570.1745	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS346.660	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S138.002	Kairos Connection Trust and Habitat for Humanity Northern Region Ltd	SD-SP-O3	Support in part	Agree that community wellbeing is heightened by a sense of place and that the ability to live and work in the same settlement contributes to social and economic wellbeing. Housing affordability in urban settlements, particularly Kerikeri is having a negative effect on social and economic wellbeing.	Retain Obje	ctive SD-SP-03.	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S160.008	Manulife Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	SD-SP-O3	Support	The submitter considers that SD-SP- 03 recognises the value and importance of primary production activities across the Far North District and the cultural, social, environmental and economic wellbeing the sector brings to the district. Primary production provides employment, recreation and economic benefits to the region. It is important to recognise this and ensure equitable opportunities for all primary producers.	Retain SD-S written.	P-03 as currently	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS196.14	Joe Carr		Support	the inclusion of this consideration is	Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.3

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				essential for the socio- economic wellbeing of the District				Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS346.578	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S222.012	Wendover Two Limited	SD-SP-O3	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strat SP-03	egic Objectives SD-	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS51.119	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Strategic Objective SD- SP-O3	Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS534.008	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support		Allow	retain as notified	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S168.005	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-SP-O3	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Obje	ctive SD-SP-03.	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS51.124	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of	Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.3

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				Strategic Objective SD- SP-O3				Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S243.007	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-SP-O3	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Obje	ctive SD-SP-O3	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS570.565	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS566.579	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS569.601	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S477.009	Te Waka Pupuri Putea Trust	SD-SP-O4	Not Stated	As Kaitiaki, we are and have been acutely aware of the degradation of Papatuanuku (Earth mother) and all living things between her and Ranginui (Sky Father) and the unavoidable consequences that can be generally categorised as Climate Change issues since	be forward-i climate-rela geography o more susce	Plan as required to thinking regarding ted issues as the of our rohe makes us ptible to these issues itentially dire ses	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				pre-colonial times until present.				
S271.006	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	SD-SP-O4	Support in part	Integrated transport planning is a critical component to ensuring a coordinated response to land use development and good urban design outcomes. Encouraging multi modal transport (e.g. cycling, walking and public transport), as a critical element to social and economic well being.	are connect through <b>m</b> t	EP-04 inesses and places red digitally and <b>ulti modal</b> d transport	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS25.064	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the recognition that the integrated transport network will be multi- modal (i.e., providing for private vehicles, buses, cyclists and pedestrians).	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS36.021	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS111.030	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS325.040	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS570.729	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
								Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS566.743	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS569.765	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S222.013	Wendover Two Limited	SD-SP-O4	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Stra SP-04	tegic Objectives SD-	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS51.120	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Strategic Objective SD- SP-O4	Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S168.006	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-SP-O4	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain obje	ctive SD-SP-O4	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS51.125	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of	Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.3

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				Strategic Objective SD- SP-O4			Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
\$446.006	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-SP-O4	Support in part	Integrated transport planning is a critical component to ensuring a coordinated response to land use development and good urban design outcomes. As noted earlier, it is considered that this is difficult to implement when strategic and spatial direction is lacking as the opportunity for triggers at development stage is missed and it sought that place holder are included throughout the plan to hold a place for the development of such documents (noting that the Transport Strategy does not appear to currently spatially identify any future transport networks). Without such guiding documents, it is unclear how the outcome sought by SD-EP-O4 will be achieved, particularly given that there are no policies associated with these objectives.Encouraging multi modal transport (e.g. cycling, walking and public transport), as a critical element to	Amend SD-EP-04 - People, businesses and places are connected digitally and through <b>multi</b> <b>modal</b> integrated transport network	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				social and economic well being. Accordingly the following amendment to SD-EP- 04 is sought.				
FS111.031	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT support the inclusion of multi modal transport.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS569.1765	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS570.1764	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S524.006	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	SD-SP-O4	Support in part	Integrated transport planning is a critical component to ensuring a coordinated response to land use development and good urban design outcomes.Encouraging multi modal transport (e.g. cycling, walking and public transport), as a critical element to social and economic well being.	are connect through <b>mu</b>	nesses and places ed digitally and	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS111.032	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT support the inclusion of multi modal transport	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS566.1824	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary Requested	of Decision d	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
								Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S529.071	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	SD-SP-O4	Support in part	Integrated transport planning is a critical component to ensuring a coordinated response to land use development and good urban design outcomes.Encouraging multi modal transport (e.g. cycling, walking and public transport), as a critical element to social and economic well being	People, bu are connec through <b>m</b>	D-EP-04 (inferred) isinesses and places cted digitally and iulti modal ed transport	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS111.033	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT support the inclusion of multi modal transport.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS570.1959	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS566.1973	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS569.1995	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S399.018	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-SP-O4	Not Stated	The wording of this policy is ambiguous. As written, it implies that the future generations will be adaptive to	Amend Policy SD-SP-04 to read as follows: Promotion of communities and places <del>that will meet the</del>		Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				climate change. We consider that communities and places should be adaptive to climate change to provide for future generations.	needs for not only the present population but future generations which are adaptive to climate change and thatwill meet the needs for not only the present population but future generations.			
FS339.027	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust		Support	Integrating consideration of climate change on an intergenerational basis accords with promoting sustainable management.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S356.004	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-SP-O4	Support in part	Support inclusion of transport integrating with land use, however could be improved to better reflect transport outcomes (safety, choice, efficiency etc).	"People, bus are connecte through <b>an</b> i		Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS243.047	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support	Kāinga Ora supports provisions that enable housing with good access to jobs, amenities and services and the co-location of activities to contribute to economic, social, environmental and cultural wellbeing. Kāinga Ora supports provisions that responds to the impacts of climate change by	Allow	Allow	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				increasing resilience and enabling adaptation.				
S243.008	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-SP-O4	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Obje	ective SD-SP-O4	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS570.566	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	c	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS566.580	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS569.602	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S168.007	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-EP-O1	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Obje	ctive SD-EP-O1	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S389.016	Merata Kawharu Taituha, Renata Tane, Albie Apiata, Billie	SD-EP-01	Support in part	As recognised elsewhere, there will be costs with adapting to climate change. Māori	follows: A high-earn	ective SD-EP-01 as ing diverse local hich is sustainable	Reject	Section 5.2.4

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
	Taituha and Hirini Tane	businesses will not be immune from these. Given the emphasis in the objective on the Māori economy (and that much of this economy in the region is land-based), it will be important to ensure that the businesses are supported in the transition to a low carbon/low emissions economy, i.e., to become 'sustainable', and 'resilient'. It is important to state these matters explicitly. Resilience and sustainability is not just couched in economic		and resilient to economic downturns, with the District's Māori economy making a significant contribution, supporting Māori businesses in adapting to climate change particularly where there are costs.		Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity	
S399.019	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-EP-O1	Not Stated	The Far North economy is heavily reliant on a healthy environment. The environment is not mentioned in any of these policies	Amend Objective SD-EP-01 as follows: A high-earning diverse local economy which is <b>environmentally and</b> <b>economically</b> sustainable and resilient to economic downturns, with the District's Māori economy making a significant contribution.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S511.028	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	SD-EP-O1	Support		Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS164.028	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS570.1599	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS566.1613	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS569.1635	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S222.014	Wendover Two Limited	SD-EP-O1	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strat EP-01	egic Objectives SD-	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS534.009	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support	WBFL agrees that the direction of travel signalled by this objective (economic diversity, resilience and	Allow	retain as notified	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				sustainability) is appropriate.				
S243.009	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-EP-O1	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Obje	ective SD-EP-O1	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS570.567	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS566.581	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS569.603	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S421.014	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SD-EP-O1	Support in part	In respect of the objectives for economic prosperity, Federated Farmers believes that it is more appropriate for the Council to recognise and provide for a high-earning diverse local economy which would include enabling the Māori economy to make a significant contribution.As written, objective SD-EP-O1 is unclear and slightly	follows: A high-earn economy wl and resilien downturns <del>,</del> <del>District's</del>	<del>Māori economy</del> -significant	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	fDecision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				confusing. Is the purpose of the objective to enable Māori enterprises so that these enterprises can make a significant contribution to the district's economy? If this is what is actually intended, then it is more appropriate for an objective to be included which specifically refers to enabling and supporting Māori initiatives within the district's economy. It is also felt that the objective as currently drafted places an unfair burden on Māori initiatives and enterprises to contribute to the district's economy. It is inappropriate to place such an emphasis on one aspect of the district economy's without having the approportionate information that the contribution sought is achievable.				
FS570.1246	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS346.248	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS566.1260	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS569.1282	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S442.048	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-EP-O1	Support	No reason stated.	Retain SD-E	EP-01.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS570.1744	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS346.659	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S168.008	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-EP-O2	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental,	Retain Obje	ctive SD-EP-O2	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				and economic wellbeing.				
S222.015	Wendover Two Limited	SD-EP-O2	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strat EP-O2	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- EP-O2		Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S159.026	Horticulture New Zealand	SD-EP-O2	Support in part	The district's economic and social wellbeing, including social and economic prosperity should include existing and new industries	Amend Objective SD-EP-02 as follows: Existing <b>and new</b> industries and enterprises are supported and continue to prosper under volatile and changing economic conditions.		Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS151.180	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS534.0010	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support		Allow	amend to refer to new activities as well as existing	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS570.188	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS566.202	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS569.224	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S511.029	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	SD-EP-O2	Support		Retain		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS164.029	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS570.1600	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS566.1614	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	
FS569.1636	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S243.010	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-EP-O2	Support	This strategic objective is supported			Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS570.568	Vision Kerikeri 3	extent that the submission is			Reject in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity		
FS566.582	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS569.604	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S143.004	Ballance Agri- Nutrients Limited	SD-EP-O3	Support	Ballance supports protecting ecosystems particularly via weed and pest control	retain the o	bjective SD EP - O3	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S168.009	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-EP-O3	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing	Retain Objective SD-EP-O3		Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S222.016	Wendover Two Limited	SD-EP-O3	Support	These strategic objectives are	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- EP-O3		Accept	Section 5.2.4

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.				Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S511.030	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	SD-EP-O3	Support		Retain		Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS164.030	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS570.1601	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS566.1615	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS569.1637	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S243.011	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-EP-O3	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Objective SD-EP-O3		Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS570.569	Vision Kerikeri 3	3	Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS566.583	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS569.605	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S442.050	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-EP-O3	Support	No reason stated.	Retain SD-I	EP-03.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS570.1746	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS346.661	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	
S168.010	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-EP-O4	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental,	Retain Objective SD-EP-O4		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				and economic wellbeing.			
S425.008	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust	SD-EP-O4	Support in part	Ensuring integrated transport planning which includes multi modal solutions, is a critical component to establishing a coordinated response to land use development and good urban design outcomes.As noted in sub#5 of this submission the lack of policies makes it difficult to understand how the outcome sought by SD-EP-O4 will be achieved. Given the lack of spatial planning documents identifying these strategic networks, policy direction starting with the Strategic Direction Chapter is critical to ensuring connectivity.	amend SD-EP-04 - People, businesses and places are connected digitally and through multi modal integrated transport network. Include corresponding policy to SD-EP-04 regarded integrated transport networks: <b>SD-SP-</b> <b>PXTo ensure multi modal</b> <b>integrated transport</b> <b>networks by:a. Requiring</b> <b>Integrated Transport</b> <b>Assessments at the time</b> <b>of subdivision.b. Ensuring</b> <b>that provision is made for</b> <b>planned future transport</b> <b>networks at the time of</b> <b>land use and</b> <b>development.</b>	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Strategic Direction Policies
S222.017	Wendover Two Limited	SD-EP-O4	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- EP-O4	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S511.031	Royal Forest and Bird Protection	SD-EP-O4	Support		Retain	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
	Society of New Zealand							Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS164.031	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS548.147	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Reject in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS570.1602	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS566.1616	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS569.1638	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S243.012	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-EP-O4	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Obje	ctive SD-EP-O4	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS570.570	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with	Reject in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
						our original submission		
FS566.584	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS569.606	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S442.051	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-EP-O4	Support	No reason stated.	Retain SD-EP-O5.		Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS570.1747	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS346.662	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S168.011	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-EP-O5	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain objective SD-EP-O5		Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S222.018	Wendover Two Limited	SD-EP-O5	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- EP-O5		Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S477.010	Te Waka Pupuri Putea Trust	SD-EP-O5	Not Stated	As Kaitiaki, we are and have been acutely aware of the degradation of Papatuanuku (Earth mother) and all living things between her and Ranginui (Sky Father) and the unavoidable consequences that can be generally categorised as Climate Change issues since pre-colonial times until present.	Amend the Plan as required to be forward-thinking regarding climate-related issues as the geography of our rohe makes us more susceptible to these issues and their potentially dire consequences		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate Change
S561.014	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	SD-EP-O5	Support in part	Kāinga Ora note that not only will there be financial costs arising from a changing climate, but also there will be a need to carrying out economic activity in different ways than is currently practiced.	Amend SD-EP-O5 (inferred) A district economy that is responsive, resilient and adaptive to the financial costs <del>Of</del> <b>and changing economic</b> <b>activity driven by</b> a changing climate.		Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS32.068	Jeff Kemp		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS23.286	Des and Lorraine Morrison	ne	Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS47.028	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
FS348.101	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Economic Prosperity
S511.032	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	SD-EP-O5	Support in part	RMA, s6(a) says preserve natural character and it includes waterbodies and their margins. RMA s6(b) says protect ONL and ONFs. In order to preserve something they must first be identified if they have not been already	AmendThe natural character of the coastal environment, waterbodies and their margins are preserved, and outstanding natural features and landscapes are identified and managed to ensure their long-term protection for future generations		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS164.032	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS548.148	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS404.085	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS570.1603	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.1617	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS569.1639	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S511.033	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	SD-EP-O5	Support in part	In order to protect something they must first be identified if they have not been already. This may have been a typo as well given that the objective has an 'and' in front of 'protected' which implies that something is missing from this objective	Amend fauna <del>and are</del> identified and protected 		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS164.033	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS570.1604	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS566.1618	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS569.1640	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S243.013	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-EP-O5	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Objective SD-EP-O5		Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS570.571	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.585	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS569.607	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S442.052	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-EP-O5	Support in part	RMA, s6(a) says preserve natural character and it includes waterbodies and their margins. RMA	Amend "The natural character of the coastal environment, waterbodies and their margins are preserved,		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested and outstanding natural features and landscapes are identified and managed to ensure their long-term protection for future generations."		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				s6(b) says protect ONL and ONFs. In order to preserve something they must first be identified if they have not been already.				
FS570.1748	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS346.663	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
\$554.001	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited	Overview	Oppose	The PDP should be amended to give effect to the NPS-UD, particularly to enable development that can provide for and contribute to a well- functioning urbanenvironment for Kerikeri / Waipapa. Far North District Council is a Tier 3 territorial authority because it has all of an urban environment in its district. Kerikeri and Waipapa area is considered to be an urban environment now because it is predominantly urban in character and is or is intended to be part of a housing and labour market of at least	the NPS-Urb and confirm "urban envir existing urba population a population ir	assessment against ban Development that Kerikeri is an onment" given the an character, existing ind projected n the medium term Far North District a Tier 3 local	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				10,000 people. Refer to the Economic Assessment, prepared by Urban Economics in the full submission.				
FS172.339	Audrey Campbell- Frear		Support	For the reasons stated in this primary submission.	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS32.004	Jeff Kemp		Support in part		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to consideration of traffic movements, flood mitigation measures and amending the zoning as depicted in the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS389.007	Smartlife Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S386.004	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew	Overview	Support in part	Ballantyne and Agnew support the intention of the Urban Form and Development chapter, and considers this can be improved with the incorporation of a centres hierarchy that demonstrates how growth in the Far North will be directed under the district plan. Further, the overview does not provide any direction on how the different urban zones, whether they be urban or residential, integrate	Amend the Urban Form and Development Chapter overview and objectives to at a minimum incorporate the following: - Centres hierarchy - to inform how towns will respond to and provide for growth; - Centres hierarchy that informs how and where rural lifestyle, rural residential and settlement zoning, and at what point it will be provided; - Clear objectives that outline the purpose of business land and how activities anticipated in this environment are sufficiently provided for; and - Recognition of the transport		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development And Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				to either support or relate to each other. There is no consideration of the transportation network and how this key infrastructure asset contributes to urban form, development and growth.	network and the key role it plays in connecting these places, people and goods to the local, regional, national and global market.			
FS36.025	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support in part	Supports the intention of this submission in terms of considering urban form and transport with a centres hierarchy.	Allow in part	Amend urban form and development overview so transport outcomes further align with Central Government and Waka Kotahi strategies including the National Policy Statement on Urban Design, Government Policy Statement on Transport, Arataki and Aotearoa Urban Street planning and Design Guide.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development And Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Zoning Framework
S349.004	Neil Construction Limited	Overview	Oppose	A better outcome in these circumstances is to utilise the land more efficiently for rural residential use, adding much needed housing to Kerikeri in a way that does not impose any burden on the community in terms of providing or funding infrastructure.	Delete the Overview or amend to reinforce the importance of additional rural residential development in meeting the District's objectives in respect of urban form and development		Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	,		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS36.026	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Oppose	The submitter considers that the overview section provides important narrative which aligns with government outcomes sought in the NPS-UD as well as Waka Kotahi strategic direction and therefore should be retained. Rural residential outcomes are appropriately provided for within the relevant zone provisions.	Disallow	Disallow original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS405.006	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose		Disallow in part	disallow in part the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS62.038	Kapiro Conservation Trust 1		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS333.025	Maree Hart		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S511.022	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is	overview: <del>purposes</del> <del>changing,</del> <del>and imple</del>	each sections . <del>For the</del> of preparing, . interpreting ementing the lan all other	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				found in the strategic overview.	objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these strategic objectives.If it is preferred by the decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic Overview For the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District 		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	Summary of Decision Requested what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them		Relevant section of s42A report
					objectives other cha District Pl to achieve relevant o policies o Plan (incle objectives chapter) a considere no fixed h			
FS164.022	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS405.008	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose		Disallow in part	disallow in part original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS548.142	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS570.1593	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS566.1607	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
						original submission		
FS569.1629	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S442.042	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	overview: purposes changing, and imple District Pl objective all other of District Pl read and manner of these strat If it is pre decision r replace w wording f Overview of District developn plan char strategic	of preparing, interpreting ementing the lan all other s and policies in chapters of this lan are to be achieved in a consistent with ategic objectives. ferred by the maker then vith the desired for the Strategic for the purpose t Plan nent, including nges, the objectives in ter provide	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists		
FS405.007	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose	Whilst Ballantyne & Agnew agrees that the overview needs to be amended, in their original submission,	between them.Disallow in partpartdisallow in part the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary Requeste	of Decision d	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				they sought to amend the Urban Form and Development Chapter overview by incorporating a centre hierarchy and creating clear objectives.				
FS570.1738	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS346.653	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S218.001	Summerset Group Holdings Limited	Objectives	Not Stated	expresses support for the submission of the Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand (submission 520) in its entirety	Insert new strategic objective SD-UFD-OXX: Recognise and enable the housing and care needs of the ageing population.		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S520.001	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	Objectives	Not Stated	Seek an objective to provide for the housing and care needs of the ageing population;	Recogni housing	strategic D-UFD-OXX: se and enable the and care needs geing population.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S247.002	Margaret Sheila Hulse and John Colin Hulse	Objectives	Not Stated	We are-concerned that no further residential subdivisions should be approved before there is enough medical infrastructure within Kerikeri and Waipapa areas to support extra families living here. Our chief concern is that the focal GP practices have closed their books to new patients, and with	developme housing ar areas whe care servio inadequate	division and ent that would enable nd population growthin ere primary medical ces arenot available or e tosupport the health andsafety of	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				more people being allowed to settle here they will not be covered with adequate medical faculties- should they need it, despite being- told to the contrary. A number of local residents have agreed with us that this is an ongoing issue which will get worse if not addressed			
S516.021	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	Objectives	Not Stated	Natural hazards have significant implications for public health. Climate change is a significant challenge facing the world, and the PDP needs to have clear strategic direction about how the risk and implications of climate change and other natural hazards are going to be managed in future subdivision and development. The PDP must acknowledge and minimise the risks and impacts of natural hazards, including the influence of climate change, on people, property and infrastructure, consistent with objective 3.13 Natural hazard risk of the Northland Regional Policy Statement. SD- UFD-O4 is inconsistent with proposed NH-O1	Insert new objective as follows: Minimise the risks, impacts and costs of natural hazard events on people, communities and the natural and built environment in Far North District.	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary Requested	of Decision I	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				and NH-O2 which seek to manage/minimise risk from natural hazards rather than adapt to natural hazards.				
S356.009	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Objectives	Not Stated	Consider adding new objectives:- to support good urban design including good accessibility for all people between housing, jobs, community services, recreational spaces, including by way of active and public transport where practicable; - the provision of a range of zones to meet the demands of the district and support wellbeing;	Insert new objectives to address: - good urban design, including good accessibility for all people between housing, jobs, community services, recreational spaces, including by way of active and public transport where practicable; and - provision for a range of zones to meet expected demand for the district and to support wellbeing.		Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS25.021	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate wording.	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS25.047	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate wording.	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS243.048	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support		Allow	Insert new objectives	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS325.017	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original decision subject to appropriate drafting.	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
FS325.027	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate wording.	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Economic and Social Wellbeing – Social Prosperity
S561.018	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	Objectives	Support in part	Support the objectives as notified, with the addition of a new policy which supports higher density residential development within a walkable catchment surrounding Kerikeri Town Centre.	O5 <b>Enable</b> residentia in the are moderate	al intensification a within e walking around Kerikeri	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS584.008	Peter Malcom		Support	Support enabling building heights up to 3 storeys (11m) around the Kerikeri Town Centre and other centres including Kaikohe. There is currently a shortage of affordable and public housing within this area. Central Kerikeri is an appropriate location to enable residential intensification as it has sufficient servicing, low natural hazard risk and is accessible to public transport, services and amenities. Enabling intensification within the Kerikeri Town Centre will help reduce sprawl, improve economic viability and promote vibrant communities.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

FS25.048	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited	Support	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS36.022	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Support	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS32.072	Jeff Kemp	Oppose	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS348.002	Alec Brian Cox	Oppose	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS325.028	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS23.290	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS47.032	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS348.105	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S271.008	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	The District urban centers have been ad hocly developed, in most cases resulting in poor urban design outcomes. The objective in this chapter does this to an extent, but an additional objective should be included that expressly identifies the importance of urban design in insuring good urban form and development. See suggested wording for new objective and corresponding policy.	policy that a importance of achieving ini- developmen form and de outcomes.SI OXXUrbai developm quality ar positively context at expected zone.SD-L manage c environm ensuring a	t and good urban velopment D-UFD- n growth and nent is high nd responds to the local nd outcomes for the JFD-PXXTo hange in urban	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					Identifying areas where active frontages are required to support a vibrant and pedestrianized environmentb. Requiring development in urban centers to show how they will contribute to a connected, distinctive attractive, appropriate, sustainable and safe urban form.c. Ensuring that development responds to local context, including through alignment with relevant spatial or			
FS25.066	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support		Allow Allow the original submission subject to appropriate wording.		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS36.023	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support in part		Allow in part	Amend urban form and development objectives so transport outcomes further align with Central Government and Waka Kotahi	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
						strategies including the National Policy Statement on Urban Design, Government Policy Statement on Transport, Arataki and Aotearoa Urban Street planning and Design Guide.		
FS325.042	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development cial Prosperity
FS403.064	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS570.731	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS566.745	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS569.767	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S349.005	Neil Construction Limited	Objectives	Oppose	A better outcome in these circumstances is to utilise the land more efficiently for rural	es is SD-UFD-O2, SD-UFD-O3, and		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				residential use, adding much needed housing to Kerikeri in a way that does not impose any burden on the community in terms of providing or funding infrastructure.	additional rural residential development in meeting the District's objectives in respect of urban form and development			
FS36.024	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Oppose	The submitter considers that the Urban Form and Development Objectives form an input framework to guide urban outcomes in the Far North. The proposed objectives align with government outcomes sought in the NPS-UD as well as Waka Kotahi strategic direction and therefore should be retained. Rural residential outcomes are appropriately provided for within the relevant zone provisions.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS354.046	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow S349.005	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS403.065	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Seek provision details as above:	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS62.039	Kapiro Conservation Trust 1		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS333.026	Maree Hart		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S511.035	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Objectives	Support in part	The objectives lack recognition of the importance of indigenous biodiversity in relation to urban development. This includes protecting and maintaining indigenous biodiversity and recognizing the value of it to communities and to achieving well functioning urban environments. The NPSUD 2020 includes a number of considerations for well- functioning urban environments but this is not an exclusive list. Indigenous biodiversity is not only appropriate within urban areas for its own sake but also to provide for social and cultural wellbeing and making these environments attractive. It should be clear at a strategic level within the plan that urban development and infrastructure is intended to be provided in a way that incorporates and	SD-UFD as growth a incorpora	ditional clause to follows: Urban nd development ates and sustains us biodiversity	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				sustains indigenous biodiversity				
FS164.035	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS570.1606	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS566.1620	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS569.1642	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S463.006	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	Objectives	Support	In the KCZ s32 report Council outlines its reservations about retaining a provision like ODP policy 18.7.4.9.WBF continues to hold the view that given the significance of the various Special Purposes Zones to the Far North district, it is appropriate to recognise the Special Purposes Zones in the Strategic Direction chapter.WBF proposes	the Strategi as follows: <i>I</i> land adjo Purpose <i>I</i> managed to, and av potential on, the u	to have regard void or mitigate adverse effects nique values or of the Special	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
ES401.024				that policy guidance be included to address circumstances where a proposal on land adjoining a Special Purpose Zone may adversely affect the special values in, or functions of, the adjoining Special Purpose Zone.For want of a better option, the proposed policy is suggested to be added to the UFD policies of the Strategic Direction chapter, but WBF is open to alternative place in the chapter.				
FS401.034	Carrington Estate Jade LP and Carrington Farms Jade LP		Support	The reasons contained within the Original Submission No 463	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S446.008	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	The District urban centers have been ad hocly developed, in most cases resulting in poor urban design outcomes. This chapter provides the first opportunity for a 'top down' approach to ensure that this is not the case going forward and that integrated development resulting in good urban design outcomes is achieved. The objective in this chapter to this to an extent, but an additional objective	acknowledge urban design integrated de good urban in developmen UFD-OXU and devel quality an positively context an expected zone.SD-L	t outcomes.SD- rban growth opment is high od responds to the local nd outcomes for the	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				should be included that expressly identifies the importance of urban design in insuring good urban form and development. See suggested wording for new objective and corresponding policy.	environments by ensuring a high level of amenity through quality urban design by:a. Identifying areas where active frontages are required to support a vibrant and pedestrianized environmentb. Requiring development in urban centers to show how they will contribute to a connected, distinctive attractive, appropriate, sustainable and safe urban form.c. Ensuring that development responds to local context, including through alignment with relevant spatial or strategic document.		
FS403.067	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora endorses enabling urban consolidation and intensification of existing centres/settlements. A compact urban form results in efficiencies in	Allow in part Seek provision details as above	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				the provision of public transport and community cohesion, and ultimately is beneficial in terms of achieving positive public health outcomes.				
FS569.1767	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS570.1766	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
\$524.008	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	Objectives	Not Stated	The District urban centers have been ad hocly developed, in most cases resulting in poor urban design outcomes. The objective in this chapter does this to an extent, but an additional objective should be included that expressly identifies the importance of urban design in insuring good urban form and development. See suggested wording for new objective and corresponding policy.	policy that a importance achieving in developmer form and de outcomes.S OXXUrba developm quality an positively context a expected zone.SD-U manage o environm ensuring amenity furban de Identifyin	nt and good urban evelopment D-UFD- an growth and nent is high nd responds y to the local and outcomes for the UFD-PXXTo change in urban nents by a high level of through quality	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					required to support a vibrant and pedestrianized environmentb. Requiring development in urban centers to show how they will contribute to a connected, distinctive attractive, appropriate, sustainable and safe urban form.c. Ensuring that development responds to local context, including through alignment with relevant spatial or strategic document.			
FS403.068	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora endorses enabling urban consolidation and intensification of existing centres/settlements. A compact urban form results in efficiencies in the provision of public transport and community cohesion, and ultimately is beneficial in terms of achieving positive public health outcomes.	Allow in part	Seek provision details as above 	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS566.1826	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
\$529.073	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	The District urban centers have been ad hocly developed, in most cases resulting in poor urban design outcomes. The objective in this chapter does this to an extent, but an additional objective should be included that expressly identifies the importance of urban design in insuring good urban form and development. See suggested wording for new objective and corresponding policy.	policy that a importance achieving ir developmen form and de outcomes.S OXXUrba developmen quality a positively context a expected zone.SD- manage of environn ensuring amenity urban de Identifyin active fro required vibrant a pedestria environn developm centers t they will	nt and good urban evelopment 5D-UFD- an growth and ment is high nd responds y to the local and outcomes I for the UFD-PXXTo change in urban ments by a high level of through quality esign by:a. mg areas where ontages are to support a and	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					sustainat urban for that deve responds context, through a relevant	to local including alignment with		
FS403.069	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Te Whatu Ora endorses enabling urban consolidation and intensification of existing centres/settlements. A compact urban form results in efficiencies in the provision of public transport and community cohesion, and ultimately is beneficial in terms of achieving positive public health outcomes.	Allow in part	Seek provision details as above 	re 5: Urbar	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development	
FS570.1961	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS566.1975	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS569.1997	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S442.055	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Objectives	Support in part	The objectives lack recognition of the importance of indigenous biodiversity in relation to urban development. This includes protecting and maintaining indigenous biodiversity and recognizing the value of it to communities and to achieving well functioning urban environments. The NPSUD 2020 includes a number of considerations for well- functioning urban environments but this is not an exclusive list. Indigenous biodiversity is not only appropriate within urban areas for its own sake but also to provide for social and cultural wellbeing and making these environments attractive. It should be clear at a strategic level within the plan that urban development and infrastructure is intended to be provided in a way that incorporates and sustains indigenous biodiversity.	Insert an addition clause to SD UFD as follows: <b>Urban</b> growth and developme incorporates and sustai indigenous biodiversity.	nt	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS570.1751	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow Allow the origina submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS346.666	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	on ew	Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
\$300.003	Creative Northland	SD-UFD-O1	Support	To consider the wellbeing of the people who live in and visit towns in the Far North is to have a well understood voice or representation of outcomes. Often wellbeing can be measured through place making and the significance of creativity within the community as a strong vein that weaves community together. Creative Northland are able to instill through a strategy a national understanding that Creative NZ have for community- this is Whiria te Tangata, whiria nga toi, whiria nga hapori (Weave the people, weave the arts, weave the community) for a thriving communit. Creativity activates inclusivity and connection on many levels and in the many forms it can represent and be experienced. To unite a multifaceted community I stand to make sure creativity is alive and evident in our	across com What does council? Work with C generate m participatior community vehicle to in individuals a Provide opp community opportunitie centres to e growth and	s that are in main mpower and inspire potential opportunity nomic & social	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				towns to aid the wellbeing of the people of Te Tai Tokerau. These outcomes should encompass cultural practice and inclusion for all so there is cultural integrity leadership and practice to always have place within community as an expression of wellbeing. A key outcome would be to instill education around wellbeing and how access to the arts is a vehicle for this outcome. This in-turn will develop greater identity, economic growth and opportunity for those visiting who know and can feel the community spirit expressed through art outcomes and access opportunities.			
S386.005	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose	This objective is considered to be in conflict with Part II of the RMA, and affords people priority over other natural and physical resources that form the environmental. Ballantyne & Agnew oppose this objective as it is not suitably balanced, and provides a pathway for perverse outcomes.	Delete objective SD-UDF-O1	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S356.005	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-UFD-O1	Support in part	SD-UFD-O1 is unclear and appears to be difficult to interpret within an RMA decision making process. Consider deleting this objective or amend to provide more clarity and certainty, perhaps more in line with NPS- UD Objective 1.	Amend objectives to provide more clarity on how it might be implemented.		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS25.046	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	It is imperative that the Plan sets out clear objectives with clear supporting policies directing how the objectives are to be achieved.	Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate wording.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS243.049	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support		Allow	Amend or Delete wording	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS405.011	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose		Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS325.026	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate wording.	Reject	
FS403.066	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Seek provision details as above	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S138.003	Kairos Connection Trust and Habitat for Humanity Northern Region Ltd	SD-UFD-O1	Support in part	Agree that the wellbeing of people who live in the Far North should be prioritised when it comes to planning places and spaces, and	Retain Objective SD-UFD-O1		Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				that affordable housing should be available to those living in the District on low- moderate incomes. Based on the local experience of Kairos in communication with Ngati Rehia hapu, and other community housing providers, these incomes groups are being 'squeezed out' of Kerikeri by market housing prices without due consideration for locals and single parent families on low- moderate incomes. Achieving economic prosperity objective SD- EP-O1 is dependent on businesses having access to a diverse local workforce for in particular, horticulture, tourism and hospitality and various care-based services.				
FS405.009	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose	Ballantyne & Agnew opposes the retention of SD-UFD-O1 as this objective as it is not suitably balanced, and provides a pathway for perverse outcomes	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S247.001	Margaret Sheila Hulse and John Colin Hulse	SD-UFD-O1	Support	We support this objective. However, this objective needs stronger	Retain objec	tive SD-UFD -O1	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				implementation. in- policies and rules-in the plan; particularly by identifying the availability of primary medical care as an important component of social wellbeing. Separate submissions refer to this.				
FS405.0010	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose	Ballantyne & Agnew opposes the retention of SD-UFD-O1 as this objective as it is not suitably balanced, and provides a pathway for perverse outcomes.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S386.006	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew	SD-UFD-O2	Support in part	Ballantyne & Agnew support the intention of this objective, and consider this direction would be strengthened by incorporating a centres hierarchy into the objective or by including a separate one. This would take into account existing reticulated networks, established town populations, workforces and projected growth for both business and housing land. Furthermore, it is noted that these objectives have not been evaluated in either the Overview Section 32 Report or Urban Environment Section 32	a new objec centres hier	d SD-UFD-02 or add tive to establish a arch for Far North's um and larger town	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				therefore it is unclear whether these objectives are the most appropriate and achieve the sustainable management purpose of the RMA				
S516.019	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Ngā Tai Ora endorses enabling urban consolidation and intensification of existing centres/settlements while avoiding sprawling into productive rural areas. A compact urban form results in efficiencies in the provision of public transport and community cohesion, and ultimately is beneficial in terms of achieving positive public health outcomes.	Retain Obje	ctive SD-UFD-O2	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS196.231	Joe Carr		Support in part		Disallow in part		Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS405.015	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose		Disallow in part	disallow in part the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS369.089	Top Energy		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S463.005	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	Certain Special Purpose Zones were specifically established beyond the urban zone extents to provide for unique developments	follows: SD-UFD-O2 developmen	ictive SD-UFD-O2 as Urban growth and t <b>in the urban</b> onsolidated	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				not able to be realised by standard zones.If Council considers that residential and/or commercial activities in Special Purpose Zones does not constitute "urban growth and development", this should be clarified. Otherwise, this policy may be incorrectly applied to development proposals in non-urban Special Purpose Zones.	within tow supportin compact u affordabil	d networks wn centres, g a more urban form, ity and for a mix of		
FS91.2	Moana Kiff		Oppose	We support this objective, as it encourages sustainable, affordable and vibrant development, that can benefit both current and future residents of the area, for example Matauri Bay Papakāinga which has provided it's own reticulated networks enhancing the quality of life for the poorest region in New Zealand, Northland.	Disallow		Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS369.087	Top Energy		Oppose		Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS403.071	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose		Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain this objective as notified.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S561.015	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Kāinga Ora considers that the objective is in accordance with the government direction given through the NPS- UD and enables a choice of housing outcomes with supporting infrastructure.	Retain SD-UFD-O2 as notified.		Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS32.069	Jeff Kemp		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS405.016	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose		Disallow in part	disallow in part the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS369.090	Top Energy		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS403.073	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support		Allow	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain this objective as notified.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS23.287	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS47.029	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS348.102	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S138.004	Kairos Connection Trust	SD-UFD-O2	Support in part	Agree that the wellbeing of people	Retain Obje	ctive SD-UFD-O2	Accept	Section 5.2.5

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
	and Habitat for Humanity Northern Region Ltd			who live in the Far North should be prioritised when it comes to planning places and spaces, and that affordable housing should be available to those living in the District on low- moderate incomes. Based on the local experience of Kairos in communication with Ngati Rehia hapu, and other community housing providers, these incomes groups are being 'squeezed out' of Kerikeri by market housing prices without due consideration for locals and single parent families on low- moderate incomes. Achieving economic prosperity objective SD- EP-O1 is dependent on businesses having access to a diverse local workforce for in particular, horticulture, tourism and hospitality and various care-based services.				Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS405.012	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose	Ballantyne & Agnew opposes the amendment in part, as they note that in the original submission, they sought to either amend SD-UFD- 02 or add a new	Disallow in part	disallow in part the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				objective to establish a centres hierarchy				
S356.006	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-UFD-O2	Support	not stated	Retain SD-U	IFD-O2 as notified	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS405.013	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose	Ballantyne & Agnew opposes the amendment in part, as they note that in the original submission, they sought to either amend SD-UFD- 02 or add a new objective to establish a centres hierarchy.	Disallow in part	disallow in part the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS369.086	Top Energy		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS403.070	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support		Allow	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain this objective as notified.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S512.009	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Fire and Emergency support development being appropriately serviced by infrastructure. As towns grow, demand on water supply increases. In particular, whilecurrent infrastructure may be sufficient to continue providing for drinking water needs, it may not provide the pressure required for firefighting to meet anticipated housing demand.	retain SD-UF	=D-O2	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				Therefore, Fire and Emergency support these objectives. Fire and Emergency support the consideration of natural hazards and climate change. Managing these risks will reduce the incidence of, and associated risk to life and property, and prevent or limit injury, damage to property, land and the environment.				
FS405.014	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose	Ballantyne & Agnew opposes the amendment in part, as they note that in the original submission, they sought to either amend SD-UFD- 02 or add a new objective to establish a centres hierarchy.	Disallow in part	disallow in part the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS369.088	Top Energy		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS403.072	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support		Allow	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain this objective as notified.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S483.027	Top Energy Limited	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Top Energy support a compact urban form consolidated around existing reticulated networks as this is more efficiently serviced by electricity	Retain Obje	ctive SD-UFD-O2	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				and telecommunications infrastructure than sprawling development in rural areas.				
FS345.078	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S516.020	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	SD-UFD-O3	Not Stated	The provision of adequate three waters infrastructure and the provision of electricity and telecommunications infrastructure is a key component of achieving positive public health outcomes for both urban and rural environments. It is important that the provision of this infrastructure is required at a strategic level to ensure that it is properly co-ordinated in future subdivision and development within the District.	follows: Add developm infrastruct planned t anticipate housing a activities. efficient a onsite an infrastruct	•	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS196.230	Joe Carr		Oppose	This requirement would knock out most papakainga developments and many smaller subdivisions that are self sustainable	Disallow		Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
\$331.009	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	SD-UFD-O3	Support in part	The submitter supports in part the objective SD-UFD-03 however, the definition of 'development infrastructure' does not include additional infrastructure such as educational facilities and educational facilities are a crucial form of additional infrastructure that is needed to support development. Coordinating educational facilities with the delivery of development will help meet the needs and demand of the local communities. Council has an obligation under the NPS-UD to ensure sufficient additional infrastructure (such as educational facilities) is provided with development, and local authorities must be satisfied that additional infrastructure to service the development capacity is likely to be available (see Policy 10 and 3.5 of Subpart 1 of Part 3: Implementation, in particular). The Ministry request additional amendments to SD-UFD-O3 to focus on community	Amend the objective SD-UFD- O3 as follows: <b>There is</b> adequate development infrastructure (including additional infrastructure) in place or planned to meet the anticipated demands for community development including the provision of housing and <del>business</del> employment activities.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				development and employment activities.				
FS78.007	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Oppose	The submitter is not opposed to the treatment of educational facilities as infrastructure within the proposed FNDP, however the proposed definition of additional infrastructure includes a network operated for the purpose of transmitting or distributing electricity. As a result, the National Grid would be identified as Regionally Significant Infrastructure, Infrastructure and Additional Infrastructure. This is likely to create confusion in interpreting the proposed FNDP.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Reject in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS243.051	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support		Allow	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS369.091	Top Energy		Oppose		Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS403.074	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose		Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S561.016	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	SD-UFD-O3	Support	The objectives recognises the need for the provision of infrastructure to support	Retain SD-L	JFD-O3 as notified.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	,		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				growth in urban centres.				
FS32.070	Jeff Kemp		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS369.094	Top Energy		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS369.139	Top Energy		Oppose		Disallow in part	disallow in part the original submission	accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS403.077	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose		Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS23.288	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission	reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS47.030	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS348.103	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S483.028	Top Energy Limited	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Top Energy supports the requirement for adequate development infrastructure for future housing and business activities.	Retain Objec	ctive SD-UFD-O3	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS351.008	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose		Disallow	Keep "to enhance economic, cultural environmental and	accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
						social well-being in the district".		
FS371.008	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose		Disallow	retain "to enhance economic, cultural environmental and social well-being in the district".	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS449.008	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose		Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS345.079	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support		Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S356.007	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-UFD-O3	Support in part	Support SD-UFD-O3 with amendments to reference all development, not just housing and business activities and that infrastructure needs to be integrated with land use.	"Adequate d infrastructure to meet the a	-	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS369.092	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy opposes the amendments sought in this submission point as it seeks to retain this objective as notified.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS403.075	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose		Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
\$512.010	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Fire and Emergency support development being appropriately serviced by infrastructure. As towns grow, demand on water supply increases. In particular, whilecurrent infrastructure may be sufficient to continue providing for drinking water needs, it may not provide the pressure required for firefighting to meet anticipated housing demand. Therefore, Fire and Emergency support these objectives. Fire and Emergency support the consideration of natural hazards and climate change. Managing these risks will reduce the incidence of, and associated risk to life and property, and prevent or limit injury, damage to property, land and the environment.	retain SD-UI	FD-03	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS369.093	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this objective as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS403.076	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose		Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S516.022	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	SD-UFD-O4	Not Stated	Natural hazards have significant implications for public health. Climate change is a significant challenge facing the world, and the PDP needs to have clear strategic direction about how the risk and implications of climate change and other natural hazards are going to be managed in future subdivision and development. The PDP must acknowledge and minimise the risks and impacts of natural hazards, including the influence of climate change, on people, property and infrastructure, consistent with objective 3.13 Natural hazard risk of the Northland Regional Policy Statement.SD- UFD-O4 is inconsistent with proposed NH-O1 and NH-O2 which seek to manage/minimise risk from natural hazards rather than adapt to natural hazards.	Amend Objective SD-UFD-O4 as follows: Urban growth and development is resilient, and adaptive to <b>pre- empt</b> the impacts from natural hazards <del>or</del> <b>and</b> climate change.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S561.017	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	SD-UFD-O4	Support	The objective acknowledges the real impacts both natural hazards and climate change can have on any urban growth and	Retain SD-UFD-O4 as notified.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons			Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				development, enabling management tools to be put in place.				
FS32.071	Jeff Kemp		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS23.289	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS47.031	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS348.104	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S356.008	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-UFD-O4	Support	not stated	Retain SD-L	IFD-O4 as notified	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS243.050	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support	Kāinga Ora supports development in all forms being aligned with the provision of adequate climate- resilient services and infrastructure.	Allow	Amend	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS403.078	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
S477.011	Te Waka Pupuri Putea Trust	SD-UFD-O4	Not Stated	As Kaitiaki, we are and have been acutely aware of the degradation of Papatuanuku (Earth mother) and all living	be forward-t climate-relat geography c	Plan as required to hinking regarding ed issues as the of our rohe makes us btible to these issues	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate change

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS403.079					and their po consequenc	tentially dire		
FS403.079	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Climate change
S512.011	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	SD-UFD-O4	Support	Fire and Emergency support development being appropriately serviced by infrastructure. As towns grow, demand on water supply increases. In particular, whilecurrent infrastructure may be sufficient to continue providing for drinking water needs, it may not provide the pressure required for firefighting to meet anticipated housing demand. Therefore, Fire and Emergency support these objectives. Fire and Emergency support the consideration of natural hazards and climate change. Managing these risks will reduce the incidence of, and associated risk to life and property, and prevent or limit injury,	retain SD-U	FD-04	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				damage to property, land and the environment.				
FS403.080	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
FS403.081	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Urban Form and Development
\$511.023	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	overview: purposes- changing, and imple District Pli objectives all other of District Pli read and i manner of these trat If it is pref decision n replace w wording f Overview of District developm plan chan strategic o	each sections For the of preparing, interpreting menting the an all other and policies in chapters of this an are to be achieved in a onsistent with egic objectives ferred by the naker then ith the desired or the Strategic For the purpose Plan nent, including	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them		
FS164.023	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons			Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS548.143	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS570.1594	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS566.1608	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS569.1630	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S442.043	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	overview: purposes changing, and imple District Pl objective: all other of District Pl read and manner of these stra	each sections For the of preparing, interpreting ementing the an all other s and policies in chapters of this an are to be achieved in a onsistent with tegic objectives. ferred by the	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic OverviewFor the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them.			
FS570.1739	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS346.654	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S454.030	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Objectives	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of SD-IE- O1 and SD-IE-O2 in the FNPDP as they appropriately recognise the importance of infrastructure such as the National Grid.	Retain Objectives SD-IE-O1 and SD-IE-O2		Accept in part	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
S483.029	Top Energy Limited	SD-IE-O1	Support	Top Energy supports the acknowledgment of the benefits infrastructure and renewable energy generation activities provide across the District.	Retain Obje	ctive SD-IE-O1	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS131.006	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys,		Oppose		Disallow	Amend SD-IE O1 to protect environmental standards and values (inferred).	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorportation	2B2 Trust buaetahi						
FS345.080	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support		Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
S160.009	Manulife Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	SD-IE-O1	Support	The submitter supports SD-IE-01. Infrastructure is increasingly important to the primary industry to ensure products can get to market. A robust infrastructure plan is vital to sustain the sector.	Retain SD-II written.	E-01 as currently	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS369.095	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this objective as notified	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS346.579	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
S331.010	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	SD-IE-O1	Support in part	The submitter supports in part the objective SD-IE-01 however, the definition of 'infrastructure' does not include additional infrastructure such as educational facilities. Educational facilities are a crucial form of additional infrastructure	as follows: The benefits (including infrastruc renewabl generatio	objective SD-IE-01 s of infrastructure g additional cture) and e electricity on activities e district are	Accept in part	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	fDecision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				that is needed to support development. The benefits of educational facilities should be recognised. The submitter wishes to highlight that Council has an obligation under the NPS-UD to ensure sufficient additional infrastructure (such as educational facilities) is provided with development, and local authorities must be satisfied that additional infrastructure to service the development capacity is likely to be available.	recognised and provided for, while ensuring their adverse effects are managed.			
FS369.096	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy sought to retain this objective as notified but do not have any particular concern with this objective being expanded to include "additional infrastructure" such as educational facilities. However, it is concerned about the potential issues this will create in terms of interpretation given the existing definition of "infrastructure."	Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
S356.010	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-IE-O1	Support	not stated	Retain SD-IE	E-O1 as notified	Accept	Section 5.2.6

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
								Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS369.097	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this objective as notified	Allow	allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
S489.009	Radio New Zealand	SD-IE-O1	Support	RNZ supports the inclusion of a strategic direction that expressly recognise the benefits of infrastructure	Retain Obje	ctive SD-IE-O1	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS369.098	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this objective as notified	Allow	allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
S489.010	Radio New Zealand	SD-IE-O2	Support in part	RNZ supports the inclusion of a strategic direction to protect infrastructure from incompatible activities. However it considers amendment is appropriate to specify regionally significant infrastructure and to recognise the need to avoid reverse sensitivity effects	follows: Infrastructur regionally infrastructur renewabl generatio protected incompat subdivisio developm avoiding sensitivity may com	e electricity n activities are from ible land use, on and nent, including reverse y effects, that promise their operation, nce and	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS129.14	Waste Management New Zealand Limited	nagement w Zealand	Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS369.103	Top Energy		Support in part		Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
S159.028	Horticulture New Zealand	SD-IE-O2	Oppose	The objective seeks that infrastructure is protected from incompatible land use, subdivision and development. The RPS, NPSET and NPSREG do not seek protection.Objective 3.7 of the Northland RPS seeks to: Recognise and promote the benefits of regionally significant infrastructure, (a physical resource), which through its use of natural and physical resources can significantly enhance Northland's economic, cultural, environmental and social wellbeing.Objective 3.8 is:Manage resource use to: (a) Optimise the use of existing infrastructure (b) Ensure new infrastructure is flexible, adaptable, and resilient, and meets the reasonably foreseeable	follows: Re provide f and rene generation ensure th operation and upgr are prote incompat subdivision developm comprom effective maintena upgradin by incom	n, maintenance rading are not ected from tible land use, on and nent that may nised their operation, ance and g. compromised patible on, use and	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				needs of the community; and (c) Strategically enable infrastructure to lead or support regional economic development and community wellbeing.SD-IE-O2 should be consistent with higher order documents.				
FS151.183	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS151.184	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS304.012	Radio New Zealand		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS369.099	Top Energy		Oppose		Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS570.190	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS566.204	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
						our original submission		
FS569.226	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
S483.030	Top Energy Limited	SD-IE-O2	Support	Top Energy support the recognition of the necessity of protecting infrastructure and renewable electricity generation activities from incompatible land use, subdivision and development.	Retain Obje	ctive SD-IE-O2	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS351.009	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose		Disallow	Retain as is which requires Top Energy to properly engage and consult the land owners and mana whenua.	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS371.009	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose		Disallow	Retain as is which requires Top Energy to properly engage and consult the land owners and mana whenua.	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS449.009	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose		Disallow	retain provision	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS345.081	Ngawha Generation Limited	neration	Support		Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
S416.010	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	SD-IE-O2	Support in part	KiwiRail supports the objective which should also include maintenance and repair as an essential activity for functioning networks and for consistency with other plan provisions.	follows: Infrastructur electricity ge are protecte land use, su developmer compromise	e their effective naintenance, <b>repair</b>	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS111.034	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT support the inclusion of maintenance and repair as an essential activity for functioning networks and for consistency with other plan provisions.	Allow	allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS369.101	Top Energy		Support in part		Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
S356.011	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-IE-O2	Support	not stated	Retain SD-I	E-O2 as notified	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS369.0100	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to retain this objective as notified, noting that it supports the amendments sought by	Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				KiwiRail (see S416.010 below) and Radio New Zealand (S489.010) below			
S421.016	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SD-IE-O2	Oppose	Federated Farmers seeks that appropriate balance between the positive and negative effects from infrastructure and renewable electricity generation is achieved. We have concerns with how SD-IE-O2 is currently worded. At present, the objective seeks to protect infrastructure and renewable electricity generation from incompatible land use. The Resource Management Act 1991 does not prioritise types of land uses. Under the Act, all land uses are required to avoid, remedy, or mitigate their adverse effects. It is not appropriate for an objective in the district plan to seek to elevate certain land uses over others.	Amend Objective SD-IE follows:Infrastructur renewable electricit generation activitie protected from incompatible land subdivision and development that compromise their effective operation maintenance and upgrading.Land us subdivision and development that more than minor e on the effective operation, mainten and upgrading of infrastructure and renewable electric generation are appropriately man and mitigated.	e and ity es are use, may have ffects nance	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS369.102	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy opposes the amendments sought in this submission point as it seeks to retain this	Disallow disallow t original submissio		Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				objective as notified, noting that it supports the amendment sought by KiwiRail (see S416.010 above) and Radio New Zealand (S489.010) below. The requested amendments from Northland Federated Farmers dilute the strength of this objective, and make it inconsistent with the direction that must be given effect to in higher order planning documents such as the Northland Regional Policy Statement (RPS).				
FS570.1248	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS346.250	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
FS566.1262	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS569.1284	Vision Kerikeri 2	n Kerikeri 2	Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Infrastructure and Electricity
S386.007	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew	Overview	Support in part	Ballantyne & Agnew consider the overview and objectives is overly simple and narrow. The overview description does not recognise the diverse and variation within the rural environments or acknowledge the other key qualities and characteristics that make up these areas. The narrow focus of the overview and subsequent objectives to only managing outcomes related to primary production and highly versatile soils fails to adequately reflect the realities of the rural environment or provide sufficient direction on how activities and effects are managed in the PDP.	Rural Enviro minimum re- within the ru - The divers qualities and within the ru - The small are already the rural environment	tegic Direction - onment to at a cognise the following iral environment: e and variation of the d characteristics iral environments; rural settlements that established within vironment; and tracts of rural lifestyle	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S511.024	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is	overview: <del>purposes</del> <del>changing,</del>	each sections - <del>For the</del> of preparing, - interpreting ementing the	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				found in the strategic overview.	District Plan all other objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these strategic objectives If it is preferred by the decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic OverviewFor the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more 		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					provide guidance on what the related objectives and polici other chapters of th District Plan are see to achieve; and b) th relevant objectives policies of the Distri Plan (including strat objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together no fixed hierarchy e between them.	ies in le king he and ict segic	
FS67.24	The Shooting Box Limited		Oppose		Disallow	Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS68.26	P S Yates Family Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS69.25	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Oppose		Disallow	Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS66.41	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow	Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS66.42	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow	Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS66.43	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow	Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS164.024	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS548.144	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS570.1595	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS566.1609	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS569.1631	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S442.044	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	overview: purposes changing, and imple District Pl objective all other of District Pl read and manner of these stra	each sections For the of preparing, interpreting ementing the lan all other s and policies in chapters of this lan are to be achieved in a consistent with ategic objectives. ferred by the	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic OverviewFor the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS68.27					Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them.			
FS68.27	P S Yates Family Trust		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS69.26	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS66.44	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS66.45	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS570.1740	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS346.655	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S349.006	Neil Construction Limited	Overview	Oppose	A better outcome in these circumstances is to utilise the land more efficiently for rural residential use, adding much needed housing to Kerikeri in a way that does not impose any burden on the community in terms of providing or funding infrastructure.	remove the these object emphasise t providing for	verview or amend to inferred primacy of tives and policies and the importance of r additional rural levelopment in the nment.	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS62.040	Kapiro Conservation Trust 1	Oppose	Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS333.027	Maree Hart		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S386.008	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew	Objectives	Support in part	Ballantyne & Agnew consider the overview and objectives is overly simple and narrow. The overview description does not recognise the diverse and variation within the rural environments or acknowledge the other key qualities and characteristics that make up these areas. The narrow focus of the overview and subsequent objectives to only managing outcomes related to primary production and highly versatile soils fails to adequately reflect the realities of the rural environment or provide sufficient direction on how activities and effects are managed in the PDP.	Rural Enviro minimum req within the ru - The divers qualities and within the ru - The small are already the rural env	tegic Direction - onment to at a cognise the following ral environment: e and variation of the d characteristics ral environments; rural settlements that established within <i>v</i> ironment; and racts of rural lifestyle	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S222.004	Wendover Two Limited	Objectives	Support in part	The Far North is predominantly a rural environment. This environment incorporates a diverse range of activities, supported by a range of zones, including rural lifestyle, rural residential and settlement. Significant areas of the rural environment are not defined by rural production activities, nor are they suitable for this purpose (including lifestyle areas, unsuitable soils, some coastal land and bush blocks). Without detracting from the strategic importance expressed in Strategic objectives SD-RE-O1 and SD-RE-O2, it is appropriate that the strategic objectives also recognise and enable the broader range of activities which occur in rural zones. This strategic policy basis for the various rural environment zone objectives and policies which follow in the Plan.	Add the following new Strategic Objective: The importance of non-primary production activities in the rural environment to the social, economic and cultural well-being of the district is recognised and provided for.	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S421.017	Northland Federated	Objectives	Support in part	Federated Farmers supports proposed	Insert new Objective SD-RE-O3 as follows: <b>Primary</b>	Reject	Section 5.2.7

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
	Farmers of New Zealand			objectives SD-RE-O1 and SD-RE-O2 as the recognise the importance of the primary production sector and the need to protect highly protective soils from inappropriate development.We are living in rapidly changing world where it has reached the point where we must adapt to meet the needs and constraints of the environment. Federated Farmers seeks the inclusion of an additional objective to recognise the importance of providing for and supporting land practice change to address biosecurity, climate, and environmental demands both from regulation and markets are driving certain change and practices, the district plan needs to provide for this change to ensure economic viability for rural producers.	production activities are supported by Council to adapt to change required by regulatory and consumer demands.or wording with similar intent		Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS85.4	PF Olsen Ltd		Support	Primary productions should be supported and encouraged by Councils as brings great economic value to the community. The	Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				inclusion of the SD- REO3 would allow more certainty for the primary sector. It is also a way of recognising the partnership between the Council and the primary industry.				
FS570.1249	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS346.251	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS566.1263	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS569.1285	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S511.036	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Objectives	Support in part	The rural zone objectives should also limit the other activities that can occur in the rural zones and give an indication that other activities should not have reverse sensitivity	SD-RE as for that with the estab operation	ditional clause to ollows: Ensure in rural areas lishment and of primary on activities are	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS85.5	PE Olsen I td	Support		production activities	not limited by new incompatible sensitive activities and limit those other activities in the rural areas			
FS85.5	PF Olsen Ltd		Support	PF Olsen supports this submission provided that primary production is not limited by incompatible sensitive activities rules.	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS66.189	Bentzen Farm Limited		Support in part		Allow in part		Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS164.036	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS548.150	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Support		Allow	Grant the relief sought.	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS354.049	Horticulture New Zealand		Support		Allow	Allow S511.036	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS570.1607	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS566.1621	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons			Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
						original submission		
FS569.1643	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S442.056	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Objectives	Support in part	The rural zone objectives should also limit the other activities that can occur in the rural zones and give an indication that other activities should not have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities.	Insert an additional clause to SD-RE as follows: Ensure that within rural areas the establishment and operation of primary production activities are not limited by new incompatible sensitive activities and limit those other activities in the rural areas.		Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS66.190	Bentzen Farm Limited		Support in part		Allow in part		Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS570.1752	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS346.667	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S167.005	Bentzen Farm Limited	Objectives	Support in part	The Far North rural environment incorporates a diverse range of activities, supported by a range of	Insert the following new Strategic Objective. SD-RE-O2 The importance of non-primary production activities in the rural environment to the		Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				zones, including rural lifestyle, rural residential and settlement.Significant areas of the rural environment are notdefined by rural production activities, nor are they suitable for this purpose. Without detracting from the strategic importance expressed in Strategic objectives SD-RE-O1 and SD-REO2, it is appropriate that the strategic objectives also recognise and enable the broader range of activities which occur in rural zones.	social, economic and cultural well-being of the district is recognised and provided for.			
FS548.057	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	Federated Farmers opposes the inclusion of a new strategic direction for the rural environment as sought by the submitter. Rural production activities have no option but to locate in the rural environment where other activities do have the ability to locate elsewhere.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS354.047	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow S167.005	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS566.367	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					our original submission		
S168.012	Setar Thirty Six Limited	Objectives	Support in part	The Far North is predominantly a rural environment. This environment incorporates a diverse range of activities, supported by a range of zones, including rural lifestyle, rural residential and settlement. Significant areas of the rural environment are not defined by rural production activities, nor are they suitable for this purpose (including lifestyle areas, unsuitable soils, some coastal land and bush blocks). Without detracting from the strategic importance expressed in Strategic objectives SD-RE-O1 and SD-REO2, it is appropriate that the strategic objectives also recognise and enable the broader range of activities which occur in rural zones. This strategic objective is necessary to provide a strategic policy basis for the various rural environment zone objectives and policies which follow in the Plan.	Insert a new strategic objective as follows: The importance of non-primary production activities in the rural environment to the social, economic and cultural well-being of the district is recognised and provided for.	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS548.061	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	Federated Farmers opposes the inclusion of a new strategic direction for the rural environment as sought by the submitter. Rural production activities have no option but to locate in the rural environment where other activities do have the ability to locate elsewhere.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S333.005	P S Yates Family Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	The Far North is predominantly a rural environment. This environment incorporates a diverse range ofactivities, supported by a range of zones, includingrural lifestyle, rural residential and settlement. Significant areas of the rural environment are notdefined by rural production activities, nor are theysuitable for this purpose (including lifestyle areas, unsuitable soils, some coastal land and bush blocks). Without detracting from the strategic importanceexpressed in Strategic objectives SD-RE-O1 and SD- REO2, it is appropriate that the strategic objectives	Objective.S importar primary activities environn social, ec cultural	billowing new Strategic 5D-RE-O2 The face of non- production is in the rural ment to the conomic and well-being of the s recognised and I for.	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
E©264 049				alsorecognise and enable the broader range of activitieswhich occur in rural zones. This strategic objective isnecessary to provide a strategic policy basis for thevarious rural environment zone objectives and policieswhich follow in the Plan				
FS354.048	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	The submitter seeks to include a new SD: The importance of non- primary production activities in the rural environment to the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the district is recognised and provided for. HortNZ does not support this objective as it is contrary to the direction in the National Planning Standards for the Rural Production Zone. Only those non- primary production activities that have a functional or operational need to locate in the rural environment should be provided for. The SD is setting the direction going forward for the rural area - which is different to what has happened in the past.	Disallow	Disallow S333.005	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S243.014	Matauri Trustee Limited	Objectives	Support in part	The Far North is predominantly a rural environment. This environment. This environment incorporates a diverse range of activities, supported by a range of zones, including rural lifestyle, rural residential and settlement. Significant areas of the rural environment are not defined by rural production activities, nor are they suitable for this purpose (including lifestyle areas, unsuitable soils, some coastal land and bush blocks).Without detracting from the strategic importance expressed in Strategic objectives SD-RE-O1 and SD-REO2, it is appropriate that the strategic objectives also recognise and enable the broader range of activities which occur in rural zones. This strategic policy basis for the various rural environment zone objectives and policies which follow in the Plan	as follows;SD importance primary pr activities in environme social, econ cultural we	oduction n the rural ent to the nomic and ell-being of the ecognised and	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS570.572	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose			Disallow to the extent that the submission is	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
						inconsistent with our original submission		
FS566.586	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS569.608	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S349.007	Neil Construction Limited	Objectives	Oppose	A better outcome in these circumstances is to utilise the land more efficiently for rural residential use, adding much needed housing to Kerikeri in a way that does not impose any burden on the community in terms of providing or funding infrastructure.	delete Objectives SD-RE-O1 and SD-RE-O2 or amend to remove the inferred primacy of these objectives and policies and emphasise the importance of providing for additional rural residential development in the rural environment.		Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS62.041	Kapiro Conservation Trust 1		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS333.028	Maree Hart		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S91.019	PF Olsen Limited	Objectives	s Support	PF Olsen supports SD- RE-O1 and SD-RE-O2 in their application to all primary production.	Retain strate RE-O1 and	egic objectives SD- SD-RE-O2	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS566.108	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission		Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S55.012	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	SD-RE-O1	Support	Support recognition of the contribution of primary production activities to the district and of enabling efficient and effective operation within the district's rural environments	Retain objective as proposed		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S143.002	Ballance Agri- Nutrients Limited	SD-RE-O1	Support	Ballance supports enabling strategies so that Primary production activities can continue to operate efficiently and effectively	retain the objective SD RE- O1		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S182.011	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	SD-RE-O1	Support	Support enabling strategies so that primary production activities are able to operate efficiently and effectively	Retain SD-RE-O1		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S356.012	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-RE-O1	Support	not stated	Retain SD-RE-O1 as notified		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S463.007	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	SD-RE-O1	Support	It is appropriate in WBF's view to prioritise primary production in the rural zones in the strategic directions.	Retain Objective SD-Re-O1		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
\$159.029	Horticulture New Zealand	SD-RE-O1	Support in part	It is important that primary production is sufficiently recognised and provided for in strategic direction. The SD seeks that primary production is recognised but does not provide for it or ensure it is not compromised by incompatible activities.	follows: Primary pro recognise for to ena operate e effectivel the contr make to f and socia the distri comprom inapprop subdivisie developm	riate on, use and nent <del>and</del> <del>y of the district</del>	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS151.185	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS172.239	Audrey Campbell- Frear		Oppose		Disallow		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS548.041	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Support		Allow Grant the relief sought.		Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS346.004	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Allow in part	allow in par the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS570.191	Vision Kerikeri 3	C	Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS566.205	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS569.227	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S160.010	Manulife Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	SD-RE-O1	Support	The submitter supports SD-RE-01 and considers that it recognises and acknowledges the important role the primary sector currently has in the community and its potential.	Retain SD-RE-01 as it currently written.		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS346.580	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)		Position	R	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.			
S143.003	Ballance Agri- Nutrients Limited	SD-RE-O2	Support	Ballance supports the protection of highly productive land in the Far North District	retain the objective SD RE -O2	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S182.012	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	SD-RE-O2	Support	Support the protection of highly productive land	Retain SD-RE-O2	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S187.005	The Shooting Box Limited	SD-RE-O2	Support in part	The Far North is predominantly a rural environment. This environment. This environment incorporates a diverse range of activities, supported by a range of zones, including rural lifestyle, rural residential and settlement. Significant areas of the rural environment are not defined by rural production activities, nor are they suitable for this purpose (including lifestyle areas, unsuitable soils, some coastal land and bush blocks). Without detracting from the strategic importance expressed in Strategic objectives SD-RE-O1 and SD-REO2,it is appropriate that the strategic objectives also	Amend SE-RE-O2 to add the following new Strategic Objective.SD-RE-O2 The importance of non- primary production activities in the rural environment to the social, economic and cultural well-being of the district is recognised and provided for.	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				recognise and enable the broader range of activities which occur in rural zones. This strategic objective is necessary to provide a strategic policy basis for the various rural environment zone objectives and policies which follow in the Plan.				
S356.013	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-RE-O2	Support	not stated	Retain SD-RE-O2 as notified		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S159.030	Horticulture New Zealand	SD-RE-O2	Support	Support the protection of Highly Productive Land from inappropriate development	Retain Objective SD-RE-O2		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS151.186	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS172.240	Audrey Campbell- Frear		Oppose		Disallow		Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS570.192	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS566.206	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS569.228	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S454.031	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	SD-RE-O2	Not Stated	Transpower are generally supportive of the policy however, request an amendment to allow for infrastructure that has an operational or function need to locate within the rural environment.	Amend SD-RE-02 as follows: Protection of highly productive land from inappropriate development, <b>excluding</b> <b>infrastructure that has a</b> <b>functional or operational</b> <b>need,</b> to ensure its production potential for generations to come.		Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS111.035	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT support the request for an amendment to allow for infrastructure that has an operational or function need to locate within the rural environment.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS548.130	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS354.050	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow S454.031	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS369.104	Top Energy		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S463.008	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	SD-RE-O2	Oppose	This proposed policy has been rendered	Delete Objective SD-RE-O2		Reject	Section 5.2.7

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				redundant by the recent introduction of the NPS- HPL.				Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
FS354.051	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	The submitter seeks the deletion of the objective as the NPSHPL has been introduced. The District Council has requirements to implement the NPSHPL so the objective is relevant to the council's functions.	Disallow	Disallow S463.008	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rural Environment
S356.014	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-EP-01	Support	not stated	Retain SD-EP-O1 as notified		Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S399.020	Te Hiku lwi Development Trust	SD-EP-O1	Support in part	We support the intent of these policies, but consider that the ethic of protection could be strengthened and that the first three objectives are lacking in verbs which are required for the objectives to be successful.	follows: A culture of <b>evident</b> in that <b>prot</b>	the District's ity and ental	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S511.026	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	SD-EP-O1	Support in part	In terms of indigenous biodiversity, Forest & Bird considers that the issues include: - the risk of species reaching threat status and of those already threatened becoming more so; - the need to increase and enhance indigenous biodiversity:	- the need to enhance inc - for habitat species; - for contribution wetlands pro- sinks; and - changing cli that Northla	rview to include o increase and digenous biodiversity: benefits to native or the significant native trees and ovide as carbon for resilience in a imate; - recognising nd is a strong hold ecies and should	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
F\$95.007	Next/Lend Fick		- for habitat benefits to native species; - for the significant contribution native trees and wetlands provide as carbon sinks; and - for resilience in a changing climate; - recognising that Northland is a strong hold for some species and should remain so; - that development pressures are resulting in the loss of indigenous biodiversity including through incremental vegetation clearance, the effects of introduced species and potential to spread kauri dieback. These should be reflected in the Overview	pressures a loss of indig including th vegetation of introduce	- that development are resulting in the genous biodiversity rough incremental clearance, the effects ed species and spread kauri dieback			
FS95.007	Northland Fish and Game Council		Support		Allow	Amend as sought by submission point S511.026 (inferred)	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS164.026	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS548.146	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS404.088	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS570.1597	Vision Kerikeri 3	S	Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.1611	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS569.1633	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S511.025	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	SD-EP-O1	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	overview: purposes changing, and imple District Pl objectives all other of District Pl read and manner of these strat If it is pre- decision r replace w	each sections For the of preparing, interpreting menting the an all other an all other and policies in chapters of this an are to be achieved in a onsistent with tegic objectives ferred by the naker then ith the desired or the Strategic	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					Overview set out		
					aboveplan changes, the		
					strategic objectives in		
					this chapter provide		
					direction for the		
					development of the more		
					detailed provisions		
					contained in the District		
					Plan. For the purpose of		
					District Plan		
					implementation		
					(including the		
					determination of		
					resource consent		
					applications and notices		
					of requirement): a) the		
					strategic objectives may		
					provide guidance on		
					what the related		
					objectives and policies in		
					other chapters of the		
					District Plan are seeking		
					to achieve; and b) the		
					relevant objectives and		
					policies of the District		
					Plan (including strategic		
					objectives in this		
					chapter) are to be		
					considered together and		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
			Support		no fixed hierarchy exists between them.			
FS164.025	Scrumptious Fruit Trust				Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS548.145	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Reject in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS570.1596	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.1610	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS569.1632	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S442.045	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-EP-O1	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	overview: purposes changing, and imple District P objective	each sections For the of preparing, interpreting ementing the lan all other s and policies in chapters of this	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					District Plan are to be		
					read and achieved in a		
					manner consistent with		
					these strategic objectives.		
					If it is preferred by the		
					decision maker then		
					replace with the desired		
					wording for the Strategic		
					OverviewFor the purpose		
					of District Plan		
					development, including		
					plan changes, the		
					strategic objectives in		
					this chapter provide		
					direction for the		
					development of the more		
					detailed provisions		
					contained in the District		
					Plan. For the purpose of		
					District Plan		
					implementation		
					(including the		
					determination of		
					resource consent		
					applications and notices		
					of requirement): a) the		
					strategic objectives may		
					provide guidance on		
					what the related		
					objectives and policies in		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
					other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them.			
FS570.1741	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS346.656	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S442.046	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-EP-O1	Support in part	In terms of indigenous biodiversity, Forest & Bird considers that the issues include: - the risk of species reaching threat status and of those already threatened becoming more so; - the need to increase and enhance indigenous biodiversity: o for habitat benefits to native species; o for the significant contribution native trees and wetlands provide as carbon sinks; and o for resilience in a changing climate; - recognising	Th re ar th m Th ar in bin bin ar - for the sigr native trees provide as c	view to include ne risk of species aching threat status id of those already reatended becoming ore so; ne need to increase id enhance digenous odiversity: o for abitat benefits to ative species; ifficant contribution and wetlands arbon sinks; and- for a changing climate;	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				that Northland is a strong hold for some species and should remain so; - that development pressures are resulting in the loss of indigenous biodiversity including through incremental vegetation clearance, the effects of introduced species and potential to spread kauri dieback. These should be reflected in the Overview	N ho ar th pr in in in cl of ar	cognising that orthland is a strong old for some species ad should remain so; at development essures are resulting the loss of digenous biodiversity cluding through cremental vegetation earance, the effects introduced species ad potential to spread auri dieback.		
FS570.1742	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
FS346.657	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S356.015	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-EP-O2	Support	not stated	Retain SD-E	P-O2 as notified	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S399.021	Te Hiku lwi Development Trust	SD-EP-O2	Support in part	We support the intent of these policies, but consider that the ethic of protection could be strengthened and that the first three objectives are lacking in verbs which are required for the objectives to be successful.	follows: Collaborativ iwi and hapu <b>and main</b> to suppor whenua t obligatior	o carry out their	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S394.011	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust	rae Kaitiaki sttangata whenua have with the environment is broader than holding a kaitiaki role. The Treaty and its principles require recognition and protection of hapū autonomy.S6(e) RMA requires recognition and provision for the culture, traditions and ancestral relationships that tangata whenua have with their ancestral lands.follows: Collaborative relations with iwi and hapū and recognise hapū tino rangatiratanga in or support tangata whenua have with their 		and hapū and a hapū tino atanga in order to cangata whenua se self ation in their carry out their n and	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment		
FS363.011	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS588.011	lan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS538.011	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS537.011	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS536.011	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

FS535.011	Dyrell Akavi	Support	I support the entire submission to protect	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8
			waahi tapu site of				

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary Requested	of Decision 1	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS533.011	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS532.011	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS531.011	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS530.011	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS529.011	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS528.011	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS527.011	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary Requested	of Decision d	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS526.011	Michelle Chase	e Chase	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS525.011	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS524.011	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS523.011	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS522.011	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS521.011	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS520.011	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS519.011	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS518.011	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS517.011	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS516.011	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS515.011	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS514.011	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS513.011	Ester Rangi Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS512.011	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Requested			Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report		
FS511.011	Cedric Lawrence	ic Lawrence	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS510.011	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS509.011	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS508.011	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS507.011	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS506.011	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS505.011	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS504.011	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS503.011	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS502.011	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS501.011	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS500.011	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS499.011	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS498.011	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS497.011	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS496.011	Cheryl Bamber	eryl Bamber	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS495.011	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS494.011	lan Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS493.011	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS492.011	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS491.011	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS490.011	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS489.011	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	»)	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS487.011	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS486.011	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS485.011	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS483.011	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS482.011	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS481.011	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS480.011	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS479.011	Jacob Hohaia	) Hohaia	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS478.011	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS477.011	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS476.011	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS475.011	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS474.011	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS473.011	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS472.011	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS471.011	Willliam Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS470.011	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS469.011	Anne-marie Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS468.011	Elias Reihana- Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS467.011	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS466.011	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS465.011	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS464.011	Glennis Lawrence	ю	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS463.011	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS462.011	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS461.011	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS460.011	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS459.011	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS458.011	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS457.011	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS456.011	Rhys Alexander Lawrence-Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS455.011	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS454.011	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS453.011	Marlaine Urlich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS452.011	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS451.011	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS450.011	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS447.011	Rangaunu Taua	3	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS440.011	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS439.011	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS436.011	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS435.011	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS434.011	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS433.011	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS432.011	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision     Position       Image: Support     Support	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS431.011	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS430.011	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS429.011	Cedrick Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS428.011	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS427.011	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS426.011	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS425.011	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS423.011	Joseph Maheno	eph Maheno	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS422.011	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS421.011	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS420.011	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS418.011	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS417.011	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS416.011	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS415.011	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS408.011	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS388.011	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS387.011	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS386.011	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS385.011	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS382.011	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS381.011	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS380.011	Ashleigh Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS379.011	Kaya Hetaraka- Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS378.011	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS365.011	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS360.011	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS359.011	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS358.011	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS357.011	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)		Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS356.011	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS568.011	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS567.011	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS563.011	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS562.011	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS561.011	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS560.011	Dylan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary Requeste	of Decision d	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS559.011	Clinton Albert Doyle	lbert	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS558.011	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS557.011	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS556.011	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS555.011	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS553.011	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS552.011	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS551.011	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS546.011	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS545.011	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS544.011	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS178.011	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS413.011	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S182.013	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	SD-EP-O3	Support	Support protecting ecosystems particularly weed and pest control, and biosecurity	Retain SD-I	EP-O3	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S356.016	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-EP-O3	Support	not stated	Retain SD-	EP-O3 as notified	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S399.022	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-EP-O3	Support in part	We support the intent of these policies, but consider that the ethic	Amend Obj follows: Active man	ective SD-EP-03 as agement of	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				of protection could be strengthened and that the first three objectives are lacking in verbs which are required for the objectives to be successful.	ecosystems to protect, maintain and increase indigenous biodiversity for future generations <b>is ongoing.</b>			
S364.020	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	SD-EP-O3	Support	The Director-General supports Objective SD- EP-O3.	Retain Obje	ctive SD-EP-O3	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS548.086	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	Federated Farmers sought amendments to this strategic direction in its submission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS570.1101	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS346.160	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.1115	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS569.1137	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S529.118	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	SD-EP-O3	Support		Retain objective		Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS570.2006	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.2020	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS569.2042	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S160.011	Manulife Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	SD-EP-O3	Support in part	The submitter supports in part SD-EP-03 as the districts rare threatened and endangered indigenous bioodiversity should be protected maintained and increased.	Amend SD-EP-03 to read as follows: Active management of ecosystems to protect, maintain and increase <b>rare</b> <b>threatened and</b> <b>endangered</b> indigenous biodiversity for future generations.		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS346.581	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of natural character, coastal environment	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.				
S527.004	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	SD-EP-O3	Support	not stated	Retain as d	rafted (inferred)	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.1866	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S399.023	Te Hiku lwi Development Trust	SD-EP-O4	Support	We support the intent of these policies, but consider that the ethic of protection could be strengthened and that the first three objectives are lacking in verbs which are required for the objectives to be successful.	Retain Objective SD-EP-04		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S477.012	Te Waka Pupuri Putea Trust	SD-EP-O4	Not Stated	As Kaitiaki, we are and have been acutely aware of the degradation of Papatuanuku (Earth mother) and all living things between her and Ranginui (Sky Father) and the unavoidable consequences that can be generally categorised as Climate Change issues since pre-colonial times until present.	Amend the Plan as required to be forward-thinking regarding climate-related issues as the geography of our rohe makes us more susceptible to these issues and their potentially dire consequences		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Climate change
S421.018	Northland Federated	SD-EP-O4	Oppose	It is important to recognise the recent release of the exposure draft for the National	follows:Lan	ective SD-EP-O4 as i <del>d use practices</del> limate change by	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
	Farmers of New Zealand			Policy Statement for indigenous biodiversity and the impact it will have for indigenous biodiversity in New Zealand. We also need to recognise that certain activities such as farming need to work in conjunction with biodiversity which need to be recognised in the plan. National policy instruments are creating an unfair playing field for less viable land which we do not support council exacerbating.Federated Farmers also has concerns with the use of the term 'enabling carbon storage' in objective SP-EP-O4. The wording of the objective implies the prioritisation of carbon farming over necessary primary activities such as dairy, dry stock, cropping and general food production. Council needs to continue to support landowners and businesses in their climate journey by enabling technology and certain practices to reduce emissions rather than any short- term carbon storage which is not reducing	enabling carbonstorage and reducing carbon emissions. Council supports landowners to adopt climate change mitigation measures through sequestration, new technologies, land use and science. or wording with similar intent		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	of Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				the carbon emissions the Far North produces.				
FS24.6	Lynley Newport		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS570.1250	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS346.252	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.1264	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS569.1286	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S159.027	Horticulture New Zealand	SD-EP-O4	Support in part	Reversing climate change reads like it will 'end' which isn't the case. Prefer to use mitigate	follows: Land use pr <b>mitigate</b> by enabli	ective SD-EP-04 as ractices <del>reverse</del> climate change ng carbon nd reducing missions	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS151.181	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS151.182	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS570.189	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.203	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS569.225	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S356.018	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-EP-O4	Support	It is unclear what the objective is setting out to achieve and is a bit confusing - is it land use practices that reverse climate change, enabling carbon storage or reducing emissions which is the objective?Waka Kotahi questions how achievable this objective is to be implemented, and how	the objective North Distric	arify the purpose of e and how the Far t Council anticipate mplemented.	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	f Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				it applies to all land use practices.				
FS534.011	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support	WBFL agrees with this submitter that this objective is unclear.	Allow	amend to clarify the purpose of the objective and how it will be implemented	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S160.012	Manulife Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	SD-EP-O4	Support	The submitter supports objective SD-EP-04 as it they consider that the use of a range of tree, indigenous and exotic, to achieve this objective.	Retain objec is written.	tive SD-EP-04 as it	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS346.582	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S333.006	P S Yates Family Trust	SD-EP-O5	Support in part	The long term protection of the values set out in this strategic objective may not necessary mean their restoration. The natural character of the coastal environment is in most	EP-O5 as fo The natural o coastal envir outstanding landscapes a	character of the ronment and natural features and are managed to long-term protection	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				cases degraded, and opportunities for its restoration or rehabilitation should be promoted as required by policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.	including their restoration.		
S187.006	The Shooting Box Limited	SD-EP-O5	Support in part	The long term protection of the values set out in this strategic objective may not necessary mean their restoration. The natural character of the coastal environment is in most cases degraded, and opportunities for its restoration or rehabilitation should be promoted as required by policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.	Amend Strategic Objective SD- EP-O5 as follows: The natural character of the coastal environment and outstanding natural features and landscapes are managed to ensure their long-term protection future generations, including their restoration.	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S356.017	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-EP-O5	Support	not stated	Retain SD-EP-O5 as notified	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S222.005	Wendover Two Limited	SD-EP-O5	Support in part	The long term protection of the values set out in this strategic objective may not necessary mean their restoration. The natural character of the coastal environment is in most cases degraded, and opportunities for its restoration or rehabilitation should be promoted as required by policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.	Amend Strategic Objective SD- EP-O5 as follows: The natural character of the coastal environment and outstanding natural features and landscapes are managed to ensure their long-term protection for future generations, <b>including their</b> <b>restoration</b> .	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	Decision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S399.024	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-EP-O5	Support	We support the intent of these policies, but consider that the ethic of protection could be strengthened and that the first three objectives are lacking in verbs which are required for the objectives to be successful.	Retain Objective SD-EP-05		Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S463.009	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	SD-EP-O5	Support	This policy is considered appropriate in terms of the obligations stated at policies 13 and 14 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement.	Retain Objective SD-EP-O5		Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S168.013	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-EP-O5	Support in part	The long-term protection of the values set out in this strategic objective may not necessarily mean their restoration. The natural character of the coastal environment is in most cases degraded, and opportunities for its restoration or rehabilitation should be promoted as required by policy 14 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010	Amend Objective SD-EP-O5 as follows: The natural character of the coastal environment and outstanding natural features and landscapes are managed to ensure their long-term protection for future generations, <b>including their</b> <b>restoration.</b>		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS112.10	Carly McIlroy		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS58.4	Harold Corbett		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o Requested	fDecision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
								Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS28.024	Dr John L Craig		Support		Allow	Amend SD-EP-O5 as follows: 'The natural character of the coastal environment and outstanding natural features and landscapes are managed to ensure their long- term protection for future generations, including their restoration'.	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S167.006	Bentzen Farm Limited	SD-EP-O5	Support in part	The long term protection of the values set out in this strategic objective may not necessary mean their restoration. The natural character of the coastal environment is in most cases degraded, and opportunities for its restoration or rehabilitation should be promoted as required by policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.	EP-O5 as fo The natural coastal envir outstanding landscapes	character of the ronment and natural features and are managed to long-term protection nerations, <b>their</b>	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS143.3	Mataka Residents' Association Inc		Support	The further submitter agrees with this submission that the long term protection of the values set out in this strategic objective may not necessary mean their restoration. The natural character of	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	fDecision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				the coastal environment is in most cases degraded, and opportunities for its restoration or rehabilitation should be promoted as required by policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.				
FS354.052	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow S167.006	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.368	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow		Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S394.012	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust	SD-EP-O5	Support in part	Ss 6(a) - (b) RMA respectively require preservation of the coastal environment's natural character and protection of outstanding natural features. Ensuring these aspects of the environment are 'managed' falls short of giving substance to these RMA sections.	follows: The natural of coastal envir preserved outstandin features a are protect to ensure	l and ng natural nd landscapes c <b>ted managed</b> their long-term n for future	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS285.3	Alistair and Cheryl Baxter		Oppose		Disallow in part		Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Requested recommendation		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report			
FS363.012	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS588.012	lan Bamber		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS538.012	Awhina Fiaui		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS537.012	Maryanne June Harrison		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS536.012	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS535.012	Dyrell Akavi		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS533.012	Sidney John Rupapera		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S243.015	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-EP-O5	Support in part	The long term protection of the values set out in this strategic objective may not necessary mean their restoration. The natural character of the coastal environment is in most cases degraded, and opportunities for its restoration or rehabilitation should be promoted as required by policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.	follows: The natural coastal env outstanding landscapes	their	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS570.573	Vision Kerikeri 3	Oppose			Disallow Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission		Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.587	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS569.609	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S364.021	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	SD-EP-O5	Support	The Director-General supports Objective SD-EP-O5.	Retain Obje	ctive SD-EP-O5	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS570.1102	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS346.161	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.1116	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Requested	fDecision	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS569.1138	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S394.012	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust	SD-EP-O5 Support i part	Support in part	Ss 6(a) - (b) RMA respectively require preservation of the coastal environment's natural character and protection of outstanding natural features. Ensuring these aspects of the environment are 'managed' falls short of giving substance to these RMA sections.	Amend Objective SD-EP-O5 as follows: The natural character of the coastal environment <b>is preserved</b> and outstanding natural features and landscapes are <b>protected</b> <del>managed to ensure their long- term protection for future</del> <del>generations</del> .		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS536.012	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS535.012	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS533.012	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary	of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS532.012	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS531.012	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS530.012	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS529.012	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS528.012	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS527.012	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS526.012	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS525.012	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary	of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS524.012	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS523.012	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS522.012	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS521.012	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS520.012	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS519.012	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS518.012	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary	of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS517.012	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS516.012	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS515.012	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS514.012	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS513.012	Ester Rangi Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS512.012	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS511.012	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS510.012	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary	of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS509.012	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS508.012	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS507.012	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS506.012	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS505.012	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS504.012	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS503.012	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o	of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS502.012	Rebecca Rutene	ine	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS501.012	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS500.012	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS499.012	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS498.012	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS497.012	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS496.012	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS495.012	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary	of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS494.012	lan Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS493.012	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS492.012	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS491.012	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS490.012	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS489.012	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS487.012	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary	of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS486.012	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS485.012	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS483.012	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS482.012	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS481.012	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS480.012	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS479.012	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS478.012	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons         significance and rights of tangata whenua	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
								Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS477.012	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS476.012	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS475.012	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS474.012	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS473.012	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS472.012	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS471.012	Willliam Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o	of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS470.012	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS469.012	Anne-marie Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS468.012	Elias Reihana- Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS467.012	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS466.012	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS465.012	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS464.012	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS463.012	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary	of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS462.012	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS461.012	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS460.012	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS459.012	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS458.012	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS457.012	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS456.012	Rhys Alexander Lawrence-Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o	of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS455.012	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS454.012	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS453.012	Marlaine Urlich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS452.012	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS451.012	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS450.012	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS447.012	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS440.012	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary	of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS439.012	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS436.012	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS435.012	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS434.012	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS433.012	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS432.012	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS431.012	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary	of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS430.012	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS429.012	Cedrick Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS428.012	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS427.012	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS426.012	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS425.012	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS423.012	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS422.012	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary	of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS421.012	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS420.012	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS418.012	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS417.012	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS416.012	lsobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS415.012	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS408.012	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary	of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS388.012	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS387.012	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS386.012	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS385.012	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS382.012	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS381.012	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS380.012	Ashleigh Hetaraka- Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS379.012	Kaya Hetaraka- Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary	of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS378.012	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS365.012	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS360.012	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS359.012	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS358.012	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS357.012	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS356.012	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS568.012	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS567.012	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS563.012	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS562.012	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS561.012	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS560.012	Dylan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS559.012	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS558.012	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary	of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				significance and rights of tangata whenua				Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS557.012	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS556.012	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS555.012	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS553.012	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS552.012	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS551.012	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS546.012	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS545.012	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS544.012	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS178.012	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS413.012	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S333.007	P S Yates Family Trust	SD-EP-O6	Support in part	The objective follows the section 6(c) matter ofnational importance, though is realised in limitedterms in the Proposed Plan as notified, with somemethods included to implement it. Nevertheless, there are methods included in for example theEcosystems and indigenous biodiversity section of thePlan. Subject to the deletion of Significant NaturalAreas as sought in this submission (for the reasons set out below), the objective is supported	as follows: Areas of sign vegetation an indigenous fa	gic Objective SD-EP-O6 ificant indigenous d significant habitats of una <del>and</del> <b>are</b> protected and future S.	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				with the typoamendment as noted			
S168.014	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-EP-O6	Support in part	The objective follows the section 6(c) matter of national importance, though is realised in limited terms in the Proposed Plan as notified, with some methods included to implement it. Nevertheless, there are methods included in for example the Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity section of the Plan. Subject to the deletion of Significant Natural Areas as sought in this submission (for the reasons set out below), the objective is supported with the typo amendment as noted.	Amend Objective SD-EP-O6 as follows: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <del>and</del> <b>are</b> protected for current and future generations	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S187.007	The Shooting Box Limited	SD-EP-O6	Support in part	The objective follows the section 6(c) matter of national importance, though is realised in limited terms in the Proposed Plan as notified, with some methods included to implement it. Nevertheless, there are methods included in for example the Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity section of the Plan. Subject to the deletion of Significant Natural Areas as sought in this submission (for the reasons set out below),	Amend Strategic Objective SD-EP-O6 as follows: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <del>and</del> <b>are</b> protected for current and future generations.	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons			Relevant section of s42A report
				the objective is supported with the typo as noted.			
S356.019	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-EP-O6	Support in part	Support objective. Appears there may be a minor error in SD-EP-06.	Retain as notified with minor correction: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <del>and</del> <b>are</b> protected for current and future generations.	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S222.006	Wendover Two Limited	SD-EP-O6	Support in part	The objective follows the section 6(c) matter of national importance, though is realised in limited terms in the Proposed Plan as notified, with some methods included to implement it. Nevertheless, there are methods included in for example the Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity section of the Plan. Subject to the deletion of Significant Natural Areas as sought in this submission (for the reasons set out below), the objective is supported with the typo amendment as noted.	Amend Strategic Objective SD-EP-O6 as follows: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <del>and</del> <b>are</b> protected for current and future generations.	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S399.025	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-EP-O6	Support	We support the intent of these policies, but consider that the ethic of protection could be strengthened and that the first three objectives are lacking in verbs which are required for the objectives to be successful.	Retain Objective SD-EP-06	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested Amend SD-EP-06 to read as follows: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <b>are</b> protected for current and future generations.		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S160.013	Manulife Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	SD-EP-O6	Support in part	The submitter supports SD-EP-06 in part, and considers that the protection of significant indigenous vegetation and habitat where the areas can be mapped and managed accordingly. These area should exclude all plantation forestry areas.			Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS176.14	Summit Forests New Zealand Limited		Support	As set out in the original submission	Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS346.583	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S243.016	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-EP-O6	Support in part	The objective follows the section 6(c) matter of national importance, though is realised in limited terms in the Proposed Plan as notified, with some methods included to implement it. Nevertheless, there are methods included in for example the Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity section of the Plan. Subject to the deletion of Significant Natural Areas as sought in this submission (for the reasons set out below), the Objective is supported with the typo amendment as noted.	as follows: Areas of signi vegetation and		Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
FS570.574	Vision Kerikeri 3	əri 3	Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.588	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS569.610	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S364.022	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	SD-EP-O6	Support in part	The Director-General supports Objective SD- EP-O6, however, requests the wording be amended to fix the error.	Amend Objective SD-EP-O6 as follows: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <del>and</del> <b>are</b> protected for current and future generations.		Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS570.1103	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS346.162	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.1117	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS569.1139	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested Amend SD-EP-O6: "fauna and are identified and protected"		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
S442.053	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-EP-O6	Support in part	In order to protect something they must first be identified if they have not been already. This may have been a typo as well given that the objective has an 'and' in front of 'protected' which implies that something is missing from this objective.			Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS570.1749	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS346.664	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S529.119	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	SD-EP-O6	Support		Retain objectiv	ve	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS570.2007	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.2021	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS569.2043	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S167.007	Bentzen Farm Limited	SD-EP-O6	Support in part	Subject to the deletion of Significant Natural Areas as sought in this submission the objective is	Amend Strategic Objective SD-EP-O6 as follows: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <del>and</del> <b>are</b> protected		Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested for current and future generations.		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				supported with the typo amendment as noted.				
FS566.369	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S527.005	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	SD-EP-O6	Support	not stated	retain as drafted (inferred)		Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
FS566.1867	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Natural Environment
S463.010	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	Overview	Support	WBF supports recognition of the operational, functional and locational needs associated with, and public benefits of infrastructure provision and development.	Retain the Overview		Awaiting recommendation	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Overview
S483.026	Top Energy Limited	Policies	Not Stated	Top Energy note that the Strategic Direction chapter only contains objectives for each topic, and not policies. In Top Energy's view, the objectives need policies to demonstrate how they are going to be achieved in the plan. It is also important at this strategic level of the PDP, that the policies provide clear direction for the consideration of resource consents where there is conflict between different areas of strategic direction (e.g., for instance between the necessary provision of	Insert policies to the Strategic Direction Chapter for the objectives, noting Top Energy's submission below on specific objectives relating to the provision of infrastructure, electricity and renewable energy generation activities.		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				infrastructure, alongside the protection of the natural environment).				
FS78.041	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Oppose	Oppose	The submitter considers that the submission point does not provide sufficient detail to be able to identify the content of the proposed policies and whether they would give effect to the NPSET.	Disallow in part	Disallow the submission in part.	Accept	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS354.063	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow S483.026	Accept	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
FS345.077	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support		Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Policies
S300.005	Creative Northland	Objectives	Support	The 1st paragraph in your overview is the the key narrative for Actearoa and the Far North holding the key to the story needs to be your anchor point for all else to flourish. This narrative needs strong framework of how this can be utilised from the grassroots among community to strengthen economic development across disciplinary outcomes and greater understanding from government about its recognition.	submission (S483). Concrete outcomes to have Tangata Whenua empowered to tell this story the good and the bad to cement opportunity to unite on the same waka and clear future for the next 5 years respecting narrative for all community of the past , present and a weaved future. Access to participate in cultural practice so the full understanding of the relationship with lands, water sites and other toanga is understood- lived and taught so all can be respected, practices to increase the wellbeing for all- local and visitors. The more education and availability to access the faster we will understand the significance beyond text and into our physical wellbeing. Wellbeing permeates beyond our mind.		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Historic and Cultural Wellbeing
S156.001	Robert Adams	Objectives	Oppose	The Far North has many towns that have been		lesign overlays and urban sments for all of Northlands	Reject	Section 5.2.9

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				neglected by council and its planners for too long. Many towns have wonderful examples of good urban design and well designed buildings but Council has been blind to the fact that those buildings need recognition and protection through urban design overlays. Auckland Council finally did this in the Unitary plan by putting overlays over many traditional town centres in an effort to protect their essential elements before it was too late. The overlays look at each individual building in the town centre from an architectural perspective and a urban design perspective which looks ay at how the buildings as a whole create good urban design. This matter should be taken seriously before it is too late to stop the loss of our urban heritage. Council are neglecting their obligations under the Resource Management Act. Auckland Council has shown that there are tools but our planners are asleep at the wheel. There are many Architects (including submitter) who would be willing to help Council and its planners to get this process into place	towns. Start with the towns least compromised such as Rawene, Russell, Kawakawa,, Mangonui, Kohukohu, and then move on to the other towns to see what we can save.		Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of s42A report
				and help with assessments.				
FS51.222	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support in part	HNZPT is supportive of the application of tools to protect and retain the amenity and character of the Northland towns. Noting a component of any urban design would be recognition of the individual town's historic heritage and cultural values.	Allow in part		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
FS372.017	John Andrew Riddell		Support		Allow	allow the submission to the extent that a new objective, policies and methods are added on the development and insertion in the district plan of urban design overlays, guidelines and assessments for Far North's towns and settlements	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9 Other Submissions – Urban Design
S302.002	Kristine Kerr	Overview	Support in part	Provide design guidelines, urban design form to maximise sunlight to streets - pedestrian and car routes and avoid shading that will cause wind tunnels. Retain parking accessibility.	Amend to provide design guidelines, urban design form to maximise sunlight to streets - pedestrian and car routes and avoid shading that will cause wind tunnels. Retain parking accessibility.		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Submissions – Urban Design