

Submission on Proposed Far North District Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Far North District Council - District Planning

Date received: 03/10/2022

This is a submission on the following proposed plan (the proposal): Proposed Far North District Plan

Address for service:

Jono Corskie 68 Orchard Rd, RD2, Kerikeri Kerikeri 0295 New Zealand Email: J.corskie@gmail.com

I wish to be heard: No

I am willing to present a joint case: No

Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?

- No

Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that (a) adversely affects the environment; and

(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition

- Ńo

Submission points

Point 25.1

Section: Rural production

Sub-section: Rules

Provision:

Rural Production

zone

Activity status: Permitted

Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-2: Restricted

Discretionary

Where:

PER-1

The new <u>building</u> or <u>structure</u>, or extension or alteration to an existing <u>building</u> or <u>structure</u>, will accommodate a permitted activity.

a. the matters of discretion of any infringed standard

Matters of discretion are restricted to:

PER-2

The new <u>building</u> or <u>structure</u>, or extension or alteration to an existing <u>building</u> or <u>structure</u> complies with standards:

RPROZ-S1 Maximum height;

RPROZ-S2 Height in relation to boundary;

RPROZ-S3 Setback (excluding from MHWS or wetland, lake and river margins)

RPROZ-S4 Setback from MHWS

RPROZ-S5 Building or structure coverage);

RPROZ-S6 <u>Buildings</u> or <u>structures</u> used to house, milk or feed stock (excluding <u>buildings</u> or <u>structures</u> used for an <u>intensive indoor primary production</u> activity)}.

RPROZ-S7 Sensitive activities setback from boundaries of a Mineral extraction overlay

Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-1:

Discretionary

Sentiment: Support in Part

Submission:

The removal of the provision for 3m offset from sections under 5000sqm creates a large amount of parcels of land that have been created assuming a 3m setback to create a building platform. This affects 26% of parcels in the rural environment based on Section 32 Rural Environment Appendix Table 37.

The removal of this rule creates additional resource consent requirements for someone who simply wants to add a shed, greenhouse, office or a building consent exempt structure to a parcel that has been created under the previous plan under 5000sqm rules. It also will lead to under utilisation of smaller land parcels, when the plan states it is important to protect this finite resource from inappropriate land use and subdivision to ensure it can be used for its primary purpose.

Habitable dwellings adjacent to boundaries have a potential for reverse sensitivity which I assume is the main aim of this rule. With other structures the effect is negligible.

I think that by limiting the setback of dwellings to 10m, for sections under 5000sqm the effects of horticultural or rural activities is addressed. All other structures should be able to be built up to 3m setback as per previous plan to avoid unnecessary costs incurred for building and under utilisation of land.

The subdivision rules prevent the creation of any more sections where this rule applies going forward, some transition is necessary or 26% of parcels will have significant under utilisation effects.

Relief sought \$37.001

Apply to 10m setback rule to dwellings.

Apply 3m setback rule for all other structures for sections under 5000sqm.

Consider 3m setback rule for all other structures for sections over 5000sqm.

Point 25.2

Section: Horticulture

Sub-section: Rules

Provision:

Horticulture zone

Activity status: Permitted

Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-2: Restricted Discretionary

Where:

Matters of discretion are restricted to:

PER-1

The new <u>building</u> or <u>structure</u>, or extension or alteration to an existing <u>building</u> or <u>structure</u>, will accommodate a permitted activity.

a. the matters of discretion of any infringed standard

PER-2

The new <u>building</u> or <u>structure</u>, or extensions to an existing <u>building</u> or <u>structure</u> complies with standards:

HZ-S1 Maximum height

HZ-S2 Height in relation to boundary

HZ-S3 Setback (excluding from MHWS or wetland, lake and river margins)

HZ-S4 Setback from MHWS

HZ-S5 Building or structure coverage

HZ-S6 Buildings or structures used to house, milk or feed stock (excluding buildings used for an intensive indoor primary production activity)

Activity status where compliance not achieved with PER-1:

Discretionary

Sentiment: Support in Part

Submission:

1) The removal of the provision for 3m offset from sections under 5000sqm creates a large amount of parcels of land that have been created assuming a 3m setback to create a building platform. This affects 26% of parcels in the rural environment based on Section 32 Rural Environment Appendix Table 37.

The removal of this rule creates additional resource consent requirements for someone who simply wants to add a shed, greenhouse, office or a building consent exempt structure to a parcel that has been created under the previous plan under 5000sqm rules. It also will lead to under utilisation of smaller land parcels, when the plan states it is important to protect this finite resource from inappropriate land use and subdivision to ensure it can be used for its primary purpose.

Habitable dwellings adjacent to boundaries have a potential for reverse sensitivity which I assume is the main aim of this rule. With other structures the effect is negligible.

I think that by limiting the setback of dwellings to 10m, for sections under 5000sqm the effects of horticultural or rural activities is

addressed. All other structures should be able to be built up to 3m setback as per previous plan to avoid unnecessary costs incurred for building and under utilisation of land.

The subdivision rules prevent the creation of any more sections where this rule applies going forward, some transition is necessary or 26% of parcels will have significant under utilisation effects.

2) Support for 3m offset for spray shelters, have seen these built on neighboring properties by Orchards.

Relief sought \$37.002

Keep the 3m setback for spray shelters.

Apply to 10m setback rule to dwellings.

Apply 3m setback rule for all other structures for sections under 5000sqm.

Consider 3m setback rule for all other structures for sections over 5000sqm.