Appendix 2 – Officer's Recommended Decisions on Submissions (Infrastructure)

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S516.030	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	FNDC has determined that the proposed Infrastructure chapter does not apply to provision of private infrastructure (three waters infrastructure). This has led to very little policy direction with respect to the provision of three waters infrastructure. Ngā Tai Ora consider that sustainable and safe water supply, wastewater and stormwater systems (three waters systems) are essential for the health and wellbeing of the Far North population. Adequate provision of and access to three waters systems plays a major role in everyday lives in enhancing well-being of communities, impact on quality of life and overall health.	Amend the PDP to establish a separate Three Waters Chapter OR alternatively include policy direction and provisions within the proposed Infrastructure Chapter which manage and ensure the sustainable and safe, provision of water supply, wastewater and stormwater systems within the Far North District.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S516.031	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Ngā Tai Ora note that where there are three waters provisions in the PDP, they are scattered throughout the chapters and are inconsistent. This will result in inconsistent provision and maintenance of three waters infrastructure.	Amend infrastructure provisions as required throughout the plan to ensure provisions achieve consistent management of infrastructure, particularly three waters.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S425.066	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	PHTTCCT acknowledges the sensitivities of the Coastal Environment and supports the provision for the functional and operation need for regionally significant infrastructure but seeks amendments to make sure that minor upgrades are adequately provided for to enable the efficient and cost effective operation and maintenance of The Trail.	Amend CE to provide for maintenance, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure is appropriately provided for.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S359.011	Northland Regional Council	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Water resilience is a particular concern for the Far North district, as was highlighted in the 2019/2020 drought that exposed the vulnerability of existing supplies, primarily those that rely on 'run of river' and are highly unreliable during extended dry periods. We suggest this be embedded in the relevant sections of strategic direction chapter. We note drought is included in the District Wide Matters section on Hazards and Risks but feel the significance of these issues could be more strongly highlighted.	development will serviced by a sup	signaling that high intensity not be enabled unless ply network or adequate provided to cater for lls/droughts.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS88.6	Stephanie Lane		Support		Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS25.055	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the intent of the submission, subject to appropriate wording being provided.	Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate wording.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS374.042	Waipapa Pine Limited		Support	There is general agreement with the intention of the Submitter in that the Proposed District Plan should strengthen reverse sensitivity provisions - especially where lifestyle / rural residential development occurs and adjoins the Heavy Industrial Zone.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS243.003	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support	Kāinga Ora generally supports development being aligned with the provision of adequate climate-resilient services and infrastructure.	Allow	Amend - Insert provisions signaling that high intensity development will not be enabled unless serviced by a supply network or adequate on-site storage	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
						is provided to cater for extended dry spells/droughts.		
FS325.035	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support	TT supports the intent of the submission, subject to appropriate wording being provided.	Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate wording.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS570.1047	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS346.472	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB.Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS566.1061	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS569.1083	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
\$257.023	Te Hiku Community Board	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Seek some rules under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the current bylaws	objectives, policie existing mapped	tructure section, by adding is and rules providing for drainage district drains, to to clean, unblock access	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				are not being enforced for the drainage districts. Mapping of the drainage district drains and overland flow paths in urban areas should be included in the District Plan.	and service the drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Far North Land Drainage Bylaw 2019. Add to the Planning Maps, maps indicating location of drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Far North Land Drainage Bylaw 2019. and overland flow paths in urban areas.		the Infrastructure Chapter
FS155.8	Fiona King		Support	Land Drainage districts in Te Hiku ward need to recognized and acknowledge in all RC and Building consents. see the Land Drainage district by laws 2019 that have the restrictions that need to apply i.e., building distances from boundary, access for machine cleaning, connecting discharges into the drainage schemes.	Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S483.188	Top Energy Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Top Energy appreciates that Council has included a CEL overlay and c orresponding rules in the PDP as n otified, however notes that the overlay has only been applied to the 110kv lines and not the equally important 33kv lines. No justification for this has been provided in the s32 analysis. Protection of the 33kv lines as well as the 110kv lines is critical to ensuring the reliability and improved resilience of the network, and subsequently a prosperous district (socially and economically). Further, Top Energy seeks that the provisions pertaining to the CEL overlay be contained in a standalone chapter to provide clarity to Plan users.	Insert provisions protecting all 'Critical Electricity Lines' (CEL), i.e. extend the provisions applying to 110kv lines to also include 33kv lines [inferred, this also include amending the mapped overlay to include 33kv lines]. Insert a new chapter addressing the provisions pertaining to the CEL overlay.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to I-R13, I- R18, I-R20, I-R21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS84.1	Kaitaia Marae Incorporated		Oppose	Protect all lines - Top Energy is concerned over the protection of all its	Disallow	Reject	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Thomas-Amani Vicechair) Oromahoe	Margaret Thomas-Amani	lines commercial or other and therefore are placing requests for all and sundry to comply with them to achieve their goals. More importantly the bigger picture for us in the original placement of all Electrical Lines Feeding from the substation in Okahu Road through to Pukepoto Road, have ALL been placed on our boundary which were complete conducted without notification, permission and consent. We are not the only property where the lines could have run and this is total discrimination against what we are trying to achieve on our land and the principles as Maori that we live by. This decision not only interferes with our land and any lifestyle that we propose but it is an eyesore as well. Top Energy wishes to protect its lines and "we wish to protect our land". "We do not agree with this" We need an open response to this.				Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to I-R13, I- R18, I-R20, I-R21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines	
FS371.030	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to protect the 33Kv lines as well as the 110kv lines stating this will result in a prosperous district (socially and economically). This will have the opposite effect by placing restrictions on land owners rights.	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP.	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to I-R13, I-R18, I-R20, I-R21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS131.030	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ		Oppose	The original submission seeks to protect the 33Kv lines as well as the 110kv lines stating this will result in a prosperous district (socially and economically). This will have the opposite effect by placing restrictions on land owners rights.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to I-R13, I-R18, I-R20, I-R21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) /	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Submitter (FS)							
	and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation			L 9 T Droporty Invoctor onto Ltd				
FS448.002	L & T Property Investments Limited		Oppose	L & T Property Investments Ltd oppose any provisions that include 33kv lines within the 'Critical Electricity Lines' Overlay.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to I-R13, I-R18, I-R20, I-R21 - National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS354.015	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	The submitter seeks provisions protecting all 'Critical Electricity Lines' (CEL), i.e. extend the provisions applying to 110kv lines to also include 33kv lines and insert a new chapter addressing the provisions pertaining to the CEL overlay. HortNZ does not support this 'protection' as needs of affected landowners also need to be taken into account.	Disallow	Disallow S483.188	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to I-R13, I-R18, I-R20, I-R21 - National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS345.239	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to I-R13, I-R18, I-R20, I-R21 - National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
S559.012	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Our whenua is rural and, in most cases, lack a water supply network. With the growth of Kerikeri and its surrounding area, more demand is being put on our groundwater systems and in our coastal areas these systems are sensitive to extraction (saltwater intrusion).	not enabled unles	h intensity development is s serviced by a supply ate on-site storage is for extended dry spells	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS151.145	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.2

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of D	ecision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
								Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS277.20	Jenny Collison		Support	Makes sense to future proof for extreme climate adaptation.	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS570.2202	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS348.039	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose	The submission was not made by the closing date and is therefore not a valid submission under RMA.	Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS566.2216	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS569.2238	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S428.007	Kapiro Residents Association	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should require all new buildings to store/use roof water wherever possible, to avoid the need for expensive reticulation systems and reduce the need for water top-ups via water tankers. New buildings connected to a public water supply should be required to collect roof water in storage vessels to use for gardens and flushing toilets (at minimum) and contribute to other household water uses such as laundry connections. Water storage vessels do not need to be a traditional round tank - other useful shapes exist, such as rectangular upright vessels that are easy to install against the side of a house or garage, or short flat vessels designed to be completely buried underground or placed under the foundations of new builds. Greywater harvesting and re-use should also be required for new buildings. These types of water-saving measures would also reduce future Council infrastructure costs for additional water supplies and wastewater.	Amend PDP to require best practice water- sensitive, low-impact designs and measures for all stormwater and wastewater engineering, infrastructure and related development, to prevent problems associated with more extreme rainfall events in future, including provisions to implement relevant parts of NPS-FM.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS309.2	Brad Hedger		Support in part	Water reuse strategies should form part of all new development along with renewable energy. These aspects should have incentives in the plan to encourage use.	Allow in part	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S443.007	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should require all new buildings to store/use roof water wherever possible, to avoid the need for expensive reticulation systems and reduce the need for water top-ups via water tankers. New buildings connected to a public water supply should be required to collect roof water	Amend PDP to require best practice water- sensitive, low-impact designs and measures for all stormwater and wastewater engineering, infrastructure and related development, to prevent problems associated with more extreme rainfall events in future, including provisions to implement relevant parts of NPS-FM.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				in storage vessels to use for gardens and flushing toilets (at minimum) and contribute to other household water uses such as laundry connections.			
				Water storage vessels do not need to be a traditional round tank - other useful shapes exist, such as rectangular upright vessels that are easy to install against the side of a house or garage, or short flat vessels designed to be completely buried underground or placed under the foundations of new builds. Greywater harvesting and re-use should also be required for new buildings. These types of water-saving measures would also reduce future Council infrastructure costs for additional water supplies and wastewater.			
FS309.6	Brad Hedger		Support in part	Water reuse strategies should form part of all new development along with renewable energy. These aspects should have incentives in the plan to encourage use.	Allow in part	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS569.1752	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS570.1732	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S483.032	Top Energy Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Top Energy seeks clear direction within the infrastructure that the chapter supersedes.	Not stated	Accept in par		Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
FS351.0010	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	Top Energy seeks discretion to interpret what " Does not constrain" means for their own interest.	Disallow	Retain as is which requires Top Energy to properly engage and consult the land owners and mana whenua.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
FS371.0010	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose	Top Energy seeks discretion to interpret what " Does not constrain" means for their own interest.	Disallow	Retain as is which requires Top Energy to properly engage and consult the land owners and mana whenua.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
FS449.0010	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	Top Energy seeks discretion to interpret what " Does not constrain" means for their own interest.	Disallow	Retain provision	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
FS345.083	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S454.032	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The infrastructure chapter of the FNPDP contains provisions that provide for infrastructure, including the National Grid, however not all provisions relating the infrastructure are located within the chapter. The FNPDP contains provisions in a number of other chapters that relate to infrastructure, including the National Grid. These include for example: Natural Hazards Hazardous substances Historic Heritage Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity Natural character Natural features and landscapes Subdivision Earthworks Notable trees Where necessary, Transpower has made more specific submission on provisions throughout the FNPDP as drafted seeking to ensure that critical infrastructure, such as the National Grid, is appropriately provided for and the NPSET is given effect to efficiently and effectively. However, Transpower's preference is for a standalone set of provisions for infrastructure, including the National Grid, within the Infrastructure Chapter as it avoids duplication (for example in the zone rules) and provides a coherent set of rules which applicants / users can refer to. The ability of EPlan to provide links within the plan would ensure plan users can be directed to the Infrastructure chapter as required,	Retain the infrastructure chapter but amend it to ensure that all provisions relating to infrastructure, including the National Grid, are contained within that chapter and cross references within all other chapters of the FNPDP make it clear that the infrastructure provisions apply, or have primacy where necessary. Should the FNPDP not be amended as requested, ensure that the District Wide Matters, Zones and Overlays and other relevant sections of the Plan (such as the How the Plan Works chapter) are amended to ensure that infrastructure is appropriately provided for and the cross-referencing between chapters clearly directs the plan user to the provisions of the Infrastructure chapter that apply to an activity and where these have primacy.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				when looking in other chapters. It could also be made clear that the objectives, policies and rules in the infrastructure chapter have primacy, in accordance with the requirements of the NPSET for example, where there is a conflict.				
FS354.009	Horticulture New Zealand		Support	The submitter seeks that all provisions for infrastructure are included in the infrastructure chapter. The intent is supported to the extent that there needs to be clarity where relevant provisions are located within the Plan.	Allow	Allow S454.032 to the extent that it provides clarity to the Plan.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
FS346.020	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird opposes amendments that would give Infrastructure and transport provisions primacy over other sections of the plan, particularly IB, NATC, ONFLs and Notable Trees.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
FS369.011	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy supports appropriate cross-referencing between the Infrastructure Chapter and other District Wide Chapters and that provisions are consistently applied across topics.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
S527.036	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The PDP should support future transition to disposal-to-land schemes, which is anticipated to start within the life of the PDP. The PDP should include provisions to encourage and progressively require disposal-to-land wastewater treatment methods (based on coagulation and flocculation) and ensure the responsible use of solid waste from treatment plants as fertilizer and the use of wastewater for irrigation purposes.	Amend the PDP t to disposal-to-lan	o support future transition d schemes.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS566.1898	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S516.016	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	INFRASTRUCTURE	Not Stated	The PDP introduces definitions for "infrastructure" and "development infrastructure" there is no link or cross reference between the two definitions. Provisions throughout the PDP interchange and use the two terms resulting in inconsistency and confusion within the plan.	"development infra	s to "infrastructure" and astructure" where d duplication and ensure	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S516.018	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	INFRASTRUCTURE	Not Stated	Infrastructure should be considered more holistically to include the natural environment such as trees and waterbodies.	Amend the definit include natural so	ion of 'infrastructure' to lutions.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS354.030	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	The definition of infrastructure is from s2 of the RMA so should be included as in the Act and not amended.	Disallow	Disallow S516.018	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S271.002	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	INFRASTRUCTURE	Support	Not stated	Retain as drafted		Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.039	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy also supports the retention of this definition.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
								Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS403.051	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with "development infrastructure" and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Disallow	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with "development infrastructure" and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS570.725	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS566.739	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS569.761	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S416.001	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	INFRASTRUCTURE	Support	The definition as proposed which replicates the RMA definition, is supported by KiwiRail, noting clause (g) includes rail.	Retain the definit	on of 'infrastructure'	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.040	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy also supports the retention of this definition.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS403.052	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with "development infrastructure" and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Disallow	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with "development infrastructure" and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested Retain as drafted		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S446.002	Kapiro Conservation Trust	INFRASTRUCTURE	Support				Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.041	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy also supports the retention of this definition.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS403.053	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with "development infrastructure" and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Disallow	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with "development infrastructure" and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS569.1761	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS570.1760	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S454.005	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	INFRASTRUCTURE	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this definition in the FNPDP.	Retain the definit	on of INFRASTRUCTURE.	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.042	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy also supports the retention of this definition.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS403.054	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with "development infrastructure" and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Disallow	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with "development infrastructure" and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested Retain definition of 'infrastructure'		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S489.001	Radio New Zealand	INFRASTRUCTURE	Support Definition of infrastructure is as p Section 2 of the RMA	Definition of infrastructure is as per Section 2 of the RMA			Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.043	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy also supports the retention of this definition.	Allow	Allow the original submission	recommendation	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS403.055	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with "development infrastructure" and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Disallow	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with "development infrastructure" and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S524.002	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	INFRASTRUCTURE	Support	Not stated	Retain as drafted		Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.044	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy also supports the retention of this definition.	Allow	Accept	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS403.056	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with "development infrastructure" and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Disallow	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with "development infrastructure" and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS566.1820	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S529.067	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	INFRASTRUCTURE	Support	Not stated	Retain as drafted		Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.045	Top Energy	З У	Support	Top Energy also supports the retention of this definition	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS403.057	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with "development infrastructure" and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Disallow	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with "development infrastructure" and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS570.1955	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS566.1969	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS569.1991	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S483.006	Top Energy Limited	INFRASTRUCTURE	Support	Top Energy supports the definition of Infrastructure, in particular the inclusion of clause d which specifically provides for electricity infrastructure.	Retain the defini	tion of 'Infrastructure'	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS345.057	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S454.008	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	NATIONAL GRID	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this definition in the FNPDP.	Retain the defini	tion of NATIONAL GRID.	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.046	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy also supports the retention of this definition.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S483.008	Top Energy Limited	NATIONAL GRID S	Support	Top Energy supports the definition, noting that Transpower will be better placed to comment on suitability of exact wording.	Retain the definiti	on of 'National Grid'	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS345.059	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S421.008	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	NATIONAL GRID CORRIDOR	Support	Federated Farmers supports the proposed definitions for the national grid corridor and national grid yard which includes a 12-metre setback from support structures located within these areas. Federated Farmers would not and does not support any attempt to increase the width of the setbacks within the corridor and yard.	Retain the definition of 'National grid corridor'		Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS24.3	Lynley Newport		Support	Agree with submitter	Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.048	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy also supports the retention of this definition.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS570.1240	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS346.242	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) /	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Submitter (FS)							
FS566.1254	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2	Conservation Trust 2	Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS569.1276	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.415	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to ensure that existing electricity infrastructure is not compromised. Given the regional significance of most of the electricity infrastructure network, protection of this infrastructure is required to achieve alignment with the RPS and with SUB - R10 and SUB-R9.	Disallow in part		Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S159.015	Horticulture New Zealand	NATIONAL GRID CORRIDOR	Support in part	The National Grid corridor is specifically for subdivision purposes so should be referred to as such.	Amend the term to Subdivision Co		Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS151.168	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.047	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy also supports the retention of this definition.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS570.177	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS566.191	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS569.213	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions	
S483.009	Top Energy Limited	NATIONAL GRID CORRIDOR	Support	Top Energy supports the definition, noting that Transpower will be better placed to comment on suitability of exact wording.	Retain the definiti Corridor'	on of 'National Grid	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS345.060	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S454.009	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	NATIONAL GRID CORRIDOR	Not Stated	Transpower supports the inclusion of a definition of the National Grid Subdivision Corridor within the FNPDP however the definition in the FNPDP is incorrect and requires amendment. In addition, the diagram is not consistent with Transpower requirements and we request that this be amended.	GRID CORRIDOR definition of NATI CORRIDOR as for NATIONAL GRII CORRIDOR mea Diagram 1, the side of the cent ground electric follows:14m of line on single p transmission lin 110kV transmis (including tubu these replace s metres of a 220 on towers (incl towers where t lattice towers); setback distance transmission lin	red definition of NATIONAL R and replace it with the ONAL GRID SUBDIVISION of SUB	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					Grid transmission line and the outer visible edge of any support structure. The centre line at any point is a straight line between the centre points of the two support structures at each end of the span.		
					Note: the National Grid Subdivision Corridor does not apply to underground cables or any transmission lines (or sections of line) that are designated Insert Diagram 1 in the submission: National		
					Grid Yard and National Grid Subdivision Corridor.		
S159.016	Horticulture New Zealand	NATIONAL GRID YARD	Oppose	The definition of National Grid Yard is not clear and applies a 12m distance from all support structures. There should be differentiation between poles and towers.	Amend the definition of 'National grid yard' to: means the area located within: 12 metres in any direction from the visible outer edge of a National Grid support structure tower; or 10m in any direction from a National Grid single pole or pipole; or	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
					 The area located within 10m either side of the centreline of any overhead 110kV National Grid line on single or pi-pole; or The area located within 12m either side of the centre line of 		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested any overhead National Grid line on towers.		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				support structure and the area located 12 metres either side of the centreline of an overhead National Grid line. Note: the measurement of setback distances from National Grid electricity lines shall be taken from the centre line of the National Grid line and the outer edge of any support structure. The centre line at any point is a straight line between the centre points of the two support structures at each end of the span.				
FS151.169	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS548.040	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Support	The submitter seeks similar relief to that of Federated Farmers. It is important to ensure that the differentiation for setbacks is made to allow landowners to use their land effectively and efficiently.	Allow	Grant the relief sought.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.049	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy opposes the submission point in relation to this definition as it supports the definition as notified.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS570.178	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS566.192	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is	Accept	Section 5.2.12

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
						inconsistent with our original submission.		Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS569.214	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S454.010	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	NATIONAL GRID YARD	Not Stated	Transpower supports the inclusion of a definition of the National Grid Yard within the FNPDP however, the definition in the FNPDP is incorrect and requires amendment.	GRID YARD and NATIONAL GRI shown in Diagr located 10 met centreline of a National Grid t single poles;- metres in any o visible edge of structure;- the either side of t overhead Natio line on pi poles tubular steel to replace steel la Insert Diagram 1	sed definition of NATIONAL replace it as follows: D YARD means (as am 1):- the area cres either side of the noverhead 110kV ransmission line on the area located 12 direction from the outer a National Grid support area located 12 metres he centreline of any onal Grid transmission or towers (including owers where these attice towers). in the submission: National attional Grid Subdivision	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS354.031	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	HortNZ has sought another definition for the National Grid Yard.	Disallow	Disallow S454.010	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.051	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy also supports the amendment of this definition.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S421.009	Northland Federated	NATIONAL GRID YARD	Support	Federated Farmers supports the proposed definitions for the national grid corridor and national grid yard	Retain the definition of 'National grid yard'.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.12

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Farmers of New Zealand			which includes a 12-metre setback from support structures located within these areas. Federated Farmers would not and does not support any attempt to increase the width of the setbacks within the corridor				Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.050	Top Energy		Oppose	and yard. Top Energy opposes the submission point in relation to this definition as it supports the definition as notified	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS570.1241	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS346.243	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS566.1255	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS569.1277	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S483.010	Top Energy Limited	NATIONAL GRID YARD	Support	Top Energy supports the definition, noting that Transpower will be better placed to comment on suitability of exact wording.	Retain the definit	ion of 'National Grid Yard'.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS345.061	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S489.002	Radio New Zealand	NETWORK UTILITY	Support	No comment	Retain definition of	of 'Network Utility.'	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.057	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy also supports the amendment of this definition.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S483.011	Top Energy Limited	NETWORK UTILITY	Support	Top Energy supports this definition as worded.	Retain the definiti	on of 'Network Utility'	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS345.062	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S454.011	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	NETWORK UTILITY OPERATOR	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this definition in the FNPDP.	Retain the definition of NETWORK UTILITY OPERATOR.		Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S489.003	Radio New Zealand	NETWORK UTILITY OPERATOR	Support	Definition of network utility operator is as per section 166 of the RMA.	Retain definition of 'Network Utility Operator'		Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S416.004	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	NETWORK UTILITY OPERATOR	Support	KiwiRail support use of the RMA definition of Network Utility Operator, which includes railway activities in clause (f).	Retain the definiti Operator'.	on of 'Network Utility	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.058	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy also supports the amendment of this definition.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S483.012	Top Energy Limited	NETWORK UTILITY OPERATOR	Support	Top Energy supports this definition as worded.	Retain the definiti Operator'.	on of 'Network Utility	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS345.063	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S483.017	Top Energy Limited	SUBSTATION	Support	Top Energy largely supports this definition, but consider that the phrase "and having equipment rated over 22KV" is unnecessary from a technical perspective. In some instances, equipment in a substation will be rated under 22KV, and Top Energy consider that including this arbitrary limit will result in unnecessary confusion and issues in interpretation when considering the definition.	follows: means those parts of works or electrical installations, being a building, structure, or enclosure exceeding 10m in area and having equipment rated at over 22 kV and incorporating fittings that are use for the purposes of the control of the transformation, transmission, or distribution of electricity. Disallow A definition is not		Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS351.004	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	It is not within FNDC jurisdiction to define.	Disallow	A definition is not required as Top Energy's suggested definition is not fit for purpose	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS371.004	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose	We are not sure if it within FNDC jurisdiction to define.	Disallow	No change as definitions are adequate - the suggested definition is not fit for purpose.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS449.004	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	We are not sure if it within FNDC jurisdiction to define.	Disallow	No change as definitions are adequate.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS345.068	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S454.020	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	SUBSTATION	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this definition in the FNPDP.	Retain the definiti	on of SUBSTATION.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.073	Top Energy	Oppose	Oppose	Top Energy supports amendment to the definition to remove the equipment rating.	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S421.040	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	New Definition	Support in part	Electricity Lines' in clause (e). The term is not included in the interpretation chapter of the proposed district plan. The term is used throughout the infrastructure chapter (e.g., Rule 1-R13 below). It would be useful to have a definition for what the term means and what is encompassed by the term (e.g., are the National Grid lines considered to be critical electricity lines?).		Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions		
FS24.5	Lynley Newport		Support in part	Agree that a definition is required, but do not believe there is justification for 33 kV lines to be included.	Allow in part		Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.081	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy support the inclusion of mapping and provisions with respect to Critical Electricity Lines.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS570.1272	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS346.274	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS566.1286	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS569.1308	Vision Kerikeri 2	n Kerikeri 2	Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S421.042	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	New Definition	Support in part	The rule deals with new buildings or structures, and extensions to existing buildings or structures, and earthworks within 10m of a Critical Electricity Lines Overlay. As previously highlighted in our submission, the district plan does not contain a definition for 'critical electricity lines'. It is unclear what actually falls within the scope of being a critical electricity line. Given that the term is used throughout the district plan, it is necessary that the term is defined. The definition should explain what is meant by the term means as well as what is encompassed by the term (e.g., are the National Grid lines considered to be critical electricity lines?).	Insert a definition Line/s'.	for 'Critical Electricity	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS84.2	Kaitaia Marae Incorporated (Margaret Thomas-Amani Vicechair)		Oppose	There is an existing 10m council boundary on all new builds where exemptions can be sought. The difference with Top Energy's application for the same with its lines poses a difficulty for our land in that the lines should not be there. It is a huge financial loss for Kaitaia Marae Incorporated with any lines currently there. Compensation should be paid for this inconvenience to the existing positioning of the lines and the consequences inclusive of this application and the distancing. No in this instance advisory communication should be sought.	Disallow		Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.082	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy support the inclusion of mapping and provisions with respect to Critical Electricity Lines.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS570.1274	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS346.276	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS566.1288	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS569.1310	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S159.033	Horticulture New Zealand	New Definition	Oppose	Critical electricity lines are not defined or described.	Define critical ele	ectricity lines.	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS151.190	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS448.001	L & T Property Investments Limited		Support	L & T Property Investments Ltd agrees a definition of 'Critical Electricity Lines' is required.	Allow	Define critical electricity lines.	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.074	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports the inclusion of mapping and provisions with respect to Critical Electricity Lines	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS570.195	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS566.209	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS569.231	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S159.034	Horticulture New Zealand	New Definition	Oppose	Electricity distribution lines are not defined or described.	Define electricity	distribution lines.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS151.191	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS151.192	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS369.075	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports provision for electricity distribution lines including clear definition.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS570.196	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS566.210	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS569.232	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
						inconsistent with our original submission.		
S483.018 FS351.005	Top Energy Limited	New Definition	Not Stated	'Customer connection' is a term used in the Infrastructure Chapter (e.g. I-R2 New underground network utilities including customer connections) but is not defined. It is unclear to Top Energy what Council considers to comprise a 'customer connection' e.g., would it include a new transformer, and any new poles and cables required to connect to the grid? For certainty, Top Energy seeks that a definition for 'customer connection' be included in the Plan and suggests that the following components for connection are included: cabling, transformer and switch gear, poles, lines and pillars.	follows (or wordin Means any elec required to con including cablir	r 'customer connection' as g to the same effect): ctricity infrastructure nect customers and les lines and pillars	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS351.005	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	This risks Top Energy being able to override all environmental, landowner rights, Māori cultural and human rights.	Disallow	Retain as is which requires Top Energy to properly engage and consult the land owners and mana whenua.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS371.005	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose	This risks Top Energy being able to override all environmental, landowner rights, Māori cultural and human rights.	Disallow	Retain as is which requires Top Energy to properly engage and consult the land owners and mana whenua.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
FS449.005	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	This risks Top Energy being able to override all environmental, landowner rights, Māori cultural and human rights.	Disallow	Retain as is which requires Top Energy to properly engage and consult the land owners and mana whenua.	Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS345.069	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
\$421.019	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Overview	Support in part	Federated Farmers recognises the importance for essential infrastructure to be able to be delivered safely and efficiently. However, it is important that the overview to the infrastructure chapter tells the whole story. The provision of essential infrastructure can create conflict between the infrastructure provider and the landowner whose property the infrastructure is going on or over.	It is recognised essential infras create conflict infrastructure landowner. Co	orovider and the uncil is willing to through facilitation as this occurs.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Overview to the Infrastructure Chapter
FS78.024	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Oppose	It is not clear what this relief will require of the Far North District Council and it appears to go beyond the requirements of the RMA.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Overview to the Infrastructure Chapter
FS570.1251	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Overview to the Infrastructure Chapter
FS346.253	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Overview to the Infrastructure Chapter
FS566.1265	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Overview to the Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS569.1287	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Overview to the Infrastructure Chapter
\$331.011	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	Overview	Support in part	The submitter supports in part the Overview section of the Infrastructure chapter however, the definition of 'infrastructure' does not include additional infrastructure (which includes educational facilities). Educational facilities are a crucial form of additional infrastructure that is needed to support development. Coordinating educational facilities with the delivery of development will help meet the needs and demand of the local communities. The submitter highlights that Council has an obligation under the NPS-UD to ensure sufficient additional infrastructure (which includes social infrastructure such as educational facilities) is provided with development, and local authorities must be satisfied that additional infrastructure to service the development capacity is likely to be available (see Policy 10 and 3.5 of Subpart 1 of Part 3: Implementation, in particular).	The district relies delivery of infrastr infrastructure a community eco being. However operation, mair of infrastructure adverse enviror enabling infrast additional inframanaging adverse effects, it is implocational, oper needs and consinfrastructure. I recognise the passociated with particular the bignificant infraeconomic, cultus ocial well-bein Infrastructure, sa network utili RMA and including in pipeling ii.	rse environment portant to recognise the rational functional traints of it is also important to ublic benefits infrastructure in enefits of regionally structure, to enhance iral, environmental and g in the district. Sometimes referred to ties, is defined in the les:	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					iv. facilities for the generation of electricity, including lines and support structures; v. water supply, irrigation, drainage or sewerage; vi. systems structures for transport on land by cycleways, rail, roads, walkways, or any other means; vii. facilities for the loading or unloading of cargo or passengers; viii. airports; and ix. navigation. Additional infrastructure as defined		
					under the National Policy Statement on Urban Development means: a. Public open space b. Community infrastructure as defined in section 197 of the Local Government Act 2002 c. Land transport (as defined in the Land Transport Management Act 2003) that is not controlled by local authorities d. Social infrastructure, such as schools and healthcare facilities e. A network operated for the purpose of		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					telecommunications (as defined in section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001) f. A network operated for the purpose of transmitting or distributing electricity or gas.		
					Regionally significant infrastructure is also defined in the Northland Regional Policy Statement (RPS) and includes important energy, water, communication, transport infrastructure and significant social and community facilities in the region. This chapter manages key infrastructure and additional infrastructure and general network utilities. Renewable electricity and transport are managed through the Renewable Electricity Generation and Transport chapters. The provisions in this chapter are therefore specific to network utilities undertaken by a network utility operator (as defined in the RMA). The chapter also addresses amateur radio facilities as their activities involve radio-communication and amateur radio configurations that involve masts, aerials and supporting structures similar to other types of		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					There are responsibilities under the RMA, the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission 2008 and the RPS in relation to infrastructure. These responsibilities require Council to provide for the National Grid and regionally significant infrastructure and protect it from inappropriate land use and subdivision that could result in reverse sensitivity effects and undermine its effective operation, security or future expansion. The National Environmental Standards for Telecommunication Facilities 2016 (NES-TF) and National Environmental Standards on Electricity Transmission Activities 2009 (NES-ETA) provide a suite of nationally consistent rules specific to telecommunication facilities and electricity transmission activities. The District Plan does not apply to activities regulated under the NES-TF and NES-ETA but it does apply to any telecommunication facility and electricity transmission activity not regulated by these environmental standards (e.g. new transmission lines, new telecommunication poles and antennas not in rural zone or road reserve). The District Plan also applies to telecommunication facilities located in areas subject to regulations 44-51 of		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					the NES-TF (e.g. historic heritage, visual amenity landscapes).			
F\$354.053 S454.035	Horticulture New Zealand Transpower	Oppose	The submitter seeks changes to the Infrastructure provisions through the inclusion of a definition of 'additional infrastructure' from the NPSUFD and applying proposed provisions for infrastructure to that 'additional infrastructure'. While the need to provide for educational facilities is recognised HortNZ does not support the approach as the definition of additional infrastructure involves more than educational facilities.	Disallow	Disallow S331.011	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter	
S454.035	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Overview	Not Stated	Transpower generally supports the introductory statement to the Energy, infrastructure and transport chapter of the FNPDP however it recommends some minor changes to ensure nationally significant infrastructure, such as the National Grid is also referenced, correct grammar and more clearly articulate what is included as infrastructure. Transpower also supports the direct reference to the NESETA but considers that references to NZECP 34:2001 and the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003 and their relationship to the FNPDP would also provide helpful additional commentary in the introductory section of the chapter for plan users.	infrastructure and follows: The District relies delivery of infrast community econd However, develo maintenance and can give rise to a effects. In enablin managing advers important to reco operational and f constraints of infrimportant to reco associated with in the benefits of na significant infrast economic, cultura well-being in the sometimes referr defined in the RM. i. pipeline ii. telecon	upgrading of infrastructure dverse environmental ng infrastructure and e environment effects, it is gnise the locational, unctional needs and astructure. It is also gnise the public benefits infrastructure, in particular tionally and regionally ructure, to enhance al, environmental and social district. Infrastructure, ed to as network utilities, is IA and includes:	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Overview to the Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					iv. facilities for the generation and conveyance of electricity, including lines and support structures; v. water supply, irrigation, drainage or sewerage systems; vi. structures for transport on land by cycleways, rail, roads, walkways, or any other means; vii. facilities for the loading or unloading of cargo or passengers; viii. airports; and ix. navigation. The New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZECP 34:2001) contains restrictions on the location of buildings, structures, and activities in relation to the National Grid and electricity distribution lines. Buildings, structures, and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid or electricity distribution lines must comply with the NZECP 34:2001. Compliance with the rule requirements of the District Plan does not ensure compliance with NZECP 34:2001 or vice versa. Vegetation planted in the vicinity of the National Grid or electricity distribution lines must comply with the		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.		Reject	
FS354.054	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Recognition of NZECP34:2001 and the Hazard from Tree Regulations is supported.	Allow	Allow \$454.035	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Overview to the Infrastructure Chapter		
FS369.105	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy supports the recognition of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZECP 34:2001) and that National Grid or electricity distribution lines must comply with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Overview to the Infrastructure Chapter
S519.029	Elbury Holdings	Objectives	Oppose	We seek some objectives under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the Draft Management Plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts (inferred).	Amend the Infrastructure section, by adding objectives providing for existing mapped Council drainage district drains, to ensure the ability to clean, unblock access and service the drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Far North District Council Land Drainage Bylaw 2019 and the Draft Management Plan 2017.		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
\$358.024	Leah Frieling	Objectives	Oppose	The current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts.	objectives providi Council drainage the ability to clear service the draina Waiharara/Kaikin	tructure section, by adding ng for existing mapped district drains, to ensure n, unblock access and age channels in the Kaitaia, o and Motutangi drainage in the Far North Land 2019.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S356.020	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Objectives	Support	Not stated	Retain objectives	as notified	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S512.012	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Objectives	Support	These objectives support the continued function of Fire and Emergency. Efficient and effective water supply infrastructure coordinated with land use	Retain objectives		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				and development is essential to minimise risk to property and life in the event of a fire.				
S547.028	LJ King Limited	Objectives	Oppose	We seek some objectives under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the Draft Management Plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts (inferred).	objectives providir Council drainage of the ability to clean service the drainal Waiharara/Kaikino areas, as defined	ructure section, by adding g for existing mapped district drains, to ensure, unblock access and ge channels in the Kaitaia, and Motutangi drainage in the Far North District mage Bylaw 2019 and the t Plan 2017.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S541.026	Elbury Holdings	Objectives	Oppose	We seek some objectives under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the Draft Management Plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts (inferred).	Amend the Infrastructure section, by adding objectives providing for existing mapped Council drainage district drains, to ensure the ability to clean, unblock access and service the drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Far North District Council Land Drainage Bylaw 2019 and the Draft Management Plan 2017.		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS155.33	Fiona King		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S485.029	Elbury Holdings	Objectives	Oppose	Seek some objectives under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the Draft Management Plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts (inferred).	objectives providir Council drainage of the ability to clean service the drainal Waiharara/Kaikino areas, as defined	ructure section, by adding a for existing mapped district drains, to ensure, unblock access and ge channels in the Kaitaia, and Motutangi drainage in the Far North District mage Bylaw 2019 and the t Plan 2017.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS155.34	Fiona King		Support	There are errors in the comments that need to be corrected. The bylaw does exist and is being used by FNDC. It is essential that the Land drainage	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	of Decision Requested Officer recommendation	
				schemes are in the plan. To much poor planning has created flooding and drainage problems. It should be recognized the same stormwater management. there are only 3 land drainage areas ,all in the TeHiku ward. The main one being Kaitaia which a lot of urban water flows into land drainage drains to get to rivers.			Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S472.024	Michael Foy	Objectives	Support in part	We seek some rules under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts.	Amend the Infrastructure section, by adding objectives providing for existing mapped Council drainage district drains, to ensure the ability to clean, unblock access and service the drainage channels in the Kaitaia Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Far North Land Drainage Bylaw 2019.		Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS259.3	Leah Frieling		Support		Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
\$511.037	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Objectives	Not Stated	This chapter is confusing because it appears to use RSI and infrastructure in the objectives and policies interchangeably. In many instances under the RPS only RSI gains access to the mitigation hierarchy, not all infrastructure in general. The definition of RSI is already broad and bringing in all of infrastructure is not warranted.	Insert objectives that separate out infrastructure from RSI.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS78.050	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter agrees that the chapter uses a number of related but different terms interchangeably. It would assist with implementation of the proposed plan if the use of different terms was clarified.	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	ecision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS164.037	Scrumptious Fruit Trust	Support	Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS354.055	Horticulture New Zealand		Support	Clear differentiation between infrastructure and regionally significant infrastructure is supported. Allow S511.037.	Allow	Allow S511.037	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.107	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports provision for Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS403.083	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora supports provision for Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora supports provision for Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS570.1608	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS566.1622	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS569.1644	Vision Kerikeri 2	Su	Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S442.057	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Objectives	Neutral	This chapter is confusing because it appears to use RSI and infrastructure in the objectives and policies interchangeably. In many instances under the RPS only RSI gains access to the mitigation hierarchy, not all infrastructure in general. The definition of RSI is already broad and bringing in all of infrastructure is not warranted.	Insert objectives t infrastructure from		Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.106	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports provision for Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS403.082	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora supports provision for Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora supports provision for Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS570.1754	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS346.668	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S464.030	LJ King Ltd	Objectives	Support	Seek some objectives under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the Draft Management Plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts (inferred).	Amend the Infrastructure section, by adding objectives providing for existing mapped Council drainage district drains, to ensure the ability to clean, unblock access and service the drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Far North District		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	•	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					Council Land Drainage Bylaw 2019 and the Draft Management Plan 2017.			
FS566.1573	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S543.028	LJ King Limited	Objectives	Oppose	We seek some objectives under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the Draft Management Plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts (inferred).	objectives providir Council drainage of the ability to clean service the drainal Waiharara/Kaikino areas, as defined	ructure section, by adding no for existing mapped district drains, to ensure , unblock access and ge channels in the Kaitaia, and Motutangi drainage in the Far North District nage Bylaw 2019 and the t Plan 2017.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS566.2189	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S516.032	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	I-O1	Not Stated	Ngā Tai Ora support the provision of sustainable and safe water supply, wastewater and stormwater systems (three waters systems) are essential for the health and wellbeing of the Far North population. Adequate provision of and access to three waters systems plays a major role in everyday lives in enhancing well-being of communities, impact on quality of life and overall health.	that services the		Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S331.012	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	I-O1	Support in part	The submitter supports in part objective I-01 however, the definition of 'infrastructure' does not include additional infrastructure (which includes social infrastructure such as	infrastructure (inc	-01 as follows: afe, efficient and resilient luding additional that services the	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
ES79.009				educational facilities). Educational facilities are a crucial form of social infrastructure that is needed to support local communities and their social and economic wellbeing. Under the NPS-UD, social infrastructure is included with the definition of 'additional infrastructure'. Council has an obligation under the NPS-UD to ensure sufficient additional infrastructure (which includes social infrastructure such as educational facilities) is provided in development and local authorities must be satisfied that additional infrastructure to service the development capacity is likely to be available.	current and fut communities in	ure needs of people and the district.		Infrastructure Chapter
FS78.008	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Oppose	The submitter is not opposed to the treatment of educational facilities as infrastructure within the proposed FNDP, however the proposed definition of additional infrastructure includes a network operated for the purpose of transmitting or distributing electricity. As a result, the National Grid would be identified as Regionally Significant Infrastructure, Infrastructure and Additional Infrastructure. This is likely to create confusion in interpreting the proposed FNDP.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS354.056	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	HortNZ does not support inclusion of additional infrastructure as sought by the submitter.	Disallow	Disallow S331.012	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS369.109	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy sought to retain this objective as notified but do not have any particular concern with this objective being expanded to include "additional infrastructure" such	Disallow	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
			as educational facilities. However, it is concerned about the potential issues this will create in terms of interpretation given the existing definition of "infrastructure."				Infrastructure Chapter Key Issue 4: Objectives	
FS403.085	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter Key Issue 4: Objectives
S561.019	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	I-O1	Support	The objective provides the framework for ensuring infrastructure is in the right place, at the right time, to manage urban growth.	Retain I-O1 as no	tified.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS32.073	Jeff Kemp		Oppose	The original submission seeks to amend the FNDP in a way which changes how the FNDC has previously managed the district's natural and physical resources. The nature and scale of the outcomes sought have no supporting documents which address the appropriateness of the changes such as the costs and benefits involved. As a minimum, the submitter should have provided a s32 analysis of the proposed changes. The amenity, values and character of the district's urban areas have developed over time through various district plans. The wider community and applicants have an understanding of and have appreciated the consenting process. The original submission seeks a completely different planning framework away from an effects-based district plan and is essentially reallocating the goal posts.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Position Reasons		Summary of Decision Requested		Relevant section of S42A Report
		application for a private plan of which would provide the oppositions most affected to be involved.	The original submission heralds the application for a private plan change which would provide the opportunity for those most affected to be involved.					
FS369.115	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this objective as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS403.091	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Disallow	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS23.291	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support	Generally support for the reasons set out in the submission of Kāinga Ora. It is important that peoples' wellbeing, and in particular their ability to establish housing on their land is enabled. Also particularly support the changes proposed for recognition of and development on Māori land.	Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS47.033	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose	The KO submission contravenes our original submission throughout, as we are seeking a shift from the permissive approach to a more prescriptive DP supported by Master Plans for central areas and Spatial Plans (still under preparation and long overdue), while KO suggests a considerably more permissive plan.	Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
				Our submission states "We are concerned that the PDP, as currently drafted, would support development in the form that undermines character, amenity values and other aspects of the environment that our communities value", but KO's proposals would further reduce the limited opportunity for the public to have input into resource consent applications etc see FS document.				

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS348.106	Alec Brian Cox	Oppose	Oppose	The submission was not made by the closing date and is therefore not a valid submission under RMA.	Disallow I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed.		Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S165.005	Arvida Group Limited	I-01	Support in part	The "statement of intent" contained in these objectives and policies needs to be linked to the FNDC Long Term Plan or other funding and delivery mechanisms so that the Council can enable the District's people and communities to provide for the own social and economic well-being.	measures that the	y in the PDP those e Council will take to lead frastructure in a timely support and enable growth.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS369.108	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports provision of infrastructure in a timely manner.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS403.084	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S416.011	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	I-01	Support	KiwiRail support the objective for effective, efficient, resilient and safe infrastructure throughout the district. Recognising and providing for infrastructure in policy is supported by KiwiRail. KiwiRail support provision for operation, maintenance, repair, removal of infrastructure as well as upgrades to, and new infrastructure.	Retain Objective	I-O1	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.110	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this objective as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of D	ecision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS403.086	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora	a Tai Ora	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Disallow	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S421.020	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-O1	Support	Federated Farmers supports objectives I-O1, I-O2, I-O5 and I-O6 as they are currently worded.		re I-O1 or ensure that acclude similar wording that ame intent.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.111	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this objective as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS403.087	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Disallow	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS570.1252	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS346.254	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS566.1266	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS569.1288	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S454.036	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-O1	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this objective in the FNPDP.	Retain I-O1.		Accept	Section 5.2.4

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
								Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.112	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this objective as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS403.088	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Disallow	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S463.011	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	I-O1	Support	In WBF's view, with climate change effects becoming more pronounced, it is critical to provide infrastructure that embeds resilience and anticipates that the district's infrastructure needs may change over time.	Retain Objective	I-O1	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.113	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this objective as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS403.089	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Disallow	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S489.011	Radio New Zealand	I-O1	Support	No Comment	Retain Objective	I-O1	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.114	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this objective as notified	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS403.090	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Disallow	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S483.033	Top Energy Limited	I-01	Support	Top Energy supports this objective.	Retain Objective	I-O1	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS345.084	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S331.013	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	I-O2	Support in part	The submitter supports in part objective I-02 however, the definition of 'infrastructure' does not include additional infrastructure (which includes social infrastructure such as educational facilities). Educational facilities are a crucial form of social infrastructure that is needed to support local communities and their social and economic wellbeing. Under the NPS-UD, social infrastructure is included with the definition of 'additional infrastructure'. Council has an obligation under the NPS-UD to ensure sufficient additional infrastructure (which includes social infrastructure such as educational facilities) is provided in development and local authorities must be satisfied that additional infrastructure to service the development capacity is likely to be available.	Amend objective I-02 as follows: The economic and community benefits of infrastructure (including additional infrastructure) are recognised and provided for, including the benefits of regionally significant infrastructure to enhance economic, cultural, environmental and social well-being in the district.		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS78.009	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Oppose	The submitter is not opposed to the treatment of educational facilities as infrastructure within the proposed FNDP, however the proposed definition of additional infrastructure includes a network operated for the purpose of transmitting or distributing electricity. As a result, the National Grid would be identified as Regionally Significant Infrastructure, Infrastructure and Additional Infrastructure. This is likely to create confusion in interpreting the proposed FNDP.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS354.057	Horticulture New Zealand	lew	Oppose	HortNZ does not support inclusion of additional infrastructure as sought by the submitter.	Disallow	Disallow S331.013	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS369.118	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy's submission seeks to amend this objective to capture the full range of benefits in alignment with the Strategic Direction Chapter. Top Energy do not have any particular concern with this objective being expanded to include "additional infrastructure" such as educational facilities. However, it is concerned about the potential issues this will create in terms of interpretation given the existing definition of "infrastructure."	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S483.034	Top Energy Limited	I-O2	Support	Top Energy support the acknowledgment of the benefits of infrastructure and regionally significant infrastructure but seek amendments to capture the full range of benefits in alignment with the Strategic Direction Chapter.	and community infrastructure a significant infra recognised and the benefits of infrastructure t	ultural, environmental y social benefits of and regionally astructure are provided for, including regionally significant o enhance economic, nmental and social	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS131.008	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ		Oppose	The submitter is concerned that the change of language removes the intent to balance infrastructure needs against the enhancement obligations to community and district well-being.	Disallow	Retain "to enhance economic, cultural environmental and social well-being in the district" under I-O2 (inferred).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission	Submitter (S) /	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer	Relevant section
Point	Further Submitter (FS)	er (FS) Boys, oe B2 Trust uaetahi				recommendation	of S42A Report	
	and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation							
FS345.085	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
\$421.021	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-O2	Support	Federated Farmers supports objectives I-O1, I-O2, I-O5 and I-O6 as they are currently worded.		-O2 or ensure that ude similar wording that e intent.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS354.058	Horticulture New Zealand		Support	HortNZ supports I-02 being retained.	Allow	Allow S421.021	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.120	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy's submission seeks to amend this objective to capture the full range of benefits in alignment with the Strategic Direction Chapter.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS570.1253	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS346.255	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS566.1267	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS569.1289	Vision Kerikeri 2	Oppos	Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S158.007	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	I-O2	Support	The infrastructure policy framework appropriately provides recognition of the benefits of, and protection for, regionally significant infrastructure (i.e. including Northland Regional Corrections Facility).	Retain Objective	- -O2	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.116	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy's submission seeks to amend this objective to capture the full range of benefits in alignment with the Strategic Direction Chapter.	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S165.006	Arvida Group Limited	I-O2	Support in part	The "statement of intent" contained in these objectives and policies needs to be linked to the FNDC Long Term Plan or other funding and delivery mechanisms so that the Council can enable the District's people and communities to provide for the own social and economic well-being.	Amend to Identify in the PDP those measures that the Council will take to lead and provide for infrastructure in a timely manner that will support and enable growth.		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS369.117	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy's submission seeks to amend this objective to capture the full range of benefits in alignment with the Strategic Direction Chapter.	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S416.012	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	I-O2	Support	KiwiRail supports the recognition of the benefits of rail in the district including its positive effects on economic and social well-being.	Retain Objective I-O2		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.119	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy's submission seeks to amend this objective to capture the full range of benefits in alignment with the Strategic Direction Chapter	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S454.037	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-O2	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this objective in the FNPDP.	Retain I-O2		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.121	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy's submission seeks to amend this objective to capture the full range of benefits in alignment with the Strategic Direction Chapter	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission		Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S489.012	Radio New Zealand	I-O2	Support	RNZ supports recognition of infrastructure benefits, including specific inclusion of regionally significant infrastructure.	Retain Objective I	-O2	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.122	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy's submission seeks to amend this objective to capture the full range of benefits in alignment with the Strategic Direction Chapter.	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S489.013	Radio New Zealand	I-O3	Support	RNZ supports the objective to protect infrastructure from incompatible land use, including specific inclusion of reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain Objective I-O3		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS129.6	Waste Management New Zealand Limited		Support		Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.127	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to include repair.	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S159.031	Horticulture New Zealand	I-O3	Oppose	HortNZ opposes an objective of 'protection' as this is inconsistent with higher order documents such as the RPS and NPS-ET.	that infrastruct compromised to use, subdivision may result in re	s protected from Ensure for incompatible land an and development that everse sensitivity effects fective operation,	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS151.187	Ngāi Tukairangi		Support	,	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.4
	No.2 Trust							Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS304.013	Radio New		Oppose	The submitter opposes the proposed	Disallow	Disallow the original	Accept	Section 5.2.4
	Zealand			amendments as they weaken the protection provided by the objective as notified. This is inappropriate for regionally significant infrastructure and lifeline utilities.		submission		Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS548.042	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Support	Federated Farmers agrees with the submitter that the relevant higher order planning documents do not require the protection of infrastructure. The provisions in the Proposed District Plan need to be consistent with these higher order documents.	Allow	Grant the relief sought	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.123	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to include repair.	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS570.193	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS566.207	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS569.229	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S421.024	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-O3	Oppose	Objective I-O3 through its absolute protection of infrastructure will cause significant complications to our members, rural landowners, primary producers along with their everyday activities.	Delete Objective I-O3		Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS78.025	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Oppose	Infrastructure such as the National Grid is critical for enabling people and communities to provide for their economic, social and cultural wellbeing. This is recognised through the objective and policies of the NPSET which requires (amongst other things) the management of activities to avoid reverse sensitivity effects on the electricity transmission network and to ensure that operation, maintenance, upgrading, and development of the electricity transmission network is not compromised. These objectives must be given effect to in the proposed FNDP, therefore the deletion of Objective I-O3 is inappropriate.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives	
FS369.125	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to include repair.	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS570.1256	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS346.258	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS566.1270	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS569.1292	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Amend Objective I-O3 as follows: Infrastructure is protected from incompatible land use, subdivision and development that may result in reverse sensitivity effects to ensure its effective operation, repair, maintenance and upgrading.		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S483.035	Top Energy Limited	I-O3	Support	This objective seeks to ensure that infrastructure is protected from incompatible land use and development. Top Energy supports this direction, but considers that the wording needs to capture operation, repair, maintenance and upgrading. This objective also refers to upgrading which Top Energy have sought a definition for in an earlier submission point.			Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS304.008	Radio New Zealand		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS345.086	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S416.013	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	I-O3	Support in part	The objective to protect infrastructure from adverse effects of subdivision, use and development, including reverse sensitivity, is supported by KiwiRail. KiwiRail supports this policy which should also include repair as an essential activity for functioning networks and for consistency with other plan provisions.	Amend Objective i-O3 as follows: Infrastructure is protected from incompatible land use, subdivision and development that may result in reverse sensitivity effects to ensure its effective operation, maintenance repair and upgrading.		Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.124	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to include repair.	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S454.038	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-O3	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this objective in the FNPDP.	Retain I-O3		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.126	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to include repair.	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S483.036	Top Energy Limited	I-04	Support	Top Energy supports the direction to manage adverse effects of infrastructure in areas with historical and cultural values, natural values and coastal values, but considers it is important that the operational and functional need of infrastructure to locate in these areas is recognised and provided for.	Adverse effects of managed through infrastructure to mareas with historic natural values, an recognising and operational and	Amend Objective I-O4 as follows: Adverse effects of infrastructure are managed through the design and location of infrastructure to minimise adverse effects on areas with historical and cultural values, natural values, and coastal values, while recognising and providing for the operational and functional need of infrastructure to locate in these areas.		Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS51.20	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Oppose	HNZPT opposes the proposed amendment is unnecessary. The objective specifically addresses and recognises the potential for infrastructure causing adverse effects on areas with historic heritage & cultural values.	Disallow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS131.009	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	The original submission appears to be seeking discretion to override existing constraints the proposed FNDP endeavours to use to protect such as historical, cultural natural and coastal values. The original submitter should be required to properly engage and consult the land owners and mana whenua.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission (inferred).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS346.057	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendment sought does not appropriately recognise and provide for s6(a)-(c) matters.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS345.087	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S463.012	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	I-O4	Oppose	The locational, operational and functional needs of infrastructure can preclude the avoidance of adverse effects. In WBF's view it is appropriate therefore to provide for the "management" rather than avoidance of potential effects. However, the drafting of the objective is repetitive and therefore WBF suggests refinements.	Amend Objective I-O4 as follows: I-O4 Adverse effects of infrastructure are managed through t The design and location of infrastructure is managed to minimise adverse effects on areas with historical and cultural values, natural values, and coastal values.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS51.135	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Oppose	HNZPT considers the proposed amended text removes the necessary direction to ensure the giving effect to Part 2 of the RMA relating to historic heritage.	Disallow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS91.3	Moana Kiff		Oppose	We fully support the rule which emphasizes the management of adverse effects of infrastructure. This rule's focus on designing and locating infrastructure to minimize negative impacts on the areas with historical and cultural values, natural values, and coastal values aligns with our commitment to preserving these important aspects of our community and environment. By implementing this rule effectively, we can ensure that our infrastructure development is carried out responsibly and in a way that respects our cultural heritage and natural resources.	Disallow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.132	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to recognise the operational and functional need of infrastructure to locate in these areas.	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S421.025	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-O4	Support in part	Objective I-O4 needs to recognise land that contains highly productive soils and the economic values of these areas as well as the natural, cultural, and historic values currently specified.	infrastructure to m		Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
			(ii	natural values, ec (including high coastal values. or wording with a	ly productive soils), and			
FS36.027	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency Horticulture New Zealand Top Energy		Oppose	Opposes the proposed amendments which includes 'economic value' which are unclear and undefined in the Resource Management Act and may constrain the provision of future infrastructure.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS354.059			Support	Recognition of highly productive land in the objective is supported.	Allow	Allow S421.025	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.129	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to recognise the operational and functional need of infrastructure to locate in these areas.	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS570.1257	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS346.259	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS566.1271	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS569.1293	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Disallow the original submission		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S511.039	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	I-O4	Support in part	The word 'minimise' is not appropriate and does not reflect the terminology used in RMA, s5 This chapter does not have any rules that address indigenous biodiversity. It may be that this objective is better reflected in the IB chapter.			Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS36.028	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Oppose	Supports managing adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity but notes that infrastructure, such as transport corridors have a functional and operational need to be located in some sensitive locations to provide its function as a lifeline utility. The relief sought will make it increasingly difficult, and in some cases impossible, to provide, operate and maintain lifeline utilities for communities, and is inconsistent with NPS-IB.			Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS164.039	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
				being recognised as a high character area.				
FS548.151	Northland Federated		Support in part	Federated Farmers suggested an amendment to objective I-O4 to include economic values which would also make the wording more consistent with	Allow in part	Grant the relief sought along with the relief sought in Federated	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission	Submitter (S) /	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer	Relevant section
Point	Further Submitter (FS)					recommendation	of S42A Report	
	Farmers of New Zealand Inc			s5 the Resource Management Act 1991.		Farmers original submission.		
FS369.133	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to recognise the operational and functional need of infrastructure to locate in these areas.	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS570.1610	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS566.1624	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS569.1646	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S394.013	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust	I-O4	Support in part	In some instances, higher order instruments require avoidance, rather than minimisation of some adverse effects. There may also be instances where it is simply not appropriate or in line with sustainable management to allow adverse effects on vulnerable values.	managed through infrastructure to a adverse effects effects on areas	I-O4 as follows: f infrastructure are the design and location of void significant or minimise adverse s with historical and natural values, and	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.128	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to recognise the operational and functional need of infrastructure to locate in these areas.	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS363.013	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Further Submitter (FS)	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS538.013	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS537.013	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS536.013	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS535.013	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS533.013	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS532.013	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS531.013	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS530.013	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS529.013	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS528.013	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Further Submitter (FS) David Matiu Support I	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS527.013	David Matiu		I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives	
FS526.013	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS525.013	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS524.013	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS523.013	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS522.013	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS521.013	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS520.013	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS519.013	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS518.013	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission	Submitter (S) /	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer	Relevant section
Point	Further Submitter (FS)						recommendation	of S42A Report
FS517.013	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS516.013	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS515.013	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS514.013	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS513.013	Ester Rangi Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS512.013	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS511.013	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS510.013	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS509.013	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS508.013	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Further	Provision Position Support	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS507.013				I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS506.013	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS505.013	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS504.013	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS503.013	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS502.013	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS501.013	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS500.013	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS499.013	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS498.013	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) /	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
Pollit	Further Submitter (FS)						recommendation	
FS497.013	Tayla Bamber	Tayla Bamber	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS496.013	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS495.013	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS494.013	lan Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS493.013	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS492.013	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS491.013	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS490.013	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS489.013	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS487.013	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission	Submitter (S) /	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer	Relevant section of S42A Report
Point	Further Submitter (FS)						recommendation	
FS486.013	John Barry Horan	, ,	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS485.013	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS483.013	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS482.013	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS481.013	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS480.013	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS479.013	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS478.013	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS477.013	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS476.013	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS475.013	Marina Chase	arina Chase	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS474.013	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS473.013	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS472.013	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS471.013	William Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS470.013	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS469.013	Anne-maree Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS468.013	Elias Reihana- Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS467.013	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS466.013	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Further	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS465.013			Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS464.013	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS463.013	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS462.013	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS461.013	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS460.013	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS459.013	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS458.013	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS457.013	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS456.013	Rhys Alexander Lawrence- Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Further Submitter (FS) Rangi Matthew	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS455.013	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS454.013	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS453.013	Marlaine Urlich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS452.013	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS451.013	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS450.013	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS447.013	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS440.013	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS439.013	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS436.013	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Further Submitter (FS) George Hori	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS435.013	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS434.013	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS433.013	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS432.013	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS431.013	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS430.013	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS429.013	Cedric Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS428.013	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS427.013	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS426.013	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	er (FS)	Support I support the protect waah	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS425.013	Florence Campbell			I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS423.013	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS422.013	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS421.013	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS420.013	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS418.013	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS417.013	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS416.013	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS415.013	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS408.013	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission	Submitter (S) /	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	Decision Requested	Officer	Relevant section
Point	Further Submitter (FS)						recommendation	of S42A Report
FS388.013	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS387.013	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS386.013	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS385.013	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS382.013	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS381.013	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS380.013	Ashleigh Hetaraka- Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS379.013	Kaya Hetaraka- Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS378.013	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS365.013	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	,	rovision Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS360.013	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS359.013	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS358.013	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS357.013	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS356.013	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS568.013	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS567.013	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS563.013	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS562.013	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS561.013	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS) Dylan Hetaraka	her mitter (FS)	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS560.013			Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS559.013	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS558.013	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS557.013	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS556.013	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS555.013	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS553.013	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS552.013	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS551.013	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS546.013	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS545.013	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS544.013	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS178.013	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS413.013	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS588.013	lan Taylor Bamber		Support	Support original submission to protect our wāhi tapu sites of significance and rights as tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S442.059	Kapiro Conservation Trust	I-O4	Support in part	The word 'minimise' is not appropriate and does not reflect the terminology used in RMA, s5 This chapter does not have any rules that address indigenous biodiversity. It may be that this objective is better reflected in the IB chapter.	Amend: " avoi d minimise"	d, remedy or mitigate	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.130	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to recognise the operational and functional need of infrastructure to locate in these areas.	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS570.1756	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS346.670	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.				
S454.039	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-O4	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this objective in the FNPDP.	Retain I-O4		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.131	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to recognise the operational and functional need of infrastructure to locate in these areas.	Disallow in part	Accept in part	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S454.040	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-O5	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this objective in the FNPDP.	Retain I-O5		Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S483.037	Top Energy Limited	I-O5	Support	Top Energy supports this objective as proposed.	Retain Objective I-O5		Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS448.003	L & T Property Investments Limited		Support	Objective I-O5 recognises infrastructure is to be integrated with land use (rather than the other way around) and that infrastructure is to be coordinated at the time of subdivision and development (and not the other way around). The wording as drafted reinforces that infrastructure is intended to provide for planned growth and development and the Further Submitter supports this accordingly.	Allow	Retain Objective I-O5	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS345.088	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S138.005	Kairos Connection Trust and Habitat for Humanity Northern Region Ltd	I-O5	Support in part	The adequacy of urban three waters infrastructure to service land use development in reticulated urban centres is critical to the realisation of FNDC's assessment of future urban residential land supply and assumptions about future housing	Amend Objective I-O5 as follows: The provision of infrastructure is integrated with Plan enabled subdivision and land use and is coordinated at the time of subdivision and development.		Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				yield. With respect to wastewater infrastructure, the Section 32 overview information appears to indicate that urban zones have been consolidated to include land that is currently able to be serviced or is within areas where there are planned services. However, this is not clear from either the subdivision or zone rules that require servicing capacity to be confirmed at the time of a subdivision or land use consent application. Concerned that if it is a developer's sole responsibility to confirm the capacity of a wastewater infrastructure and demonstrate to Council that a controlled or permitted activity housing proposal is capable of being serviced, that this uncertainty and investigation cost will be a disincentive to proceeding with a proposal. Kairos and Habitat seek that the Council publicise baseline capacity information about its wastewater infrastructure in all of its urban centres and that proposed objectives and policies reflect the fact that it is the Council's responsibility to service urban development that is permitted in a zone.				
FS369.134	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to retain this objective as notified, and does not support the amendments sought by this submission.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S421.022	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-O5	Support	Federated Farmers supports objectives I-O1, I-O2, I-O5 and I-O6 as they are currently worded.	Retain Objective amendments incl achieves the sam	I-O5 or ensure that ude similar wording that e intent.	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.135	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this objective as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.137	Top Energy	Top Energy	Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to recognise the operational and functional need to locate in these areas.	Disallow in part	Disallow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS570.1254	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS346.256	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS566.1268	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS569.1290	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S561.020	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	I-06	Support	The objective provides the framework to ensure large scale regional wide infrastructure does not compromise the development potential of Maori land. Kāinga Ora support this planning approach.	Retain I-O6 as no	tified	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS32.074	Jeff Kemp		Oppose	The original submission seeks to amend the FNDP in a way which changes how the FNDC has previously managed the district's natural and physical resources. The nature and scale of the outcomes sought have no supporting documents which address the appropriateness of the changes such as the costs and benefits involved. As a minimum, the submitter	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				should have provided a s32 analysis of the proposed changes. The amenity, values and character of the district's urban areas have developed over time through various district plans. The wider community and applicants have an understanding of and have appreciated the consenting process. The original submission seeks a completely different planning framework away from an effects-based district plan and is essentially reallocating the goal posts. The original submission heralds the application for a private plan change which would provide the opportunity for those most affected to be involved.				
FS23.292	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support	Generally support for the reasons set out in the submission of Kāinga Ora. It is important that peoples' wellbeing, and in particular their ability to establish housing on their land is enabled. Also particularly support the changes proposed for recognition of and development on Māori land.	Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS47.034	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose	The KO submission contravenes our original submission throughout, as we are seeking a shift from the permissive approach to a more prescriptive DP supported by Master Plans for central areas and Spatial Plans (still under preparation and long overdue), while KO suggests a considerably more permissive plan.	Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
				Our submission states "We are concerned that the PDP, as currently drafted, would support development in the form that undermines character, amenity values and other aspects of the environment that our communities value", but KO's proposals would				

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report	
					further reduce the limited opportunity for the public to have input into resource consent applications etc see FS document.				
FS348.107	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose	The submission was not made by the closing date and is therefore not a valid submission under RMA.	Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives	
S483.038	Top Energy Limited	I-06	Not Stated	Top Energy supports the ability of tangata whenua to develop land in the Māori Purpose Zone or Treaty Settlement overlay. However, Top Energy considers that the bar of "does not constrain" is too high. The provision of infrastructure to support the development of that land may lead to some constraints (e.g., the provision of electricity lines to service development on that land may require building setbacks to comply with the necessary safe setback distances in NZECP 34:2001). There is also often an operational and functional need for infrastructure to be located in these areas that needs to be recognised and provided for.	Amend Objective I-O6 as follows: The location of infrastructure does not unduly constrain the ability of tangata whenua to develop land in the Māori Purpose zone or the Treaty Settlement overlay, while recognising and providing for the operational and functional need of infrastructure to locate in these areas.		Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives	
FS131.0010	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	The submitter seeks discretion to interpret what " Does not constrain" means for their own interest. The original submitter should be required to properly engage and consult the land owners and mana whenua.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission (inferred).	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives	

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS345.089	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S454.041	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-O6	Not Stated	Transpower supports the intent of this objective however it has some concerns regarding its potential impact on the National Grid. The existing KOE-MPE-A line crosses some areas of Māori Purpose - Rural zoned land. While Transpower supports planning provisions that enable tangata whenua to develop this land, there are locational, operational and functional constraints that mean that certain developments and activities cannot occur in the vicinity of the National Grid. Transpower suggests an amendment to Objective I-O6 to ensure that this is clear and transparent.	The location of int unnecessarily of tangata whenus Māori Purpose Settlement ove the locational,	al Grid infrastructure; frastructure does not constrain the ability of a to develop land in the zone or the Treaty rlay, while recognising	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS369.138	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to recognise the operational and functional need to locate in these areas.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S421.023	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-O6	Support	Federated Farmers supports objectives I-O1, I-O2, I-O5 and I-O6 as they are currently worded.		-O6 or ensure that ude similar wording that e intent.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS570.1255	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS346.257	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS566.1269	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
FS569.1291	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S485.030	Elbury Holdings	Policies	Oppose	Seek some Policies under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the Draft Management Plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts.	policies providing Council drainage the ability to clean service the draina Waiharara/Kaiking areas, as defined	for existing mapped district drains, to ensure any unblock access and ge channels in the Kaitaia, and Motutangi drainage in the Far North District inage Bylaw 2019 and the treat Plan 2017.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S358.025	Leah Frieling	Policies	Oppose	The current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts.	policies providing Council drainage the ability to clean service the draina Waiharara/Kaiking	fructure section, by adding for existing mapped district drains, to ensure n, unblock access and ge channels in the Kaitaia, o and Motutangi drainage in the Far North Land 019.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S547.029	LJ King Limited	Policies	Oppose	We seek some Policies under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the Draft Management Plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts.	policies providing Council drainage the ability to clean service the draina Waiharara/Kaiking areas, as defined	fructure section, by adding for existing mapped district drains, to ensure n, unblock access and ge channels in the Kaitaia, o and Motutangi drainage in the Far North District inage Bylaw 2019 and the tt Plan 2017.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S541.027	Elbury Holdings	Policies	Oppose	We seek some Policies under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the Draft Management Plan 2017 and current	policies providing Council drainage the ability to clean service the draina	tructure section, by adding for existing mapped district drains, to ensure n, unblock access and ge channels in the Kaitaia, o and Motutangi drainage	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				drainage districts.	areas, as defined in the Far North District Council Land Drainage Bylaw 2019 and the Draft Management Plan 2017.		the Infrastructure Chapter
FS155.35	Fiona King		Support	Same as previous comments but wish to be heard on these submissions.	Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S519.030	Elbury Holdings	Policies	Oppose	We seek some Policies under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the Draft Management Plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts	Amend the Infrastructure section, by adding policies providing for existing mapped Council drainage district drains, to ensure the ability to clean, unblock access and service the drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Far North District Council Land Drainage Bylaw 2019 and the Draft Management Plan 2017.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS155.36	Fiona King		Support	Same as previous comments.	Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S559.050	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia	Policies	Support in part	The amendment is to ensure recharge is maintained.	Insert a policy into the PDP which requires low impact stormwater design for new development.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS151.359	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS243.052	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports development aligned with the provision of climateresilient services and infrastructure, however requiring low impact stormwater design for all new development could create barriers to delivering affordable housing.	Allow Insert a policy into the PDP which requires low impact stormwater design for new development.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS570.2240	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS348.077	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose	The submission was not made by the closing date and is therefore not a valid submission under RMA.	Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.2254	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.2276	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S472.025	Michael Foy	Policies	Support in part	We seek some rules under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts.	Amend the Infrastructure section, by adding policies providing for existing mapped Council drainage district drains, to ensure the ability to clean, unblock access and service the drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Far North Land Drainage Bylaw 2019.		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS258.2	Logan King		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S511.042	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Policies	Not Stated	The policy does not give effect to the RPS, policies 5.3.3, 4.4.1(3) and 4.6.1.	Significant Infrast Outside the coa manage the eff	estal environment ects of new and the re- existing Regionally	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					g. avoiding effects on historical and cultural values, significant natural areas, and outstanding natural features or landscapes to the extent practicable; h. minimising mitigating or remedying adverse effects on historical and cultural values, natural environment values that cannot be avoided; i. recognising the technical, operational and functional needs and constraints of infrastructure activities; j. Biodiversity offsetting more than minor residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated; and k. If more than minor residual adverse effects remain after biodiversity offsetting then consider Environmental biodiversity compensation measures to ensure that any residual adverse effect is no more than minor. And then add a new policy to address maintenance, operation and upgrading of RSI. Outside the coastal environment		
					manage the effects of operation,		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					maintenance and upgrading of existing Regionally Significant Infrastructure by: a. Avoiding significant adverse effects and the adverse effects after the conclusion of the maintenance or upgrading or operation are the same or similar to before the activity being undertaken; and b. Then consider offsetting and environmental compensation measures where there are more than minor residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated.		
FS36.029	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Oppose	Opposes the inclusion of the two proposed policies introducing biodiversity as it would introduce unnecessary repetition (from the ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity chapter) and/or constrain the provision, operation and maintenance of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Disallow Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS78.052	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Oppose	The submitter opposes the inclusion of this policy because the definition of Regionally Significant Infrastructure in the RPSN includes the National Grid and this policy could therefore apply to the National Grid in the Far North, however the policy does not give effect to the NPSET. The submitter would	Disallow Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				prefer a standalone policy that relates to the National Grid.				
FS164.042	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS354.065	Horticulture New Zealand		Support	The submitter seeks policies specifically for regionally significant infrastructure. However HPL should	Allow	Allow S511.042 but also include highly productive land as a matter to be	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.144	Top Energy		Support in part	also be recognised in the policies. Top Energy seeks appropriate provision and enablement of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS403.094	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek appropriate provision and enablement of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek appropriate provision and enablement of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS404.092	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Support	If policies addressing indigenous biodiversity remain in this chapter, the D-G supports proposed approach, including narrowing the application RSI and the directive to avoid on NZCPS Policy 11(a) values.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS570.1613	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1627	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1649	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S511.043	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Policies	Not Stated	This policy elevates all infrastructure in the Far North to the status of RSI, National Grid, electricity transmission and renewable electricity generation activities. The definition for RSI is already far ranging and including all of infrastructure is a step to far and has no support from higher order planning documents. This policy does not meet the requirements of the NZCPS because it provides access to the effects mitigation hierarchy for all infrastructure in the Far North. This is contrary to the express requirements of the NZCPS in regards to ONLs, ONFs, and policy 11(a) matters. It also runs counter to RPS, policies 5.3.3, 4.4.1, 4.6.1 and 4.6.2. The RPS only provides access to the mitigation hierarchy for RSI not infrastructure as a whole in	operation, mainte RSI as follows: In the coastal e the effects of tl maintenance a Regionally Sign activities by: a. avoidin the va charac i. si ii. T fe a n b. Avoidi i. Ir	s reflecting direction nance and upgrading of novironment, manage ne, operation, and upgrading of ificant Infrastructure ng adverse effects on lues, qualities and atteristics of: gnificant natural areas he outstanding natural eatures or landscapes, areas of outstanding atterial character; ng adverse effects on: ndigenous taxa that are sted as threatened or trisk in the NZ Threat lassification System sts; and	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					ii. Areas set aside for full or partial protection of indigenous biodiversity under other legislation c. avoiding significant adverse effects on: i. other natural features and landscapes, and areas of natural character; ii. areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation; iii. Habitats of indigenous species important for recreational, commercial, traditional, or cultural purposes; iv. Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including estuaries, lagoons, coastal wetlands, dunelands, intertidal zones, rocky reefs systems, eelgrass, northern wet heathlands, coastal and headwater streams, floodplains, margins of the coastal marine area and freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					areas and saltmarsh; and V. Historic heritage d. avoid, remedy, mitigate other adverse effects; e. recognising the technical, operational and functional needs and constraints of infrastructure activities; and f. where significant adverse effects are avoided and the adverse effects after the conclusion of the maintenance or upgrading or operation are the same or similar to before the activity being undertaken consider offsetting and environmental compensation measures where there are more than minor residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated.		
FS36.030	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Oppose	Opposes the relief sought as it would introduce unnecessary repetition and/or constrain the provision, operation and maintenance of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Disallow Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS164.043	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity	Allow Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery.		provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).		
				The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.				
FS354.066	Horticulture New Zealand		Support	The submitter seeks policies specifically for regionally significant infrastructure.	Allow	Allow S511.043	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.145	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks appropriate provision and enablement of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS403.095	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek appropriate provision and enablement of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek appropriate provision and enablement of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS404.090	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Support	If policies addressing indigenous biodiversity remain in this chapter, the D-G supports proposed approach, including narrowing the application RSI and the directive to avoid on NZCPS Policy 11(a) values.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1614	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1628	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS569.1650	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S511.038	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Policies	Not Stated	This chapter is confusing because it appears to use RSI and infrastructure in the objectives and policies interchangeably. In many instances under the RPS only RSI gains access to the mitigation hierarchy, not all infrastructure in general. The definition of RSI is already broad and bringing in all of infrastructure is not warranted.			Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS78.051	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter agrees that the chapter uses a number of related but different terms interchangeably. It would assist with implementation of the proposed plan if the use of different terms was clarified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS164.038	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
				being recognised as a high character area.				
FS354.064	Horticulture New Zealand		Support	Clear differentiation between infrastructure and regionally significant infrastructure is supported.	Allow	Allow S511.038	Reject	Section 5.2.5

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
								Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.143	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks appropriate provision and enablement of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS403.092	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek appropriate provision and enablement of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek appropriate provision and enablement of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1609	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1623	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1645	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S454.044	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Policies	Not Stated	Transpower seeks specific National Grid provisions to give effect to the NPSET. The need to operate, maintain, upgrade and develop the electricity transmission network is recognised as a matter of national significance through the NPSET. This significance applies universally across the country regardless of the nature of the specific National Grid asset. The NPSET Objective recognises that the network itself potentially gives rise to adverse effects, and that other activities can potentially adversely affect the network. The NPSET policies give direction on how to achieve the objective by providing for the recognition of the	the National G 1. In urb develor minin urban avoid effect and N areas amen	r the development of	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

well as the management of the environmental effects of electricity transmission and the adverse effects of other activities on the transmission network. As such, the NPSET policies impose obligations on both decisionmakers and Transpower itself. One of the aspects within the NPSET which must be given effect to in district plans is provisions relating to enabling the National Grid. Policies and plans must provide for the effective operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid assets within the Refect within the NPSET within the NPSET which outs provide for the effective operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid. The development of the National Grid assets within the Refect of the Section of the National Grid assets environmental effects of electricity within the NPSET within the NPSET which outs of the National Grid assets environmental effects of electricity within the NPSET within the NPSET within the NPSET which outs of the National Grid assets environmental effects of electricity within the NPSET within the NPSET which outs of the National Grid assets environmental effects of electricity within the NPSET within the NPSET which outs of the NPSET with the NPSET which outs of the NPSET with the NPSET which outs of the NPSET which outs of the NPSET with the NPSET which outs of the NPSET which outs		of S42A Report
environmental effects. The development of the National Grid must therefore be managed to ensure the potential for adverse effects is appropriately managed while recognising its significance and the constraints under which it operates. The NPSET requires the District Plan to include objectives and policies that:—Allow for the consideration of the technical constraints and operational requirements under which the National Grid operates, for example the linear nature of the transmission lines.— Have regard to the extent to which adverse effects have been avoided, remedied or mitigated through the route, site and method selection.— Ensure new planning and development seeks to avoid adverse effects on more sensitive areas. Policies, plans and decision makers must take in to account the characteristics of the National Grid, its technical and operational constraints, and the route,	to avoid the adverse cts of the National Grid in areas identified in ED4 Significant Natural as, SCHED5 Outstanding ural Landscapes, SCHED6 ctanding Natural ures, SCHED7 High ural Character, SCHED8 ctanding Natural ronment. The the National Grid has actional need to locate in the coastal ronment, manage erse effects by: Seeking to avoid adverse effects on areas identified in SCHED4 Significant Natural Areas, SCHED5 Outstanding Natural Areas, SCHED6 Outstanding Natural Features, SCHED7 High Natural Character, SCHED8 Outstanding Natural Character. Where it is not practicable to avoid	

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				when considering the adverse effects of new National Grid infrastructure on the environment. On this basis, Transpower supports a new policy specific to the development of the National Grid.	adverse effects on the values of the areas in SCHED4 Significant Natural Areas, SCHED5 Outstanding Natural Landscapes, SCHED6 Outstanding Natural Features, SCHED7 High Natural Character, SCHED8 Outstanding Natural Character because of the functional needs or operational needs or operational needs of the National Grid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on those values; c. Seeking to avoid significant adverse effects on: i. other areas of natural character ii. natural attributes and character of other natural features and natural landscapes iii. indigenous biodiversity values that meet the criteria in		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					Policy 11(b) of the NZCPS 2010d. Avoiding, remedying or mitigating other adverse effects to the extent practicable; and		
					e. Recognising there may be some areas within SCHED4 Significant Natural Areas, SCHED5 Outstanding Natural Landscapes, SCHED6 Outstanding Natural Features, SCHED7 High Natural Character, SCHED8 Outstanding Natural Character, where avoidance of adverse effects is required to protect the identified values and characteristics.		
					4. When considering the adverse effects in respect of 1-3 above; a. Have regard to the extent to which adverse effects have been avoided, remedied or mitigated by the route,		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
						e and method lection; and		
					ar or fu Na co av m ef th be ar Fa th Amend to include amendments to or rules in the FNPD fully give effect to this new policy. S	insider the constraints ising from the perational needs or inctional needs of the ational Grid, when insidering measures to oid, remedy or itigate any adverse fects. In the event that ere is a conflict itween this policy and y other policies in the r North District Plan, is policy will prevail. any consequential ther objectives, policies and P that may be necessary to the NPSET and implement nould a National Grid		
						be provided, Transpower to policies I-P2 and IP-P3 e NPSET.		
FS548.132	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	As the operator of the National Grid, the submitter is required to meet its obligations under the Resource Management Act 1991. These obligations are not negated by the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS354.060	Horticulture New Zealand		Support in part	The submitter seeks a separate policy for the National Grid. A separate policy is supported to the extent that it differentiates from other infrastructure due to the NPSET applying. However	Allow	Allow S454.044 to the extent of inclusion of specific policies for the National Grid but ensure that the policy framework	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				the policy sought makes no mention of rural areas over which much of the National Grid traverses.		appropriately recognises the areas which the National Grid is located in.		
FS243.054	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes elements of the proposed change sought that may be inconsistent with the NPSET.	Disallow	Insert new policy	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.024	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Amendment sought does not adequately recognise and provide for s6(a)-(c) matters. Also oppose the direction that this policy would have primacy over others in the plan.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.059	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy also supports the amendment of this definition.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.141	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports specific provisions to give effect to NPSET.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS404.017	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Oppose	The relief is inconsistent with, s6(b), s6(c) of the Act and the cascade of planning documents including but not limited to the NZCPS, NPS-IB, and the Northland Regional Policy Statement 2016.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S454.050	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Policies	Not Stated	Transpower seeks specific National Grid provisions in order to give effect to the NPSET. The primary concerns are: Policy I-P7 does not comprehensively address all activities which may compromise the National Grid. In addition to the health and safety issues of activities locating within proximity of the National Grid, the National Grid can be affected by other activities that establish beneath or in close proximity to its lines and/or structures. Such activities can generate reverse sensitivity effects where	operation, mai upgrading, rem of National Gri by: a. Avoidi sensiti buildii	e safe and efficient intenance and repair, noval and development d from adverse effects ing land uses (including ive activities) and ngs and structures in the National Grid Yard	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				landowners/operators request a Council to impose constraints on existing infrastructure to manage effects such as noise, reduced visual amenity, radio and television interference, perceived Electric and Magnetic Field ('EMF') effects, or interference with business activities beneath the lines. The location of buildings and activities, particularly 'sensitive activities' such as schools and residential properties, beneath or in close proximity to lines and/or structures can also compromise Transpower's ability to maintain, upgrade and develop the National Grid. Additionally, the stability of National Grid lines can be affected by earthworks that destabilise support structures resulting in their need to be relocated NPSET Policies 10 and 11 are particularly relevant. These policies act as the primary guide to inform how adverse effects on the National Grid are managed. The policies seek to: • Avoid sensitive activities near electricity transmission lines and infrastructure; • Manage other activities to avoid reverse sensitivity effects on the Grid; and • Manage activities to ensure the operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the Grid is not compromised. As proposed, Policy I-P7 does address any of the above adequately. On this basis Transpower seeks a separate policy framework for the National Grid.	that may directly affect or otherwise compromise the National Grid; b. Avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on the National Grid. c. Only allowing subdivision within the National Grid Subdivision Corridor where it can be demonstrated that the National Grid will not be compromised taking into account: i. The impact of the subdivision layout and design on the operation, maintenance, and potential upgrade and development of the National Grid, including the ability for physical vehicle access to existing transmission assets for maintenance, inspections and upgrading; ii. The ability of any potential future development to comply with NZECP 34.2001 New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					Electrical Safety Distances; iii. The extent to which the design and layout of the subdivision demonstrates that a suitable building platform(s) for a principal building or dwelling can be provided outside of the National Grid Yard for each new allotment;		
					iv. The risk to the structur integrity of the Nationa Grid; v. The extent to which the subdivision design and consequential development will minimise the risk of injury and/or property damage from the National Grid and the potential reverse sensitivity on and	I	
					amenity and nuisance effects of the National Grid assets; vi. The nature and location of any proposed		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					vegetation to be planted in the vicinity of the National Grid;		
					vii. The outcome of any consultation with, and technical advice from, Transpower.		
					d. Only allowing earthworks within the National Grid Yard where it can be demonstrated that the safe and efficient functioning, operation, maintenance and repair, upgrading and development of the National Grid will not be compromised, taking into account:		
					i. The extent to which the earthworks may compromise the safe access to and operation, maintenance and repair, upgrading and development of the National Grid;		
					ii. The stability of land within and adjacent to the National Grid;		
					iii. Risks relating to health or public safety,		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					including the risk of property damage; and iv. Technical advice provided by the owner and operator of the National Grid.		
					In the event that there is a conflict between this policy and any other policies in the Far North District Plan, this policy will prevail. Transpower also requests any consequential amendments to other objectives, policies and rules in the FNPDP that may be necessary to fully give effect to the NPSET and implement this new policy. Should a National Grid specific policy not be provided, Transpower seeks amendment to policy I-P7 to give effect to the NPSET.		
FS354.061	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	The submitter seeks a separate policy for the National Grid. A separate policy is supported to the extent that it differentiates from other infrastructure due to the NPSET applying. However the NPSET seeks to recognise and provide for the National Grid and any policy framework should implement that approach - not 'protection'.	Disallow Disallow S454.050 to the extent that the wording sought for a new policy is inconsistent with the NPSET.	' '	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS243.056	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the decision sought on the basis that adverse effects from the national grid can be appropriately managed. Kāinga Ora opposes the amendment which is overly prescriptive. Kāinga Ora opposes elements of the proposed change sought that may be inconsistent with the NPSET.	Disallow Insert a new Policy.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.142	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports specific provisions to give effect to NPSET.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S454.058	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Policies	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this policy in the FNPDP.	Insert new policy: Ensure new sensitive activities are appropriately located and/or designed to minimise reverse sensitivity effects on National Grid infrastructure, including by requiring compliance with NZECP 34:2001.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS354.062	Horticulture New Zealand		Support	Recognition of reverse sensitivity from sensitive activities is appropriate.	Allow	Allow \$454.058	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS243.057	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the decision sought on the basis that adverse effects from the national grid can be appropriately managed and the concept of reverse sensitivity is not supported. Kāinga Ora opposes the amendment which is overly prescriptive. Kāinga Ora opposes elements of the proposed change sought that may be inconsistent with the NPSET.	Disallow	Insert a new policy.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S522.032	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	Policies	Support in part	Having relevant infrastructure in place should be a prerequisite for future development. The provision of necessary infrastructure must be high priority in PDP policies/rules. Given the Council's funding constraints, we consider that developers should normally be required to provide the necessary infrastructure, including items such as on-site community wastewater systems.	requirement for de	es to emphasise the eveloper input for vicing private land use and	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS62.004	Kapiro Conservation Trust 1		Support	It is clear that urban/residential development at Lot 1001 DP 532487 (productive farmland) and the surrounding rural area would be inappropriate for many reasons - • National Policy Standards recognise the need for district plans to support a well-functioning urban environment in towns, such as Kerikeri, and achieve a compact urban footprint that is accessible by active transport (i.e. walking, cycling), and protect productive rural land from inappropriate urban/residential sprawl. • Lot 1001 DP 532487 has a large area of good quality soil. It has one of the few remaining large blocks of Class 2 soil/land in the District. This is a strictly finite resource. • Keeping good land for agricultural production is essential for feeding ourselves and a growing world population in future decades, and necessary for local jobs and economic well-being. • FNDC has recognised that: 'Kerikeri has converted large areas of horticulture land into residential and rural lifestyle activities over the last 20 years. Therefore it is vital to protect this remaining finite resource and other rural land that is highly productive' (FNDC (2019) submission to MPI on productive land).	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
		the creation of lifestyle blo and residential developme productive land should be avoided because it fragme rural areas and leads to the permanent loss of produc	Government reports and studies have concluded that the creation of lifestyle blocks and residential development on productive land should be avoided because it fragments rural areas and leads to the permanent loss of productive capability.				
				The farmland at Lot 1001 DP 532487 adjoins the Horticulture zone on its west and southwest boundaries, so it is logical to include it in the Horticulture zone. Alternatively, Rural Production zoning would also protect the essential natural resource at this site.			
				Lot 1001 lies adjacent to a large irrigation pipeline (underground network) that serves productive land on Kapiro Road; this irrigation infrastructure is a valuable economic asset for the area.			
				In legal terms, there is no 'functional need' to build residential development on this particular site. There are alternative sites more appropriate for residential development. e.g. S522.004 Vision Kerikeri noted a large alternative site next to SH10 Sports Hub that would provide a compact urban footprint and would actually improve connectivity with central Kerikeri.			
				 Residential development of Lot 1001 farmland would create reverse sensitivity effects on 			

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				neighbouring properties and lawfully established activities. Residential/urban development in this location would generate cumulative adverse effects - including urban sprawl in a rural environment that lacks appropriate infrastructure; school at capacity; one-lane bridge in Landing Road; large volumes of traffic; effects on atrisk native species, kiwi & ecological values, water quality, landscape, rural character and amenity values.				
FS566.1771	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S442.058	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Policies	Neutral	This chapter is confusing because it appears to use RSI and infrastructure in the objectives and policies interchangeably. In many instances under the RPS only RSI gains access to the mitigation hierarchy, not all infrastructure in general. The definition of RSI is already broad and bringing in all of infrastructure is not warranted.	Insert policies tha from RSI.	t separate out infrastructure	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.140	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks appropriate provision and enablement of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS403.093	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek appropriate provision and enablement of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek appropriate provision and enablement of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS570.1755	Vision Kerikeri 3	on Kerikeri 3	Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.669	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S512.013	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Policies	Support	These objectives support the continued function of Fire and Emergency. Efficient and effective water supply infrastructure coordinated with land use and development is essential to minimise risk to property and life in the event of a fire.	Retain policies		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.146	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks amendments to the policies.	Allow	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
\$338.009	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	Policies	Not Stated	Having relevant infrastructure in place should be a prerequisite for future development. The provision of necessary infrastructure must be high priority in PDP policies/rules. Given the Council's funding constraints, we consider that developers should normally be required to provide the necessary infrastructure, including items such as on-site community wastewater systems.	requirement for de	s to emphasise the eveloper input for ricing private land use and	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS570.950	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS566.964	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS569.986	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S359.002	Northland Regional Council	Policies	Support in part	There are often difficulties in ensuring marine activities have the supporting land-based facilities required.	boundary matters policy in the coas infrastructure sec land use and dev with and where p	o complement the cross- section by incorporating tal environment and tions that seek subdivision, elopment that is compatible racticable complements coastal marine area.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1038	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.463	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1052	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1074	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S529.009	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	Policies	Support in part	Having relevant infrastructure in place should be a prerequisite for future development. The provision of necessary infrastructure must be high priority in PDP policies/rules. Given the Council's funding constraints, we consider that developers should normally be required to provide the necessary infrastructure, including items such as on-site community wastewater systems.	Amend the policies to emphasise the requirement for developer input for infrastructure servicing private land use and subdivision.		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS570.1899	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS566.1913	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS569.1935	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S464.031	LJ King Ltd	Policies	Oppose	Seek some Policies under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the Draft Management Plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts.	policies providing Council drainage the ability to clear service the draina Waiharara/Kaikin areas, as defined	fructure section, by adding for existing mapped district drains, to ensure n, unblock access and tige channels in the Kaitaia, o and Motutangi drainage in the Far North District inage Bylaw 2019 and the tt Plan 2017.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS566.1574	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2	Орро	Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S543.029	LJ King Limited	Policies	Oppose	We seek some Policies under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the Draft Management Plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts.	policies providing Council drainage the ability to clear service the draina Waiharara/Kaikin areas, as defined	tructure section, by adding for existing mapped district drains, to ensure n, unblock access and age channels in the Kaitaia, o and Motutangi drainage in the Far North District linage Bylaw 2019 and the nt Plan 2017.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS566.2190	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S449.010	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Policies	Support in part	Having relevant infrastructure in place should be a prerequisite for future development. The provision of necessary infrastructure must be high priority in PDP policies/rules. Given the Council's funding constraints, we consider that developers should normally be required to provide the necessary infrastructure, including items such as on-site community wastewater systems.	requirement for d	es to emphasise the eveloper input for vicing private land use and	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS569.1809	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1826	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General	Section 5.2.5

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
							Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter	Key Issue 5: Policies
S282.02	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited, Spark TowerCo Limited, Vodafone New Zealand Limited	I-P1	Support	The policy recognises the need to provide for existing infrastructure.	Retain provision a	as notified	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S483.039	Top Energy Limited	I-P1	Support	Top Energy supports this policy but considers that the wording needs to also capture repair of infrastructure. This policy also refers to upgrading which Top Energy has sought a definition for in an earlier submission point.	maintenance, u	ntinued operation, repair,	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS242.4	Garry Stanners		Oppose	33 kW should not be classified as a Critical Electricity Line CEL so that it can then be mapped and afforded the same powers to constrain land owners in the uses of their properties.	Disallow		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS351.011	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	33 kW should not be classified as a Critical Electricity Line CEL so that it can then be mapped and afforded the same powers to constrain land owners in the uses of their properties.	Disallow	Retain as originally notified or Top Energy should be required to compensate owners on the impacts this will have to property and its historical or potential development.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS371.011	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te		Oppose	33 kW should not be classified as a Critical Electricity Line CEL so that it can then be mapped and afforded the same powers to constrain land owners in the uses of their properties.	Disallow	Retain as originally notified or Top Energy should be required to compensate owners on the impacts this will have to property and its	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri				historical or potential development.			
FS448.004	L & T Property Investments Limited		Support	L & T Property Investments Ltd supports this relief as it provides for the repair, maintenance and upgrading of infrastructure, which could indeed facilitate the undergrounding of electricity lines in order for development and infrastructure to give effect of the outcomes stated elsewhere in the Infrastructure chapter.	Allow	Amend Policy I-P1	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS449.011	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	33 kW should not be classified as a Critical Electricity Line CEL so that it can then be mapped and afforded the same powers to constrain land owners in the uses of their properties.	Disallow	Retain as notified	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS345.090	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
\$331.014	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	I-P1	Support in part	The submitter supports in part objective I-P1 however, the definition of 'infrastructure' does not include additional infrastructure (which includes social infrastructure such as educational facilities). Educational facilities are a crucial form of social infrastructure that is needed to support local communities and their social and economic wellbeing. Under the NPS-UD, social infrastructure is included with the definition of 'additional infrastructure'.	maintenance, up	1 as follows: ontinued operation, grading and replacement of cture and additional	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
				Council has an obligation under the NPS-UD to ensure sufficient additional infrastructure (which includes social infrastructure such as educational				

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of D	Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				facilities) is provided in development and local authorities must be satisfied that additional infrastructure to service the development capacity is likely to be available.				
FS78.0010	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Oppose	The submitter is not opposed to the treatment of educational facilities as infrastructure within the proposed FNDP, however the proposed definition of additional infrastructure includes a network operated for the purpose of transmitting or distributing electricity. As a result, the National Grid would be identified as Regionally Significant Infrastructure, Infrastructure and Additional Infrastructure. This is likely to create confusion in interpreting the proposed FNDP.		Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter	
FS354.067	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	The addition of additional infrastructure is not supported for reasons set out above.	Disallow	Disallow S331.014	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS369.149	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to include 'repair'. Top Energy do not have any particular concern with this objective being expanded to include "additional infrastructure" such as educational facilities. However, it is concerned about the potential issues this will create in terms of interpretation given the existing definition of "infrastructure."	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS369.179	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy sought to retain this policy as notified but do not have any particular concern with this policy being expanded to include "additional infrastructure" such as educational facilities.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				However, it is concerned about the potential issues this will create in terms of interpretation given the existing definition of "infrastructure."				
S165.007	Arvida Group Limited	I-P1	Support in part	The "statement of intent" contained in these objectives and policies needs to be linked to the FNDC Long Term Plan or other funding and delivery mechanisms so that the Council can enable the District's people and communities to provide for the own social and economic well-being.	measures that the	in the PDP those council will take to lead frastructure in a timely upport and enable growth.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS369.148	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S356.021	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	I-P1	Support	Not stated	Retain I-P1 as no	tified	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.150	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S416.014	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	I-P1	Support in part	The policy is supported with an amendment to add in the term 'repair' to provide consistency with other parts of the Plan.	maintenance, rep	1 as follows: ntinued operation, pair, upgrading and existing infrastructure.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.151	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S421.026	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-P1	Support	Federated Farmers supports Policies I-P1 to I-P6, and I-P8 to IP14 as they are currently worded.	Retain Policy I-P1 amendments inclu achieves the sam	ude similar wording that	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.152	Top Energy		Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies	
FS570.1258	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.260	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1272	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1294	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S454.042	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-P1	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this policy in the FNPDP.	Retain I-P1		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.153	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S489.014	Radio New Zealand	I-P1	Support	RNZ supports policy direction that provides for the continued operation, maintenance, upgrading and replacement of existing infrastructure. RNZ consider the policy should include reference to regionally significant infrastructure to recognise its importance to the District.	maintenance, upg	1 as follows: ontinued operation, grading and replacement of sture, in particular ificant infrastructure.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.154	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
\$511.040	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	I-P2	Support in part	There are no rules in this chapter that regulate the removal of indigenous biodiversity. For this reason this chapter may not be the most appropriate place for these policies. It means that plan users have to go to the IB chapter then if they are undertaking an infrastructure activity then they will need to go back to the Infrastructure chapter to pick up on these policies. This policy elevates all infrastructure in the Far North to the status of RSI, National Grid, electricity transmission and renewable electricity generation activities. The definition for RSI is already far ranging and including all of infrastructure is a step to far and has no support from higher order planning documents. This policy does not meet the requirements of the NZCPS because it provides access to the effects mitigation hierarchy for all infrastructure in the Far North. This is contrary to the express requirements of the NZCPS in regards to ONLs, ONFs, and policy 11(a) matters. It also runs counter to RPS, policies 5.3.3, 4.4.1, 4.6.1 and 4.6.2. The RPS only provides access to the mitigation hierarchy for RSI not infrastructure as a whole in certain circumstances. These suggested amendments reflect these restrictions. Further the RPS does not provide access to offsetting and compensation principles in the Coastal Environment for new or re-consenting of RSI under	effects of the devimaintenance and and new Regio Infrastructure of existing of Region Infrastructure at a. avoiding the vacharactic i.	ng adverse effects on lues, qualities and teristics of:	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				RPS, policy 5.5.3, 4.4.1 (1) and (2) The RPS, policy 5.5.3 only provides access to offsetting and compensation for maintenance, and upgrading of existing RSI, in the coastal environment. Under the RPS infrastructure in general must comply with RPS policies 4.4.1 and 4.6.1 and 4.6.2. No further policy direction is required for infrastructure in general and it should fall to the natural environment chapter objectives and policies to assist resource consent applications.	biodiversity under other legislation c. avoiding significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy, mitigate other adverse effects on: i. other natural features and landscapes, and areas of natural character; ii. areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation; iii. Habitats of indigenous species important for recreational, commercial, traditional, or cultural purposes; iv. Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including estuaries, lagoons, coastal wetlands, dunelands, intertidal zones, rocky reefs systems, eelgrass, northern		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					wet heathlands, coastal and headwater streams, floodplains, margins of the coastal marine area and freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas and saltmarsh; and V. Historic heritage d. recognising the technical, operational and functional needs and constraints of infrastructure activities; and e. having regard to offsetting and environmental compensation measures where there are more than minor residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated		
FS110.1	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited, Connexa Limited, Vodafone New Zealand Limited, and Aotearoa Towers Group T/A FortySouth		Oppose	The wording of I-P2 is supported as notified and it is considered appropriate to consider the technical, operation and functions needs and constraints of infrastructure activities.	Disallow	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS36.032	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Oppose	Oppose	Supports managing adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity but notes that infrastructure, such as transport corridors have a functional and operational need to be located in some sensitive locations to provide its function as a lifeline utility. The relief sought will make it increasingly difficult, and in some cases impossible, to provide, operate and maintain lifeline utilities for communities, and is inconsistent with NPS-IB. The relief is overly onerous and would result in duplication of issues covered elsewhere in the District Plan and significant constraints to the provisions of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS164.040	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.165	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.177	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision Position	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
								Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.187	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.230	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy considers that the policies can be applied in the round without inserting references.	Allow	Allow	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS404.089	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Support	If policies addressing indigenous biodiversity remain in this chapter, the D-G supports proposed approach, including narrowing the application RSI and the directive to avoid on NZCPS Policy 11(a) values.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1611	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1625	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1647	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S364.023	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	I-P2	Support in part	The Director-General is generally supportive of Policy I-P2, however, notes that SNAs and the coastal environment have value in of itself, not just in characteristics and qualities and the wording should reflect this.	In the coastal envergects of the devergects of the devergect maintenance and activities by: • avoidin qualitities significe	ing of Policy I-P2 as follows: ironment, manage the elopment, operation, upgrading of infrastructure g adverse effects on the es and characteristics of cant natural areas, nding natural features	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requ		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS36.031					or landscapes, ar outstanding nature avoiding significate effects on other features and land areas of natural of recognising the transport operational and needs and constructure action of the effects o	arral character; ant adverse natural dscapes, and character; echnical, functional raints of tivities; and offsetting tal easures more than dverse effects voided,		
FS36.031	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Oppose	Opposes the removal of the wording 'the qualities and characteristics' of significant natural areas. The proposed amendment reduces the clarity and direction of the policy and is unnecessary.	Disallow Disallow t submission		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS339.043	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust		Support	Avoiding adverse effects on significant natural areas, outstanding natural landscapes and outstanding natural features and considering functional need aligns with the NZCPS. Offsets and compensation relate to residual adverse effects.	Allow the submission		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.157	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part Allow in p submission		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS570.1104	Vision Kerikeri 3	3 Si	Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.163	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1118	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1140	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S394.014	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust	I-P2	Support in part	Protection of SNA, ONF and ONL is a starting point. Effects should not need to be significant to trigger avoidance. Requiring avoidance of significant adverse cultural effects reflects proper implementation of Part 2 RMA tangata whenua focused matters.	Ament Policy I-P2 as follows: In the coastal environment, manage the effects of the development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of infrastructure activities by b. avoiding significant adverse effects on other natural features and landscapes, and areas of natural character; c. avoiding significant adverse effects on cultural values and remedying and mitigating other adverse cultural effects		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	ner nitter (FS) a Kotahi NZ sport Support in part	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS36.033	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Supports the protection of areas with high cultural significance. However, using the requirement to 'manage' rather than 'avoid' significant effects would improve the flexibility of the policy directive, allowing for the mitigation of adverse effects where appropriate.	Allow in part	Amend IP-2 effects hierarchy to refer to 'manage' rather than 'avoid' (inferred).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies	
FS401.023	Carrington Estate Jade LP and Carrington Farms Jade LP		Oppose	The deletion of the word "significant" results in a policy which is undefined and would not allow any permitted activities to occur. The protection of those values sought in the new policy are already captured under the RMA and the PDP.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.158	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS363.014	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS538.014	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS537.014	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS536.014	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS535.014	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS533.014	Submitter (FS) Sidney John Rupapera	ey John	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS532.014	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS531.014	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS530.014	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS529.014	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS528.014	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS527.014	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS526.014	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS525.014	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS524.014	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission	Submitter (S) /	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	Decision Requested	Officer	Relevant section
Point	Further Submitter (FS)						recommendation	of S42A Report
FS523.014	Brett Larkin	rett Larkin	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS522.014	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS521.014	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS520.014	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS519.014	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS518.014	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS517.014	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS516.014	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS515.014	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS514.014	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS513.014	Ester Rangi Doyle	Ester Rangi	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS512.014	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS511.014	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS510.014	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS509.014	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS508.014	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS507.014	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS506.014	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS505.014	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS504.014	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS503.014	Nina Raharuhi	Nina Raharuhi	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS502.014	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS501.014	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS500.014	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS499.014	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS498.014	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS497.014	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS496.014	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS495.014	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS494.014	lan Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission	Submitter (S) /	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	Decision Requested	Officer	Relevant section
Point	Further Submitter (FS)						recommendation	of S42A Report
FS493.014	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS492.014	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS491.014	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS490.014	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS489.014	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS487.014	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS486.014	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS485.014	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS483.014	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS482.014	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS481.014	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS480.014	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS479.014	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS478.014	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS477.014	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS476.014	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS475.014	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS474.014	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS473.014	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS472.014	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS471.014	Willliam Gary Butt	,	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	
FS470.014	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS469.014	Anne-maree Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS468.014	Elias Reihana- Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS467.014	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS466.014	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS465.014	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS464.014	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS463.014	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS462.014	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	ther pmitter (FS)	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS461.014	Vincent C Matiu		I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject		
FS460.014	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS459.014	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS458.014	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS457.014	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS456.014	Rhys Alexander Lawrence- Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS455.014	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS454.014	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS453.014	Marlaine Urlich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS452.014	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS451.014	Ariana Bellingham	ana	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS450.014	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS447.014	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS440.014	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS439.014	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS436.014	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS435.014	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS434.014	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS433.014	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS432.014	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission	Submitter (S) /	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	Decision Requested	Officer	Relevant section
Point	Further Submitter (FS)						recommendation	of S42A Report
FS431.014	Valarie Rutene	/alarie Rutene	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS430.014	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS429.014	Cedric Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS428.014	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS427.014	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS426.014	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS425.014	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS423.014	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS422.014	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS421.014	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS420.014	Josephine Doyle	losephine Doyle	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS418.014	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS417.014	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS416.014	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS415.014	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS408.014	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS388.014	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS387.014	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS386.014	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS385.014	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS382.014	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS381.014	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS380.014	Ashleigh Hetaraka- Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS379.014	Kaya Hetaraka- Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS378.014	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS365.014	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS360.014	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS359.014	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS358.014	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS357.014	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS356.014	Katharine Kino	Katharine Kino	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS568.014	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS567.014	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS563.014	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS562.014	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS561.014	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS560.014	Dylan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS559.014	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS558.014	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS557.014	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission	Submitter (S) /	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	Decision Requested	Officer	Relevant section
Point	Further Submitter (FS)						recommendation	of S42A Report
FS556.014	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS555.014	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS553.014	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS552.014	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS551.014	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS546.014	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS545.014	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS544.014	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS178.014	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS413.014	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS588.014	lan Taylor Bamber		Support	Support original submission to protect our wāhi tapu sites of significance and rights as tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
\$356.030	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	I-P2	Support in part	Clarity is sought that new infrastructure is included, and for avoidance of significant adverse effects to apply to areas of high natural character.	Amend as follows: In the coastal environment, manage the effects of the new development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of infrastructure activities by: a. avoiding adverse effects on the qualities and characteristics of significant natural areas, outstanding natural features or landscapes, areas of outstanding natural character; b. avoiding significant adverse effects on other natural features and landscapes, and areas of high natural character;"		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS78.016	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter considers the plan should be as clear as possible.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.045	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Amendment sought is inconsistent with the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.156	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S454.043	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-P2	Not Stated	Transpower seeks specific National Grid provisions to give effect to the NPSET. While Transpower supports IP-2 in principle, it does not reflect or give effect to the NPSET and is not specific to the National Grid. It also does not provide the 'seek to avoid' approach required by Policy 8 of the NPSET for the more sensitive environments. This is also true for the policy framework for new development of the National Grid within such environments. The need to operate, maintain, upgrade and develop the electricity	Amend to exclude the National Grid from policy I-P2 as follows: I-P2 In the coastal environment, manage the effects of the development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of infrastructure activities (excluding the National Grid) by:	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
				transmission network is recognised as a matter of national significance through the NPSET. This significance applies universally across the country regardless of the nature of the specific National Grid asset. The NPSET Objective recognises that the network itself potentially gives rise to adverse effects, and that other activities can potentially adversely affect the network. The NPSET policies give direction on how to achieve the objective by providing for the recognition of the benefits of electricity transmission, as well as the management of the environmental effects of electricity transmission and the adverse effects of other activities on the transmission network. As such, the NPSET policies impose obligations on both decision-makers and Transpower itself.			
				One of the aspects within the NPSET which must be given effect to in district plans is provisions relating to enabling the National Grid. Policies and plans must provide for the effective operation, maintenance, upgrading and			

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				development of the National Grid. The development of National Grid assets gives rise to the potential for adverse environmental effects.				
				The development of the National Grid must therefore be managed to ensure the potential for adverse effects is appropriately managed while recognising its significance and the constraints under which it operates. The NPSET requires the District Plan to include objectives and policies that:				
				 Allow for the consideration of the technical constraints and operational requirements under which the National Grid operates, for example the linear nature of the transmission lines. 				
				 Have regard to the extent to which adverse effects have been avoided, remedied or mitigated through the route, site and method selection. 				
				 Ensure new planning and development seeks to avoid adverse effects on more sensitive areas. 				
FS548.131	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	As the operator of the National Grid, the submitter is required to meet its obligations under the Resource Management Act 1991. These obligations are not negated by the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Ассеру	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.023	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Amendment sought is inconsistent with the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.163	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS404.016	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Oppose	The relief is inconsistent with, s6(b), s6(c) of the Act and the cascade of planning documents including but not limited to the NZCPS, NPS-IB, and the Northland Regional Policy Statement 2016.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S483.040	Top Energy Limited	I-P2	Support	Top Energy supports this policy, but considers that the wording needs to also capture repair. Top Energy also considers that I-P2c should be amended to "recognising and providing for".	effects of the device repair, mainter infrastructure a c. recogn the tea function constructure.	ironment, manage the elopment, operation, nance and upgrading of	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.058	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendment sought does not appropriately recognise and provide for s6(a)-(c) matters.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS345.091	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S356.022	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	I-P2	Support	Not stated	Retain I-P2 as no	tified	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.155	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S399.026	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	I-P2	Not Stated	For avoidance of doubt, we recommend inclusion of the word "biodiversity" before offsetting in these policies		2 to include the words ng biodiversity offsetting)'	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.159	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part Allow in part the original submission		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S416.015	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	I-P2	Support	Recognition that there are specific circumstances in which the upgrade of existing, or new, infrastructure may be appropriate in sensitive locations such as the coast is supported. In particular, that the policy links to the technical, operational and functional needs and constraints of infrastructure is supported.	Retain Policy I-P2		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.160	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S421.027	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-P2	Support	Federated Farmers supports Policies I-P1 to I-P6, and I-P8 to IP14 as they are currently worded.	Retain Policy I-P2 amendments inclu achieves the sam	ude similar wording that	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.161	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.271	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to provide for "repair" and "maintenance".	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1259	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.261	Royal Forest and Bird Protection		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Society of New Zealand Inc.		functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.				Key Issue 5: Policies	
FS566.1273	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1295	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S442.060	Kapiro Conservation Trust	I-P2	Support in part	There are no rules in this chapter that regulate the removal of indigenous biodiversity. For this reason this chapter may not be the most appropriate place for these policies. It means that plan users have to go to the IB chapter then if they are undertaking an infrastructure activity then they will need to go back to the Infrastructure chapter to pick up on these policies. This policy elevates all infrastructure in the Far North to the status of RSI, National Grid, electricity transmission and renewable electricity generation activities. The definition for RSI is already far ranging and including all of infrastructure is a step to far and has no support from higher order planning documents. This policy does not meet the requirements of the NZCPS because it provides access to the effects mitigation hierarchy for all infrastructure in the Far North. This is contrary to the express requirements of the NZCPS in regards to ONLs, ONFs, and policy 11(a) matters. It also runs counter to RPS, policies 5.3.3, 4.4.1, 4.6.1 and 4.6.2. The RPS only provides access to	effects of the dever maintenance and and new Regiona or re-consenting of Significant Infrast a. avoidin values, charact i. si ii. th fe al cl b. Avoidin i. Ir li a C li ii. A	rironment, manage the elopment, operation, upgrading of infrastructure illy Significant Infrastructure of existing of Regionally ructure activities by: g adverse effects on the qualities and teristics of: ignificant natural areas, ne outstanding natural eatures or landscapes, reas of outstanding natural haracter; ign adverse effects on: indigenous taxa that are sted as threatened or trisk in the NZ Threat lassification System sts; and creas set aside for full r partial protection of indigenous biodiversity inder other legislation	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				the mitigation hierarchy for RSI not infrastructure as a whole in certain circumstances. These suggested amendments reflect these restrictions. Further the RPS does not provide access to offsetting and compensation principles in the Coastal Environment for new or re-consenting of RSI under RPS, policy 5.5.3, 4.4.1 (1) and (2) The RPS, policy 5.5.3 only provides access to offsetting and compensation for maintenance, and upgrading of existing RSI, in the coastal environment. Under the RPS infrastructure in general must comply with RPS policies 4.4.1 and 4.6.1 and 4.6.2. No further policy direction is required for infrastructure in general and it should fall to the natural environment chapter objectives and policies to assist resource consent applications.	c. avoiding significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy, mitigate other adverse effects on: i. other natural features and landscapes, and areas of natural character; ii. areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation; iii. Habitats of indigenous species important for recreational, commercial, traditional, or cultural purposes; iv. Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including estuaries, lagoons, coastal wetlands, dunelands, intertidal zones, rocky reefs systems, eelgrass, northern wet heathlands, coastal and headwater streams, floodplains, margins of the coastal marine area and freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas and saltmarsh; and		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					v. I	Historic heritage		
					d. recognising the technical, operational and functional needs and constraints of infrastructure activities; and e. having regard to offsetting and environmental compensation measures where there are more than minor residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or			
					mitigate	•		
FS369.162	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1757	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.671	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S463.013	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	I-P2	Support	The drafting of this objective appropriately implements the directions of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement regarding natural features, landscapes and character, while also appropriately recognising the unique requirements of infrastructure at subclause (c). As such, sub-clause (d) is also appropriate.	Retain Policy I-P	2	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.164	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S511.041	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	I-P3	Oppose	The policy does not give effect to the RPS, policies 5.3.3, 4.4.1(3) and 4.6.1.	the effects of the maintenance and activities by: a. avoidin cultural areas, feature extent b. avoidi effect: a. A ii b. H s ii c c d h s ii b c c d h s ii d d d h s ii d d d d d d d d d d d d	al environment, manage development, operation, upgrading of infrastructure g effects on historical and values, significant natural and outstanding natural s or landscapes to the practicable; ng significant adverse s on of the following: reas of predominantly adigenous vegetation; abitat of indigenous pecies that are inportant for ecreational, ommercial, traditional r cultural purposes; andigenous ecosystems and habitats that are articularly vulnerable of modification, including wetlands, unelands, norther wet eathlands, headwater treams, floodplains and nargins of freshwater odies, spawning and ursery areas; and	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
Point					d. Outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes and the natural character of freshwater bodies: c. minimising remedying or mitigating other adverse effects on historical and cultural values, natural environment values that cannot be avoided; d. recognising the technical, operational and functional needs and constraints of infrastructure activities; and d. considering where more tha minor residual adverse effects remain from (c) biodiversity having regard to offsetting; and e. considering where more tha minor residual adverse effects cannot be biodiversit offset in (e) an environmenta biodiversity compensation measure where there are		of S42A Report
					more than minor residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated.	е	

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS36.034	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Oppose	Supports managing adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity but notes that infrastructure, such as transport corridors have a functional and operational need to be located in some sensitive locations to provide its function as a lifeline utility. The relief sought will make it increasingly difficult, and in some cases impossible, to provide, operate and maintain lifeline utilities for communities, and is inconsistent with NPS-IB. The proposed wording will also reduce options to provide for infrastructure as a lifeline utilities, duplicates topics covered elsewhere in the proposed FNDP and will add unnecessary detail to the regard decision makers are directed to hold for environmental compensation.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS164.041	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS404.091	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Support	If policies addressing indigenous biodiversity remain in this chapter, the D-G supports proposed approach,	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				including narrowing the application RSI and the directive to avoid on NZCPS Policy 11(a) values.				
FS570.1612	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1626	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1648	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S442.062	Kapiro Conservation Trust	I-P3	Neutral	The policy does not give effect to the RPS, policies 5.3.3, 4.4.1(3) and 4.6.1.	policies: Outside the coaseffects of new anexisting Regional by: g. avoiding and consignific outstate or lanexisting practific in the consideration of the coase of the	tal environment manage the difference that the re-consenting of ly Significant Infrastructure and effects on historical sultural values, cant natural areas, and anding natural features dscapes to the extent	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					needs and constraints of infrastructure activities; j. Biodiversity offsetting more than minor residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated; and k. If more than minor residual adverse effects remain after biodiversity offsetting then consider Environmental biodiversity compensation measures to ensure that any residual adverse effect is no more than minor. And then add a new policy to address maintenance, operation and upgrading of RSI Outside the coastal environment manage the effects of operation, maintenance and upgrading of existing Regionally Significant Infrastructure by: a. Avoiding significant adverse effects and the adverse effects after the conclusion of the maintenance or upgrading or operation are the same or similar to before the activity being undertaken; and b. Then consider offsetting and environmental compensation measures where there are more than minor residual adverse effects that cannot		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					be avo mitiga	ided, remedied or ted.		
FS36.035	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Oppose	Supports managing adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity but notes that infrastructure, such as transport corridors have a functional and operational need to be located in some sensitive locations to provide its function as a lifeline utility. This relief sought will make it increasingly difficult, and in some cases impossible, to provide, operate and maintain lifeline utilities for communities, and is inconsistent with NPS-IB. The proposed relief is overly onerous and would result in duplication of issues covered elsewhere in the District Plan and significant constraints to the provisions of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept	
FS369.173	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	
FS570.1759	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	
FS346.673	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	
S282.016	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited, Spark TowerCo Limited,	I-P3	Oppose	While it is considered the current wording of this policy will allow for certain infrastructure activities to be located in areas of historic/cultural values, significant natural areas, and outstanding natural features or landscapes, clause (d) appears to be	Amend I-P3 by re	moving clause (d).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Vodafone New Zealand Limited			overly restrictive in considering infrastructure activities (in particular telecommunication activities) noting that this clause appears to capture all adverse effects. These forms of infrastructure tend to be of a smaller footprint when compared to the likes of electricity distribution or pipeline networks and as such, environmental compensation or offsetting on top of restrictions within these areas may not be entirely appropriate noting the scale of such activities and the benefit they provide to the district. It is considered that clauses (a) - (c) appropriately manage effects outside the coastal environment within areas of historic/cultural values, significant natural areas, and outstanding natural features or landscapes. IB-P4 is considered a more suitable method when addressing the likes of environmental offsetting or compensation and is consistent with the draft National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity				
FS78.003	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter supports the original submission for the reasons set out by the telecommunications companies.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.166	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow	Allow in par the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S356.031	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	I-P3	Support in part	Waka Kotahi considers that this policy should be amended to be consistent with Policy I-P2 to focus on the qualities and characteristics of significant natural areas and outstanding natural features or landscapes.	Amend as follows: Outside the coastal environment, manage the effects of the new development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of infrastructure activities by: a. avoiding adverse effects on		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				It should also be recognised that positive effects should not be avoided, and that this should only relate to adverse effects. Clarity is also sought, that new infrastructure is included.	historical and cultural values, qualities and characteristics of significant natural areas, and outstanding natural features or landscapes to the extent practicable;			
FS78.017	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter supports this amendment for the reasons set out by Waka Kotahi.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.167	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S454.045	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-P3	Not Stated	Transpower seeks specific National Grid provisions to give effect to the NPSET. While Transpower supports IP-2 in principle, it does not reflect or give effect to the NPSET and is not specific to the National Grid. It also does not provide the 'seek to avoid' approach required by Policy 8 of the NPSET for the more sensitive environments. This is also true for the policy framework for new development of the National Grid within such environments. The need to operate, maintain, upgrade and develop the electricity transmission network is recognised as a matter of national significance through the NPSET. This significance applies universally across the country regardless of the nature of the specific National Grid asset. The NPSET Objective recognises that the network itself potentially gives rise to adverse effects, and that other activities can	policy I-P3 as fol I-P3 Outside the coas the effects of the maintenance and	le the National Grid from lows: stal environment, manage e development, operation, dupgrading of infrastructure ing the National Grid) by:	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				potentially adversely affect the network. The NPSET policies give direction on how to achieve the objective by providing for the recognition of the benefits of electricity transmission, as well as the management of the environmental effects of electricity transmission and the adverse effects of other activities on the transmission network. As such, the NPSET policies impose obligations on both decision-makers and Transpower itself. One of the aspects within the NPSET which must be given effect to in district plans is provisions relating to enabling the National Grid. Policies and plans must provide for the effective operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the National Grid assets gives rise to the potential for adverse environmental effects. The development of the National Grid must therefore be managed to ensure the potential for adverse effects is appropriately managed while recognising its significance and the constraints under which it operates. The NPSET requires the District Plan to include objectives and policies that: • Allow for the consideration of the technical constraints and operational requirements under which the National Grid operates, for example the linear nature of the transmission lines. • Have regard to the extent to which adverse effects have been avoided, remedied or			

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
		A.		mitigated through the route, site and method selection. • Ensure new planning and development seeks to avoid adverse effects on more sensitive areas.				
FS354.068	Horticulture New Zealand		Support in part	HortNZ supports separate policy framework for the National Grid to give effect to the NPSET but wording must be consistent with the NPSET.	Allow	Allow S454.045 to the extent that it gives effect to the NPSET.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.025	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Amendment sought is inconsistent with the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.174	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S483.041	Top Energy Limited	I-P3	Support	Top Energy supports this policy, but considers that the wording needs to also include repair. Top Energy considers that outside of the coastal environment, clause I-P3b should also refer to mitigation of adverse effects, as minimisation and remedying might not be readily achievable in every instance, and the consideration of mitigation needs to also be provided for. Top Energy also consider that I-P3c should be amended to "recognising and providing for." This policy also refers to upgrading which Top Energy has sought a definition for in an earlier submission point.	the effects of the repair, mainto of infrastructors. b. minimising mitigating ad historical and natural environce cannot be avoc. recognising technical, ope functional necessity.	tal environment, manage development, operation, enance and upgrading ure activities by: , or remedying or verse effects on cultural values, onment values that bided; and providing for the	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS346.059	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendment sought does not appropriately recognise and provide for s6(a)-(c) matters.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS345.092	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S364.024	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	I-P3	Support	The Director-General supports Policy I-P3	Retain Policy I-P	3	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.168	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1105	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.164	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1119	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1141	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S399.027	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	I-P3	Not Stated	For avoidance of doubt, we recommend inclusion of the word "biodiversity" before offsetting in these policies	Amend Policy I-P3 to include the words 'offsetting (including biodiversity offsetting)'		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.169	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S416.016	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	I-P3	Support	Policy to enable the upgrading and development of infrastructure is supported by KiwiRail. In particular. that the policy links to the technical, operational and functional needs and constraints of infrastructure is supported	Retain Policy I-P3	3	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.170	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S421.028	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-P3	Support	Federated Farmers supports Policies I-P1 to I-P6, and I-P8 to IP14 as they are currently worded.	Retain Policy I-P3 amendments inclu achieves the sam	ude similar wording that	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.171	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1260	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.262	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS566.1274	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1296	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S442.061	Kapiro Conservation Trust	I-P3	Oppose	The policy does not give effect to the RPS, policies 5.3.3, 4.4.1(3) and 4.6.1.	the effects of the maintenance and activities by: a. avoidin cultura areas, feature extent b. avoidi	tal environment, manage development, operation, upgrading of infrastructure g effects on historical and values, significant natural and outstanding natural s or landscapes to the exacticable; ng significant adverse on of the following: Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation; Habitat of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					to modification, including wetlands, dunelands, norther wet heathlands, headwater streams, floodplains and margins of freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas; and iv. Outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes and the natural character of freshwater bodies: c. minimising remedying or mitigating other adverse effects on historical and cultural values, natural environment values that cannot be avoided; c. recognising the technical, operational and functional needs and constraints of infrastructure activities; and d. considering where more than minor residual adverse effects remain from (c) biodiversity having regard to offsetting; and e. considering where more than minor residual adverse effects cannot be biodiversity		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.172				biodiv measu more t advers	in (e) an environmental ersity compensation re where there are than minor residual e effects that cannot be d, remedied or ted.			
FS369.172	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1758	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.672	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S463.014	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	I-P3	Support	WBF supports the focus on managing the effects of infrastructure development. This approach recognises that the avoidance of effects is not always possible or appropriate, given the locational, operational and functional needs that sometimes apply.	Retain Policy I-P3	,	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.175	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S489.015	Radio New Zealand	I-P3	Support	RNZ supports this policy, in particular the recognition of technical, operational and functional needs and constraints of infrastructure activities.	Retain Policy I-P3	3	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.176	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy to include 'repair'.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.185	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.203	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to clearly differentiate between national, regional and local infrastructure and to provide for Critical Electricity Mapping.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S165.008	Arvida Group Limited	I-P4	Support in part	The "statement of intent" contained in these objectives and policies needs to be linked to the FNDC Long Term Plan or other funding and delivery mechanisms so that the Council can enable the District's people and communities to provide for the own social and economic well-being.	Amend to Identify in the PDP those measures that the Council will take to lead and provide for infrastructure in a timely manner that will support and enable growth.		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S158.008	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	I-P4	Support	The infrastructure policy framework appropriately provides recognition of the benefits of, and protection for, regionally significant infrastructure (i.e. including Northland Regional Corrections Facility).	Retain Policy I-P	4.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S489.016	Radio New Zealand	I-P4	Support	RNZ supports recognition of the benefits associated with regionally significant infrastructure.	Retain Policy I-P	4	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S463.015	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	I-P4	Support	While WBF supports this policy in general terms, a minor amendment to sub-clause (a) would be appropriate given the benefits of regionally significant infrastructure are inherently "significant".	are benefits such a. significant s cultural bene	infrastructure where there	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS91.4	Moana Kiff		Oppose	Retaining the word "significant" helps maintain clarity effective resource allocation, and ultimately benefits the region by ensuring that the most impactful projects receive attention and investment, especially in our poorest communities.	Disallow		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.184	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy opposes the amendments proposed as it seeks to retain this policy as notified.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S331.015	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	I-P4	Support in part	The submitter supports in part policy I-P4 however, the definition of 'infrastructure' does not include additional infrastructure (which includes social infrastructure such as educational facilities). Educational facilities are a crucial form of social infrastructure that is needed to support local communities and their social and economic wellbeing. Under the NPS-UD, social infrastructure is included with the definition of 'additional infrastructure'. Council has an obligation under the NPS-UD to ensure sufficient additional infrastructure (which includes social infrastructure such as educational facilities) is provided in development and local authorities must be satisfied that additional infrastructure to service the development capacity is likely to be available.		ructure (including structure) where there	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS78.011	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Oppose	The submitter is not opposed to the treatment of educational facilities as infrastructure within the proposed FNDP, however the proposed definition of additional infrastructure includes a network operated for the purpose of transmitting or distributing electricity. As a result, the National Grid would be identified as Regionally Significant	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
		Additional Infras to create confus	Infrastructure, Infrastructure and Additional Infrastructure. This is likely to create confusion in interpreting the proposed FNDP.					
FS354.069	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	The addition of additional infrastructure is not supported for reasons set out above.	Disallow	Disallow S331.015	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S282.021	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited, Spark TowerCo Limited, Vodafone New Zealand Limited	I-P4	Support	The policy recognises the need to provide for infrastructure and associated benefits.	Retain provision	as notified	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.178	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S356.023	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	I-P4	Support	Not stated	Retain I-P4 as no	tified	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.180	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS403.108	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support amendment of this policy to provide for multi-modal transport methods.	Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support amendment of this policy to provide for multi-modal transport methods.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S416.017	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	I-P4	Support	KiwiRail supports the policy which sets out a range of quality of life and economic benefits from the provision of infrastructure.	Retain Policy I-Pa	4	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.181	Top Energy		Support	port Top Energy seek to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S421.029	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-P4	Support	Federated Farmers supports Policies I-P1 to I-P6, and I-P8 to IP14 as they are currently worded.	Retain Policy I-Pamendments included achieves the same	ude similar wording that	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.182	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1261	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.263	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1275	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1297	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S454.046	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-P4	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this policy in the FNPDP.	Retain I-P4		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.183	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S483.042	Top Energy Limited	I-P4	Support	Top Energy supports this policy which provides for the benefits of infrastructure.	Retain Policy I-P4		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS345.093	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
\$138.006	Kairos Connection Trust and Habitat for Humanity Northern Region Ltd	I-P5	Support in part	The adequacy of urban three waters infrastructure to service land use development in reticulated urban centres is critical to the realisation of FNDC's assessment of future urban residential land supply and assumptions about future housing yield. With respect to wastewater infrastructure, the Section 32 overview information appears to indicate that urban zones have been consolidated to include land that is currently able to be serviced or is within areas where there are planned services. However, this is not clear from either the subdivision or zone rules that require servicing capacity to be confirmed at the time of a subdivision or land use consent application. Concerned that if it is a developer's sole responsibility to confirm the capacity of a wastewater infrastructure and demonstrate to Council that a controlled or permitted activity housing proposal is capable of being serviced, that this uncertainty and investigation cost will be a disincentive to proceeding with a proposal. Kairos and Habitat seek that the Council publicise baseline capacity information about its wastewater infrastructure in all of its urban centres and that proposed objectives and policies reflect the fact that it is the Council's responsibility to	coordination of and delivery at a enabled land us development, s	as follows: Require the infrastructure planning the time of Plan se, subdivision and that land use and integrated, efficient	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				service urban development that is permitted in a zone.				
FS36.036	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support	Supports the integrated provision of infrastructure and the definition of "plan enabled" as per the National Policy Statement Urban Development.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.186	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S331.016	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	I-P5	Support in part	The submitter supports in part objective I-P5 however, the definition of 'infrastructure' does not include additional infrastructure (which includes social infrastructure such as educational facilities). Educational facilities are a crucial form of social infrastructure that is needed to support local communities and their social and economic wellbeing. Under the NPS-UD, social infrastructure is included with the definition of 'additional infrastructure'. Council has an obligation under the NPS-UD to ensure sufficient additional infrastructure (which includes social infrastructure such as educational facilities) is provided in development and local authorities must be satisfied that additional infrastructure to service the development capacity is likely to be available.	(including addi planning and d land use, subdi so that land use	5 as follows: dination of infrastructure tional infrastructure) elivery at the time of vision and development a and infrastructure is cient and aligned.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS78.012	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Oppose	The submitter is not opposed to the treatment of educational facilities as infrastructure within the proposed FNDP, however the proposed definition of additional infrastructure includes a network operated for the purpose of transmitting or distributing electricity. As a result, the National Grid would be identified as Regionally Significant	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	sition Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				Infrastructure, Infrastructure and Additional Infrastructure. This is likely to create confusion in interpreting the proposed FNDP.				
FS354.070	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	The addition of additional infrastructure is not supported for reasons set out above.	Disallow	Disallow S331.016	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS369.189	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to retain this policy as notified.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S561.021	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	I-P5	Support	This policy enables I-O1 to be achieved.	Retain I-P5 as no	tified.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS32.075	Jeff Kemp		Oppose	The original submission seeks to amend the FNDP in a way which changes how the FNDC has previously managed the district's natural and physical resources. The nature and scale of the outcomes sought have no supporting documents which address the appropriateness of the changes such as the costs and benefits involved. As a minimum, the submitter should have provided a s32 analysis of the proposed changes.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
				The amenity, values and character of the district's urban areas have developed over time through various district plans. The wider community and applicants have an understanding of and have appreciated the consenting process. The original submission seeks a completely different planning				

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	ecision Requested		Relevant section of S42A Report
				framework away from an effects-based district plan and is essentially reallocating the goal posts. The original submission heralds the application for a private plan change which would provide the opportunity for				
FS369.193	Top Energy		Support in part	those most affected to be involved. Top Energy sought to retain this policy as notified, however it supports the changes sought by Transpower (see S454.048).	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.210	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS23.293	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support	Generally support for the reasons set out in the submission of Kāinga Ora. It is important that peoples' wellbeing, and in particular their ability to establish housing on their land is enabled. Also particularly support the changes proposed for recognition of and development on Māori land.	Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS47.035	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose	The KO submission contravenes our original submission throughout, as we are seeking a shift from the permissive approach to a more prescriptive DP supported by Master Plans for central areas and Spatial Plans (still under preparation and long overdue), while KO suggests a considerably more permissive plan.	Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
				Our submission states "We are concerned that the PDP, as currently drafted, would support development in the form that undermines character, amenity values and other aspects of the environment that our communities value", but KO's proposals would further reduce the limited opportunity				

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				for the public to have input into resource consent applications.				
FS348.108	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose	The submission was not made by the closing date and is therefore not a valid submission under RMA.	Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S483.043	Top Energy Limited	I-P5	Support	Top Energy supports this policy which requires the coordination of infrastructure.	Retain Policy I-P	5	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS351.012	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to reserve the power to develop across whenua Maori for their own interests asides the plans intent to protect Whenua Maori.	Disallow	No change for amendments. Current wording is adequate and requires Top Energy to properly engage and consult the land owners and mana whenua otherwise.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS371.012	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to reserve the power to develop across whenua Maori for their own interests asides the plans intent to protect Whenua Maori.	Disallow	No change for amendments. Current wording is adequate and requires Top Energy to properly engage and consult the land owners and mana whenua otherwise.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS448.005	L & T Property Investments Limited		Support	Policy I.P5 requires infrastructure to be delivered at the time of land use, subdivision and development so that land use and infrastructure is integrated, efficient and aligned. L & T Property Investments Ltd supports the policy as notified as it requires that development must also be efficient, therefore requiring infrastructure to facilitate and integrate, rather than "trump", the use, development and subdivision of land.	Allow	Retain Policy I-P5.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				This acknowledges that the overarching purpose of the infrastructure network is indeed to deliver and facilitate use and development, i.e. there is no need for the infrastructure network without land use.				
FS449.012	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to reserve the power to develop across whenua Maori for their own interests asides the plans intent to protect Whenua Maori	Disallow	No change for amendments. Current wording is adequate and requires Top Energy to properly engage and consult the land owners and mana whenua otherwise.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS345.094	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S282.022	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited, Spark TowerCo Limited, Vodafone New Zealand Limited	I-P5	Support	The policy recognises the benefit in coordinating infrastructure delivery.	Retain provision	as notified.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.188	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S356.024	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	I-P5	Support	Not stated.	Retain I-P5 as no	tified	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.190	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S421.030	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-P5	Support	Federated Farmers supports Policies I-P1 to I-P6, and I-P8 to IP14 as they are currently worded.	Retain Policy I-P5 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.191	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1262	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.264	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1276	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1298	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S454.047	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-P5	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this policy in the FNPDP.	Retain I-P5		Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.192	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy sought to retain this policy as notified, however it supports the changes sought by Transpower (see S454.048).	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S483.044	Top Energy Limited	I-P6	Support	Top Energy supports this policy, in particular the qualifier at the start of "where practicable and appropriate for the type of infrastructure." This is	Retain Policy I-P6		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
			important in order to recognise and provide for the operational and functional needs of infrastructure which may not be able to minimise adverse visual effects in every instance.					
FS351.013	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to impose obligations on FNDC and the rate payers to "provide for" " Recognition" alone is adequate and puts onus back on Top Energy to substantiate benefits over other interests.	Disallow	No change for amendments. Current wording is adequate.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS371.013	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to impose obligations on FNDC and the rate payers to "provide for" " Recognition" alone is adequate and puts onus back on Top Energy to substantiate benefits over other interests.	Disallow	No change for amendments. Current wording is adequate	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS449.013	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to impose obligations on FNDC and the rate payers to "provide for" " Recognition" alone is adequate and puts onus back on Top Energy to substantiate benefits over other interests.	Disallow	retain	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS345.095	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S356.025	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	I-P6	Support	Not stated	Retain I-P6 as no	tified	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.194	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy sought to retain this policy as notified, however it supports the changes sought by Transpower (see S454.048).	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S399.028	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	I-P6	Not Stated	There are some types of cultural site where location of services underground would be warranted and appropriate to avoid/minimise effects on cultural values. This is not specifically provided for by the policy.	e. requiring services infrastruenvironn heritage high an vicinity	f Policy I-P6 as follows: g the undergrounding of when locating cture in the coastal nent, a resource overlay, area, or an area with nenity value, or in the of culturally significant where appropriate)	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.195	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy sought to retain this policy as notified, however it supports the changes sought by Transpower (see S454.048).	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S421.031	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-P6	Support	Federated Farmers supports Policies I-P1 to I-P6, and I-P8 to IP14 as they are currently worded.	Retain Policy I-P6 amendments inclu achieves the sam	ude similar wording that	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.196	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy sought to retain this policy as notified, however it supports the changes sought by Transpower (see S454.048).	Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1263	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.265	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS566.1277	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1299	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S454.048	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-P6	Support in part	Transpower supports the intent of this policy in the FNPDP, however the requirements for use of recessive colours and finishes in subclause c. and the undergrounding of services in sub clause e. are potentially problematic. The materials needed to construct, operate, maintain, repair and upgrade the National Grid can be quite specialised and may not necessarily be available in a range of recessive colours and finishes. Undergrounding of transmission lines and facilities is not always technically feasible and is very expensive. Underground transmission lines are also difficult to maintain and repair. In addition, if undergrounding of transmission assets is required in the Far North, these costs will ultimately be passed on to electricity users in the district which may be unaffordable. Transpower therefore proposes changes to the wording of the policy to ensure visual effects are considered and addressed without being unduly onerous or costly.	type of infrastructivisual effects of in a. co-location or nb. removing redurc. using landscap colours and finish d. encouraging maintain the cof the surrour integrating infithe site and utiform and land e. requiring coundergroundil locating infrascoastal enviro	and appropriate for the ure, minimise the adverse if astructure by: nultiple use; dant facilities or structures; ing and/or recessive es, if available; g innovative design to character and amenity ading area by rastructure within tilising existing built form; and onsidering the ng of services when tructure in the nment, a resource age area or an area	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.197	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy support the changes sought by Transpower.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S158.009	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	I-P7	Support	The infrastructure policy framework appropriately provides recognition of the benefits of, and protection for, regionally significant infrastructure (i.e. including Northland Regional Corrections Facility).	Retain Policy I-P7.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S421.039	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-P7	Support in part	Federated Farmers has concerns over policies that seek to restrict certain activities and farm practices on private property. Care needs to be taken when developing policies for district plans that the rights of private landowners to carry out lawful activities are not unnecessarily restricted or prohibited through the Council seeking to protect other land uses such as regionally significant infrastructure. Polices in the proposed district plan should be consistent with the policies contained in national policy instruments and national environment standards. The Council also needs to recognise that for infrastructure that goes across or is on private property, the infrastructure provider will have entered into discussions with the private landowner and the appropriate easements would normally have been registered on the relevant certificate/s of title. The easements provide the necessary level of protection needed for infrastructure to be operated and maintained on private property. As written, the proposed policy has the potential to create reverse sensitivity issues for private property where there is infrastructure located. The policy as drafted does not recognise the role that private property instruments such as easements play in ensuring that infrastructure is able to continue to be accessed and operated and be	Amend Policy I-P7 as follows (inferred): Protect local, regionally and nationally significant infrastructure from the effects of incompatible land use and subdivision, including reverse sensitivity effects, which may compromise the operation and capacity of infrastructure by: a - d e. where there is no evidence of an appropriate easement on the relevant Certificate/s of Title, managing landuse and subdivision activities in proximity to Critical Electricity Lines to f. where there is no evidence of an appropriate easement on the relevant Certificate/s of Title, managing land disturbance and activities sensitive to gas transmission to avoid, or mitigate potential adverse effects on, gas transmission pipelines; and where required, managing other activities, through the	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				repaired, maintained, and upgraded. Proposed policy I-P7 also needs to recognise that every national gas network line has its own easement recorded on the appropriate Certificate/s of Title which legally defines the required setbacks and property specific restrictions.	appropr where n appropr regional	etbacks set backs and riate design controls eccessary, to achieve the iate protection of local, and nationally nt infrastructure.		
FS24.7	Lynley Newport		Support in part	I agree with sentiment and have concerns in regard to infrastructure protection taking too much priority over operational aspects of farming, especially where the infrastructure network operator seldom provides compensation where their infrastructure prevents the use of land for other purposes.	Allow in part		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS78.026	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Oppose	If I-P7 is to apply, or is intended to apply, to the National Grid, the proposed amendment does not give effect to Policies 10 and 11 of the NPSET.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS354.071	Horticulture New Zealand		Support in part	HortNZ has sought changes to I-P7 for similar reasons to Federated Farmers to ensure that landowners are not adversely affected by infrastructure that traverses private property.	Allow	Allow S421.039 where it is consistent with the changes sought by HortNZ in S159.032	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.201	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to clearly differentiate between national, regional and local infrastructure and to provide for Critical Electricity Mapping.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1271	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS346.273	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies	
FS566.1285	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1307	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S159.032	Horticulture New Zealand	I-P7	Oppose	HortNZ opposes a policy of 'protection' as this is inconsistent with higher order documents such as the RPS and NPS-ET. Neither Critical Electricity Lines or electricity distribution lines not defined or described. The policy relates to regionally significant infrastructure but clause g) includes local infrastructure.	effects of is not incompatible to use and develor reverse sensitive compromise the capacity of infraction a. location sensitive potential effects Highword b. avoiding in take and defeated and defeated to the sensitive potential effects and defeated and defeated to the sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects are sensitive potential effects and the sensitive potential effects are sensitive pot	that regionally structure from the compromised by and use and subdivision, pment, including wity effects, which may be operation and astructure by: ag and designing noise we activities to avoid tial reverse sensitivity son airports and State	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					c. managing new noise sensitive activities within a defined air noise contour; d. managing access to the railway corridor, and local, regional and national road network; e. managing landuse and subdivision activities in proximity to Critical Electricity Lines to: 1. retain the ability for the network utility operator to access, operate, maintain, repair and upgrade the line recognising that some lines may cross private land; 2. ensure that future buildings and building alterations, earthworks, planting tress construction activities do not compromise the effective operation of the electricity distribution network and maintain safe electrical clearance distances under all electricity distribution line operating conditions.		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					and ac transm mitigat effects pipelin g. manag throug contro achiev protec and na	ing land disturbance tivities sensitive to gas aission to avoid, or te potential adverse on, gas transmission es; and ing other activities, h setbacks and design ls where necessary, to e appropriate tion of local, regional tionally significant ructure.		
FS299.1	KiwiRail Holdings Limited		Oppose	KiwiRail opposes the full suite of changes to I-P7 (g) and considers that the retention of the terms 'regionally and nationally significant infrastructure' is consistent with the RPS.	Disallow in part		Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS151.188	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS151.189	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS304.014	Radio New Zealand		Oppose	The submitter opposes the proposed amendments as they weaken the protection provided by the policy as notified. This is inappropriate for regionally significant infrastructure.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS548.043	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Support	Federated Farmers agrees with the submitter that the relevant higher order planning documents do not require the protection of infrastructure. The provisions in the Proposed District Plan	Allow	Grant the relief sought.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				need to be consistent with these higher order documents.				
FS448.006	L & T Property Investments Limited		Support	L & T Property Investments supports the changes to Policy I-P7 as it recognises that in many instances, the Critical Electricity Line Overlay is located private land, and clarifies that the provisions only apply to regionally significant infrastructure.	Allow	Amend Policy I-P7	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.194	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.208	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.230	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S483.045	Top Energy Limited	I-P7	Support	Top Energy supports policy I-P7, which seeks to protect regionally significant infrastructure from the effects of incompatible land uses and subdivision. In particular, Top Energy is interested in and supports the inclusion of clause I-P7e and I-P7g of this policy. In terms of the first sentence of the policy, Top Energy considers that it is important that this policy protects nationally and regionally significant infrastructure, and also local infrastructure. With regard to electricity distribution, the whole distribution network is important because the network operates as an electricity ecosystem, which is reliant on all components of the network to ensure the safe and efficient provision of	significant infra infrastructure f incompatible la including revers which may com and capacity of a-d e. Identify Lines as managi	Iy and regionally structure and local from the effects of and use and subdivision, se sensitivity effects, apromise the operation infrastructure by: Ving Critical Electricity a mapped overlay and ang landuse and sion activities in	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				electricity to customers and communities. This is already acknowledged in clause g, but it is important that the leading sentence also acknowledges this. I-P7e addresses the management of landuse and subdivision activities near 'Critical Electricity Lines'. Top Energy provided feedback on the Draft FNDP seeking that some of the critical electricity distribution network be mapped. FNDC has included part of the area requested by Top Energy in the PDP as an Energy Infrastructure Overlay 'Critical Electricity Lines'. As addressed in earlier comments, the extent of mapping is more limited than that sought by Top Energy and only incorporates the 110kv line from Kaikohe to Kaitaia. Top Energy seeks that this be extended to also include the 33kv lines across the District. Top Energy also considers that I-P7e should be amended to include "the identification of critical electricity lines as a mapped overlay." Top Energy has suggested some minor grammatical changes to I-P7e.ii.	proximity to Critical Electricity Lines to: i ii. ensure that future buildings and building alterations, earthworks, planting of tress and construction activities do not compromise the effective operation of the electricity distribution network and maintain safe electrical clearance distances under all electricity distribution line operating conditions		
FS114.3	Far North Holdings Limited		Support in part	FNHL support the protection of Critical Infrastructure but seeks that the Critical Electricity overlay not apply to the Ngawha Innovation Park. The Park property includes both 110kV and 33kV lines and development to date has had some flexibility in both development and retention of electricity supply. The proposed protection measures make many of the approved and future development areas unable to be developed to their potential and removes potential alternative options to enable both development and the	Allow in part	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
			protection of the Critical Electricity Lines. FNHL would be interested in securing an MOU with Top Energy Limit for sites where the Critical Electricity Lines are located. This would be outside the district plan process.					
I FS242.2	Garry Stanners		Oppose	The full suite of effects management "offsetting or compensating" should be the local authorities instruments to utilise not a private company's to use as a lever to buy their way around the PNP constraints. Top Energy state in their Summary 5 it is over and above what is provided for through existing regulations.	Disallow		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS351.014	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	The full suite of effects management "offsetting or compensating" should be the local authorities instruments to utilise not a private company's to use as a lever to buy their way around the PNP constraints.	Disallow	No change to paragraph a.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS371.014	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose	The full suite of effects management "offsetting or compensating" should be the local authorities instruments to utilise not a private company's to use as a lever to buy their way around the PNP constraints.	Disallow	No change to paragraph a.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS131.011	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys,		Oppose	33 kW should not be classified as a Critical Electricity Line CEL so that it can then be mapped and afforded the same powers to constrain land owners in the uses of their properties. The original submitter should be required to compensate owners on the impacts	Disallow	Disallow the original submission (inferred).	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	ecision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation			this will have to property and its historical or potential development.				
FS448.007	L & T Property Investments Limited		Oppose	L & T Property Investments Ltd oppose the reference to the mapped Overlay in Policy I-P7. For completeness and with reference to the wider submission of Top Energy, L & T Property Investments does not support the inclusion of 33kv lines in the Critical Electricity Lines Overlay, either on the maps or by definition. It considers that site-by-site consideration of the integration of land use and infrastructure can be appropriate managed between the landowner and network utility provider, insofar as it relates to the iterative undergrounding of the network as affected land is developed over time.	Disallow	Amend Policy I-P7	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS449.014	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	The full suite of effects management "offsetting or compensating" should be the local authorities instruments to utilise not a private company's to use as a lever to buy their way around the PNP constraints.	Disallow	Retain	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS354.072	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	HortNZ has sought changes to I-P7 which are inconsistent with the changes sought by the submitter. In particular HortNZ does not support extending the policy to include local infrastructure or including maps of CEL through submissions as landowners are foreclosed on the opportunity to submit.	Disallow	Disallow S483.045	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS345.096	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (\$483).	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requ	iested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S489.017	Radio New Zealand	I-P7	Support in part	RNZ support a policy to protect regionally significant infrastructure from incompatible land use, however, at present the policy does not recognise infrastructure associated with network utilities.	Insert new point in Policy I-P7, after point e as follows (and renumber points f and g accordingly): f. managing land use and subdivision activities in proximity to network utilities, including radio communication transmitter sites, to: i. retain the ability for network utility operator to access, operate, maintain, repair and upgrade the infrastructure; ii. ensure that future buildings do not compromise the effective operation of the network utility.		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS78.049	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter considers these are helpful additions to the policy.	Allow the submission		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S416.018	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	I-P7	Support in part	The policy is generally supported as it recognizes both reverse sensitivity effects, and the need to protect Regionally Significant Infrastructure with design and/or acoustic standards. The Plan recognizes that airport and road noise effects require management but omits to include railway noise and vibration, which requires a similar policy and rule regime to manage effects effectively. The policy should encourage the management of the rail/development interface. Other submissions seek to add a building or accessway setbacks and an acoustic/vibration standard. While the definition of noise includes vibration, it is highlighted here to	Insert new criteria in Policy I-P7 as follows: (x) locating and/or designing noise and vibration sensitive activities to avoid potential reverse sensitivity effects on railway corridors (y) managing new noise and vibration sensitive activities adjacent to railways to protect people's health and residential amenity while they are indoors. Amend subsection g. of Policy I-P7 as follows. g. managing other activities, through set-backs and design		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				support the specific rail vibration standard. Vibration from rail corridors can potentially give rise to adverse health and amenity effects on noise (and vibration) sensitive activities located nearby if not properly addressed and provided for. The rail network is a 24 hour a day, seven day a week operation, and the frequency, length and weight of trains can change without community consultation. Noise and vibration can have an impact on the internal amenity of a building. Appropriate mitigation, installed to ensure that the health and wellbeing of those living and working near to the rail network are not adversely affected, is pivotal to ensure that undue restrictions are not placed on the operation of the rail network. Rail activities not only generate noise, but also vibration effects. KiwiRail seek amendment to required acoustic and vibration treatment for sensitive activities within identified corridors adjacent to the rail way networks to ensure an appropriate level of internal amenity is achieved in buildings adjacent to the rail corridor. Clause 'g' is supported with the proposed amendment as it supports the plans approach to actively managing the effects of adjacent development on infrastructure.	controls where necessary, to achieve appropriate protection of local, regional and nationally significant infrastructure. Or any such alternative relief to ensure that the rail related elements are appropriately addressed.		
FS243.055	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support in part	Kāinga Ora opposes the decision sought on the basis that adverse effects from noise can be appropriately managed and the concept of reverse sensitivity is not supported. Kāinga Ora opposes the amendment which is overly prescriptive.	Disallow Insert new criteria in Policy I-P7 as follows:	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.200	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to clearly differentiate between national, regional and local infrastructure and to provide for Critical Electricity Mapping.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S282.023	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited, Spark TowerCo Limited, Vodafone New Zealand Limited	I-P7	Support	The policy recognises the role that regionally significant infrastructure plays and the need to protect such assets.	Retain provision a	as notified	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.198	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to clearly differentiate between national, regional and local infrastructure and to provide for Critical Electricity Mapping.	Allow in part	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in pat	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S356.026	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	I-P7	Support	Not stated	Retain I-P7 as no	tified	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.199	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to clearly differentiate between national, regional and local infrastructure and to provide for Critical Electricity Mapping.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S454.049	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-P7	Not Stated	Transpower supports the intent of this policy however it does not reflect or give effect to the NPSET. Transpower seeks specific National Grid provisions in order to give effect to the NPSET. The primary concerns are: • The policy does not comprehensively address all activities which may compromise the National Grid. In addition to the health and safety issues of	Grid as follows: I-P7 Protect regionally (excluding the		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				activities locating within proximity of the National Grid, the National Grid can be affected by other activities that establish beneath or in close proximity to its lines and/or structures. Such activities can generate reverse sensitivity effects where landowners / operators request a Council to impose constraints on existing infrastructure to manage effects such as noise, reduced visual amenity, radio and television interference, perceived Electric and Magnetic Field ('EMF') effects, or interference with business activities beneath the lines. The location of buildings and activities, particularly 'sensitive activities' such as schools and residential properties, beneath or in close proximity to lines and/or structures can also compromise Transpower's ability to maintain, upgrade and develop the National Grid. Additionally, the stability of National Grid lines can be affected by earthworks that destabilise support structures resulting in their need to be relocated. NPSET Policies 10 and 11 are particularly relevant. These policies act as the primary guide to inform how adverse effects on the National Grid are managed. The policies seek to: Avoid sensitive activities near electricity transmission lines and infrastructure; Manage other activities to avoid reverse sensitivity effects on the Grid; and	compromise the operation and capacity of infrastructure by		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				Manage activities to ensure the operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the Grid is not compromised. As proposed, Policy I-P7 does address any of the above adequately. On this basis Transpower seeks a separate policy framework for the National Grid.				
FS369.202	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to clearly differentiate between national, regional and local infrastructure and to provide for Critical Electricity Mapping.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S282.024	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited, Spark TowerCo Limited, Vodafone New Zealand Limited	I-P8	Support	The policy recognises that infrastructure meets the needs of the district.	Retain provision	as notified	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.204	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to clearly differentiate between national, regional and local infrastructure and to provide for Critical Electricity Mapping.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S356.027	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	I-P8	Support	not stated	Retain I-P8 as no	otified	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.205	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.223	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to apply the NZ standards for	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				radiofrequency fields. and provide for offsetting or compensation.				
S416.019	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	I-P8	Support	The policy supports activities which maintain the resilience of the rail network, which is regionally significant infrastructure, and is therefore supported.	Retain Policy I-P8		Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.206	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified	Allow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S421.032	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-P8	Support	Federated Farmers supports Policies I-P1 to I-P6, and I-P8 to IP14 as they are currently worded.	Retain Policy I-P8 amendments inclu achieves the sam	ide similar wording that	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.207	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified	Allow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1264	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.266	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1278	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1300	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S454.051	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-P8	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this policy in the FNPDP.	Retain I-P8		Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.208	Top Energy Support Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified. Support in part Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to apply the NZ standards for radiofrequency fields and provide for offsetting or compensation. Radio New Zealand I-P8 Support Support RNZ support this policy. It is important to provide for infrastructure, particularly where it is regionally significant. Top Energy Support Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified. Allow Retain Policy I-P8 Allow Retain Allow Allow Retain Allow Allow Retain Allow Allow Retain Allow Allow	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies					
FS369.227	Top Energy			to apply the NZ standards for radiofrequency fields and provide for	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S489.018		I-P8	Support	to provide for infrastructure, particularly	Retain Policy I-P8	3	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.209	Top Energy		Support		Allow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S483.046		I-P8	Support		Retain Policy I-P8	3	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS345.097	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S454.052	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-P9	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this policy in the FNPDP.	Retain I-P9		Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S483.047	Top Energy Limited	I-P9	Support	Top Energy seeks amendment to this policy to ensure that the operational and functional needs of infrastructure are taken into account when encouraging new linear infrastructure to be located within roads.	and functional Eencourage ne	ount the operational needs of infrastructure, w linear infrastructure ithin roads and, where	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				ā	carriageway unless this would result in a risk to health and safety.			
FS448.008	L & T Property Investments Limited		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS354.073	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	Linear infrastructure should be encouraged to be within road where practicable to avoid impacts on private property.	Disallow	Disallow S483.047	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS345.098	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S356.028	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	I-P9	Support	Not stated	Retain I-P9 as no	tified	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.211	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to take into account the operational and functional needs of infrastructure.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S421.033	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-P9	Support	Federated Farmers supports Policies I-P1 to I-P6, and I-P8 to IP14 as they are currently worded.	Retain Policy I-Ps amendments incl achieves the sam	ude similar wording that	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.212	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to take into account the operational and functional needs of infrastructure.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1265	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS346.267	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM. NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1279	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1301	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S511.044	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	I-P10	Support in part	Recognize that National Grid is very important.	instances in the	reflect that there may be Coastal Environment where igenous biodiversity may be	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS78.053	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Oppose	Policy 1-P10 relates to existing National Grid assets in the Far North. There are no existing National Grid assets in the coastal environment in the Far North so the relief requested is not relevant or necessary.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS164.044	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of E	Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.				
FS570.1615	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1629	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1651	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S483.048	Top Energy Limited	I-P10	Support	Top Energy supports recognition for the National Grid, which is fundamental to the electricity network, and assumes Transpower will address any issues with provisions relating to the National Grid.	Retain Policy I	-P10	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS351.015	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	There are a number of concerns with their proposal to assign discretionary activities status. The rule is there to regulate network utilities.	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS371.015	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose	There are a number of concerns with their proposal to assign discretionary activities status. The rule is there to regulate network utilities.	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS449.015	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation	Oppose	There are a number of concerns with their proposal to assign discretionary activities status. The rule is there to regulate network utilities.	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies	
FS345.099	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Awaiting recommendation	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S454.053	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-P10	Not Stated	Transpower supports the policy but suggests an amendment to ensure it covers all aspects of the National Grid.	upgrade, relocathe National Gr to the extent to have been avoi	peration, maintenance, ation or and removal of rid while having regard o which adverse effects ded, remedied or ugh route, site and	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS354.074	Horticulture New Zealand		Support	The wording sought better reflects the nature of the National Grid.	Allow	Allow \$454.053	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.026	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Amendment sought considerably widens the policy, which is inappropriate for new activities such as upgrading or relocation.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.214	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy sought to retain this policy as notified, but support Transpower's requested amendments outlined in this submission point.	Allow	Retain	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S421.034	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-P10	Support	Federated Farmers supports Policies I-P1 to I-P6, and I-P8 to IP14 as they are currently worded.	Retain Policy I-P1 amendments inclu achieves the sam	ude similar wording that	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.213	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy sought to retain this policy as notified,	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	ecision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
			but support Transpower's requested amendments outlined in S454.053 below.				Key Issue 5: Policies	
FS570.1266	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the	Disallow	Disallow to the extent	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5
				submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.		that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission		Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.268	Royal Forest		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a	Disallow	Disallow the original	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5
	and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.			loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.		submission		Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1280	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1302	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S442.063	Kapiro Conservation Trust	I-P10	Support in part	Recognise the National Grid is very important.	in the Coastal E	that there may be instances nvironment where avoidance odiversity may be required	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1760	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.674	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S483.049	Top Energy Limited	I-P11	Not Stated	Top Energy supports the ability of tangata whenua to develop land in the	Amend Policy I-P11 as follows:		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				Māori Purpose Zone or Treaty Settlement overlay, however Top Energy opposes the avoidance directive in this policy. This is incongruous with the wording of objective I-O6 (including Top Energy's suggested amendments). Furthermore, Top Energy considers that the bar of "does not constrain" is too high. The provision of infrastructure to support the development of that land may lead to some constraints (e.g., the provision of electricity lines to service development on that land may require building setbacks to comply with the necessary safe setback distances in NZECP 34:2001). There is also often an operational and functional need for infrastructure to be located in these areas that needs to be recognised and provided for.	where it will unduly compromise the ability to develop and use land in the Māori Purpose zone or in the Treaty Settlement overlay unless the owners of the land agree to the new infrastructure, while recognising and providing for the operational and functional need of infrastructure to locate in these areas.			Key Issue 5: Policies
FS131.012	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	The original submission seeks to reserve the power to develop across whenua Maori for their own interests asides the plans intent to protect Whenua Maori. The current wording is adequate and requires the original submitter to properly engage and consult the land owners and mana whenua.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission (inferred).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS304.009	Radio New Zealand		Support	The submitter supports recognition of the functional and operational needs of infrastructure in the policy.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS345.100	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy	Awaiting recommendation	

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
						Limited in its submission (S483).		
S454.054	Transpower New Zealand Ltd Radio New Zealand	I-P11 Not Stated	Transpower supports the inclusion of this policy in the FNPDP, however the policy needs to acknowledge that there may be some occasions where infrastructure can only be located in a particular location due to a functional or operational need. Transpower suggests the policy be amended to reflect this.	Amend I-P11 as follows: Avoid new infrastructure where it will compromise the ability to develop and use land in the Māori Purpose zone or in the Treaty Settlement overlay unless the owners of the land agree to the new infrastructure or there is a functional or operational need for the infrastructure to be located there.		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies	
FS304.002			Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.217	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to 'manage' new infrastructure to support the development of Māori land. Top Energy supports the proposed amendments recognising a functional or operational need for the infrastructure to be located there.	Allow in part	Amend	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S399.029	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	I-P11	Support	We support the intent of Policy I-P11 to avoid new infrastructure where it will compromise the ability to develop and use land in the Māori Purpose zone or in the Treaty Settlement overlay unless the owners of the land agree to the new infrastructure.	Retain Policy I-P1	1	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.215	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to 'manage' new infrastructure to support the development of Māori land.	Allow	Retain	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S421.035	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-P11	Support	Federated Farmers supports Policies I-P1 to I-P6, and I-P8 to IP14 as they are currently worded.	Retain Policy I-P1 amendments inclu achieves the sam	de similar wording that	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.216	Top Energy	Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to 'manage' new infrastructure to support the development of Māori land.	Disallow	Amend	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies	
FS570.1267	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.269	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1281	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1303	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S483.050	Top Energy Limited	I-P12	Support	Top Energy supports the recognition of the benefits of new infrastructure, but considers the wording should be "recognise and provide for."		12 as follows: provide for the benefits ogy in infrastructure	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS131.013	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust		Oppose	The original submission seeks to impose obligations on FNDC and the rate payers to "provide for". Recognition" alone is adequate and puts onus back on the original submitter to substantiate benefits over other interests.	Disallow	Retain notified wording of I-P12 (inferred).	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission	Submitter (S) /	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer	Relevant section
Point	Further Submitter (FS)						recommendation	of S42A Report
	and Tapuaetahi Incorporation							
FS345.101	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
\$282.025	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited, Spark TowerCo Limited, Vodafone New Zealand Limited	I-P12	Support	The policy recognises that infrastructure technology continues to develop over time.	Retain provision a	as notified	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.218	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to recognise "and provide" for the benefits of new technology.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S356.029	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	I-P12	Support	Not stated	Retain I-P12 as n	otified	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.219	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to recognise "and provide" for the benefits of new technology.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S416.020	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	I-P12	Support	KiwiRail support policy that recognises the benefits that new technologies can bring to rail in terms of efficiency of the operation and safety and resilience of the network.	Retain Policy I-P1	2	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.220	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to recognise "and provide" for the benefits of new technology.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S421.036	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-P12	Support	Federated Farmers supports Policies I-P1 to I-P6, and I-P8 to IP14 as they are currently worded.	Retain Policy I-P12 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.221	Top Energy	·	Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to recognise "and provide" for the benefits of new technology.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1268	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.270	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1282	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1304	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S454.055	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-P12	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this policy in the FNPDP.	Retain I-P12		Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.222	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to recognise "and provide" for the benefits of new technology.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.233	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S356.032	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	I-P13	Support in part	Whilst Waka Kotahi is not opposed to managing adverse effects, it considers that the policy goes too far to include the "safe and efficient operation of" other infrastructure.	Amend as follows Manage the adve on the environme	rse effects of infrastructure	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					a. avoiding, remedying or mitigating the adverse effects of substantial upgrades to, or the development of new infrastructure, including effects on: i. natural and physical resources; ii. amenity values; iii. sensitive activities; iv. the safe and efficient operation of other infrastructure;		
S483.051	Top Energy Limited	I-P13	Support	Top Energy supports this policy, but considers that the wording of clause IP13a should be amended to enable the full suite of effects management (e.g., including offsetting or compensating) for upgrades and new infrastructure. Top Energy also considers that clause IP13b needs to reference the specific standards and guidelines for electric and magnetic emissions that are considered "recognised standards." If not, Top Energy considers that this policy could be misused or misinterpreted to reference other standards or guidelines that are not actually the ones referenced in the Infrastructure rules. With regard to clause I-P13c, Top Energy has included three other factors that need to be considered in terms of determining whether undergrounding is the most appropriate method of installation of network utilities in the Urban Zones and Settlement zone.	Amend Policy I-P13 as follows: Manage the adverse effects of infrastructure on the environment by: a. avoiding, remedying, OF mitigating, offsetting or compensating the adverse effects of substantial upgrades to, or the development of new infrastructure, including effects on b. avoiding radio, electric and magnetic emissions that do not meet the recognised standards in New Zealand Standards NZS2772.1: 1999 Radiofrequency fields or guidelines in International Commission on Non-Ionising Radiation Protection Guidelines; c. requiring the undergrounding of network utilities in Urban	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					zones and the Settlement zone where it :		
					i. it is technically feasible; and		
					ii. it i s justified by the extent of adverse visual effects; and		
					iii. it provides for the safety of the community; or		
					iv. it will not result in adverse effects on the environment that are greater than placing the infrastructure above; or		
					there are not natural or physical features or structures that render underground placement impractical or undesirable; or		
					v. there are not significant operational, functional, technical, cultural, historic heritage or economic reasons that require the infrastructure to be above ground.		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS51.21	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Oppose	HNZPT opposes the proposed amended relating to the introduction of the wording "offsetting or compensating". The direction through the RMA is for a proposal to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects. HNZPT considers 'offsetting' and 'compensation' are means of mitigation, therefore the addition of these works is considered as unnecessary.	Disallow in part		Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS131.014	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorportation		Oppose	The full suite of effects management "offsetting or compensating" should be the local authorities instruments to utilise and not used by companies as a work around the proposed FNDP constraints.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission (inferred).	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS304.0010	Radio New Zealand		Support	The submitter supports the submission seeking reference to the recognised standards, noting that RNZ operations already comply with these standards.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS448.009	L & T Property Investments Limited		Oppose	L & T Property Investments Ltd opposes the proposed changes to clause (c) as this only relates to the undergrounding of infrastructure in Urban and Settlement zones, which does not include the Heavy Industry Zone, where the ungrounding of network utilities is equally as necessary in order to facilitate the safe and efficient use of the land and zone outcomes.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS346.060	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendment sought does not appropriately recognise and provide for s6(a)-(c) matters.	Disallow	Disallow	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS345.102	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S489.019	Radio New Zealand	I-P13	Support	RNZ support this policy. It already complies with recognised standards and guidelines and it is important that all infrastructure providers do so. RNZ suggests it would be appropriate for this policy to recognise that technical, operational and function constraints may mean not all effects can be avoided, remedied or mitigated. Such recognition would align with the similar policy direction in I-P3.	Amend Policy I-P13 to include the following reference: recognising that technical, operational and function constraints may mean not all effects can be avoided, remedied or mitigated.		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS36.037	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support	Supports recognition of the necessary operational and functional needs of infrastructure.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.229	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to apply the NZ standards for radiofrequency fields and provide for offsetting or compensating. Top Energy supports the recognition of the constraints of operational and functional needs.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S511.045	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	I-P13	Support in part	It is not clear what types of environments this policy is aimed at given that I-P2 and I-P3 already address the Coastal Environment and SNAs and other important natural and cultural matters outside the Coastal Environment. This policy should appropriately be aimed for infrastructure in general at values that	on the environme a. avoiding, readverse eff	rse effects of infrastructure nt by: emedying or mitigating the ects of substantial o, or the development of ructure, including effects	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				are not covered by I-P2 and I-P3 (and those others recommended by Forest & Bird) Under the RPS infrastructure in general must comply with RPS policies 4.4.1 and 4.6.1 and 4.6.2	i. natural and physical resources; ii. amenity values; iii. sensitive activities; iv. the safe and efficient operation of other infrastructure; v. the health, well-being and safety of people and communities. b. avoiding radio, electric and magnetic emissions that do not meet the recongised standards or guidelines; c. requiring the undergrounding of network utilities in Urban zones and the Settlement zone where it: i. is technically feasible; ii. is justified by the extent of adverse visual effects; and iii. provides for the safety of the community.		
FS164.045	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger	Allow Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery.		HNC provisions (inferred).		
				The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.				
FS570.1616	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1630	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1652	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S431.169	John Andrew Riddell	I-P13	Not Stated	Not stated		13 to qualify that it is s I-P2, I-P3 and I-P6.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS332.169	Russell Protection Society		Support	The original submission aligns with our values. The Russell Protection Society has a purpose of promoting wise and sustainable development that compliments the historic and special character of Russell and its surrounds.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.225	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy considers that the policies can be applied in the round without inserting cross references.	Disallow	Reject	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS404.059	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Support	Improves clarity for plan users and subject to the drafting of I-P2, I-P3 and	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Relevant section of S42A Report
				I-P6 will better align with the higher planning documents.			
S454.056	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-P13	Not Stated	Transpower seeks specific National Grid provisions to give effect to the NPSET. While Transpower supports IP-13 in principle, it does not reflect or give effect to the NPSET and is not specific to the National Grid. It also does not provide the 'seek to avoid' approach required by Policy 8 of the NPSET for the more sensitive environments. The inclusion of National Grid specific policies as discussed in the submission point on I-P2 above provides a comprehensive policy approach that gives effect to the NPSET. For the reasons set out in the submission point on I-P2 above, Transpower supports the exclusion of the National Grid from I-P13 and a new policy specific to the development of the National Grid.	Amend I-P13 as follows: Manage the adverse effects of infrastructure, excluding the National Grid, on the environment by: a. avoiding, remedying or mitigating the adverse effects of substantial upgrades to, or the development of new infrastructure, including effects on: i. natural and physical resources; ii. amenity values; iii. sensitive activities; iv. the safe and efficient operation of other infrastructure; v. the health, well-being and safety of people and communities. b. avoiding radio, electric and magnetic emissions that do not meet the recognised standards or guidelines; c. requiring the undergrounding of network utilities in Urban zones and the Settlement zone where it: i. is technically feasible;	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					d. provides f communit Should the Natior from I-P13, Trans	or the safety of the		
FS346.027	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Amendment does not clearly recognise and provide for s6(a)-(c) matters.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S421.037	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-P13	Support	Federated Farmers supports Policies I-P1 to I-P6, and I-P8 to IP14 as they are currently worded.	Retain Policy I-P13 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.224	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to apply the NZ standards for radiofrequency fields and provide for offsetting or compensation.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1269	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.271	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1283	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS569.1305	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
\$442.064	Kapiro Conservation Trust	I-P13	Support in part	It is not clear what types of environments this policy is aimed at given that I-P2 and I-P3 already address the Coastal Environment and SNAs and other important natural and cultural matters outside the Coastal Environment. This policy should appropriately be aimed for infrastructure in general at values that are not covered by I-P2 and I-P3 (and those others recommended by Forest & Bird) Under the RPS infrastructure in general must comply with RPS policies 4.4.1 and 4.6.1 and 4.6.2.	on the environme a. avoidin the adv upgrade new infects i. natura resour ii. a iii. so iv. th a co b. avoidin magne not me standa C. requiri of netv zones zone v	g, remedying or mitigating erse effects of substantial es to, or the development of rastructure, including on: Il and physical	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					o a iii. p	is justified by the extent of adverse visual effects; and or rovides for the safety of the community.		
FS369.226	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy considers that the policies can be applied in the round without inserting cross references.	Disallow	Reject	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1761	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.675	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S463.016	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	I-P13	Support	WBF considers this policy provides appropriately balanced guidance for the management of effects associated with infrastructure development or upgrades.	Retain Policy I-P1	3	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.228	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to apply the NZ standards for radiofrequency fields and provide for offsetting or compensation.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S463.017	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	I-P14	Oppose	Sub-clauses (a) to (m) are a list of assessment matters that are inappropriate to be included in a policy. They do not provide direction about how to achieve the overarching objectives (I-O4, I-O5). WBF recommends deletion of the policy and reliance on Policy I-P13 instead. If necessary, the assessment	Delete Policy I-P1	14	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				criteria can be relocated to rules and standards of the infrastructure chapter				
FS369.234	Moana Kiff	Oppose	Oppose	"We strongly support the inclusion of policy I-P14 in the district plan. This policy is crucial in ensuring the infrastructure development is carefully managed to address the effects of activities requiring resource consent., It provides a comprehensive framework that considers critical factors such as environmental impacts and cultural values. Deleting this policy could lead to fragmentation and confusion in addressing these crucial matters."	Disallow		Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.234	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified.	Disallow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
\$454.057	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-P14	Not Stated	Transpower seeks specific National Grid provisions to give effect to the NPSET. While Transpower supports IP-13 in principle, it does not reflect or give effect to the NPSET and is not specific to the National Grid. It also does not provide the 'seek to avoid' approach required by Policy 8 of the NPSET for the more sensitive environments. The inclusion of National Grid specific policies as discussed in the submission point on I-P2 above provides a comprehensive policy approach that gives effect to the NPSET. For the reasons set out in the submission point on I-P2 above, Transpower supports the exclusion of the National Grid from I-P13 and a new policy specific to the development of	National Grid, the activity req including (but r consideration of	cture, excluding the to address the effects of uiring resource consent,	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.028	Royal Forest and Bird		Oppose	the National Grid. Forest & Bird seeks to ensure that effects on s6(a)-(c) matters are	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	ecision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.			appropriately managed. It is not clear that the amendment sought will achieve that.				Key Issue 5: Policies
S356.033	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	I-P14	Oppose	It is unclear what this policy is setting out to achieve as it has been duplicated in I-P13 above. It is considered better that this be located within the rules or assessment criteria than as a policy.	achieve as it has been cated in I-P13 above. It is dered better that this be located the rules or assessment criteria		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.231	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified.	Disallow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S421.038	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-P14	Support	Federated Farmers supports Policies I-P1 to I-P6, and I-P8 to IP14 as they are currently worded.		P14 or ensure that clude similar wording that me intent.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.232	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS570.1270	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.272	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS566.1284	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS569.1306	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is	Reject	Section 5.2.5

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
						inconsistent with our original submission.		Key Issue 5: Policies
S489.020	Radio New Zealand	I-P14	Support	RNZ supports this policy, particularly (m), (n) and (o).	Retain Policy I-P	14	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS369.235	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Retain	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S483.052	Top Energy Limited	I-P14	Support	Top Energy supports this policy which provides clarity about some relevant matters to be considered in resource consent applications under the Infrastructure Chapter.	Retain Policy I-P14		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS345.103	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S358.026	Leah Frieling	Rules	Oppose	The current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts.	Amend the Infrastructure section, by adding rules: • providing for existing mapped Council drainage district drains, to ensure the ability to clean, unblock access and service the drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Far North Land Drainage Bylaw 2019. • to stop buildings being built within 10m of the drains as per the bylaws.		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S547.038	LJ King Limited	Rules	Oppose	We seek some rules under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the draft management plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts. And, rules within the bylaws should be included under	rules: • providir Counci ensure access	tructure section, by adding and for existing mapped I drainage district drains, to the ability to clean, unblock and service the drainage els in the Kaitaia,	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				drainage districts ie; 10 meter setback for buildings.	Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Far North Land Drainage Bylaw 2019. to stop buildings being built within 10m of the drains as per the bylaws.		
S541.028	Elbury Holdings	Rules	Oppose	We seek some rules under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the draft management plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts. And, rules within the bylaws should be included under drainage districts ie; 10 meter setback for buildings.	Amend the Infrastructure section, by adding rules: • providing for existing mapped Council drainage district drains, to ensure the ability to clean, unblock access and service the drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Far North Land Drainage Bylaw 2019. • to stop buildings being built within 10m of the drains as per the bylaws.		Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS155.37	Fiona King		Support		Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S485.047	Elbury Holdings	Rules	Oppose	Seek some rules under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the draft management plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts. And, rules within the bylaws should be included under drainage districts ie; 10 meter setback for buildings.	Amend the Infrastructure section, by adding rules: • providing for existing mapped Council drainage district drains, to ensure the ability to clean, unblock access and service the drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Far North Land Drainage Bylaw 2019. • to stop buildings being built within 10m of the drains as per the bylaws.		Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS155.38	Fiona King		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S472.026	Michael Foy	Rules	Support in part	We seek some rules under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts.	providing for exist drainage district d to clean, unblock drainage channels Waiharara/Kaiking	o and Motutangi drainage in the Far North Land	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS258.3	logan king		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S521.010	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	Rules	Support in part	The PDP should require all new buildings to store/use roof water wherever possible, to avoid the need for expensive reticulation systems and reduce the need for water top-ups via water tankers. New buildings connected to a public water supply should be required to collect roof water in storage vessels to use for gardens and flushing toilets (at minimum) and contribute to other household water uses such as laundry connections. Water storage vessels do not need to be a traditional round tank - other useful shapes exist, such as rectangular upright vessels that are easy to install against the side of a house or garage, or short flat vessels designed to be completely buried underground or placed under the	sensitive, low-imp for all stormwater engineering, infra- development, to p associated with m	structure and related revent problems fore extreme rainfall events g provision to implement	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
			foundations of new builds. Greywater harvesting and re-use should also be required for new buildings. These types of water-saving measures would also reduce future Council infrastructure costs for additional water supplies and wastewater.					
FS196.16	Joe Carr		Support in part	I support this submission but want the word 'best' to be replaced with 'good'.	Allow in part		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS566.1720	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S472.027	Michael Foy	Rules	Not Stated	We seek some rules under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts		ed) to stop buildings being of the drains as per the	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS259.4	Leah Frieling		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS542.052	Foodstuffs North Island Limited		Support in part	Foodstuffs supports amendments to provide for extensions and alterations.	Allow in part	Amend to provide for extensions and alterations.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S529.237	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	Rules	Not Stated	Stormwater and wastewater should be fully managed to avoid sediment/pollutants being carried to waterways and wetlands, especially during high rainfall events which are expected to become more extreme due to climate change. Under s7(i) of the RMA, councils must have particular regard to the effects of climate change. In general, water sensitive and low impact designs should be a standard requirement, not just encouraged. For example, stormwater and water from wastewater disposal fields can carry pollutants and silt into waterways during high rainfall events. They should not be discharged directly into waterways but be retained in constructed wetlands (vegetated retention ponds) or other water sensitive and low impacts features.	Amend the plan s low impact design requirement.	to that water sensitive and his are a standard	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS36.038	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support in part	Support water sensitive and low impact designs where possible, however request to be involved in the drafting of any additional rules to ensure rules are practicable and operationally achievable.	Allow in part	Waka Kotahi seeks to be involved in any redrafting of stormwater rules.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS570.2124	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS566.2138	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS569.2160	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S483.060	Top Energy Limited	Rules	Not Stated	Permitted activity status for the upgrade of existing above ground network utilities in all zones is supported by Top Energy. However, the rule contains a number of arbitrary numbers in performance standards making this rule of limited use in terms of enabling upgrades to existing above ground network utilities which are required to ensure this lifeline service to the communities is functional and resilient. On review of the s32 analysis for the Infrastructure Chapter, there is no assessment of the proposed rule framework or any justification for the thresholds, making it difficult to understand what has informed them, and what actual effect they are intended to manage. While it appears some changes may have been made to try and make this provision clearer, it is still cumbersome, non-sensical and unnecessarily restrictive. All of Top Energy's network utility infrastructure would either be captured as a structure or building under this rule as notified. To avoid confusion and unnecessary technical terminology, Top Energy considers that performance standards should be simplified and has suggested wording primarily based on baseline thresholds for 'structures' and 'building' bottom	follows: Upgrading of ground network Activity status Where: General PER-1 The upgrade of structures or 1. is within alignme original 2. does not floor are percent it is a but of it is a not resu	of network utility buildings: n 5m of the existing nt location of the structure or building; t increase the gross ea by more than 30 in a 10 year period if	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rule I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				lines in terms of overall degree of change noting that this rule relates to upgrades of existing infrastructure present on the landscape. Top Energy considers that the amendments sought remove some of the cross over between provisions (e.g. PER- 1, noting that all infrastructure referenced is manmade and fixed to land in some way) and streamlines the provisions to make it clearer to the user what is provided for.). For the same reasons as noted above, Top Energy considers the appropriate activity status for non-compliance with performance standards should be restricted discretionary as any adverse effects resulting from upgrades to existing infrastructure can readily be managed by well-considered matters of discretion, given that in the case of this rule, the activity already exists and given the threshold, upgrades will be minor in nature and largely relate to visual amenity. Top Energy considers that this amendment sought results in a more sensible approach (and better alignment) when considering that new overhead lines are provided for in some zones as a permitted activity (e.g., I-R6) and as a restricted discretionary activity in others (e.g., I-R15).	replacement pipe by more than 300mm. PER-2 The activity complies with standards: 1. I-S1 Radio frequency fields; and 2. I-S2 Electric and magnetic fields. Electricity PER-3 In addition to PER 1 and PER 2, the upgrade of electricity network utilities structures or buildings must not result in: 1. Pole or tower height that exceeds 25m above ground level; 2. More than two additional poles; and 3. Additional towers. PER-4 1. Additional cross arms must not exceed a length of more than 4m; Gas PER-5		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					In addition to PER 1 and PER 2, the realignment, relocation or replacement of a gas transmission line is within: 1. an existing easement in favour of the pipeline; 2. 12m of the existing alignment or location		
					Telecommunications PER-6 In addition to PER 1 and PER 2 1. A replacement panel antenna does not increase the face area by more than 20 percent in a 10 year period.		
					2. A replacement dish antenna does not increase in diameter by more than 20 percent in a 10 year period. Activity Status where compliance not achieved with PER 1, PER 3 - PER 6: Restricted Discretionary		
FS242.3	Garry Stanners		Oppose	The case of this rule, the activity already exists and given the threshold, upgrades will be minor in nature and largely relate to visual amenity	Disallow	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rule I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS351.016	A.W and D.M Simpson	Oppose	Oppose	Far to restrictive on existing building platforms and future developments this will ultimately result in major conflict	Disallow	Retain as notified by PDP and inline with WDP which Top Energy consistently refers back to.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rule I-R1 to I-R10
FS371.016	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose	Far too restricting on existing building platforms and future developments, ultimately resulting in conflict.	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rule I-R1 to I-R10
FS448.011	L & T Property Investments Limited		Oppose	L & T Property Investments Ltd opposes the proposed changes to I-R3.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rule I-R1 to I-R10
FS345.111	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rule I-R1 to I-R10
S431.170	John Andrew Riddell	Rules	Not Stated	The amendment is necessary in order to achieve the purpose of the Act.	more stringent re	ructure rules to provide for quirements where any of tional importance in section .	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: General Submissions on Infrastructure Rules
FS332.170	Russell Protection Society		Support	The original submission aligns with our values. The Russell Protection Society has a purpose of promoting wise and sustainable development that compliments the historic and special character of Russell and its surrounds.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: General Submissions on Infrastructure Rules
FS404.060	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Support in part	The D-G supports revision of these policies to better recognise and provide for the matters of national importance	Allow	Allow in part the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: General

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				in section 6 of the Act, subject to the detailed drafting.			Submissions on Infrastructure Rules
\$331.017	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	Rules	Not Stated	The submitter requests that educational facilities are provided for within the district plan as a permitted activity in the Infrastructure Chapter (and subsequently removed from the relevant zoning chapters). The new provision would recognise that education is a fundamental human right and educational facilities are a crucial form of social infrastructure that is needed to support local communities and their social and economic wellbeing. Council has an obligation under the NPS-UD to ensure sufficient additional infrastructure (which includes social infrastructure like schools) is provided in development and local authorities must be satisfied that additional infrastructure to service the development capacity is likely to be available (see Policy 10 and 3.5 of Subpart 1 of Part 3: Implementation, in particular). Enabling educational facilities as a permitted activity will allow better servicing the growth of the Far North District and support the local communities' needs, particularly in residential areas. Furthermore, educational facilities should be provided for in the Rural Zones to allow the Ministry to provide schools to support existing rural communities. This will support active modes of transport and reduce trip lengths and times thereby reducing carbon emissions. They should be enabled in these zones as educational facilities are considered essential social infrastructure. Matters of discretion	Insert a new provision as follows: Educational Facilities Activity status: Permitted All zones Excludes: Light Industrial zone Heavy Industrial zone Natural Open Space zone Open Space zone Sport and Active Recreation zone Horticulture Processing Facilities zone Advice note: rules related to the excluded zones are included in the relevant zoning chapter Where: PER-1 (1) The activity complies with the following relevant development standards for the underlying zone: a) Noise b) Height in relation to boundary c) Setbacks	Reject	

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				when the activity cannot comply with the permitted standards should be limited to matters of relevance.	not achieved Restricted Dis Matters of dis to: a) Desig b) Trans efficie c) Scale of ope d) Infras e) Poten sensit	scretionary scretion are restricted n and layout port safety and		
ThFS354.075	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	The submitter requests that educational facilities are provided for within the district plan as a permitted activity in the Infrastructure Chapter (and subsequently removed from the relevant zoning chapters). HortNZ opposes this approach as it is important that the effects of the activity in the context of each zone are considered.	Disallow	Disallow S331.017	Accept	
FS354.076	Horticulture New Zealand		Support in part	HortNZ supports a permitted activity rule for earthworks within the National Grid Yard that complies with NZECP34:2001 and a default to restricted discretionary.	Allow in part	Allow S454.103 and include a permitted activity rule for earthworks within the National Grid Yard that complies with NZECP34:2001	Accept in part	
FS369.236	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy acknowledges the importance of enabling the establishment of Transmission Lines	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				and the National Grid. Top Energy sought to amend R15 to include Rural Lifestyle Zone.				
S522.033	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	Rules	Support in part	Having relevant infrastructure in place should be a prerequisite for future development. The provision of necessary infrastructure must be high priority in PDP policies/rules. Given the Council's funding constraints, we consider that developers should normally be required to provide the necessary infrastructure, including items such as on-site community wastewater systems	Amend the rules t requirement for do infrastructure serv subdivision.		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS403.027	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support the review of the suite of commercial zones proposed and zoning that reflects commercial development.	Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support the review of the suite of commercial zones proposed and zoning that reflects commercial development.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS566.1772	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S338.010	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	Rules	Not Stated	Having relevant infrastructure in place should be a prerequisite for future development. The provision of necessary infrastructure must be high priority in PDP policies/rules. Given the Council's funding constraints, we consider that developers should normally be required to provide the necessary infrastructure, including items such as on-site community wastewater systems.	Amend the rules t requirement for do infrastructure serv subdivision.		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS570.951	Vision Kerikeri 3	Support	Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS566.965	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS569.987	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S529.010	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	Rules	Support in part	Having relevant infrastructure in place should be a prerequisite for future development. The provision of necessary infrastructure must be high priority in PDP policies/rules. Given the Council's funding constraints, we consider that developers should normally be required to provide the necessary infrastructure, including items such as on-site community wastewater systems.	requirement for d	eveloper input for vicing private land use and	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS570.1900	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS566.1914	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
								Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS569.1936	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
\$529.240	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	Rules	Support in part	The disposal of wastewater from sewage treatment plants into wetlands and water bodies has been a matter of concern to communities for some time. The Council's Infrastructure Committee requested further investigation of disposal-to-land options for several wastewater schemes, and requested a wastewater disposal-to-land workshop in late 2021 to cover methodologies and processes associated with establishing a disposal-to-land scheme The PDP should include provisions to encourage and progressively require disposal-to-land wastewater treatment methods (based on coagulation and flocculation) and ensure the responsible use of solid waste from treatment plants as fertilizer and the use of wastewater for irrigation purposes.	wastewater treatr coagulation and f responsible use of	uire disposal-to-land nent methods (based on occulation) and ensure the f solid waste from as fertilizer and the use of	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS570.2127	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS566.2141	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
								Infrastructure Chapter
FS569.2163	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S483.067	Top Energy Limited	Rules	Support	Top Energy supports the provision for substations in the Rural Production and Rural Lifestyle Zones as a permitted activity, but suggests the inclusion of an additional rule which provides for subdivisions in the Rural Production and Rural Lifestyle, where contained within a building that complies with the building and structure performance standards of the underlying zone. The reasoning for this is that where intensification is promoted, additional substations may be required in urban settings. The main concerns associated with substations relate to visual amenity, noise, radio frequency and electric and magnetic field. These days, it is common for modern substations to be fully housed in buildings that can be designed to be appropriate for an urban setting from a visual perspective. Noise would be managed through the District Wide Chapter, and compliance with I-S1 and I-S2 can be relied on to address any remaining potential effects. Given that these matters can be readily managed, an additional Rule providing for such an activity in zones other than Rural Production and Rural Lifestyle is considered to more appropriate than the discretionary	additional rule for than Rural Produ a permitted activition building that com performance stan	as notified and include an substations in zones other ction and Rural Lifestyle as ty where located within a plies with the relevant dards of the underlying 32 and the thresholds -R10 PER-1.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				status that would otherwise apply by default.				
FS345.118	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S483.075	Top Energy Limited	Rules	Not Stated	Top Energy seeks a restricted discretionary activity status for new above ground customer connections outside of those provided for as a permitted activity by I-R5 (as well as the additional zones noted in submission). There is no provision for this activity otherwise and assumedly, this results in a default to discretionary activity which does not align I-R15 which provides for new overhead lines in all zones other than Rural Production and Māori Purpose zone as a permitted threshold with no height limits.	Insert an additional restricted discretionary activity rule for new above ground customer connections in all zones other than those covered in Rule I-R5.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: General Submissions on Infrastructure Rules
FS345.126	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: General Submissions on Infrastructure Rules
S464.042	LJ King Ltd	Rules	Oppose	Seek some rules under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the draft management plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts and, rules within the bylaws should be included under drainage districts i.e. 10 meter setback for buildings.	rules providing fo drainage district of to clean, unblock drainage channel Waiharara/Kaikin areas, as defined Council Land Dra Draft Managemer Amend to stop bu	o and Motutangi drainage in the Far North District inage Bylaw 2019 and the	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS566.1585	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2	Орр	Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S543.038	LJ King Limited	Rules	Oppose	We seek some rules under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the draft management plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts and, rules within the bylaws should be included under drainage districts i.e. 10 meter setback for buildings.	rules providing for drainage district of to clean, unblock drainage channel Waiharara/Kaiking areas, as defined Council Land Dra Draft Managemer Amend to stop bu	o and Motutangi drainage in the Far North District inage Bylaw 2019 and the	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS566.2199	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S449.011	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Rules	Support in part	Having relevant infrastructure in place should be a prerequisite for future development. The provision of necessary infrastructure must be high priority in PDP policies/rules. Given the Council's funding constraints, we consider that developers should normally be required to provide the necessary infrastructure, including items such as on-site community wastewater systems.	Amend the rules t requirement for do infrastructure services subdivision.		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
FS569.1810	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
								Infrastructure Chapter
FS570.1827	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on Infrastructure Chapter
S483.053	Top Energy Limited	Notes	Not Stated	Clarity is needed within the Chapter in terms of what happens where there is overlap between chapters and how the chapter interacts with the Part 3 - Area Specific Matters. With regards to the sensitive environment overlays, the How the Plan Works Chapter indicates that if not specified, the activity is permitted unless otherwise stated. However, this is not made clear in the Chapter and given the importance of this (and for consistency), to assist Plan users, Note 1 should be extended to re-iterate this (see sub# 19 also). Top Energy also highlight that Note 5 means that I-R11, I-R12, and I-R13 would only apply to network utility operators and have commented on this in the submission.	Amend to specify supersedes/takes Area Specific Mat	precedence over Part 3 -	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
ConFS78.043	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter supports this submission because it will improve the clarity of the proposed plan.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
FS345.104	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
								Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
S483.054	Top Energy Limited	Notes	Not Stated	Clarity is needed within the Chapter in terms of what happens where there is overlap between chapters and how the chapter interacts with the Part 3 - Area Specific Matters. With regards to the sensitive environment overlays, the How the Plan Works Chapter indicates that if not specified, the activity is permitted unless otherwise stated. However, this is not made clear in the Chapter, and given the importance of this (and for consistency), to assist Plan users, Note 1 should be extended to re-iterate this (see sub# 19 also). Top Energy also highlight that Note 5 means that I-R11, I-R12, and I-R13 would only apply to network utility operators, and have commented on this in the submission.	infrastructure built that the activities Chapter are perm where more string	that overlays only manage ding and structures and in the Infrastructure itted in the overlays except gent building and structure oject to amendments rlays.	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
FS78.044	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter supports this submission because it will improve the clarity of the proposed plan.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
FS345.105	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
S511.046	Royal Forest and Bird	Notes	Support in part	Note 1 only refers to other District Wide Matters as potentially applying. It	Amend to include Matters Chapter".	reference "Area-Specific	Accept	Section 5.2.1

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	ecision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Protection Society of New Zealand			should say that Area Specific Matters may apply as well.				Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
FS164.046	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
FS369.237	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy considers that clarity is needed between District Wide Matters and Overlays in particular, the Infrastructure Notes should: • Specify that the Infrastructure chapter supersedes/takes precedence over Part 3 – Area Specific Matters. • Specify that overlays only manage infrastructure buildings and structures. • Specify that that the activities in the Infrastructure Chapter are permitted in the overlays except where more stringent building and structure controls apply (subject to	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				amendments sought in the overlays).				
FS570.1617	Vision Kerikeri 3	Support	Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
FS566.1631	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
FS569.1653	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
S442.065	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Notes	Support in part	Note 1 only refers to other District Wide Matters as potentially applying. It should say that Area Specific Matters may apply as well.	Amend to include Matters Chapter	e reference "Area-Specific ".	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
FS570.1762	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS346.676	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	
S416.021	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	I-R1	Support	KiwiRail support the permitted activity status of the operation, maintenance, repair, and removal of existing rail infrastructure subject to standards.	Retain Rule I-R1		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS369.238	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this rule as notified.	Allow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S454.059	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-R1	Support	Although of limited relevance to Transpower given the NESETA, Transpower supports I-R1.	Retain I-R1		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS369.239	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this rule as notified.	Allow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S489.021	Radio New Zealand	I-R1	Support	Although RNZ's activities are authorised by designations, RNZ support a permitted activity standard for existing above or underground network utilities.	Retain Rule I-R1		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS369.241	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this rule as notified.	Allow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S483.057	Top Energy Limited	I-R1	Support	Top Energy supports the permitted activity status for operation, maintenance, repair and removal of existing above ground network utilities in all zones noting the amendments sought for noncompliance with I-S1 and I-S2	Retain Rule I-R1		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS345.108	Ngawha Generation Limited	S	Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S483.058	Top Energy Limited	I-R2	Support	Top Energy supports the permitted activity status for new underground network utilities, and upgrade to existing underground network utilities in all zones noting the previous submission point seeking a definition of customer connection be included and for non-compliance with I-S1 and I-S2. Top Energy also supports retaining rules pertaining to Notable Trees in the Notable Trees section noting comments made as part of this submission on the Notable Trees Chapter. Top Energy also support providing a clear link to relevant provisions within other chapters and considers that this is a useful inclusion for plan users, and helpful in terms of understanding integration of the Plan. Top Energy suggest that this approach be taken consistently throughout the	Retain Rule I-R2		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS448.0010	L & T Property		Support	plan. L & T Property Investments Ltd	Allow	Retain Rule I-R2	Accept	Section 5.2.7
	Investments Limited			supports the permitted activity status for undergrounding infrastructure.			,	Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS345.109	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S416.022	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	I-R2	Support	KiwiRail support the ability to install new, and upgrade existing underground infrastructure as a permitted activity, subject to standards.	Retain Rule I-R2		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS369.242	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this rule as notified.	Allow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.7

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
								Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S454.060	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-R2	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this rule in the FNPDP.	Retain I-R2		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS369.243	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this rule as notified.	Allow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S431.115	John Andrew Riddell	I-R3	Not Stated	The amendment is necessary in order to achieve the purpose of the Act.	Amend the rule so that any proposal to set a building or structure less than 20 metres back from the coastal marine area, or from rivers and banks is a non-complying activity.		Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS332.115	Russell Protection Society		Support	The original submission aligns with our values. The Russell Protection Society has a purpose of promoting wise and sustainable development that compliments the historic and special character of Russell and its surrounds.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S483.059	Top Energy Limited	I-R3	Not Stated	Permitted activity status for the upgrade of existing above ground network utilities in all zones is supported by Top Energy. However, the rule contains a number of arbitrary numbers in performance standards making this rule of limited use in terms of enabling upgrades to existing above ground network utilities which are required to ensure this lifeline service to the communities is functional and resilient. On review of the s32 analysis for the Infrastructure Chapter, there is no assessment of the proposed rule framework or any justification for the thresholds, making it difficult to understand what has informed them, and what actual effect they are	Delete Rule I-R3 and insert new rules as follows: Upgrading of existing above ground network utilities Activity status: Permitted Where: General PER-1 The upgrade of network utility structures or buildings: 1. is within 5m of the existing alignment location of the original structure or building;		Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rule I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				intended to manage. While it appears some changes may have been made to try and make this provision clearer, it is still cumbersome, non-sensical and unnecessarily restrictive. All of Top Energy's network utility infrastructure would either be captured as a structure or building under this rule as notified. To avoid confusion and unnecessary technical terminology, Top Energy considers that performance standards should be simplified and has suggested wording primarily based on baseline thresholds for 'structures' and 'building' bottom lines in terms of overall degree of change noting that this rule relates to upgrades of existing infrastructure present on the landscape. Top Energy considers that the amendments sought remove some of the cross over between provisions (e.g. PER- 1, noting that all infrastructure referenced is manmade and fixed to land in some way) and streamlines the provisions to make it clearer to the user what is provided for.). For the same reasons as noted above, Top Energy considers the appropriate activity status for non-compliance with performance standards should be restricted discretionary as any adverse effects resulting from upgrades to existing infrastructure can readily be managed by well-considered matters of discretion, given that in the case of this rule, the activity already exists and given the threshold, upgrades will be minor in nature and largely relate to visual amenity. Top Energy considers that this amendment sought results in a more	 does not increase the gross floor area by more than 30 percent in a 10 year period if it is a building; complies with the zone's permitted setback standards if it is a building; and does not result in an increase to the diameter of a replacement pipe by more than 300mm. PER-2 The activity complies with standards: I-S1 Radio frequency fields; and I-S2 Electric and magnetic fields. Electricity PER-3 In addition to PER 1 and PER 2, the upgrade of electricity network utilities structures or buildings must not result in:		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				sensible approach (and better alignment) when considering that new overhead lines are provided for in some zones as a permitted activity (e.g., I-R6) and as a restricted discretionary activity in others (e.g., I-R15).	1. Additional cross arms must not exceed a length of more than 4m; Gas PER-5 In addition to PER 1 and PER 2, the realignment, relocation or replacement of a gas transmission line is within: 1. an existing easement in favour of the pipeline; 2. 12m of the existing alignment or location Telecommunications PER-6 In addition to PER 1 and PER 2 1. A replacement panel antenna does not increase the face area by more than 20 percent in a 10 year period. 2. A replacement dish antenna does not increase in diameter by more than 20 percent in a 10 year period. Activity Status where compliance not achieved with PER 1, PER 3 - PER 6: Restricted Discretionary		
FS354.077	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	The standards for upgrades need to be clear and ensure that they will not adversely affect other parties. The	Disallow S483.059	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rule I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				changes sought do not appear to achieve those outcomes.				
FS345.110	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rule I-R1 to I-R10
S416.023	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	I-R3	Support	KiwiRail support the ability to upgrade existing infrastructure as a permitted activity, subject to standards.	Retain Rule I-R3		Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rule I-R1 to I-R10
FS369.244	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend the rule to provide for upgrading as a permitted activity.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rule I-R1 to I-R10
S454.061	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-R3	Support	Although of limited relevance to Transpower given the NESETA, Transpower supports I-R3.	Retain I-R3		Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rule I-R1 to I-R10
FS369.245	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend the rule to provide for upgrading as a permitted activity.	Allow in part	Accept in part	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rule I-R1 to I-R10
S489.022	Radio New Zealand	I-R3	Support	Although RNZ's activities are authorised by designations, RNZ support a permitted activity rule for upgrading existing above ground network utilities in the event new equipment not authorised by the designations is required.	Retain Rule I-R3		Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rule I-R1 to I-R10
FS369.246	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend the rule to provide for upgrading as a permitted activity.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rule I-R1 to I-R10
S483.061	Top Energy Limited	I-R4	Support	Top Energy supports this rule, noting sub#48 regarding activity status for noncompliance with I-S1 and I-S2.	Retain Rule I-R4		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS351.017	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	Top energy is encroaching on land owners existing rights, especially for farmers, where structures may not	Disallow	Do not include proposed amendment of "is less thanheight and".	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				require a building consent or have existing use rights.				
FS371.017 FS449.016	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose	Top energy is encroaching on land owners existing rights, especially for farmers, where structures may not require a building consent or have existing use rights.	Disallow	Do not include proposed amendment of "is less thanheight and".	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS449.016	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	Top energy is encroaching on land owners existing rights, especially for farmers, where structures may not require a building consent or have existing use rights.	Disallow	Do not include proposed amendment of "is less thanheight and".	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS345.112	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S483.062	Top Energy Limited	I-R5	Not Stated	Top Energy seeks that a definition of what comprises a 'customer connection' be included. In general, Top Energy continues to support the permitted activity status in the Rural Production, Māori Purpose, Rural Lifestyle, Horticulture and Kauri Cliffs Zone, and understands the rationale behind encouraging underground connections in the residential and industrial zones. However, Top Energy seeks that rule be extended to Ngawha Innovation and Enterprise Park and Rural Settlement Zones which are rural in nature. For all of these zones, given their isolation from urban areas, undergrounding	Include Nga Rural Settle Amend PEF poles don't in height ab Amend active compliance discretionar Amend active Amend active Amend active discretionar	R -1 to read as follows: The exceed a maximum of 25m ove ground level; vity status for nonwith PER - 1 to restricted y activity; and vity status for nonwith PER - 2 to	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of D	ecision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				electricity infrastructure would be cost prohibitive and unnecessary. Top Energy also seeks a restricted discretionary activity for non-compliance with PER -1, this results in better alignment with I-R15 which treats new overhead lines outside of these zones as a restricted discretionary activity with no height restrictions.				
				Top Energy also seeks that PER - 1 be amended to specify above ground level, and that non-compliance with PER -2 be a discretionary activity as opposed to noncomplying.				
FS114.4	Far North Holdings Limited		Oppose	FNHL opposes the inclusion of the Ngawha Innovation and Enterprise Zone within I-R5 as proposed within the submission from Top Energy. While the location of the Park is surrounded by rural and rural residential activities, the Park has many urban elements particularly in the Innovation Hub. The Park also has a mixture of overhead and underground power supply depending on the onsite requirements for the development site. FNHL would prefer to see a MOU created or similar agreement which provides details, flexibility, and guidelines for future development within the Park and how electricity needs will be satisfied.	Disallow		Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS351.018	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	Again, Top energy is encroaching on land owners existing rights and ability to manage their properties where there are lines.	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS371.018	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati		Oppose	Again, Top energy is encroaching on land owners existing rights and ability to manage their properties where there are lines.	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri							
FS449.017	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	Again, Top energy is encroaching on land owners existing rights and ability to manage their properties where there are lines.	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS345.113	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S282.005	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited, Spark TowerCo Limited, Vodafone New Zealand Limited	I-R5	Oppose	The current rule only allows for new above ground customer connections in Rural zones however doesn't take into account subdivisions that are already serviced by aboveground connections and as such this rule would require consents for new connections in these areas regardless of there being an existing overhead network.	Amend I-R5 to ap	ply in all zones.	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS369.247	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend the rule to include Ngāwhā Innovation and Enterprise Park and Rural Settlement Zone, seeking a restricted discretionary activity status, including above ground level.	Allow in part	Amend	Awaiting recommendation	
S483.063	Top Energy Limited	I-R6	Support	Top Energy supports this rule, but seeks that non-compliance with I-S1 and I-S2 be a discretionary activity for reasons identified in submission.		atus for non-compliance S1 and I -S2 in Rule I-R6 to	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS351.019	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	"for consistency"Inadequate explanation as to why and how Rural Lifestyle should be included.	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP.	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	ecision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS371.019	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri	Trust ii Ngare ihu, urara, pro,	Oppose	"for consistency"Inadequate explanation as to why and how Rural Lifestyle should be included	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP.	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS449.018	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	"for consistency"Inadequate explanation as to why and how Rural Lifestyle should be included	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP.	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS345.114	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S454.062	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-R6	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of I-R6 in the FNPDP.	Retain I-R6		Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS369.248	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend the rule default to discretionary activity.	Allow	Amend	Accept	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S483.064	Top Energy Limited	I-R7	Support	This rule applies to the Rural Production, Rural Lifestyle and Māori Purpose Zones. Top Energy seeks that this be extended to Ngawha Innovation and Enterprise Park and Rural Settlement Zones. Top Energy seeks that PER 1 and PER 2 specify 'above ground'.	Amend Rule I-R7 as follows: Include Ngawha Innovation Zone and Rural Settlement Zone. Amend PER -1 to read as follows: The poles don't exceed a maximum of 25m in height above ground level. Amend PER - 2 to read as follows: Towers do not exceed a height of 15m above ground level Amend activity status for noncompliance with PER - 1 & 2 to restricted discretionary activity.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS114.5	Far North Holdings Limited		Oppose	FNHL opposes the inclusion of the Ngawha Innovation and Enterprise Zone within I-R7 as proposed within the submission from Top Energy. While the location of the Park is surrounded by rural and rural residential activities the Park has many urban elements particularly in the Innovation Hub. FNHL would prefer to see a MOU created or similar agreement which provides detail and guidelines for future development within the Park. The Top Energy submission justification that NIEP is rural in nature is incorrect from an infrastructure perspective. Presently NIEP has ~3km of underground 11kV and 33kV lines, ~2km underground LV cables, and ~1km new above ground 11kV lines. Whether new lines are above or below ground within NIEP is essentially a situation dependent exercise and a blanket rule requiring all to be above ground is not considered to be appropriate.	Disallow	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS345.115	Ngawha Generation Limited	LR7	Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S454.063	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-R7	Not Stated	Due to their linear nature and the legislative requirement for Transpower to connect new electricity generation to the National Grid, regardless of where the new generation facilities are located, transmission lines may need to traverse any zone within the Far North District. Accordingly, Transpower considers that this rule should apply to all zones in the FNPDP and new facilities that do not comply with the performance standards should have restricted discretionary status.	telecommunication or towers Rural P Lifestyle zone M All zones Activity status: Where: PER-1 Poles or telecor attached antend height of 25m. PER-2 Towers do not e PER-3 The activity conducts of 1-S1 Radio frequency of 1-S2 Electric and Activity status wachieved with P Restricted Discrete Matters of discrete of the further status of the status of the further status of the further status of the st	es and associated poles, in and attached antennas, irroduction zone Rural Māori Purpose zone Permitted mmunications poles and in a do not exceed a height of 15m. Inplies with standards: itency fields; and items magnetic fields. Items where compliance not item items is items.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					benefits from, the network utility; b. the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken; c. the bulk, height, location and design of the network utility,		
					including any associated building(s) or structures; d. the impact on the character and qualities of the surrounding area; and e. any adverse effects on public health and/or safety. Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-3: Non-complying		
FS354.078	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	HortNZ considers that new overhead lines and associated structures can have adverse effects on other parties. Therefore a discretionary activity status is supported. If a RD status is included then a matter of discretion should be the effects on other parties, including affected landowners.	Disallow Disallow S454.063	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS243.058	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes elements of the proposed change sought that may be inconsistent with the NPSET.	Disallow Amend I-R7	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS369.250	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend the rule to include Ngāwhā Innovation and Enterprise Park and Rural Settlement Zone, seeking a restricted discretionary	Allow in part Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				activity status, including above ground level.				
S282.006	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited, Spark TowerCo Limited, Vodafone New Zealand Limited	I-R7 Opp	Oppose	The current rule only allows for new telecommunications infrastructure in three Rural zones however. the remainder of the Infrastructure section does not allow for new telecommunications infrastructure and as such, consents will be required for any new infrastructure not located within one of the three Rural zones captured by I-R7.	practice guidance	tter align with the best document for vities. Refer to IE-R15.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
				As such, this severely limits the ability to deploy telecommunications infrastructure to effectively meet the needs of the district and provide services where required. It appears the applicability of the NES-TF has been misinterpreted in the proposed district plan as it not a catch-all document and relies heavily on an underlying rules framework in district plans to function effectively to allow for the provision of telecommunications infrastructure. This presents a serious risk to provide for telecommunications infrastructure and as such, must be rectified.				
FS369.249	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend the rule to include Ngāwhā Innovation and Enterprise Park and Rural Settlement Zone, seeking a restricted discretionary activity status, including above ground level.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S483.065	Top Energy Limited	I-R8	Support	In general, telecommunication kiosks aren't of particular interest to Top Energy. However, it is noted that kiosks often colocate on other infrastructure such as electricity poles or towers. Accordingly, the height limit of 3.5m might be an issue and an exemption is sought		to expressly enable the co- nmunication kiosks on ture.	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				where the kiosk is located on an existing pole or tower.				
FS345.116	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S483.066	Top Energy Limited	I-R10	Support	Top Energy supports the provision for substations in the Rural Production and Rural Lifestyle Zones as a permitted activity, but suggests the inclusion of an additional rule which provides for subdivisions in the Rural Production and Rural Lifestyle, where contained within a building that complies with the building and structure performance standards of the underlying zone. The reasoning for this is that where intensification is promoted, additional substations may be required in urban settings. The main concerns associated with substations relate to visual amenity, noise, radio frequency and electric and magnetic field. These days, it is common for modern substations to be fully housed in buildings that can be designed to be appropriate for an urban setting from a visual perspective. Noise would be managed through the District Wide Chapter, and compliance with I-S1 and I-S2 can be relied on to address any remaining potential effects. Given that these matters can be readily managed, an additional Rule providing for such an activity in zones other than Rural Production and Rural Lifestyle is considered to more appropriate than the discretionary status that would otherwise apply by default.	additional rule for than Rural Product a permitted activition building that comperformance stan	as notified and include an substations in zones other ction and Rural Lifestyle as by where located within a colles with the relevant dards of the underlying 62 and the thresholds -R10 PER-1.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS57.2	Robert Sintes		Support	Provision of power supply is integral to the ability of landowners to address intensification demands as populations increase and smaller lots required. Thus Top Energy must retain the capacity to deliver such services, whilst addressing any negative environmental and visual effects.	Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS57.4	Robert Sintes		Support		Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
FS345.117	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S454.064	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-R10	Not Stated	Transpower is obligated by legislation to connect new electricity generation to the National Grid, regardless of where the new generation facilities are located. As a result, transmission facilities, including substations, may need to locate in any zone within the Far North District. While it is not necessarily appropriate for substations to be a permitted activity in all zones, they could be appropriately located within industrial zones. For this reason Transpower suggests the Rule be amended to include these zones.	by a strip screen an structure 2. The lands achieve th	zone ne al zone zone Permitted ty must be landscaped of vegetation which will y buildings or s; caped plants must ne continuous screening e years and have a	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
			acl	Activity status achieved with Discretionary	where compliance not PER-1:			
FS369.252	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports the provision of infrastructure within the Heavy and Light Industry Zones. However, it seeks to ensure that substations within buildings in all zones are permitted.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.7 Key Issue 7: Rules I-R1 to I-R10
S421.041	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-R11	Support in part	Federated Farmers has concerns that where any new buildings, structures, and extensions to existing buildings or structures, in the National Grid Yard do not comply with the defined performance standards have been classified as non-complying activities. The rule requires compliance with the safe distance requirements in the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances as well as the planting requirements from the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. The compliance requirements with the Code of Practice and the Regulations should be enough to ensure that that any structures that do not meet the performance standards are safe and do not interfere with the operation of the National Grid. It is unrealistic and creating unwarranted barriers and unnecessary costs for an activity to go directly to being non-complying from permitted. The Resource Management Act 1991 requires that activities avoid, remedy, or mitigate any effects on the environment. The proposed activity status appears to have assumed that activities which do not meet the permitted activity standards produce		y status in Rule I-R11 from restricted discretionary.	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				such severe effects that can only be dealt with through a non-complying resource consent process. This is inappropriate and contrary to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991. Federated Farmers seeks that the activity status be reclassified from non-complying to restricted discretionary. This would make the rule consistent with Rule I-R12.				
FS24.8	Lynley Newport		Support	Agree a more sensible default in category of activity is required.	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS78.027	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Oppose	The proposed relief does not give effect to the NPSET. The District Plan needs to give a clear signal that it does not contemplate buildings and structures have the potential to give rise to reverse sensitivity effects, compromise the National Grid or are sensitive activities in the National Grid Yard.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS369.253	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy considers that Transpower are best placed to comment on provisions relating to the National Grid and support the protection of these assets	Allow in part	Allow	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS570.1273	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
								and Critical Electricity Lines
FS346.275	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS566.1287	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS569.1309	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
S159.035	Horticulture New Zealand	I-R11	Oppose	Seeks that there is specific provision for artificial crop protection structures in the National Grid Yard which Transpower has accepted in other plans. There may be situations where reticulation and storage of water for irrigation may need to pass through the National Grid Yard. The key issue is that the activity does not impede access to the National Grid infrastructure	Under the Nation the following can 1. a fence less 2. an extensio for sensitive increase the 3. non habitab farming actipiggeries, pand artificistructures Clarify that 'reticu	Rule I-R11 as follows: al Grid Conductors (wires) occur: s than 2.5m in height; n to existing buildings used e activities that do not e building envelope; ale buildings ancillary to a vity, such as milking sheds, oultry sheds, greenhouses ial crop protection protective canopies. alation and storage of water oses carried out by a	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					network utility operator' is provided as a permitted activity within the National Grid Yard.			
FS151.193	Ngāi Tukairangi		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.8
	No.2 Trust							Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS78.001	Transpower		Support	When managed, artificial crop	Allow	Allow the original	Reject	Section 5.2.8
	New Zealand Limited			protection structures and reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes will not compromise the National Grid. As such, the relief gives effect to Policy 10 of the NPSET.		submission		Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS570.197	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the	Disallow	Disallow to the extent	Accept	Section 5.2.8
				submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.		that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.		Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS566.211	Kapiro		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the	Disallow	Disallow to the extent	Accept	Section 5.2.8
	Conservation Trust 2			submission is inconsistent with our original submission.		that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.		Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS569.233	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the	Disallow	Disallow to the extent	Accept	Section 5.2.8
				submission is inconsistent with our original submission.		that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.		Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S483.068	Top Energy Limited	I-R11	Support	Top Energy considers that Transpower are best placed to comment of provisions relating to the national grid, but given the electricity distribution and transmission networks in the Far North are interdependent, Top Energy supports the protection of these assets. Top Energy also supports the explicit exemption from this rule for any part of electricity infrastructure that connects to the national grid. However, Top Energy notes that as per its comment above in regards to Note 5, these provisions would only apply to work undertaken to by network utility operators and consider that this rule also needs to be replicated within the Zones.	Amend I-R11 to exempt work undertaken by the electricity network utility provided Review Plan and amend as necessary to ensure that the rule applies to all plan users, not just network utility providers.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS78.045	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter supports this submission because it will improve the clarity of the proposed plan.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS345.119	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
S454.065	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-R11	Not Stated	Transpower supports the intent of I-R11 to allow some low-risk activities to occur within the National Grid Yard. However, it requires amendment to give full effect to Policy 10 and Policy 11 of the NPSET. In addition to the health and safety issues of locating activities within	Amend Rule 1-R11 as follows: I-R11 New building or structures, and extensions to existing buildings or structures, in the National Grid Yard All zones Activity status: Permitted		Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				proximity of the National Grid, the National Grid can be affected by other activities that establish beneath or in close proximity to its lines and/or structures. Such activities can generate reverse sensitivity effects where landowners/ operators request a Council to impose constraints on existing infrastructure to manage effects such as noise, reduced visual amenity, radio and television interference, perceived Electric and Magnetic Field ('EMF') effects, or interference with business activities beneath the lines. The provisions sought in relation to the National Grid Yard are intended to allow for the reasonable use of land inside the transmission line corridor, with standards and rules imposed to ensure that any subdivision, land use and development that might compromise the National Grid is either managed or avoided. Specific to the 10-12 m 'National Grid Yard', Transpower is satisfied that there are some activities within the National Grid Yard that will not compromise the operation, maintenance or any upgrade of the network, due to their nature and small scale. Certain structures (such as rural hay barns, pump sheds and implement sheds) are less problematic within 12 m of the line (noting that they will still need to be set back 12 m from National Grid support structures and meet mandatory safety clearances stipulated in other regulations) on the basis they are unlikely to "build out" a transmission line. The access or use of these structures can be restricted without causing animal welfare or	PER-1 No new building(s) or structures, and extensions shall be erected within 12m of any National Grid support structure, except for fences less than 2.5m in height and more than 5m from the support structure. PER-2 Under the National Grid Conductors (wires) the following can occur: 1. a fence less than 2.5m in height; 2. an extension to existing buildings used for sensitive activities that do not increase the building envelope; 3. non habitable buildings ancillary to a farming activity, such as milking sheds, piggeries, poultry sheds, greenhouses and protective canopies. This rules does not apply to: network utilities within a transport corridor or any part of electricity infrastructure that connects the National Grid. a. Alterations and additions to an existing building or structure for a sensitive activity that does not involve an increase in the building height or footprint; b. Accessory buildings for sensitive activities located more than 12m from a		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				business disruption issues, and they do not introduce intensive uses or heavily frequented workplaces with long durations of exposure to risk. Conversely, examples of development that should be avoided within the National Grid Yard include sensitive activities, commercial buildings and intensive uses/development, dairy sheds, piggeries, poultry sheds, and commercial greenhouses. The location of buildings and activities, particularly 'sensitive activities' such as schools and residential properties, beneath or in close proximity to lines and/or structures can also compromise Transpower's ability to maintain, upgrade and develop the National Grid. Additionally, the stability of National Grid lines can be affected by earthworks that destabilise support structures resulting in their need to be relocated. Of particular relevance in terms of the effects of activities on the National Grid are NPSET Policies 10 and 11. These policies act as the primary guide to inform how adverse effects on the National Grid are managed. The policies seek to: • Avoid sensitive activities near electricity transmission lines and infrastructure • Manage other activities to avoid reverse sensitivity effects on the Grid; and • Manage activities to ensure the operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the Grid is not compromised Notwithstanding support for the rule, amendments are sought to insert lists	National Grid support structure, that are no more than 2.5m in height and no more than 10m² in area; c. Network utilities as defined in section 166 of the RMA and electricity generation that connects to the National Grid; d. Fences located at least 5m from a National Grid support structure and no more than 2.5m in height; e. Ancillary stockyards and platforms, including those associated with milking sheds (relates to rural activities) located more than 12m from a National Grid support structure f. Uninhabited farm and horticultural buildings and structures located more than 12m from a National Grid support structure and alterations to these buildings and structures g. Artificial crop protection structures or crop support structures not exceeding 2.5 metres in height and located at least 8 metres from a		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				of permitted activities and non-complying activities to make it clear to plan users those activities that are and are not permitted. This will assist with plan interpretation and application and given the national significance of the National Grid and non- complying activity status for those activities which are not appropriate in the National Grid Yard, will provide certainty for plan users.	National Grid transmission line pole that: i. Are removable or temporary to allow a clear working space of 12 metres from the pole for maintenance; and ii. Allow all weather access to the pole and a sufficient area for maintenance equipment, including a crane; or iii. Meet the requirements of clause 2.4.1 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Safe Electrical Distances (NZECP34:2001)NOTES: a) structures and activities located near transmission lines must comply with the safe distance requirements in the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZECP34:2001). Compliance with		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Submitter (FS)				this plan does not ensure compliance with NZECP34:2001; b) vegetation planted near the National Grid Yard should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it complies with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003. All Zones Activity status: Non-complying Where: a. The following activity,		
					building or structure: i. A change of use to a sensitive activity within existing buildings or structures; ii. The establishment of a sensitive activity; iii. Used for the handling or storage of hazardous substances		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					iv.	(Hazardous Substances (Hazard Classification) Notice 2020) with explosive or flammable intrinsic properties (except this does not apply to the accessory use and storage of hazardous substances in domestic-scale quantities); or Wintering barns, Commercial greenhouses, Immovable protective canopies, Produce packing facilities, or Milking Sheds. All activity not listed as permitted, restricted discretionary or discretionary.		
FS548.133	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	Federated Farmers submission sought an amendment to the rule to remove the non-complying activity status and replace it with a restricted discretionary activity status. While some of the proposed amendments sought are supported, some amendments are overly restrictive and would prove	Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				problematic for farmers to continue to carry out their everyday farming operations.				
FS354.079	Horticulture New		Oppose	HortNZ has sought changes to I-R11 to	Allow in part	Allow S454.065 to the	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8
	Zealand			ensure that horticultural activities are able to be undertaken in the National Grid Yard.		extent that horticultural activities in the National Grid Yard can be undertaken as sought in the HortNZ submission.		Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS243.059	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the decision sought on the basis that adverse effects from the national grid can be appropriately managed. Kāinga Ora opposes the amendment which is overly prescriptive.	Disallow	Amend Rule 1-R11	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical
				Kāinga Ora opposes elements of the proposed change sought that may be inconsistent with the NPSET.				Electricity Lines
FS369.254	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy consider that Transpower are best placed to comment on provisions relating to the National Grid and support the protection of these assets.	Allow	Allow	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS369.259	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy considers that Transpower are best placed to comment with respect to the National Grid. Top Energy seek to exclude the Rural Lifestyle, Ngāwhā Innovation Zone and Rural Settlement Zones to be consistent.	Allow	Allow	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
S483.069	Top Energy Limited	I-R12	Support	Top Energy generally supports the inclusion of provisions relating to the Critical Electricity Lines Overlay but seeks that:		12 to exempt works with the by the electricity network	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				wording is Included to exempt works with the CEL undertaken by the electricity network utility provider; that this rule should be applied to all plan users, not just network utility providers (the preference is that provisions that relate to CELS are contained within an overlay chapter for ease of reference); and 10m either side of the CEL is specified, or a figure is included (similar to Whangārei District Council's approach which specified the corridor width of 10m either side.	Review Plan and amend as necessary to ensure the rule applies to all plan users, not just network utility providers.		and Critical Electricity Lines
F\$57.1	Robert Sintes		Oppose	Top Energy submissions (483) surrounding set backs under sections 1-R12(two areas trees and set backs) and SUB-R10 relating to subdivisions. There seems to be some confusion on the part of Top Energy in not delineating between overhead lines and underground lines located on Councils land and berm areas, and the set back rules applied for. Clearly with respect to underground cables located at the front of 90 Wiroa Road Kerikeri and located on Council land setbacks have no meaning or effect in terms of Top Energy's ability in later years to access and service those lines or indeed their concerns about trees planted on private land. If you look at the power overlay map along Wiroa Road Kerikeri, you will see these lines are underground and on councils land. My submission is that Top Energy and Council separately define rules surrounding the protection and servicing of overhead lines v/s	Disallow in part	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 — National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decisi	ion Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				underground lines, in dealing with building set backs and trees and the PDP. With respect to subdivisions under SUB R-10. Again Council should note that with respect to land at 90 Wiroa Road Kerikeri power is already provided for subdivision purposes and installed and approved by Top Energy via a transformer and then underground power on site. Therefore in addressing the previously mentioned sections, there exist no meaningful reasons why a 32 metre set back rule should apply given as previously mentioned the existing line is underground on Council land and laid by Top Energy contractors (and in one area concrete capped) (Submitters note). The proposed set back rule of 32 metres might perhaps be sensible and applicable with respect to overhead lines, however appears to be meaningless with respect to underground cables on council land. Submitters resolution: DEFINE SEPERATE RULES DEPENDING ON FIRSTLY WHETHER THE LINES ARE OVERHEAD OR UNDERGROUND AND SECONDLY TAKE NOTE OF THE LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND SUPPLY LINES IN TERMS OF THE APPLICATION OF ANY SET BACK RULES.				
FS78.046	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter supports this submission on the basis that it would allow it to undertake works on the National Grid where these occur in proximity to Critical Electricity Lines. The submitter also considers it would improve plan clarity if a definition of Critical Electricity Lines were included in the proposed		Allow the original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				plan, in light of the use of the phrase "Critical Infrastructure" and associated terms proposed in the Emergency Management Bill.				
FS351.020	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	The current wording is clear and Top Energy is attempting to remove the directive by the PDP to avoid or minimise. Managing adverse effects is inappropriate and undermines the original intent.	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS371.020	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose	The current wording is clear and Top Energy is attempting to remove the directive by the PDP to avoid or minimise. Managing adverse effects is inappropriate and undermines the original intent	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS448.012	L & T Property Investments Limited		Oppose	L & T Property Investments Ltd opposes the relief sought.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS449.019	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	The current wording is clear and Top Energy is attempting to remove the directive by the PDP to avoid or minimise. Managing adverse effects is inappropriate and undermines the original intent.	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS354.080	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	HortNZ has sought changes to I-R12 to ensure that horticultural activities are able to be undertaken in the near	Disallow	Disallow S483.069	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R-

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				Critical Electricity Lines and seeks to ensure that the rule is based on meeting requirements in NZECP34:2001.				18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS345.120	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
S483.071	Top Energy Limited	I-R12	Support	Top Energy supports the inclusion of provisions relating to the Critical Electricity Lines Overlay. While Top Energy understands Council exemption for buildings or structures that do not require building consent to avoid unnecessary consenting requirements for smaller buildings and structures, Top Energy is concerned that this is too broad reaching. Accordingly, Top Energy seek that a height restriction be incorporated into PER 1 Top Energy also: Seeks that wording is Included to exempt works with the CEL undertaken by the network utility provider; Notes that the Electricity (Hazard from Trees) Regulation 2003 is not linked; and As per the above comments in regards to Note 5, seeks that this rule be replicated in the Zones.	1. The building 3m in heig a building Top Energy also I-R12 be a undertake utility prov I-R12 is re rule applie Reference	amended to exempt work on by the electricity network	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS57.3	Robert Sintes		Oppose	Top Energy submissions (483) surrounding set backs under sections	Disallow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.8

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				1-R12 (two areas trees and set backs) and SUB-R10 relating to subdivisions. There seems to be some confusion on the part of Top Energy in not delineating between overhead lines and underground lines located on Councils land and berm areas, and the set back rules applied for. Clearly with respect to underground cables located at the front of 90 Wiroa Road Kerikeri and located on Council land setbacks have no meaning or effect in terms of Top Energy's ability in later years to access and service those lines or indeed their concerns about trees planted on private land. If you look at the power overlay map along Wiroa Road Kerikeri, you will see these lines are underground and on councils land. My submission is that Top Energy and Council separately define rules surrounding the protection and servicing of overhead lines v/s underground lines, in dealing with building set backs and trees and the PDP. With respect to subdivisions under SUB R-10. Again Council should note that with respect to land at 90 Wiroa Road Kerikeri power is already provided for subdivision purposes and installed and approved by Top Energy via a transformer and then underground power on site. Therefore in addressing the previously mentioned sections, there exist no meaningful reasons why a 32 metre set back rule should apply given as previously mentioned the existing line is underground on Council land and laid by Top Energy contractors(and in one area concrete capped) (Submitters note). The proposed set back rule of 32			Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				metres might perhaps be sensible and applicable with respect to overhead lines, however appears to be meaningless with respect to underground cables on council land.				
				Submitters resolution DEFINE SEPERATE RULES DEPENDING ON FIRSTLY WHETHER THE LINES ARE OVERHEAD OR UNDERGROUND AND SECONDLY TAKE NOTE OF THE LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND SUPPLY LINES IN TERMS OF THE APPLICATION OF ANY SET BACK RULES.				
FS114.8	Far North Holdings Limited		Oppose	FNHL opposes the inclusion of the Ngawha Innovation and Enterprise Zone with respect to I -R12 - PER1. For the reasons as previously noted in respect to approved development areas and existing development areas. This potential conflict could be overcome by an MOU between both FNHL and Top Energy which ensures that key objectives for both parties are addressed with respect to future development and electricity line protection.	Disallow in part		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS131.017	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	The original submission is encroaching on land owners existing rights, especially for farmers, where structures may not require a building consent or have existing use rights.	Disallow	Reject the proposed amendment of "is less thanheight and" under I-R12 as sought in the original submission (inferred).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS448.013	L & T Property Investments Limited		Oppose	L & T Property Investments Ltd opposes the relief sought, and it considers the need for a building	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules
	Enniced			consent is an appropriate threshold.				R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS354.081	Horticulture New		Oppose	HortNZ has sought changes to I-R12 to	Disallow	Disallow S483.071	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8
	Zealand			ensure that horticultural activities are able to be undertaken in the near Critical Electricity Lines and seeks to ensure that the rule is based on meeting requirements in NZECP34:2001.				Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS345.122	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules
	Limited			points made by Top Energy.		(S483).		R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
S159.036	Horticulture New	I-R12	Oppose	There are no provisions for artificial	Amend PER-1 of	Rule I-R12 as follows:	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8
	Zealand			crop protection structures and a setback of 10m from a critical electricity line would compromise horticultural activities. If the activity complies with NZECP34:2001 then the activity should be permitted, not just when being undertaken by a network utility operation.	require a building or service and service	ing complies with		Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS151.194	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.8
	NO.2 ITUST							Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.255	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to exempt works within the CEL when undertaken by the utility provider.	Disallow in part	Reject in part	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS570.198	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS566.212	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS569.234	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
S483.070	Top Energy Limited	I-R13	Support	Top Energy supports the inclusion of provisions relating to the Critical Electricity Lines Overlay (noting early comments regarding extent) but seek that: • wording is Included to exempt works with the CEL undertaken by the electricity network utility provider,	undertaken by the provider. Review Plan and ensure the rule a just network utility	to Electricity (Hazard from	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				as per the above comments re Note 5, this rule should be applied to all plan users, not just network utility providers. The preference is that provisions that relate to CELs are contained within an overlay chapter for ease of reference), wording is Included to exempt works with the CEL undertaken by the network utility provider. 10m either side of the CEL is specified, or a figure is included (similar to Whangārei District Councils approach which specified the corridor width of 10m either side. Top Energy also notes that the Electricity (Hazard from Trees) Regulation 2003 is not linked. As per the above comments in regards to Note 5, seek that this rule be replicated in the Zones.			
FS57.5	Robert Sintes		Oppose	Top Energy submissions (483) surrounding set backs under sections 1-R12 (two areastrees and set backs) and SUB-R10 relating to subdivisions. There seems to be some confusion on the part of Top Energy in not delineating between overhead lines and underground lines located on Councils land and berm areas, and the set back rules applied for. Clearly with respect to underground cables located at the front of 90 Wiroa Road Kerikeri and located on Council land setbacks have no meaning or effect in terms of Top Energy's ability in later years to access and service those lines or indeed their concerns about trees planted on private land If you look at	Disallow	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R-18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				the power overlay map along Wiroa Road Kerikeri, you will see these lines are underground and on councils land. My submission is that Top Energy and Council separately define rules surrounding the protection and servicing of overhead lines v/s underground lines, in dealing with building set backs and trees and the PDP. With respect to subdivisions under SUB R-10 again Council should note that with respect to land at 90 Wiroa Road Kerikeri power is already provided for subdivision purposes and installed and approved by Top Energy via a transformer and then underground power on site. Therefore in addressing the previously mentioned sections, there exist no meaningful reasons why a 32 metre set back rule should apply given as previously mentioned the existing line is underground. On Council land and laid by Top Energy contractors (and in one area concrete capped) (Submitters note). The proposed set back rule of 32 metres might perhaps be sensible and applicable with respect to overhead lines, however appears to be meaningless with respect to underground cables on council land. Submitters resolution DEFINE SEPERATE RULES DEPENDING ON FIRSTLY WHETHER THE LINES ARE OVERHEAD OR UNDERGROUND AND SECONDLY TAKE NOTE OF THE LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND SUPPLY LINES IN TERMS OF THE APPLICATION OF ANY SET BACK RULES.			

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS78.047	Far North Holdings Limited	d	Oppose	FNHL opposes the inclusion of the Ngawha Innovation and Enterprise Zone with respect to I -R13. Landscaping (both core and amenity) form an important part of the Parks' design brief and design guidelines for the zone. This conflict could be overcome by an MOU between both FNHL and Top Energy which ensures that key objectives for landscaping and electricity line protection both parties are addressed. The provision as presented conflicts with consented landscaping, and also offset plantings for the Ngawha Innovation and Enterprise Park and the Matawii Dam.	Disallow in part		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS78.047	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter supports this submission on the basis that it would allow it to undertake works on the National Grid where these occur in proximity to Critical Electricity Lines. The submitter also considers it would improve plan clarity if a definition of Critical Electricity Lines were included in the proposed plan, in light of the use of the phrase "Critical Infrastructure" and associated terms proposed in the Emergency Management Bill.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS351.021	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	Unclear how maintenance doesn't capture repair unless repair is being used as a vehicle for upgrading. Top Energy seeks to mitigate when encroaching on others interests but seek to refuse others, generally the land owner, the same.	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS371.021	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati		Oppose	Unclear how maintenance doesn't capture repair unless repair is being used as a vehicle for upgrading. Top Energy seeks to mitigate when encroaching on others interests but	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of D	Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri			seek to refuse others, generally the land owner, the same.				and Critical Electricity Lines
FS131.016	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	Far too restricting on existing building platforms and future developments, ultimately resulting in conflict.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission (inferred)	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS448.014	L & T Property Investments Limited		Oppose	L & T Property Investments Ltd opposes the relief sought.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS449.020	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	Unclear how maintenance doesn't capture repair unless repair is being used as a vehicle for upgrading. Top Energy seeks to mitigate when encroaching on others interests but seek to refuse others, generally the land owner, the same.	Disallow	Status Quo. No change to wording or PDP.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS345.121	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
								and Critical Electricity Lines
S483.072	Top Energy Limited	I-R13	Not Stated	Top Energy considers that clause PER-1 of this rule is confusing and ultimately unnecessary. Any tree planting should be captured by the Electricity Act and associated regulations. Whether it is for the purpose of a shelterbelt, plantation forestry or commercial horticultural operations is irrelevant. This rule should be redrafted to require that confirmation is provided of accordance with the Electricity Act and associated regulations.	the purpose of plantation fores horticultural op 2:Activities that PER-1 provided 1. prior to wo undertaked provided to proposed a out in acco Electricity Aregulations Electricity (Regulations	ing of trees is not for providing a shelterbelt, stry or commercial erations.PER-do not comply with that: rks notification being a confirmation is a Council and that the ctivity is being carried rdance with the Act 1992 and associated (NZECP 34:2001, the Hazards from Trees) a 2003 (SR 2003/375), ctricity (Safety)	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS114.9	Far North Holdings Limited		Oppose	FNHL opposes the inclusion of the Ngawha Innovation and Enterprise Zone with respect to the Critical Electricity Lines and the protection thereof. For the reasons as previously noted in respect to approved development areas and existing development areas. This potential conflict could be overcome by an MOU between both FNHL and Top Energy which ensures that key objectives for both parties are addressed.	Disallow		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS131.018	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM		Oppose	The original submission is encroaching on land owners existing rights, and	Disallow	Disallow the original submission (inferred)	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R-

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of D	Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation		ability to manage their properties where there are electricity lines.				18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines	
FS448.015	L & T Property Investments Limited		Oppose	L & T Property Investments Ltd opposes the relief sought as the edits do not refer to planting or landscaping but rather 'all works' - this catch all is not intended by I-R13.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS354.082	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	The rule should only require that the regulations in the Hazard from Trees Regulations 2003 are met.	Disallow	Disallow S483.072	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS541.001	Errol James McIntyre		Oppose	No mention made of naturally occurring vegetation.	Disallow	Naturally occurring vegetation be excluded from landowner obligations.	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS345.123	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S421.043	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	I-R13	Support in part	Federated Farmers supports the proposed setbacks for plantation forestry from a critical electricity lines overlay. There is a concern with the inclusion of shelterbelt in the rule. Riparian planting and low-lying hedging can be carried out for sheltering purposes from prevailing winds. It would be more appropriate if the rule referred to a maximum tree height so that landowners maintain the trees to a specified height or changes the types of trees they are using. Federated Farmers does not support performance standard 2 as it is currently drafted. The requirement to notify the Council prior to works being done is onerous and opens up landowners to committing technical non-compliances if they are simply trimming the height of trees to meet the requirements of the rule. The performance standard needs to be amended to remove the requirement to notify the Council so that it highlights the need to comply with the relevant legislation. The rule deals with tree planting within 20m of a Critical Electricity Lines Overlay. The district plan does not currently contain a definition for the term 'Critical Electricity Lines'. As the term is used throughout the district plan, it is necessary that the term is defined. The definition should explain what is meant by the term means as well as what is encompassed by the term (e.g., are the National Grid lines considered to be critical electricity lines?).	Amend Rule I-R13 to read as follows: PER-1 The planting of trees which exceed XXX metres is not for the purpose of providing a shelterbelt, plantation forestry or commercial horticultural operations. PER-2: Activities that do not comply with PER-1 provided that: 1. prior to works notification is provided to Council and the proposed activity is being carried out in in accordance with the Electricity Act 1992 and associated regulations (NZECP 34:2001, the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003 (SR 2003/375), and the Electricity (Safety) Regulations 2010). or wording with similar intent Insert a definition for 'Critical Electricity Line/s' in the Plan.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 — National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS84.3	Kaitaia Marae Incorporated (Margaret Thomas-Amani Vicechair)	oorated laret as-Amani	Oppose	Tree height distancing - Top Energy does not conduct a safe tree lopping service. It would be better if they requested owners clear their own trees instead of pretending they provide a service that is free but kills trees! Disgusting. They mutilated our Pohutukawa and Rimu trees dumped the heavy branches over our flax and killed it. I had to get an arborist in to fix the mess.	Disallow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS369.257	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend the rule to apply to all plan users and cross reference the Electricity (Hazard from Trees) Regulations 2003.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS570.1275	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS346.277	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS566.1289	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS569.1311	Vision Kerikeri 2	Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines	
S159.037	Horticulture New Zealand	I-R13	Oppose	Where tree planting complies with Electricity (Hazard from Trees) Regulations 2003, the activity should be permitted.	PER-1 The planthe purpose of plantation fore horticultural or	Rule I-R13 as follows: ting of trees is not for providing a shelterbelt, stry or commercial perations. Tree planting Electricity (Hazard from ons 2003	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS151.195	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS369.256	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend the rule to apply to all plan users and cross reference the Electricity (Hazard from Trees) Regulations 2003.	Disallow in part	Reject in part	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS570.199	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
								and Critical Electricity Lines
FS566.213	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS569.235	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules R-11 to R-13, R- 18, R-20, R-21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
S431.114	John Andrew Riddell	I-R14	Not Stated	The amendment is necessary in order to achieve the purpose of the Act.	building or structu	o that any proposal to set a ure less than 20 metres astal marine area, or from is a non-complying activity.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
FS332.114	Russell Protection Society		Support	The original submission aligns with our values. The Russell Protection Society has a purpose of promoting wise and sustainable development that compliments the historic and special character of Russell and its surrounds.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
FS369.258	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy considers that non- complying activity is an onerous default in the context of Policy 6 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement	Disallow	Reject	Accept	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
S454.066	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-R15	Not Stated	Given the changes proposed to Rule I-R7, this rule is not required in regards to Transpower. Request amending to exclude the National Grid.	Amend Rule I-R15 New overhead lines and associated poles, telecommunication poles and attached antennas, or towers (excluding the National Grid)		Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S483.073	Top Energy Limited	I-R15	Not Stated	Top Energy acknowledges that restricted discretionary activity status is appropriate for this type of new overhead infrastructure outside of the Rural Production and Māori Purpose Zone but considers that Rural Lifestyle should also be included for consistency with I-R7 (as well as the additional zones noted in submission).	Amend Rule I-R15 to exclude Rural Lifestyle, Ngawha Innovation Zone and Rural Settlement Zone.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
FS114.7	Far North Holdings Limited		Oppose	FNHL opposes the inclusion of the Ngawha Innovation and Enterprise Zone within I -R15 as per the reasons in 483.64.	Disallow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
FS131.019	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	There is an inadequate explanation as to why and how Rural Lifestyle should be included.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission (inferred)	Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
FS345.124	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
S489.023	Radio New Zealand	I-R15	Support	Although RNZ's activities are authorised by designations, RNZ support a permitted activity rule for new structures associated with network utilities in the event new equipment not authorised by the designations is required	Retain Rule I-R15	5	Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
FS369.260	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to exclude the Rural Lifestyle, Ngāwhā Innovation Zone and	Allow in part	Allow in part	Accept in part	Section 5.2.9

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				Rural Settlement Zones to be consistent				Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
S483.074	Top Energy Limited	I-R16	Support	Top Energy generally supports the provision for telecommunications infrastructure not permitted by the NES - TF as a restricted discretionary activity.	Retain Rule I-R16		Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
FS345.125	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
S516.033	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	I-R17	Not Stated	Ngā Tai Ora consider that this proposed rule will result in unnecessary cost and delay to the provision of public infrastructure, triggering all above ground three waters infrastructure to require resource consent as a restricted discretionary activity.	Amend Rule I-R17 to provide for above ground three waters infrastructure as a permitted activity, outside of sensitive locations such as Outstanding Natural Landscapes, Outstanding Natural Features etc.		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
\$512.014	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	I-R17	Support in part	Fire and Emergency request that reference is also made to SNZ:PAS 4509:2008 New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice as it provides further detail on what is needed for suitable water supply.	Amend I- R17 a. the functional and operational needs of, and benefits from, the network utility; b. the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken; c. the bulk, height, location and design of the network utility, including any associated building(s) or structures; d. the impact on the character and qualities of the surrounding area; e. odour, noise, dust; f. for water supply, the impact		Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
					on con	npliance with SNZ:PAS 008 New Zealand Fire		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					Suppli and g. any ad	e Firefighitng Water es Code of Practice; verse effects on public and/or safety.		
FS243.186	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes elements of the proposed change sought that may be inconsistent with NZ Standards and seeks further clarification/reasoning for the amended changes.	Disallow	A number of submission points and relief sought.	Accept	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
S529.176	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	I-R17	Support	The disposal of wastewater from sewage treatment plants into wetlands and water bodies has been a matter of concern to communities for some time. The Council's Infrastructure Committee requested further investigation of disposal-to-land options for several wastewater schemes, and requested a wastewater disposal-to-land workshop in late 2021 to cover methodologies and processes associated with establishing a disposal-to-land scheme.			Reject	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
				The Infrastructure chapter includes rule I-R17 on construction and upgrading of wastewater systems. However, the rule does not refer to the need to protect water and waterways from pollution due to discharge or disposal of treated wastewater. The PDP should support future transition to disposal-to-land schemes, which is anticipated to start within the life of the PDP.				
FS403.096	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora consider that this rule will result in unnecessary cost and delay for provision of public infrastructure and it should provide for three waters infrastructure outside of sensitive locations.	Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora consider that this rule will result in unnecessary cost and delay for provision of public infrastructure and it should provide for three waters infrastructure	Accept in part	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
						outside of sensitive locations.		
FS570.2064	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Oppose	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
FS566.2078	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Oppose	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
FS569.2100	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Oppose	Section 5.2.9 Key Issue 9: Other Infrastructure Rules
S454.067	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-R18	Not Stated	It is not normally appropriate to locate sensitive activities with the National Grid Corridor. This rule should be deleted and all sensitive activities addressed through Rule I-R20. If the rule is to be retained, the title should refer to the National Grid Subdivision Corridor.	by a sensitive act Grid Subdivisio All zones Activity status: Matters of disc where; Non-complyi	tivity and any buildings used tivity located in the National	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to R-13, I- R18, I-R20, I-R21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS354.083	Horticulture New Zealand		Support in part	Amending the rule to apply to the National Grid Subdivision Corridor is supported but not the deletion of the restricted discretionary activity status.	Allow	Allow S454.067 to the extent of amending the rule to apply to the National Grid Subdivision Corridor.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to R-13, I-R18, I-R20, I-R21 - National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS243.060	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the decision sought on the basis that adverse effects from the national grid can be	Disallow	Delete Rule 1-R18 Or amend I-R18	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to R-13, I-

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
			appropriately managed. Kāinga Ora opposes the amendment which is overly prescriptive. Kāinga Ora opposes elements of the proposed change sought that may be inconsistent with the NPSET.				R18, I-R20, I-R21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines	
S454.068	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-R20	Not Stated	It is not normally appropriate to locate sensitive activities with the National Grid Yard or the National Grid Subdivision Corridor. Sensitive activities in these locations should be classified as non-complying activities.	Amend I-R20 as follows: Sensitive activity and any building used by a sensitive activity located within the National Grid Yard or National Grid Subdivision Corridor All zones Activity status: Non-complying		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to R-13, I- R18, I-R20, I-R21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS354.084	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	The addition of the National Grid Subdivision Corridor is not supported as it is provided for in I-R18.	Disallow	Disallow S454.068	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to R-13, I-R18, I-R20, I-R21 National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS243.061	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the decision sought on the basis that adverse effects from the national grid can be appropriately managed. Kāinga Ora opposes the amendment which is overly prescriptive. Kāinga Ora opposes elements of the proposed change sought that may be inconsistent with the NPSET.	Disallow	Amend I-R20	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to R-13, I- R18, I-R20, I-R21 — National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
S159.038	Horticulture New Zealand	I-R21	Oppose	The reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes within the National Grid Yard is a non-complying activity. Such an approach is not effects based. The main issue is preventing access to the National Grid.		1 to provide for irrigation e where access to the ot impeded.	Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to R-13, I-R18, I-R20, I-R21 - National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS151.196	Ngāi Tukairangi		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.8
	No.2 Trust					Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to R-13, I- R18, I-R20, I-R21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines		
FS151.197	Ngāi Tukairangi		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.8
	No.2 Trust							Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to R-13, I- R18, I-R20, I-R21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS78.002	Transpower		Support	When managed, reticulation and	Allow	Allow the original	Reject	Section 5.2.8
	New Zealand Limited			storage of water for irrigation purposes will not compromise the National Grid.		submission.		Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to R-13, I- R18, I-R20, I-R21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS548.044	Northland		Support	The submitter is corrected when it	Allow	Grant the relief sought	Reject	Section 5.2.8
	Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc			states that the issue is ensuring access to the National Grid Yard is not impeded. It is access that should be focused on rather than unnecessary limiting activities with little or no effects on that access.				Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to R-13, I- R18, I-R20, I-R21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS570.200	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the	Disallow	Disallow to the extent	Accept	Section 5.2.8
				submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.		that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.		Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to R-13, I- R18, I-R20, I-R21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS566.214	Kapiro		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the	Disallow	Disallow to the extent	Accept	Section 5.2.8
	Conservation Trust 2			submission is inconsistent with our original submission.		that the submission is		Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to R-13, I-

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					inconsistent with our original submission.		R18, I-R20, I-R21 - National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines	
FS569.236	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to R-13, I-R18, I-R20, I-R21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
S454.069	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	I-R21	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of I-R21 (inferred) in the FNPDP.	Retain I-R21 (inferred)		Reject	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to R-13, I- R18, I-R20, I-R21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
FS354.085	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	HortNZ seeks changes to I-R21.	Disallow	Disallow S454.069	Accept	Section 5.2.8 Key Issue 8: Rules I-R11 to R-13, I- R18, I-R20, I-R21 – National Grid Yard and Critical Electricity Lines
S483.055	Top Energy Limited	I-S1	Oppose	Top Energy seeks a discretionary activity status where compliance isn't achieved with I-S1 and I-S2. While non-compliance with these standards is unlikely, in the event that they are breached, it will more likely than not be a operational or functional requirement necessary to ensure distribution of electricity and telecommunications to the District which are lifeline utilities. Given that this rule only applies to network utilities, Top Energy considers discretionary activity status for noncompliance with I-S1 and IS2 to be	with Standard I-S	atus for non-compliance 1 throughout the apter from non-complying to	Reject	Section 5.2.11 Key Issue 11: Infrastructure Standards

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				appropriate and enable adequate assessment of adverse effects.				
FS131.015	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	There are a number of concerns with the request sought to assign discretionary activity status. The rule is there to regulate network utilities.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission (inferred).	Accept	Section 5.2.11 Key Issue 11: Infrastructure Standards
FS448.016	L & T Property Investments Limited		Support	L & T Property Investments Ltd opposes the relief sought as the edits do not refer to planting or landscaping but rather 'all works' - this catch all is not intended by I-R13.	Allow	Amend activity status for non- compliance with Standard I-S1 throughout the Infrastructure Chapter from noncomplying to discretionary.	Reject	Section 5.2.11 Key Issue 11: Infrastructure Standards
F\$345.106	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.11 Key Issue 11: Infrastructure Standards
S489.024	Radio New Zealand	I-S1	Support	RNZ already comply with I-S1 and support its inclusion in the Proposed Plan.	Retain Standard I	-S1	Accept	Section 5.2.11 Key Issue 11: Infrastructure Standards
FS369.261	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to amend the standard to default to a discretionary activity status.	Disallow in part	Amend	Reject	Section 5.2.11 Key Issue 11: Infrastructure Standards
S483.056	Top Energy Limited	I-S2	Oppose	Top Energy seeks a discretionary activity status where compliance isn't achieved with I-S1 and I-S2. While non-compliance with these standards is	with Standard I-S	atus for non-compliance 2 throughout the apter from non-complying to	Reject	Section 5.2.11

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
			unlikely, in the event that they are breached, it will more likely than not be a operational or functional requirement necessary to ensure distribution of electricity and telecommunications to the District which are lifeline utilities. Given that this rule only applies to network utilities, Top Energy considers discretionary activity status for noncompliance with I-S1 and IS2 to be appropriate and enable adequate assessment of adverse effects.				Key Issue 11: Infrastructure Standards	
FS131.033	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	There are a number of concerns with the request sought to assign discretionary activity status. The rule is there to regulate network utilities.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission (inferred).	Accept	Section 5.2.11 Key Issue 11: Infrastructure Standards
FS448.017	L & T Property Investments Limited		Support	L & T supports the Discretionary activity status as sought.	Allow	Amend activity status for non- compliance with Standard I-S2 throughout the Infrastructure Chapter from non complying to discretionary.	Reject	Section 5.2.11 Key Issue 11: Infrastructure Standards
FS345.107	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.11 Key Issue 11: Infrastructure Standards
S421.044	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Overview	Support	Federated Farmers supports the overview as it is currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain the Overvi	ew	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Overview to the

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
								Infrastructure Chapter
FS570.1276	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Overview to the Infrastructure Chapter
FS346.278	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Overview to the Infrastructure Chapter
FS566.1290	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Overview to the Infrastructure Chapter
FS569.1312	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Overview to the Infrastructure Chapter
S483.106	Top Energy Limited	Objectives	Not Stated	Include a new objective to recognise and provide for the operation of operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading the appropriate provision of infrastructure within the transport network, in particular the roading corridor.	Chapter as follow effect): Recognise and operation, mai upgrading of or including electric telecommunications within the transport of the communication of	provide for the ntenance, repair and ther infrastructure ricity and ations infrastructure sport network, in oading corridor.	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS346.069	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	Oppose	Upgrading can have adverse effects on natural values, and it is not appropriate for provisions to enable this activity as a permitted activity.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives	
FS345.157	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S483.104	Top Energy Limited	Policies	Not Stated	The Transport Chapter is not of significant interest to Top Energy. However, it is important that recognition is made for the appropriate provision of infrastructure (e.g., electricity and telecommunications) in the transport network, in particular the roading corridor, as often this infrastructure is located within it. Rather than making detailed submissions on the chapter, Top Energy seek that this is adequately addressed across the objectives, policies and rules in this chapter.	Amend the transport provisions to provide for objectives, policies and rules that enable the operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading the appropriate provision of infrastructure within the transport network, in particular the roading corridor.		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS196.19	Joe Carr		Support in part	A RELIABLE ROAD NETWORK THAT HAS SECURITY FROM OBSTRUCTION BY FLOODING SHOULD BE OF PARAMOUNT IMPORTANCE TO TOP ENERGY. After cyclone Gabrielle Top Energy could not service much of the network because they were either flooded out or in.	Allow in part		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS345.155	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S483.107	Top Energy Limited	Policies	Not Stated	Include a new policy to recognise and provide for the operation of operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading the		ry in the Transport Chapter ding to the same effect):	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				appropriate provision of infrastructure within the transport network, in particular the roading corridor by enabling these activities as a permitted activity.	Recognise and provide for other infrastructure by enabling the operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading of infrastructure in the transport network as a permitted activity.			
FS196.197	196.197 Joe Carr		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS346.070	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Upgrading can have adverse effects on natural values, and it is not appropriate for provisions to enable this activity as a permitted activity.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
FS345.158	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Policies
S421.179	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SUB-R9	Support in part	Federated Farmers seeks recognition in rule SUB-R9 that subdivision within the rural production zone is different to that of other zones in respect of the effects on the national grid corridor. Many farmers in the rural production zone have areas of national grid running through and when subdividing their property into 40ha or 20ha allotments there is still substantial land available for both development and the national grid corridor.	Amend Rule SUB subdivision in the controlled activity.	Rural Production zone as a	Reject	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10
FS196.143	Joe Carr		Support	Tautoko	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS570.1411	Vision Kerikeri 3	Орро	Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10
FS346.413	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10
FS566.1425	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10
FS569.1447	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10
S454.095	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	SUB-R9	Not Stated	Transpower supports the inclusion of this subdivision rule in the FNPDP as it gives effect to the NPSET but considers that the matters of discretion could be redrafted to provide improved clarity and certainty for the plan user.	matters of discret SUB-R9 Subdivis National Subdivi All zones Matters over w restricted: a. The ex subdivi earthy structi safe di the Ne	the rule and replace the ion as follows: ion of a site within the sion Grid Corridor hich discretion is tent to which the vision allows for works, buildings and ures to comply with the istance requirements of the Zealand Electrical of Practice for Safe	Accept	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					Electrical Distances (NZECP 34:2001). b. The provision for the ongoing efficient operation, maintenance, development and upgrade of the National Grid, including the ability for physical vehicle access to existing transmission lines and support structures for maintenance, inspections and upgrading. c. The extent to which potential adverse effects (including visual and reverse sensitivity effects) are mitigated through the location of building platforms. d. The extent to which the design and construction of the subdivision allows for activities to be setback from the National Grid to ensure adverse effects on, and from, the National Grid and on public safety and property are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated, for example, through the location of roads and reserves under the transmission lines.		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					e. The nature and location of any proposed vegetation to be planted within the National Grid Yard. f. The outcome of any consultation with, and technical advice from, Transpower. g. The extent to which the subdivision plan clearly identifies the National Grid and proposed building platforms.		
FS243.079	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Oppose	Kāinga Ora opposes the decision sought on the basis that adverse effects from the national grid can be appropriately managed. Kāinga Ora opposes the amendment which is overly prescriptive.	Disallow Amend the title of the rule and replace the matters of discretion.	Reject	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10
FS369.445	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy supports the amendments to improve clarity for the plan user.	Allow	Accept	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10
FS369.469	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy supports amendments to give effect to the NPSET.	Allow	Accept	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10
S483.167	Top Energy Limited	SUB-R9	Support	Top Energy supports the protection of the National Grid from inappropriate development and considers that ensuring this at the time of subdivision is critical to the resilience of the wider network	Retain Rule SUB-R9	Accept in part	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS345.218	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10
S368.034	Far North District Council	SUB-R9	Support in part	Spelling error: (except where he allotments are for roads, esplanades, accessways and infrastructure).	each allotment and the National Grid allotments are t	RDIS- 1 platforms are identified for d located wholly outside of Yard (except where the for roads, esplanades, infrastructure).	Accept	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10
FS369.444	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy supports the amendment of a spelling error.	Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10
S483.168	Top Energy Limited	SUB-R10	Support	Top Energy supports the inclusion of a provision requiring the protection of the CELS. However Top Energy consider that the rule needs to be amended to be effective. Where compliance with this cannot be achieved, the activity should become non-complying as is the case for SUB-R9.	SUB -R10 Subdiv the centre line of 0 Activity status: Re Where: PER -1 The prop platforms are id 32m setback fro CEL.	dentified outside of a common the centre line of a where not achieved:	Accept in part	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10
FS24.57	Lynley Newport		Oppose	Too restrictive both in terms of suggested setback and category of activity default.	Disallow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10
FS131.029	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S		Oppose	It is extremely restrictive, and excessive to have 32 meters. In addition to a building envelope. Whangarei District Council (inferred)	Disallow	Amend SUB-R10 to require building platforms to be setback 20 from CELs and reject	Accept in part	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation	ry Stanners, I McIntyre, Halliday, SJ PM Boys, mahoe 2B2B2 Trust Tapuaetahi		has 20m and current practice for electrical safe distance for building is 6m to 9m.		amendment to activity status where not achieved: non-complying as sought in the original submission (inferred).		
FS448.018	L & T Property Investments Limited		Oppose	L & T supports the Discretionary activity status as notified and seeks to reduce the 32m setback as the rationale for this distance is undefined.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10
FS345.219	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.10 Key Issue 10: SUB-R9 and SUB- R10
S282.003	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited, Spark TowerCo Limited, Vodafone New Zealand Limited	Objectives	Support	The strategic objectives for infrastructure, risk and resilience set an appropriate overall direction for important infrastructure in the district. They recognise the benefits provided by infrastructure while minimising associated adverse effects through design and location.	Retain objectives I-O1, I-O2, I-O3, I-O4 and I-O5		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S282.017	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited, Spark TowerCo Limited, Vodafone New Zealand Limited	Objectives	Support	The benefits of infrastructure are recognised, provided for and protected.	Retain provision a	as notified	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Objectives
S302.004	Kristine Kerr	Rules	Support in part	Do not need 10m flag lights. Apply dark sky guidelines and create more pleasant night environment for the	Amend rules to a	pply dark sky guidelines.	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: General Submissions on

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				enjoyment of rural communities / rural coastal location.				Infrastructure Rules
S282.029	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited, Spark TowerCo Limited, Vodafone New Zealand Limited	Retain enabling provision supported in enabling and protecting infrastructure to serve the district while managing adverse effects through the section does however require amending to ensure that infrastructure to serve the district while managing adverse effects through the section does however require amending to ensure that infrastructure can be delivered in areas where it is		vities in areas where there	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: General Submissions on Infrastructure Rules		
FS78.005	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter has supported a number of enabling provisions in the infrastructure section of the FNDP and as the owner of the National Grid has an interest in ensuring the development, operation upgrade, repair and maintenance of that critical infrastructure is able to occur as efficiently as possible.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: General Submissions on Infrastructure Rules
S282.028	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited, Spark TowerCo Limited, Vodafone New Zealand Limited	Rules	Oppose	The current rules framework in the Infrastructure section does not provide for temporary infrastructure activities. It is noted that such activities may need to be deployed during emergencies to continue to provide services to the communities throughout the District.	infrastructure acti 12 month period.	llow for temporary vities as permitted over a Refer to IE-R6 of the ctice guidance document activities.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: General Submissions on Infrastructure Rules
FS304.018	Radio New Zealand		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: General Submissions on

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
							Infrastructure Rules
S282.004	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited, Spark TowerCo Limited, Vodafone New Zealand Limited	Notes	Support in part	While these notes are generally supported, there is no mention that the following rules override zone level provisions. As currently drafted the Infrastructure section rules work as an overarching framework to zone level provisions in relation to infrastructure activities throughout the district however this is not made clear. In addition, Note 3 implies that the NES-TF applies to all telecommunication activities that are not within a rural zone or a subpart 5 area however the NES-TF applies to new and existing facilities in road reserve and rural zones, and existing facilities in all	Insert note to advise plan users that zone level provisions do not apply to infrastructure activities. Amend Note 3 to properly advise users on NES-TF applicability.	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: Relationship Between Infrastructure Chapter and Other PDP Chapters
S485.031	Elbury Holdings	General / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Mapping of the drainage district drains should be included in the District Plan.	Amend planning maps to include the location of drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Draft Management Plans and Far North District Council Land Drainage Bylaw 2019 and the draft management plan 2017.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S358.027	Leah Frieling	General / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Mapping of the drainage district drains and overland flow paths in urban areas should be included in the District Plan.	Add to the Planning Maps, maps indicating location of drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Draft Management Plans and Far North Land Drainage Bylaw 2019. and include overland flow paths in urban areas.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S357.024	Sean Frieling	General / Miscellaneous	Oppose	We seek some rules under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts.	Amend the Infrastructure section, by adding objectives, policies and rules providing for existing mapped Council drainage district drains, to ensure the ability to clean, unblock access and service the drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Far North Land Drainage Bylaw 2019.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				Mapping of the drainage district drains and overland flow paths in urban areas should be included in the District Plan.	And stop buildings being built within 10m of the drains as per the bylaws. Amend to add to the Planning Maps, maps indicating location of drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Draft Management Plans and Far North Land Drainage Bylaw 2019. and include overland flow paths in urban areas.		
S472.028	Michael Foy	General / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Mapping of the drainage district drains and overland flow paths in urban areas should be included in the District Plan.	Insert to the Planning Maps, maps indicating location of drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Draft Management Plans and Far North Land Drainage Bylaw 2019. and include overland flow paths in urban areas.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S547.030	LJ King Limited	General / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Mapping of the drainage district drains and overland flow paths in urban areas should be included in the District Plan.	Amend to add maps indicating location of drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Draft Management Plans and Far North District Council Land Drainage Bylaw 2019 and the Draft Management Plan 2017 and include overland flow paths in urban areas.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S516.081	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Ngā Tai Ora, consider that it is important to ensure provision of sustainable and safe water supply, wastewater and stormwater systems (three waters systems), which are essential for the health and wellbeing of the Far North population. In particular protection of water supply catchments, both agricultural and drinking water to prevent the degradation of both quality and quantity of water to for extraction. Protection of waste water treatment plant locations to prevent encroachment and provide sufficient area for future expansion.	Insert a Special Purpose Zone to provide for the identification and protection of critical infrastructure.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S541.029	Elbury Holdings	General / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Mapping of the drainage district drains and overland flow paths in urban areas should be included in the District Plan.	Amend to add maps indicating location of drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Draft Management Plans and Far North District Council Land Drainage Bylaw 2019 and the Draft Management Plan 2017 and include overland flow paths in urban areas.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS155.87	Fiona King		Support	Development is happening so fast in the Far North and encroaching into rural areas and planning has not been considering the management of the drains , the size of outlets or capacity of drains when approving subdivisions to occur, Thus creating flooding and ponding of water in both the urban and rural land. There are only 3 land drainage areas in the Tehiku ward . Kaitaia being the most effected by urban sprawl. These land drainage maps need to be part of the district Plan.	Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S519.031	Elbury Holdings	General / Miscellaneous	Oppose	We seek some rules under the District Plan for the existing mapped drainage district drains, as the draft management plan 2017 and current bylaws are not being enforced for the drainage districts. And, rules within the bylaws should be included under drainage districts i.e.; 10 meter setback for buildings.	Amend the Infrastructure section, by adding rules providing for existing mapped Council drainage district drains, to ensure the ability to clean, unblock access and service the drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Far North District Council Land Drainage Bylaw 2019 and the Draft Management Plan 2017. Amend to stop buildings being built within 10m of the drains as per the bylaws.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS155.88	Fiona King		Support	Development is happening so fast in the Far North and encroaching into rural areas and planning has not been considering the management of the drains, the size of outlets or capacity of drains when approving subdivisions to occur, Thus creating flooding and ponding of water in both the urban and	Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position Reasons Summary of Decision Requested		Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				rural land. There are only 3 land drainage areas in the Tehiku ward, Motatangi, Waiharahara / Kakino and Kaitaia. Kaitaia being the most effected by urban sprawl. These land drainage maps need to be part of the district Plan. The bylaws 2019 are in place and are being used by FNDC. Who says they are not?			
S519.032	Elbury Holdings	General / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Mapping of the drainage district drains and overland flow paths in urban areas should be included in the District Plan.	Amend to add maps indicating location of drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Draft Management Plans and Far North District Council Land Drainage Bylaw 2019 and the Draft Management Plan 2017 and include overland flow paths in urban areas.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS155.89	Fiona King		Support	Development is happening so fast in the Far North and encroaching into rural areas and planning has not been considering the management of the drains , the size of outlets or capacity of drains when approving subdivisions to occur, Thus creating flooding and ponding of water in both the urban and rural land. There are only 3 land drainage areas in the Tehiku ward, Motatangi, Waiharahara / Kakino and Kaitaia. Kaitaia being the most effected by urban sprawl. These land drainage maps need to be part of the district Plan. The bylaws 2019 are in place and are being used by FNDC. Who says they are not?	Allow	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S425.009	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust	General / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	As a primary submission, PHTTCCT continues to seek that the Trail be mapped in the plan and re-submits the Pou Herenga Tai Cycle Trail Overlay Chapter (see Attachment 2) which includes provisions that seek to:	Insert Pou herenga Tai Trail in the district plan as an overlay.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				Recognise and provide for the Trail in acknowledgement of the social, economic and environmental benefits it provides to the District as acknowledged by its classification as regionally significant infrastructure;			
				Enable appropriate activities, including the maintenance, operation, and upgrade of the Trail; and			
				Manage reverse sensitivity effects.			
				PHTTCCT considers that this request is appropriate for the following reasons:			
				Given the regionally significant economic, social, and environmental benefits associated with the Pou Herenga Tai Trail which are further set out in section 2.1.1 and 2.1.2, mapping it in the Plan with an associated suite of provisions (as provided in Attachment 2 or to same effect) would be the most efficient and effective way to ensure these benefits are protected, continued and enhanced;			
				The overlay and associated provisions promote the sustainable management of the physical resources;			
				The overlay and associated provisions are consistent with Part 2 of the RMA;			
				The overlay and associated provisions are appropriate in terms of section 32 of the RMA;			
				The overlay and associated provisions represent an efficient use and development of physical			

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				resources which have received significant investment; The overlay and associated provision sought appropriately avoids, remedies or mitigates adverse effects on the environment; and The overlay and associated provision are consistent with the balance of the PDP, in particular the Strategic Direction section of the Plan.				
FS299.2	KiwiRail Holdings Limited		Oppose	Please see separate submission	Disallow		Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S359.014	Northland Regional Council	General / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Any zoning without three waters infrastructure is an issue in the long term - retrofitting networks to service such sites can be problematic and more costly than establishment at the 'greenfield' stage. This is especially so where existing development has already established on-site services but would need to pay to connect to new network services. Without access to appropriate servicing there are major limitations on the density and type of urban development which can be accommodated in these zones.	Retain zoning for more intensive development where three waters infrastructure is provided (inferred).		Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS25.080	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Greenfield development is a more appropriate and more cost-effective way of meeting housing demands. Retrofitting networks to service infill development can be problematic and costly, particularly where existing development has already established infrastructure.	Allow	Allow original submission to the extent that hazard prone areas are correctly identified and mapped and that there are appropriate consent triggers that enable more detailed assessment in	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision Positio	Position	Position Reasons		Summary of Decision Requested		Relevant section of S42A Report
						appropriate circumstances.		
FS23.101	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Oppose	While recognizing that it is important that each lot has access to 3 waters infrastructure, where this is not able to be provided by the Council, provision should be able to be made for this to occur on-site. This could be required to be done in such a way that it does not foreclose joining public connections in the future. Restricting development to only where public infrastructure is available would unnecessarily constrain the ability to provide much needed housing	Disallow	Disallow the relief sought to the extent it seeks to not permit onsite provision for 3 waters infrastructure.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS243.004	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support in part	Kāinga Ora generally supports the enablement of development where it is aligned with the provision of climateresilient services and infrastructure.	Allow	Retain zoning for more intensive development where three waters infrastructure is provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS325.054	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support	TT further submits that greenfield development is a more appropriate and more cost-effective way of meeting housing demands. Retrofitting networks to service infill development can be problematic and costly, particularly where existing development has already established infrastructure.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS570.1050	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS346.475	Royal Forest and Bird Protection		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB.Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)			ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report		
	Society of New Zealand Inc.			where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.				the Infrastructure Chapter
FS566.1064	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS569.1086	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S464.032	LJ King Ltd	General / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Mapping of the drainage district drains and overland flow paths in urban areas should be included in the District Plan.	Insert planning maps and maps indicating location of drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Draft Management Plans and Far North District Council Land Drainage Bylaw 2019 and the draft management plan 2017. Include overland flow paths in urban areas.		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
FS566.1575	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S543.030	LJ King Limited	General / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Mapping of the drainage district drains and overland flow paths in urban areas should be included in the District Plan.	Amend to add maps indicating location of drainage channels in the Kaitaia, Waiharara/Kaikino and Motutangi drainage areas, as defined in the Draft Management Plans and Far North District Council Land Drainage Bylaw 2019 and the Draft Management Plan 2017 and include overland flow paths in urban areas.		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS566.2191	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2	Op	Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: General Submissions on the Infrastructure Chapter
S454.021	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	SUPPORT STRUCTURE	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of this definition in the FNPDP.	Retain the definition of SUPPORT STRUCTURES.		Accept	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions
S502.012	Northland Planning and Development 2020 Limited	SUPPORT STRUCTURE	Support in part	There is no provision for street lighting. We seek relief that this be included	Amend the definition of Support Structure: means any pole, mast or building designed or used for bearing the weight of or keeping a safe distance above the ground any aerial, sign, scaffolding, or reticulation network owned or operated by a network utility operator, and includes any support structures necessary for lighting and for the transformation, transmission or distribution of electricity, including bridges, power poles, lines, conductors and transformers.		Reject	Section 5.2.12 Key Issue 12: Definitions