

FURTHER SUBMISSION ON THE PROPOSED FAR NORTH DISTRICT PLAN Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

To: Far North District Council

By Email: pdp@fndc.govt.nz

4 September 2023

Further Submitter Details

Name of further submitter: Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.

(Forest & Bird).

Contact person Dean Baigent-Mercer

Regional Conservation Manager

Postal address: PO Box 631, Wgtn 6011

Email address for service: D.Baigent-Mercer@forestandbird.org.nz

Forest & Bird is:

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; and
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.

Forest & Bird is New Zealand's largest non-governmental conservation organization representing its members and supporters, and made a submission Proposed Far North District Plan.

Hearing

Forest & Bird wishes to be heard in support of its further submission. If others make a similar submission, Forest & Bird would consider presenting a joint case with them.

Submission

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the below table.



Submitter (original submission)	Submission number/point number	Support/Oppose original submission/point	Plan Section/provision	Reasons for support/Oppose	Seek that the submission be allowed/disallowed
Northland Fish and Game Council	436	Support	All	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of Fish and Game other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	FS346.087-127
Ventia Ltd	424	Oppose	All	The amendments sought seek to expand the Mineral Extraction Overlay. It also seeks to weaken some of the provisions applying to mineral extraction activities, including the expansion of the existing mining activities undertaken by the submitter. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities. F&B does agree that there is some uncertainty in the way that the overlay provisions work, and has addressed this in our primary submission.	Disallow FS346.128-140
Director-General of Conservation	364	Support	All	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	FS364.141-234
Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	421	Oppose	All	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow FS364.235-461

Forest & Bird further submission on the Far North District Plan



Horticulture NZ	159.001	Oppose	New definition of ancillary	Forest & Bird oppose any relaxation of the earthworks rules, which we presume this	Disallow
			earthworks	amendment is intended to facilitate. It is not	FS346.001
				clear that the amendment would adequately	1 00 10.001
				recognise and provide for s6(a)-(c) matters, or	
				allow for adequate effects management	
				generally.	
Horticulture NZ	159.021	Oppose	Definition of	The amendment sought does not adequately	Disallow FS346.002
			sensitive water	protect surface water bodies.	13340.002
			body		
Horticulture NZ	159.025	Oppose	Definition of	It is not clear that the amendment sought	Disallow
			wetlands etc	adequately protects water bodies and their	FS346.003
			margins and	margins.	13340.003
			associated rules		
Horticulture NZ	159.029	Oppose in part	SD-RE O1	Oppose the very enabling focus (provided for and	Allow in part.
				enable), without reference to management of	FS346.004
				effects.	13340.004
				Reference to not being compromised by	
				incompatible development is supported.	
Horticulture NZ	159.050	Support	IB Chapter and	The Plan should be redrafted to give effect to the	Allow ES246 005
			Schedule 4	NPSIB.	FS346.005
Horticulture NZ	159.052	Support	IB-P7	The amendment sought makes the policy clearer.	Allow FS346.006
Horticulture NZ	159.057	Oppose	NATC-R1 PER-5	It is not clear that the amendment will	Disallow
				adequately protect waterbodies and their	FS346.007
				margins.	
Horticulture NZ	159.058	Oppose	NATC-R2	It is not clear that the amendment will	Disallow
				adequately protect waterbodies and their	FS346.008
				margins.	
Horticulture NZ	159.060	Oppose	NFL-P4	Existing uses should already be covered be	Disallow
				existing use rights, further provision for them in	FS346.009
		_		policy is not necessary.	
Horticulture NZ	159.061	Oppose	NFL-R1	The amendment does not adequately recognise	Disallow FS346.010
	.=		1	and provide for s6(b) matters.	
Horticulture NZ	159.063	Oppose	NFL-R6	Existing uses should already be provided for by	Disallow
				existing use rights.	FS346.011



Horticulture NZ	159.073-074	Oppose	CE-R1	The amendments sought do not adequately manage effects in the coastal environment.	Disallow FS346.012013
Horticulture NZ	159.075	Oppose	CE-R2	The amendments sought do not adequately manage effects in the coastal environment.	Disallow FS346.014
Horticulture NZ	159.076	Oppose	CE-R3	The amendments sought do not adequately manage effects in the coastal environment.	Disallow FS346.015
Horticulture NZ	159.077	Oppose	CE-R4	The amendments sought do not adequately manage effects in the coastal environment.	Disallow FS346.016
Horticulture NZ	159.079	Oppose	EW-S1	The amendments do not provide the Council with the ability to adequately manage effects of earthworks.	Disallow FS346.017
Transpower NZ	454.015	Support in part	Definition of biodiversity offset	Forest & Bird would be comfortable with the offsetting principles being placed in an Appendix, as long as the definition makes clear that the principles must be met for an action to qualify as an offset. Similarly, any plan provisions referring to biodiversity offsets must make clear that adherence to the principles is mandatory.	Allow with further amendments FS346.018
Transpower NZ	454.018	Support in part	Definition of biodiversity compensation	Forest & Bird would be comfortable with the compensation principles being placed in an Appendix, as long as the definition makes clear that the principles must be met for an action to qualify as compensation. Similarly, any plan provisions referring to biodiversity compensation must make clear that adherence to the principles is mandatory.	Allow with further amendments FS346.019
Transpower NZ	454.032-034	Oppose	Energy, infrastructure and transport section and whole of plan	Forest & Bird opposes amendments that would give Infrastructure and transport provisions primacy over other sections of the plan, particularly IB, NATC, ONFLs and Notable Trees.	Disallow FS346.020022
Transpower NZ	454.043	Oppose	I-P2	Amendment sought is inconsistent with the NZCPS.	Disallow FS346.023
Transpower NZ	454.044	Oppose	New Infr. policy	Amendment sought does not adequately recognise and provide for s6(a)-(c) matters. Also	Disallow FS346.024



				oppose the direction that this policy would have primacy over others in the plan.		
Transpower NZ	454.045	Oppose	I-P3	Amendment sought is inconsistent with the NZCPS.	Disallow	FS346.025
Transpower NZ	454.053	Oppose	I-P10	Amendment sought considerably widens the policy, which is inappropriate for new activities such as upgrading or relocation.	Disallow	FS346.026
Transpower NZ	454.056	Oppose	I-P11	Amendment does not clearly recognise and provide for s6(a)-(c) matters.	Disallow	FS346.027
Transpower NZ	454.057	Oppose	I-P14	Forest & Bird seeks to ensure that effects on s6(a)-(c) matters are appropriately managed. It is not clear that the amendment sought will achieve that.	Disallow	FS346.028
Transpower NZ	454.083-086	Oppose	IB-P2,3,5,10.	Amendments sought are inappropriate to recognise and provide for s6(c) matters.	Disallow	FS346.029032
Transpower NZ	454.088-089	Oppose	NATC O2, P4	Amendments sought are inappropriate to recognise and provide for s6(a) matters.	Disallow	FS346.033034
Transpower NZ	454.090-092	Oppose	NFL-O2, P2, P3	Amendments sought are inappropriate to recognise and provide for s6(b) matters.	Disallow	FS346.035037
Transpower NZ	454.093	Oppose	NFL-R1	Amendment sought is inappropriate, particularly in the coastal environment. A seek to avoid requirement is not met by permitted activity status.	Disallow	FS346.038
Transpower NZ	454.096	Oppose	New CE Objective	The amendment sought does not give effect to the NZCPS.	Disallow	FS346.039
Transpower NZ	454.097-098	Oppose	CE-P2,P3	The amendment sought does not give effect to the NZCPS.	Disallow	FS346.040041
Transpower NZ	454.099	Oppose	New CE Policy	The amendment sought does not give effect to the NZCPS and is overly enabling to ensure appropriate management of effects.	Disallow	FS346.042
Transpower NZ	454.122 and 123	Oppose	New NOSZ Objective and Policy	The amendment sought is too enabling to ensure adequate management of effects in this zone.	Disallow	FS346.043044
Northland Regional Council	359	Support	All	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB.	Allow	FS346.462-506

Forest & Bird further submission on the Far North District Plan



				Forest & Bird supports the full submission other		
				than where the relief sought would conflict with		
				that sought in Forest & Birds submission.		
Waka Kotahi	356.030	Oppose	IP2	Amendment sought is inconsistent with the NZCPS.	Disallow	FS346.045
Waka Kotahi	356.063	Oppose	IB-P2	Amendment sought is inconsistent with the NZCPS.	Disallow	FS346.046
Waka Kotahi	356.064	Oppose	IB-P3	Amendment sought does not adequately recognise and provide for s6(c)/s31 matters.	Disallow	FS346.047
Waka Kotahi	356.066	Oppose	NATC-P1	Amendment sought does not adequately recognise and provide for s6(a) matters.	Disallow	FS346.048
Waka Kotahi	356.069	Oppose	NATC-R1	Amendment sought does not adequately recognise and provide for s6(a) matters.	Disallow	FS346.049
Waka Kotahi	356.095	Support	CE-P1	Provision will assist plan users	Allow	FS346.050
Waka Kotahi	356.097	Oppose	CE-P3	Amendment sought is inconsistent with the NZCPS.	Disallow	FS346.051
Waka Kotahi	356.099-102	Support in part	EW-P8, R7, R8, R10	Support provided that the Notes preceding the Rules in the IB section remains as notified.	Allow	FS346.052055
Top Energy Itd	483.031	Oppose	New objective	The amendment sought does not appropriately recognise and provide for s6(a)-(c) matters.	Disallow	FS346.056
Top Energy Ltd	483.036	Oppose	I-O4	The amendment sought does not appropriately recognise and provide for s6(a)-(c) matters.	Disallow	FS346.057
Top Energy Ltd	483.040 and 041	Oppose	I-P2 and P3	The amendment sought does not appropriately recognise and provide for s6(a)-(c) matters.	Disallow	FS346.058059
Top Energy Ltd	483.051	Oppose	I-P13	The amendment sought does not appropriately recognise and provide for s6(a)-(c) matters.	Disallow	FS346.060
Top Energy Ltd	483.076	Oppose	REG provisions	Not appropriate to specify that the REG provisions have precedence over other plan provisions.	Disallow	FS346.061
Top Energy Ltd	483.079	Oppose	REG O3	Not all important values are mapped in the plan. The amendment sought would therefore be inadequate to manage effects on values.	Disallow.	FS346.062
Top Energy Ltd	483.082	Oppose	REG P2	Oppose inserting the word enable, as it doesn't provide enough scope for effects management.	Disallow	FS346.063



Top Energy Ltd	483.085 and 086	Oppose	REG P5 and 6	Not all important values are mapped in the plan. The amendment sought would therefore be	Disallow FS346.064065
				inadequate to manage effects on values.	
Top Energy Ltd	483.093	Oppose	New REG policy	Policy is inappropriate without management of effects.	Disallow FS346.066
Top Energy Ltd	483.095	Oppose	REG R1	Upgrading or repowering is not appropriate as a permitted activity, particularly where there are not standards associated with that rule.	Disallow FS346.067
Top Energy Ltd	483.101	Oppose	REG R7/new REG rule	Large scale solar can have adverse effects, and these are not well understood for biodiversity and other natural values. Discretionary status is therefore appropriate.	Disallow FS346.068
Top Energy Ltd	483.106-108	Oppose	New objective, policy and rule	Upgrading can have adverse effects on natural values, and it is not appropriate for provisions to enable this activity as a permitted activity.	Disallow, at least in respect of upgrading. FS346.069071
Top Energy Ltd	483.145-149	Oppose	IB provisions	The amendments sought do not adequately recognise and provide for s6(c) matters.	Disallow FS346.072076
Top Energy Ltd	483.151-156	Oppose	NATC provisions	The amendments sought do not adequately recognise and provide for s6(a) matters.	Disallow FS346.077082
Top Energy Ltd	483.160 and 161	Oppose	New ONFL rule	Upgrading is not appropriate as a permitted activity, particularly where there are not standards associated with that rule.	Disallow FS346.083084
Top Energy Ltd	483.174 and 175	Oppose	New CE rules	Upgrading is not appropriate as a permitted activity, particularly where there are not standards associated with that rule.	Disallow FS346.085086
Summit Forests NZ Ltd	148	Oppose	All	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of	Disallow FS346.507-570
				natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.	
Manulife Forest Management Ltd	160	Oppose	All	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is	Disallow FS346.571-611

Forest & Bird further submission on the Far North District Plan



				inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.	
Kapiro Conservation Trust	442	Support	All	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	FS364.612-800
Bellingham Quarries Ltd	7	Oppose	All	Forest & Bird opposes extension of the Mineral Extraction overlay. The submission is opposed, as it would not give effect to the requirement to recognise and provide for s6 matters, or allow the Council to appropriately manage mineral extraction activities.	Disallow FS364.801-806
Imerys Performance Minerals Asia Pacific	65	Oppose in part	All	Forest & Bird agrees that there is some uncertainty created by the use of overlay vs zoning, as set out in paragraph 8 of its original submission. However, Forest & Bird opposes any relaxation of the rules/provisions relating to mineral extraction activities, particularly where that would lessen the protection afforded to areas of indigenous biodiversity, natural character or outstanding natural landscapes. Forest & Bird also opposes the extension of the MEO.	FS364.807-823
Michael Winch	67	Support	All	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	FS364.824-863



Marianna Fenn	542	Support	All	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS	Allow
				FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB.	
				Forest & Bird supports the full submission other	FS364.864-882
				than where the relief sought would conflict with	100011001
				that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	