

Office Use Only

Application Number:

Private Bag 752, Memorial Ave	
Kaikohe 0440, New Zealand	
Freephone: 0800 920 029	
Phone: (09) 401 5200	
Fax: (09) 401 2137	
Email: ask.us@fndc.govt.nz	
Website: www.fndc.govt.nz	

## APPLICATION FOR RESOURCE CONSENT OR FAST-TRACK RESOURCE CONSENT

#### (Or Associated Consent Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)) (If applying for a Resource Consent pursuant to Section 87AAC or 88 of the RMA, this form can be used to satisfy the requirements of Form 9)

Prior to, and during, completion of this application form, please refer to Resource Consent Guidance Notes and Schedule of Fees and Charges – both available on the Council's web page.

## 1. Pre-Lodgement Meeting

Have you met with a Council Resource Consent representative to discuss this application prior to lodgement? Yes / No

## 2. Type of Consent being applied for (more than one circle can be ticked):

🛛 Land Use	${\sf O}$ Fast Track Land Use*	O Subdivision	O Discharge	
O Extension of time (s.	125) O Change of conditions (s.12	7) O Change of Con	sent Notice (s.221(3))	
O Consent under Natio	nal Environmental Standard (e.g. Asse	essing and Managing Co	ontaminants in Soil)	
O Other (please specify)				
3. Would you like t	to opt out of the Fast Track Process?	Yes	No	
4. Applicant Detail	s:			
Name/s:	Sarah-Lyn Day			
Electronic Address for Service (E-mail):				
Phone Numbers:				
Postal Address: ( <i>or</i> alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act)		Post Code:		

# 5. Address for Correspondence: Name and address for service and correspondence (if using an Agent write their details here).

Name/s:	Bay of Islands Planning	
Electronic Address for Service (E-mail):		
Phone Numbers:		
Postal Address:		
section 352 of the Act)		Post Code:

All correspondence will be sent by email in the first instance. Please advise us if you would prefer an alternative means of communication.

# 6. Details of Property Owner/s and Occupier/s: Name and Address of the Owner/Occupiers of the land to which this application relates (where there are multiple owners or occupiers please list on a separate sheet if required)

	Sarah-I yn Day		
iame/s:			
Property Address/: ocation	66 Reinga Rd, Kerikeri		
<b>Application</b> ocation and/or Prop	Site Details: erty Street Address of the proposed ad	ctivity:	
Site Address/	7 The Lakes Drive		
ocation:	Waipapa		
egal Description:	Lot 2 DP 544271	Val Number:	
Certificate of Title:	921483 Please remember to attach a copy of y consent notices and/or easements and	your Certificate of Title to the application,	along with relevant ss than 6 months old)
Site Visit Requiremen s there a locked gate s there a dog on the Please provide details	<u>its:</u> or security system restricting access property? s of any other entry restrictions that Co his is important to avoid a wasted trip a	by Council staff? ouncil staff should be aware of, e.g. h and having to re-arrange a second vis	Yes / No Yes / No ealth and safety, sit.
aretaker's details. Th		5 5	

## 8. Description of the Proposal:

Please enter a brief description of the proposal here. Attach a detailed description of the proposed activity and drawings (to a recognized scale, e.g. 1:100) to illustrate your proposal. Please refer to Chapter 4 of the District Plan, and Guidance Notes, for further details of information requirements.

#### **Residential unit**

If this is an application for an Extension of Time (s.125); Change of Consent Conditions (s.127) or Change or Cancellation of Consent Notice conditions (s.221(3)), please quote relevant existing Resource Consents and Consent Notice identifiers and provide details of the change(s) or extension being sought, with reasons for requesting them.

10.	Other Consent required/being applied for under different legislation (more than one circle can be
	ticked):

O Building Consent (BC ref # if known)

O Regional Council Consent (ref # if known)

O National Environmental Standard consent

O Other (please specify)

# 11. National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health:

The site and proposal may be subject to the above NES. In order to determine whether regard needs to be had to the NES please answer the following (further information in regard to this NES is available on the Council's planning web pages):

Is the piece of land currently being used or has it historically ever been used for an activity or industry on the Hazardous Industries and Activities List (HAIL)

Is the proposed activity an activity covered by the NES? (If the activity is any of the activities listed below, then you need to tick the 'yes' circle).

O ves O no O don't know

O ves 🛇 no O don't know

O Subdividing land

O Disturbing, removing or sampling soil

O Changing the use of a piece of land

O Removing or replacing a fuel storage system

## 12. Assessment of Environmental Effects:

Every application for resource consent must be accompanied by an Assessment of Environmental Effects (AEE). This is a requirement of Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and an application can be rejected if an adequate AEE is not provided. The information in an AEE must be specified in sufficient detail to satisfy the purpose for which it is required. Your AEE may include additional information such as Written Approvals from adjoining property owners, or affected parties.

Please attach your AEE to this application.

## 13. Billing Details:

This identifies the person or entity that will be responsible for paying any invoices or receiving any refunds associated with processing this resource consent. Please also refer to Council's Fees and Charges Schedule.

Name/s: (please writ all names in full)	e 			
Email:				
Postal Address:				
			Post Code:	
Phone Numbers:	Work <sup>.</sup>	Home <sup>.</sup>	Fax <sup>.</sup>	

**Fees Information**: An instalment fee for processing this application is payable at the time of lodgement and must accompany your application in order for it to be lodged. Please note that if the instalment fee is insufficient to cover the actual and reasonable costs of work undertaken to process the application you will be required to pay any additional costs. Invoiced amounts are payable by the 20<sup>th</sup> of the month following invoice date. You may also be required to make additional payments if your application requires notification.

**Declaration concerning Payment of Fees**: I/we understand that the Council may charge me/us for all costs actually and reasonably incurred in processing this application. Subject to my/our rights under Sections 357B and 358 of the RMA, to object to any costs, I/we undertake to pay all and future processing costs incurred by the Council. Without limiting the Far North District Council's legal rights if any steps (including the use of debt collection agencies) are necessary to recover unpaid processing costs I/we agree to pay all costs of recovering those processing costs. If this application is made on behalf of a trust (private or family), a society (incorporated or unincorporated) or a company in signing this application I/we are binding the trust, society or company to pay all the above costs and guaranteeing to pay all the above costs in my/our personal capacity.

Name:	_(please print)		
Signature:	_(signature of bill payer – mandatory)	Date:	

## **14.** Important Information:

#### Note to applicant

You must include all information required by this form. The information must be specified in sufficient detail to satisfy the purpose for which it is required.

You may apply for 2 or more resource consents that are needed for the same activity on the same form. You must pay the charge payable to the consent authority for the resource consent application under the Resource Management Act 1991.

### **Fast-track application**

Under the fast-track resource consent process, notice of the decision must be given within 10 working days after the date the application was first lodged with the authority, unless the applicant opts out of that process at the time of lodgement. A fast-track application may cease to be a fast-track application under section 87AAC(2) of the RMA.

### Privacy Information:

Once this application is lodged with the Council it becomes public information. Please advise Council if there is sensitive information in the proposal. The information you have provided on this form is required so that your application for consent pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991 can be processed under that Act. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Far North District Council. The details of your application may also be made available to the public on the Council's website, <u>www.fndc.govt.nz</u>. These details are collected to inform the general public and community groups about all consents which have been issued through the Far North District Council.

**Declaration:** The information I have supplied with this application is true and complete to the best of my knowledge.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_(please print)

Signature: (signature)

(A signature is not required if the application is made by electronic means)

Checklist (please tick if information is provided)

• Payment (cheques payable to Far North District Council)

• A current Certificate of Title (Search Copy not more than 6 months old)

- O Copies of any listed encumbrances, easements and/or consent notices relevant to the application
- O Applicant / Agent / Property Owner / Bill Payer details provided
- Location of property and description of proposal
- Assessment of Environmental Effects
- Written Approvals / correspondence from consulted parties
- Reports from technical experts (if required)
- Copies of other relevant consents associated with this application
- Location and Site plans (land use) AND/OR
- Location and Scheme Plan (subdivision)
- Elevations / Floor plans
- Topographical / contour plans

Please refer to Chapter 4 of the District Plan for details of the information that must be provided with an application. Please also refer to the RC Checklist available on the Council's website. This contains more helpful hints as to what information needs to be shown on plans.

Only one copy of an application is required, but please note for copying and scanning purposes, documentation should be:

UNBOUND

SINGLE SIDED

**NO LARGER THAN A3 in SIZE** 

Date:

10. Other Consent required/being applied for under different legislation (more than one circle can be ticked):

O Building Consent (BC ref # if known)

O Regional Council Consent (ref # if known)

O yes Ø no O don't know

O yes O no O don't know

O National Environmental Standard consent

O Other (please specify)

# 11. National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health:

The site and proposal may be subject to the above NES. In order to determine whether regard needs to be had to the NES please answer the following (further information in regard to this NES is available on the Council's planning web pages):

Is the piece of land currently being used or has it historically ever been used for an activity or industry on the Hazardous Industries and Activities List (HAIL)

Is the proposed activity an activity covered by the NES? (If the activity is any of the activities listed below, then you need to tick the 'yes' circle).

O Subdividing land

O Changing the use of a piece of land

O Disturbing, removing or sampling soil

O Removing or replacing a fuel storage system

# 12. Assessment of Environmental Effects:

Every application for resource consent must be accompanied by an Assessment of Environmental Effects (AEE). This is a requirement of Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and an application can be rejected if an adequate AEE is not provided. The information in an AEE must be specified in sufficient detail to satisfy the purpose for which it is required. Your AEE may include additional information such as Written Approvals from adjoining property owners, or affected parties.

# Please attach your AEE to this application.

# 13. Billing Details:

This identifies the person or entity that will be responsible for paying any invoices or receiving any refunds associated with processing this resource consent. Please also refer to Council's Fees and Charges Schedule.

Name/s: (please write all names in full)

Email:

**Postal Address:** 



# Phone Numbers:

Fees Information: An instalment fee for processing this application is payable at the time of lodgement and must accompany your application in order for it to be lodged. Please note that if the instalment fee is insufficient to cover the actual and reasonable costs of work undertaken to process the application you will be required to pay any additional costs. Invoiced amounts are payable by the 20<sup>th</sup> of the month following invoice date. You may also be required to make additional payments if your application requires notification.

Declaration concerning Payment of Fees: I/we understand that the Council may charge me/us for all costs actually and reasonably incurred in processing this application. Subject to my/our rights under Sections 357B and 358 of the RMA, to object to any costs, I/we undertake to pay all and future processing costs incurred by the Council. Without limiting the Far North District Council's legal rights if any steps (including the use of debt collection agencies) are necessary to recover unpaid processing costs I/we agree to pay all costs of recovering those processing costs. If this application is made on behalf of a trust (private or family), a society (incorporated or unincorporated) or a company in signing this application I/we are binding the trust, society or company to pay all the above costs and guaranteeing to pay all the above costs in my/our personal capacity.

Name: Sarah-Lyn Day	(please print)		
Signature:	(signature of bill payer - mandatory)	Date:	10/07/24



## **BAY OF ISLANDS PLANNING (2022) LIMITED**

Kerikeri House Suite 3, 88 Kerikeri Road Kerikeri

office@bayplan.co.nz Website - www.bayplan.co.nz

16 July 2024

Far North District Council John Butler Centre Kerikeri

Dear Team Leaders,

#### Re: Proposed dwelling - 7 The Lakes Drive, Kerikeri

Our client Sarah-Lyn Day is seeking a resource consent to build a dwelling on a vacant lot at 7 The Lakes Drive. The site is zoned Rural Living within the operative Far North District Plan (**ODP**), and Rural Residential under the Proposed Far North District Plan (**PDP**). Resource consent is required to accommodate the dwelling and access.

The application is a **discretionary activity** under the ODP and requires resource consent in respect of Stormwater Management. We attach information required to be included in this application by the relevant statutory documents as follows:

- Appendix A Record of Titles & Relevant Instruments
- Appendix B Application Plans & Elevations (Platinum Homes)
- Appendix C Stormwater Mitigation Report (Wilton Joubert Consulting Engineers)
- Appendix D 2190673 RMAVAR/A Subdivision Suitability Report
- Appendix E Stormwater mitigation email

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you require any further information.

Yours sincerely,

Andrew McPhee Consultant Planner



# 1. Introduction

The applicant is seeking a land use consent to construct a dwelling on their property at 7 The Lakes Drive in Kerikeri. The site is legally described as Lot 2 DP 544271 and comprises a land area of 3,000m<sup>2</sup>. A copy of the relevant Records of Title is attached at **Appendix A**.

# 2. Site Description



Figure 1 – Site (Source: Prover)



Figure 2 – Site Aerial (Source: Google Earth)

The site is located on the western side of The Lakes Drive, with the access approximately 140 metres north



of Waipapa Road. The site comprises a total land area of 3,000m<sup>2</sup>, is vacant and can be described as level.

The site is grass covered, vacant and bordered by like sized properties that were part of a recent subdivision. A number of the properties subject to the subdivision have been constructed, as can be seen from the aerial in Figure 2.

The site is not considered a HAIL site and is described as High Producing Exotic Grassland in the Councils database. In any case, the recent subdivision that created this and the surrounding sites would have been subject to HAIL consideration and no consent notices have been applied to the titles.

The site is subject to River Flood Hazards (100 year ARI event). The extent of the flooding does not implicate any built development proposed via this application.



Figure 3 – River Flood Hazard (Source: PDP Maps)

Far North Maps indicates that soil types are of high versatile value (LUC 3s2), however the site was part of a wider subdivision application creating small lifestyle sites with the intension of more intensive development rather than rural production activities. The National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land does not apply to the Rural Living zone.

## 3. Record of Title, Consent Notices and Land Covenants

The site Record of Title is attached at **Appendix A**. The following consent notices apply:

#### 8327490.5

• Condition i. requires the provision of a formed double width sealed entrance to right of way easement 'A' complying with the Councils Engineering Standard FNDC/S/6C and in addition provide a formed



and metalled access on right of way 'A' to 5 metres finished carriageway width for a minimum distance of 30 metres from the road frontage boundary.

This condition applied to the former subdivision consent in respect of access from Waiapa Road. The Lakes Drive has since been created satisfying this condition of consent.

• Condition ii. States that wastewater treatment will be subject to a TP58 report submitted in conjunction with any Building Consent Application.

A TP58 will be supplied with building consent addressing wastewater for the site.

#### 12100861.3

• Condition ii. Requires in addition to water supply, a water collection system with sufficient supply for firefighting purposes by way of a tank or other approved means that is safely accessible for this purpose and in accordance with SNZ PAS 4509.

DARY FEG. 13.4 14.2 15.5 1

The subject site will have a dedicated 25,000l tank for firefighting purpose.

- Condition iii. In accordance with the condition, it be addressed with the building consent application.
- Condition iv. Requires a stormwater management report prepared by a suitably qualified practitioner. While this condition can be addressed at building consent, a Stormwater Mitigation Report has been prepared to support this application in **Appendix C.** It is noted that the author of the Stormwater Mitigation Report does not consider that the design methodology used for the consent notice is one currently accepted by Council and has provided an alternative (see correspondence in **Appendix E**).
- Condition v. In accordance with the condition, it can be addressed with the building consent application.
- Condition vi. In accordance with the condition, it can be addressed at the time of development.

# 4. Description of the Proposal

The applicant proposes to construct a single storey four-bedroom dwelling on their vacant land. The proposed dwelling will be in accordance with the site layout, floor plan and elevations prepared by Platinum Homes and attached at **Appendix B**.





Figure 4 - Proposed floor plan (Source: Platinum Homes)

The total impermeable areas on the property would be 655.19m<sup>2</sup> or 21.8% of the site area. Total building coverage area would comprise 284.50m<sup>2</sup> or 9.48% of the site area.

A 207m<sup>2</sup> metal driveway and a 95m<sup>2</sup> concrete driveway/footpath will provide access to the proposed dwelling.

There are connections for electricity, telecommunications and internet at the boundary of the site.

Stormwater from the roof area will be directed to the three 25,000 litre water tanks located south of the proposed dwelling. Two of these water tanks will be utilised for drinking water, while the third tank will be used for firefighting purposes and attenuation.

The wastewater will be connected to a secondary level wastewater treatment plant. This is indicatively shown southeast of the proposed dwelling, with the disposal field bordering the southern and western boundaries. An effluent reserve area is also shown on the northern boundary. The precise location and details of the wastewater plant will be provided at the time of building consent.

Proposed earthworks include a cut/fill volume of 263m<sup>3</sup> which is within the permitted limits for this zone.

# 5. Reasons for Consent

1. The Far North District Plan zones the site Rural Living Zone (RLZ). There are no other identified Resource





Features apart from being within a Kiwi 'Present' area.

Figure 5 – ODP Map – Rural Living zone (Source: Far North Maps)



Figure 6 – PDP Map – Rural Residential zone (Source: PDP Maps)

The following tables set out the applicable permitted development standards for the RLZ and District Wide performance standards. Table 1 and 2 identifies the applicable rules and provides comment on compliance with those rules. An assessment against the PDP rules with immediate legal effect have also been provided (Table 3).



	RURAL LIVING ZONE STANDARDS		
Rule #	PERMITTED STANDARDS	PERFORMANCE/COMMENTS	
8.7.5.1.1 Residential Intensity	One residential unit per site or 4,000m <sup>2</sup> . Rule does not apply to sites created by subdivision, where all other standards for permitted activities are complied with.	The proposal is for one dwelling created on a site via subdivision but breaches the stormwater management permitted standard.	
		Discretionary	
8.7.5.1.2 Scale of Activities	<b>Scale of Activities</b> : The total number of people engaged at any one period of time in activities on a site, including employees and persons making use of any facilities, but excluding people who normally reside on the site or are members of the household shall not exceed 1 person per 1,000m2 of net site area.	The proposal is for a dwelling, those residing on site are excluded from this rule.	
8.7.5.1.3	Building Height: Maximum height 9 metres.	The proposed single story dwelling will not exceed the permitted height standard.	
8.7.5.1.4 Sunlight	<b>Permitted</b> - No part of any building shall project beyond a 45-degree recession plane as measured inwards from any point 2m vertically above ground level on any site boundary.	The proposed dwelling will not be within the sunlight recession plane in relation to any of the boundaries. Complies	
8.7.5.1.5 Stormwater Management	<b>Permitted</b> - Maximum of 12.5% of the total site area. <b>Controlled -</b> Maximum of 20% of the total site area.	Total Impermeable surfaces on the site will be 655.19m² or 21.8%. <b>Discretionary</b>	
8.7.5.1.6	Setback from boundaries: no building within 3m of boundary with various specified exceptions.	The proposed dwelling is not within 3m from any of the site boundaries. Complies	
8.7.5.1.7	Screening for Neighbours – Non-Residential Activities Permitted - Except along boundaries adjoining a Commercial or Industrial zone, outdoor areas providing for activities such as parking, loading, outdoor storage and other outdoor activities associated with non-residential activities on the site shall be screened from adjoining sites by landscaping, wall/s, close boarded fence/s or trellis/es or a combination thereof. They shall be of a height sufficient to wholly or substantially separate these areas from the view of neighbouring properties. Structures shall be at least 1.8m in height, but no higher than 2.0m, along the length of the outdoor area. Where such screening is by way of landscaping it shall be a strip of vegetation which has or will attain a minimum height of 1.8m for a minimum depth of 2m	N/A	

#### Table 1 – Rural Living Zone – Performance Standards



	RURAL LIVING ZONE STANDARDS		
Rule #	PERMITTED STANDARDS PERFORMANCE/COMMENTS		
8.7.5.1.9	<ul> <li>Hours of Operation - Non-Residential Activities</li> <li>Permitted - <ul> <li>(a) The maximum number of hours the activity shall be open to visitors, clients or deliveries shall be 50 hours per week; and</li> <li>(b) Hours of operation shall be limited to between the hours: 0700 - 2000 Monday to Friday 0800 - 2000 Saturday, Sunday and Public Holidays Provided that this rule does not apply: <ul> <li>(i) where the entire activity is located within a building; and</li> <li>(ii) where each person engaged in the activity outside the above hours resides permanently on the site; and (iii) where there are no visitors, clients or deliveries to or from the site outside the above hours. Exemptions: This rule does not apply to activities that have a predominantly residential function such as lodges, motels and homestays.</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	N/A	
8.7.5.1.10	Keeping of Animals	N/A	
8.7.5.1.11	<b>Noise</b> : noise at or within boundary of any other site in the zone not to exceed specified limits.	Residential activity. Complies	
8.7.5.1.12	Helicopter Landings Area	N/A	
8.7.5.1.13	Building Coverage: Permitted - Any new building or alteration/addition to an existing building is a permitted activity if the total Building Coverage of a site does not exceed 10% or 2,400m2, whichever is the lesser, of the gross site area.	The proposed building coverage is 284.50m <sup>2</sup> or 9.48%.	

### Table 2 – District Wide Performance Standards

	PART 3 – DISTRICT WIDE STANDARDS	
Rule #	STANDARDS	PERFORMANCE/COMMENTS
Chapter 12 – Na	tural and Physical Resources	
12.1 Landscape & Natural Features	<ul> <li>12.1.6.1.1 Protection of Outstanding Landscape Features</li> <li>12.1.6.1.2 Indigenous Vegetation Clearance in Outstanding landscapes</li> <li>12.1.6.1.3 Tree Planting in Outstanding Landscapes</li> <li>12.1.6.1.4 Excavation and/or filling within an outstanding landscape</li> <li>12.1.6.1.5 Ruildings within outstanding landscapes</li> </ul>	N/A
	12.1.6.1.6 Utility Services in Outstanding Landscapes	



	PART 3 – DISTRICT WIDE STANDARDS	
Rule #	STANDARDS	PERFORMANCE/COMMENTS
12.2 Indigenous Flora and Fauna	<ul> <li>12.2.6.1.1 Indigenous Vegetation Clearance Permitted Throughout the District</li> <li>12.2.6.1.2 Indigenous Vegetation Clearance in the rural Production and Minerals Zones</li> <li>12.2.6.1.3 Indigenous Vegetation Clearance in the General Coastal Zone</li> <li>12.2.6.1.4 Indigenous Vegetation Clearance in Other Zones</li> </ul>	N\A
12.3 Earthworks	12.3.6.1.2 Excavation and/or filling, excluding mining and quarrying, on any site in the Rural Living, Coastal Living, South Kerikeri Inlet Zone, General Coastal, Recreational Activities, Conservation, Waimate North and Point Veronica Zones Permitted – Maximum of 300m <sup>3</sup> within a 12-month period and cannot be higher than 1.5m cut or fill.	263m <sup>3</sup> of earthworks are required. Cut and Fill faces will be less than the permitted maximum. <b>Complies</b>
12.4 Natural Hazards	12.4.6.1.1 Coastal Hazard 2 Area 12.4.6.1.2 Fire Risk to Residential Units	N/A
12.5 Heritage	<ul> <li>12.5.6.1.1 Notable Trees</li> <li>12.5.6.1.2 Alterations to/and maintenance of historic sites, buildings and objects</li> <li>12.5.6.1.3 Registered Archaeological Sites</li> </ul>	N/A
12.5A Heritage Precincts	There are no Heritage Precincts that apply to the site.	N/A
12.6 Air	Not applicable	N/A
12.7 Lakes, Rivers, Wetlands and the Coastline	<ul> <li>12.7.6.1.1 Setback from lakes, rivers and the coastal marine area</li> <li>12.7.6.1.2 Setback from smaller lakes, rivers and wetlands</li> <li>Permitted = for rivers minimum setback of 10 x the average width of the river where it passes through or past the site provided that the minimum setback is 10m and the maximum is no more than minimum required by Rule 12.7.6.1.1</li> <li>12.7.6.1.3 Preservation of indigenous wetlands</li> <li>12.7.6.1.4 Land Use Activities involving the Discharges of Human Sewage Effluent</li> <li>12.7.6.1.5 Motorised Craft</li> <li>12.7.6.1.6 Noise</li> </ul>	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
12.8 Hazardous Substances		N/A
12.9 Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Chapter 15 – Tra	affic, Parking and Access	N/A



	PART 3 – DISTRICT WIDE STANDARDS	
Rule #	STANDARDS	PERFORMANCE/COMMENTS
<b>15.1.6A.2.1</b> Traffic Intensity	15.1.6A Maximum Daily One Way Traffic Movements Rural Living Permitted – 20	The first residential unit on a site is exempt from this rule Complies
<b>15.1.6B</b> Parking	15.1.6B.1.1 On-site Car Parking Spaces: Permitted – 2 per residential unit	The double garage and concrete driveway can accommodate more than 2 vehicles. Complies
<b>15.1.6C.1.1</b> Vehicle Access	Private Accessway in all zones <b>Permitted –</b> 3m wide carriageway	The access off The Lakes Drive is 3.91m wide constructed in accordance with these standards. <b>Complies</b>
<b>15.1.6C.1.5</b> Vehicle Crossing	Vehicle Crossing Standards in Rural and Coastal Zone	The existing crossing is constructed in accordance with these standards.
<b>15.1.6C.1.7</b> General Access Standards	General Access Standards	The existing access can meet the required standards.

In terms of the ODP the application falls to be considered as a Discretionary Activity in accordance with Section 104A of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA).

Proposed District Plan					
Matter	Rule/Std Ref	Relevance	Compliance	Evidence	
Hazardous Substances	Rule HS-R2 has	N/A		Not relevant as no	
Majority of rules relates	immediate legal effect			such substances	
to development within a	but only for a new			proposed.	
site that has heritage or	significant hazardous				
cultural items	facility located within a				
scheduled and mapped	scheduled site and area				
however Rule HS-R6	of significance to Māori,				
applies to any	significant natural area				
development within an	or a scheduled heritage				
SNA – which is not	resource				
mapped					
	HS-R5, HS-R6, HS-R9				
Heritage Area Overlays	All rules have immediate	N/A		Not indicated on Far	
(Property specific)	legal effect (HA-R1 to			North Proposed	
This chapter applies	HA-R14)			District Plan	
only to properties within	All standards have				
identified heritage area	immediate legal effect				
overlays (e.g. in the	(HA-S1 to HA-S3)				

Table 3 – PDP performance standards with immediate legal effect





operative plan they are				
	All rules have immediate	N/A		Not indicated on Ear
(Property specific and	legal effect (HH-B1 to	N/A		North Proposed
applies to adjoining				District Plan
sites (if the boundary is	Schedule 2 has			District I tan
within 20m of an	immediate legal effect			
identified heritage	initioulate tegat encot			
item)).				
Rule HH-R5 Earthworks				
within 20m of a				
scheduled heritage				
resource. Heritage				
resources are shown as				
a historic item on the				
maps)				
This chapter applies to				
scheduled heritage				
resources – which are				
called heritage items in				
the map legend				
Notable Trees	All rules have immediate	N/A		Not indicated on Far
(Property specific)	legal effect (NT-R1 to			North Proposed
Applied when a property	NT-R9)			District Plan
is showing a scheduled	All standards have legal			
notable tree in the map	effect (NI-S1 to NI-S2)			
	Schedule 1 has			
Cites and Ansas of	immediate legal effect			Net in discussion Fran
Sites and Areas of	All rules have immediate	N/A		Not indicated on Far
(Droporty oppositio)				North Proposed
(Property specific)	SASM-R7)			District Plan
is showing a site (area	immediate legal effect			
of significance to Maori	inimediate tegat enect			
in the map or within the				
Te Oneroa-a Tobe Beach				
Management Area (in				
the operative plan they				
are called site of				
cultural significance to				
Maori)				
Ecosystems and	All rules have immediate	N/A		Not indicated on Far
Indigenous Biodiversity	legal effect (IB-R1 to IB-			North Proposed
SNA are not mapped –	R5)			District Plan. No
will need to determine if				vegetation clearance
indigenous vegetation				proposed.
on the site for example				
Activities on the Surface	All rules have immediate	N/A		Not indicated on Far
of Water	legal effect (ASW-R1 to			North Proposed
	ASW-R4)			District Plan
Earthworks	The following rules have	Yes	Complies	Proposed
all earthworks (refer to	immediate legal effect:			earthworks will be in
new definition) need to	EW-R12, EW-R13			accordance with the
comply with this	The following standards		<u> </u>	relevant standards



Signs (Property specific) as rules only relate to situations where a sign is on a scheduled heritage resource (heritage item), or within the Kororareka Russell or Kerikeri Heritage	have immediate legal effect: EW-S3, EW-S5 The following rules have immediate legal effect: SIGN-R9, SIGN-R10 All standards have immediate legal effect but only for signs on or attached to a scheduled heritage resource or heritage area	N/A		including GD-05 and will have an ADP applied. Not indicated on Far North Proposed District Plan
Areas Orongo Bay Zone (Property specific as rule relates to a zone only) Comments:	N/A		Not indicated on Far North Proposed District Plan	
NO CONSENTS are required under the PDP.				

Overall, the application would fall to be considered as a **Discretionary Activity**.

# 6. Statutory Considerations

Section 104B of the RMA governs the determination of applications for discretionary activities:

#### 104B Determination of applications for discretionary or non-complying activities

After considering an application for a resource consent for a discretionary activity or non-complying activity, a consent authority—

- (a) may grant or refuse the application; and
- (b) if it grants the application, may impose conditions under section 108.

Council may grant or refuse an application for a Discretionary Activity. Where an application is granted consent, Council may impose conditions.

Section 104 of the RMA sets out matters to be considered when assessing an application for a resource consent.



#### 104 Consideration of applications

- (1) When considering an application for a resource consent and any submissions received, the consent authority must, subject to Part 2 and section 77M, have regard to-
  - (a) any actual and potential effects on the environment of allowing the activity; and
  - (ab) any measure proposed or agreed to by the applicant for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment to offset or compensate for any adverse effects on the environment that will or may result from allowing the activity; and
  - (b) any relevant provisions of-
    - (i) a national environmental standard:
    - (ii) other regulations:
    - (iii) a national policy statement:
    - (iv) a New Zealand coastal policy statement:
    - (v) a regional policy statement or proposed regional policy statement:
    - (vi) a plan or proposed plan; and
  - (c) any other matter the consent authority considers relevant and reasonably necessary to determine the application.

In the determination of this application, those considerations include the actual and potential effects of an activity on the environment, the relevant provisions of the Northland Regional Policy Statement (or other relevant statutory document), the Far North District Plan and any other matter the consent authority considers relevant and reasonably necessary to determine the application.

The following assessment addresses all of the relevant considerations under s104 of the RMA.

#### Assessment of Effects on The Environment

The RMA (section 3) meaning of effect includes:

#### 3 Meaning of effect

- In this Act, unless the context otherwise requires, the term effect includes-
- (a) any positive or adverse effect; and
- (b) any temporary or permanent effect; and
- (c) any past, present, or future effect; and
- (d) any cumulative effect which arises over time or in combination with other effects-
- regardless of the scale, intensity, duration, or frequency of the effect, and also includes-
- (e) any potential effect of high probability; and
- (f) any potential effect of low probability which has a high potential impact.

#### Section 104(2) of the RMA states that:

"when forming an opinion for the purposes of subsection (1)(a), a consent authority may disregard an adverse effect of the activity on the environment if a national environmental standard or the plan permits an activity with that effect."

This is referred to as the "permitted baseline", which is based on the permitted performance standards and development controls that form part of a district plan. For an effects-based plan such as the Far North District Plan where specified activities are not regulated, determining the permitted baseline is a useful



tool for determining a threshold of effects that are enabled by the zone.

Ordinarily the placement of dwelling on a site created under the subdivision controls in the ODP is a permitted activity regardless of the size of the site under the residential intensity rule. Due to the size of the site, which was approved and granted through resource consent, the dwelling and access coverage cannot fit within the permitted threshold for stormwater management, which in turn makes the residential intensity breach a discretionary activity also.

The focus of this assessment is on addressing the matters directly related to the rules in the ODP regarding the single level dwelling placed on a legally established site in the RLZ, which in this instance is principally a breach to stormwater management. A brief overview of the degree to which this achieves the objectives and policies of the Northland Regional Policy Statement, ODP and PDP is also provided.

#### **Positive effects**

The applicant will benefit from the positive effects of being able to build a dwelling on their property which can be used for personal purposes or accommodation.

#### **Residential Intensity effects**

As identified earlier in the report, the residential intensity rule breach is due to the stormwater breach on the property. Ordinarily a dwelling can be located on a property that has been created via subdivision as permitted activity in the RLZ. Given the size of the site and the need to locate the dwelling outside of the flood affected area, impermeable surfaces breach the permitted and controlled thresholds in the ODP. A single-story dwelling is anticipated on the site, is commensurate with those surrounding the property and is not considered to incur any adverse effects in terms of residential intensity.

#### Stormwater Management effects

A comprehensive Stormwater Mitigation Report has been prepared by Wilton Joubert and supplied in **Appendix C**. The mitigation report has been prepared in accordance with:

- The Far North District Council Engineering Standards 2023
- The operative Far North District Council District Plan
- Clause E1 of the New Zealand Bvuilding Code

The report concludes, provided that the recommendations within this report are adhered to, the effects of stormwater runoff resulting from the unattenuated proposed / existing impermeable surfaces (375m<sup>2</sup> total) are considered to have **less than minor effects on the receiving environment**, equivalent to conditions that would result from development proposals falling within the Permitted Activity coverage threshold. An assessment of effects is provided in the Stormwater Mitigation report addressing matters (a) through (m) of Section 11.3 of the ODP.

NOTE: While a requirement at building consent stage, Condition iv of consent notice 12100861.3 requires any development requiring building consent to be accompanied by a Stormwater Management Report prepared in accordance with the 'Stormwater Management and Attenuation Report' provided in condition 3(a) of RC2190163. A copy of RC2190163 is not located within the property file. The report attached at



**Appendix D** (Subdivision Suitability Report) was prepared to satisfy condition 3(a) referenced in the aforementioned consent notice. Commentary from the author of the Stormwater Mitigation Report in Appendix C states that the design methodology used in the report is not one accepted by FNDC (see **Appendix E**).

#### **Effects Conclusion**

The site at 7 The Lakes Drive is 3,000m<sup>2</sup> and was created to accommodate a dwelling. The permitted standard for stormwater management on this site is 375m<sup>2</sup>, which needs to accommodate all impervious surfaces, including the dwelling and access. A breach of this nature is not uncommon for sites approved under discretionary subdivision standards in the ODP. A stormwater mitigation report has been prepared to support the application (see **Appendix C**) and concludes that the effects will be less than minor. There are not considered to be effects in terms of residential intensity as the site anticipates a dwelling commensurate with that being proposed.

#### **Statutory Plan Considerations**

#### A National Policy Statement

There are no national policy statements that are directly relevant to this application.

#### National Environmental Standards

The site is not considered a HAIL site as it has not been used for activities associated with contamination. The HAIL assessment would have also been undertaken as part of the subdivision consent which created the site, therefore the National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health does not apply.

The National Environmental Standard for Freshwater does not apply to this proposal as there are no natural wetlands or other related features on or near this site.

#### New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement

The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement is not relevant to this application.

#### A Regional Policy Statement

The subject site is within the Northland region and is subject to the governing objectives and policies of the operative Northland Regional Policy Statement (RPS), operative May 2016. Although the jurisdiction for land use and subdivision activities is governed by the Far North District Council and the policy framework for land use activities and the management of potential adverse effects is set out in the ODP.

The ODP is subject to the governing regional policy framework set out in the RPS. With respect to any identified features, the site is not within any area of 'High' or 'Outstanding' Natural Area, or the Coastal Environment boundary. Considering the above, the following table considers the relevant objectives and policies.



REGIONAL POLICY STATEMENT FOR NORTHLAND		PERFORMANCE OF PROPOSAL		
OBJECTIVES & POLICIES				
3.1, 4.1-4.8	Integrated Catchment Management	The proposal considers the wider stormwater catchment and proposes appropriate mitigation measures from the increase of stormwater from the site.		
3.2, 5.1-5.4	Region Wide Water Quality	Similar to the above, the proposal includes stormwater quality measures as outlined in the Stormwater Mitigation Report.		
3.3	Ecological Flows	No water take is proposed as part of the application.		
3.4	Indigenous Ecosystems and Biodiversity	The site is within a kiwi present area. The reclusion of cats and dogs is not considered relevant for this application and has not been provided as a consent condition for the wider subdivision.		
3.5	Enabling Economic Wellbeing	The proposal provides for a dwelling on a site created for residential lifestyle purposes.		
3.6	Economic Activities – Reverse Sensitivity and Sterilisation	The proposal provides for a dwelling on a site created for residential lifestyle purposes.		
3.7	Regionally Significant Infrastructure	There is no such infrastructure being affected by the proposal.		
3.8, 6.1	Efficient and Effective Infrastructure	The proposal is self-sufficient in this respect.		
3.9	Security of Energy Supply	The proposal will require Top Energy power use.		
3.10	Use and Allocation of Common Resources	No water takes, or other takes are required.		
3.11	Regional Form	The proposal provides for a dwelling on a site created for residential lifestyle purposes.		
3.12, 8.1- 8.3	Tangata Whenua Role in Decision Making	No issues resulted from the underlying subdivision.		
3.13, 7.1- 7.2	Natural Hazard Risk	The proposed dwelling is located outside the area subject to River Flood Hazards (100 year ARI event). No other risks are relevant.		

## <u> Table 4 – RPS Assessment</u>



REGIONAL POLICY STATEMENT FOR NORTHLAND		PERFORMANCE OF PROPOSAL
3.14	Natural Character, ONF, ONL and Historic Heritage	No such features exist on the site.
3.15	Active Management	The proposal provides for a dwelling on a site created for residential lifestyle purposes.

Overall, it is considered that the development proposal would not be contrary to any RPS objective or policy and can be managed adequately by the ODP.

## A Plan or Proposed Plan

The objectives and policies in the ODP that are relevant to this application are those related to the Rural Environment in general, and the RLZ. These are discussed as follows:

OBJECT	IVE OR POLICY	PERFORMANCE OF PROPOSAL
OBJECT	IVES	
8.3.1	To promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources of the rural environment while enabling activities to establish in the rural environment.	The site is currently vacant as it was recently subdivided. The proposal is for a residential building, which is anticipated on the site. There are no productive rural activities within the immediate vicinity. The new site will contribute to social and economic well-being ensuing from the development and any adverse effects can be adequately managed, it is considered that sustainable management can be achieved.
8.3.2	To ensure that the life supporting capacity of soils is not compromised by inappropriate subdivision, use or development.	The site is the result of a recent subdivision in the RLZ. Therefore, the proposal is considered appropriate for this location. The life supporting capacity of the land for productive uses has already been marginalised by the existing lot sizes, approved subdivision, and the presence of surrounding residential lifestyle activities.
8.3.3	To avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of activities on the rural environment.	There are no rural productive activities in the vicinity except further north of the site. The proposed dwelling will not adversely affect the existing environment given the locational characteristics of the site and the adjoining development.

Table 5 Objectives and Policies for the Rural Environment



OBJECT	IVE OR POLICY	PERFORMANCE OF PROPOSAL	
8.3.4	To protect areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna.	The site does not possess a significant vegetation/ habitat area.	
8.3.5	To protect outstanding natural features and landscapes.	The area has not been classified as outstanding and does not possess any outstanding features.	
8.3.6	To avoid actual and potential conflicts between land use activities in the rural environment.	The site is in an area where a mixture of land uses is occurring. Site sizes within the subdivision are smaller rural residential sized lots, the residential intensity of the proposed development is anticipated and considered to be appropriate within this location.	
8.3.7	To promote the amenity values of the rural environment.	This application is within the RLZ which anticipates and provides for residential lifestyle development commensurate with that being proposed.	
8.3.8	To facilitate the sustainable management of natural and physical resources in an integrated way to achieve superior outcomes to more traditional forms of subdivision, use and development through management plans and integrated development.	This proposal is not of a scale which would warrant use of such techniques.	
POLICIE	S		
8.4.1	That activities which will contribute to the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources of the rural environment are enabled to locate in that environment.	Sustainable management of the resources of the site will be achieved as discussed under Objective 8.3.1.	
8.4.2	That activities be allowed to establish within the rural environment to the extent that any adverse effects of these activities are able to be avoided, remedied or mitigated and as a result the life supporting capacity of soils and ecosystems is safeguarded.	The only potential adverse effects anticipated by the proposal are in relation to stormwater. These effects can be appropriately mitigated to a point where effects will be less than minor (see <b>Appendix</b> <b>C</b> ).	



OBJECTIVE OR POLICY		PERFORMANCE OF PROPOSAL		
8.4.3	That any new infrastructure for development in rural areas be designed and operated in a way that safeguards the life supporting capacity of air, water, soil and ecosystems while protecting areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna, outstanding natural features and landscapes.	The proposed new infrastructure associated with the application has been designed to ensure safeguards for the life supporting capacity of air, water, soil, and ecosystems can be achieved.		
8.4.4	That development which will maintain or enhance the amenity value of the rural environment and outstanding natural features and outstanding landscapes be enabled to locate in the rural environment.	There are no outstanding features or landscapes present on the site or in the vicinity. The amenity values of the local environment will not be diminished by the proposal. As previously mentioned, this location does not possess amenity values associated with a traditional rural environment as it has been subject to a range of development and the proposal is not out of character in this area.		
8.4.5	That plan provisions encourage the avoidance of adverse effects from incompatible land uses, particularly new developments adversely affecting existing land-uses (including by constraining the existing land-uses on account of sensitivity by the new use to adverse effects from the existing use – i.e. reverse sensitivity).	The proposed dwelling is compatible with existing land uses.		
8.4.6	That areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna habitat be protected as an integral part of managing the use, development and protection of the natural and physical resources of the rural environment.	These features are not identified on the property.		



OBJECT	IVE OR POLICY	PERFORMANCE OF PROPOSAL
8.4.7	That Plan provisions encourage the efficient use and development of natural and physical resources.	The proposal does constitute efficient use of the property in its location and zone. The proposed site is physically created and sits within a residential lifestyle zone.
8.4.8	That, when considering subdivision, use and development in the rural environment, the Council will have particular regard to ensuring that its intensity, scale and type is controlled to ensure that adverse effects on habitats (including freshwater habitats), outstanding natural features and landscapes, on the amenity value of the rural environment, and where appropriate on natural character of the coastal environment, are avoided, remedied or mitigated.	This policy is met by the proposal. The AEE has addressed these matters and has shown that the proposed scale and intensity of built development can be accommodated with less than minor adverse effects.

Table 6	Ob	jectives	and	Policies	for the	RLZ
					-	

OBJECTIV	E OR POLICY	PERFORMANCE OF PROPOSAL			
OBJECTIV	'ES				
8.7.3.1	To achieve a style of development on the urban periphery where the effects of the different types of development are compatible.	Residential lifestyle development is anticipated in the RLZ. The proposal is for a single-story dwelling on a vacant site.			
8.7.3.2	To provide for low density residential development on the urban periphery, where more intense development would result in adverse effects on the rural and natural environment.	Low density residential development is being proposed by this application through the provision of a single-story dwelling on a vacant site.			
8.7.3.3	To protect the special amenity values of the frontage to Kerikeri Road between SH10 and the urban edge of Kerikeri.	Not applicable.			
POLICIES	POLICIES				



8.7.4.1	That a transition between residential and rural zones is achieved where the effects of activities in the different areas are managed to ensure compatibility.	The proposal is compatible as outlined above under objective 8.7.3.2.
8.7.4.2	That the Rural Living Zone be applied to areas where existing subdivision patterns have led to a semi-urban character but where more intensive subdivision would result in adverse effects on the rural and natural environment.	The site is commensurate with those surrounding the property and of those generally within the RLZ.
8.7.4.3	That residential activities have sufficient land associated with each household unit to provide for outdoor space, and where a reticulated sewerage system is not provided, sufficient land for onsite effluent disposal.	The site has sufficient land to provide for outdoor space.
8.7.4.4	That no limits be placed on the types of housing and forms of accommodation in the Rural Living Zone, in recognition of the diverse needs of the community.	The type of housing proposed fits the needs of the landowner.
8.7.4.5	That non-residential activities can be established within the Rural Living Zone subject to compatibility with the existing character of the environment.	Not applicable.
8.7.4.6	That home-based employment opportunities be allowed in the Rural Living Zone.	Not applicable.
8.7.4.7	That provision be made for ensuring that sites, and the buildings and activities which may locate on those sites, have adequate access to sunlight and daylight.	The proposal will not adversely affect access to sunlight and daylight on this property or those immediately adjoining.



8.7.4.8	That the scale and intensity of activities other than a single residential unit be commensurate with that which could be expected of a single residential unit.	A single residential unit is being proposed.
8.7.4.9	That activities with effects on amenity values greater than a single residential unit could be expected to have, be controlled so as to avoid, remedy or mitigate those adverse effects on adjacent activities.	A single residential unit is being proposed.
8.7.4.10	That provision be made to ensure a reasonable level of privacy for inhabitants of buildings on adjoining sites.	The proposed dwelling complies with the siting and design controls within the ODP.
8.7.4.11	That the built form of development allowed on sites with frontage to Kerikeri Road between its intersection with SH10 and Cannon Drive be maintained as small in scale, set back from the road, relatively inconspicuous and in harmony with landscape plantings and shelter belts.	Not applicable.
8.7.4.12	That the Council maintains discretion over new connections to a sewerage system to ensure treatment plant discharge quality standards are not compromised (refer to Rule 13.7.3.5).	The proposal will have on-site wastewater disposal.

## Assessment of Objectives and Policies Conclusion

The proposal is consistent relevant objectives and policies considered above.

In terms of district wide matters such as those that affect biophysical elements and physical elements such as infrastructure and transport, the proposal is not impacted by biophysical characteristics that require any consideration, and from an infrastructure perspective the proposal can be serviced within its boundary with no resulting effects. The proposal is therefore consistent with the aims and intents of the ODP.



Object		
	Ives	Assessment
RRZ-01	1 - The Rural Residential zone is used	The proposal is for a single dwelling on a vacant site
predominantly for rural residential activities		to be used as a rural residential activity.
and sm	all scale farming activities that are	
compatible with the rural character and		
amenit	y of the zone	
RRZ-O2	2 - The predominant character and	The proposal is for a single dwelling on a vacant site
amenit	y of the Rural Residential zone is	to be used as a rural residential activity.
mainta	ined and enhanced, which includes:	
a.	peri-urban scale residential	
	activities;	
b.	small-scale farming activities with	
	limited buildings and structures;	
с.	smaller lot sizes than anticipated in	
	the Rural Production or Rural	
	Lifestyle zones; and	
d.	a diverse range of rural residential	
	environments reflecting the	
	character and amenity of the	
	adjacent urban area.	
RRZ-O3	3 - The Rural Residential zone helps	The proposal is for a single dwelling on a vacant site
meet th	ne demand for growth around urban	to be used as a rural residential activity.
centres	s while ensuring the ability of the land	
to be re	ezoned for urban development in the	
future i	s not compromised	
RRZ-O4	4 - Land use and subdivision in the	The proposal is for a single dwelling on a vacant site
Rural R	esidential zone:	to be used as a rural residential activity, which is
		anticipated and enabled by the zone.
a.	maintains rural residential character	
	and amenity values:	The site is surrounded by similar rural residential
b.	supports a range of rural residential	development, as such there are not considered to be
5.	and small-scale farming activities:	any reverse sensitivity effects
	and	
C.	is managed to control any reverse	
	sensitivity issues that may occur	
	within the zone or at the zone	
	interface	
Policy	interface.	Assessment
RRZ-P1	- Enable activities that will not	The proposal is for a single dwelling on a vacant site
compro	omise the role, function and	to be used as a rural residential activity.
predom	ninant character and amenity of the	······································
Rural Residential zone, while ensuring their		
design, scale and intensity is appropriate		
including:		
a	rural residential activities:	
	small-scale farming activities:	
<ul> <li>d. a diverse range of rural residential environments reflecting the character and amenity of the adjacent urban area.</li> <li>RRZ-O3 - The Rural Residential zone helps meet the demand for growth around urban centres while ensuring the ability of the land to be rezoned for urban development in the future is not compromised</li> <li>RRZ-O4 - Land use and subdivision in the Rural Residential zone: <ul> <li>a. maintains rural residential character and amenity values;</li> <li>b. supports a range of rural residential and small-scale farming activities; and</li> <li>c. is managed to control any reverse sensitivity issues that may occur within the zone or at the zone interface.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Policy</li> <li>RRZ-P1 - Enable activities that will not compromise the role, function and predominant character and amenity of the Rural Residential zone, while ensuring their design, scale and intensity is appropriate, including: <ul> <li>a. rural residential activities;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		The proposal is for a single dwelling on a vacant site to be used as a rural residential activity.         The proposal is for a single dwelling on a vacant site to be used as a rural residential activity, which is anticipated and enabled by the zone.         The site is surrounded by similar rural residential development, as such there are not considered to be any reverse sensitivity effects.         Assessment         The proposal is for a single dwelling on a vacant site to be used as a rural residential activity.

## Table 7 Objectives and Policies for the Rural Residential zone (PDP)



c. home business activities;	
d. visitor accommodation; and	
e. small-scale education facilities.	
RRZ-P2 - Avoid activities that are	The proposal is for a single dwelling on a vacant site
incompatible with the role, function and	to be used as a rural residential activity which is
predominant character and amenity of the	anticipated and enabled within the zone.
Rural Residential zone including:	
a. activities that are contrary to the	
density anticipated for the Rural	
Residential zone;	
b. primary production activities, such	
as intensive indoor primary	
production or rural industry, that	
generate adverse amenity effects	
that are incompatible with rural	
residential activities; and	
c. commercial or industrial activities	
that are more appropriately located	
in an urban zone or a Settlement	
zone.	
RRZ-P3 - Avoid where possible, or otherwise	The site is not considered to be close enough to rural
mitigate, reverse sensitivity effects from	production or horticulture activities to incur reverse
sensitive and other non-productive activities	sensitivity effects.
on primary production activities in adjacent	
Rural Production zones and Horticulture	
zones.	
RRZ-P4 - Require all subdivision in the Rural	Telecommunications and electricity are available at
Residential zone to provide the following	the boundary.
reticulated services to the boundary:	
a. telecommunications:	
i. fibre where it is available;	
ii. copper where fibre is not available;	
iii. copper where the area is identified	
for future fibre deployment.	
b. local electricity distribution	
network.	
RRZ-P5 - Manage land use and subdivision to	The proposal is consistent with the scale and
address the effects of the activity requiring	character anticipated within the RLZ.
resource consent, including (but not limited	The early and design of the building is compared with
whore relevant to the application:	with many four bodroom homos
where relevant to the application.	
a. Consistency with the scale and	The site is surrounded by Burel Pesidential zened
environment:	sites and complies with the zones siting and design
b location scale and design of	controls
buildings or structures:	
a at zone interfaces:	Stormwater management affacts have been
i any setbacks fencing screening or	annonriately mitigated to ensure that any notantial
landscaping required to address	effects will be less than minor (see <b>Appendix C</b> ).



	potential conflicts;	
ii.	the extent to which adverse effects	The proposal can cater for onsite infrastructure
	on adjoining or surrounding sites are	requirements.
	mitigated and internalised within the	
	site as far as practicable;	A single access is required and provided off The Lakes
d.	the capacity of the site to cater for	Drive.
	on-site infrastructure associated	
	with the proposed activity;	The dwelling is placed outside of the identified
e.	the adequacy of roading	flooding hazard on the northern portion of the site.
	infrastructure to service the	
	proposed activity;	
f.	managing natural hazards;	
g.	any adverse effects on historic	
	heritage and cultural values, natural	
	features and landscapes or	
	indigenous biodiversity; and	
h.	any historical, spiritual, or cultural	
	association held by tangata whenua,	
	with regard to the matters set out in	
	Policy TW-P6.	

### Proposed Far North District Plan Objectives & Policies & Weighting

Section 88A(2) provides that "any plan or proposed plan which exists when the application is considered must be had regard to in accordance with section 104(1)(b)." This requires applications to be assessed under both the operative and proposed objective and policy frameworks from the date of notification of the proposed district plan.

In the event of differing directives between objective and policy frameworks, it is well established by case law that the weight to be given to a proposed district plan depends on what stage the relevant provisions have reached, the weight generally being greater as a proposed plan move through the notification and hearing process. In Keystone Ridge Ltd v Auckland City Council, the High Court held that the extent to which the provisions of a proposed plan are relevant should be considered on a case by case basis and might include:

- The extent (if any) to which the proposed measure might have been exposed to testing and independent decision making;
- Circumstances of injustice; and
- The extent to which a new measure, or the absence of one, might implement a coherent pattern of objectives and policies in a plan.

In my view the PDP has not gone through the sufficient process to allow a considered view of the objectives and policies for the Rural Residential Zone overlay, however this has still been provided.

The activity is considered to be consistent with the objectives and policies of both the ODP and PDP.



## 7. Notification Assessment (s95matters)

The Council will need to determine the basis on which the application will be processed. These include public notification, limited notification, or non-notification. Sections 95A and 95B provide a step-by-step process that Council must follow when determining whether to publicly or limited notify an application.

#### Public Notification (s95A)

Section 95A outlines the steps that must be followed to determine whether an application should be publicly notified.

**Step 1** – Details requirements for mandatory public notification. None of these apply to the proposal.

**Step 2** – Details situations where public notification is precluded (if not required under step 2). The application is for a residential activity but not a boundary activity, therefore public notification is not precluded under this step.

**Step 3** – Details requirements for public notification in certain circumstances. This includes applications that are determined to be publicly notified under s95D. For this application, it is concluded that any potential adverse effects on the environment would not be more than minor.

**Step 4** – Details requirements in special circumstances. It is considered that there are no special circumstances that would warrant notification.

#### Limited Notification (s95B)

S95B includes steps to be followed when deciding whether an application should be subject to limited notification.

**Step 1** – relates to the consideration of certain affected groups and affected persons including any protected customary rights groups or affected marine title groups. There are no such groups affected by this application.

**Step 2** – details requirements for limited notification where the application is for one or more activities that is precluded from limited notification by a rule or standard or is a controlled or prescribed activity. This step does not preclude this application from limited notification.

**Step 3** – relates to boundary adjustments, where an owner of an infringed boundary is to be notified or a prescribed activity. Also relates to any other activity where it is required to determine if a person is an affected person in accordance with s95E. For the purpose of limited notifying an application, a person is an affected person if a consent authority decides that the activity's adverse effects on the person are minor or more than minor (but are not less than minor). It is assessed in this report that these two factors are not triggered by the application .



**Step 4** – relates to requirements to notify where special circumstances exist. There are no special circumstances that would warrant limited notification of this application.

# 8. PART II – Resource Management Act 1991

#### Purpose of the RMA

The proposal can promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources, as current and future owners and users of the land are able to provide for their social, cultural and economic wellbeing and their health and safety. The proposal will provide a single-story dwelling on a vacant site within the RLZ, which is wholly anticipated and enabled within the zone.

Development of this site will contribute to the local economy, community wellbeing, utilise local services and infrastructure for residential activities at a scale anticipated by Council. Any effects on the environment are not anticipated to be more than minor.

#### Matters of National Importance

The site is within a Kiwi present area. However, the proposal is not anticipated to adversely affect kiwi habit. Māori are not considered to be adversely affected by this proposal, nor is any historic heritage likely to be impacted.

#### **Other Matters**

The proposal will result in an efficient use of resources with the development occurring on the periphery the Kerikeri township within the RLZ where onsite servicing can be provided for. Amenity values will be maintained because the proposal is similar to existing activities on properties within this area. There will be no adverse impact on local ecosystems or overall.

#### Treaty of Waitangi

The Far North District Council is required to take into account the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi when processing this consent. This consent application may be sent to local iwi and hapū who may have an interest in this application.

## 9. Conclusion

This application seeks a **Discretionary Activity** resource consent to undertake construction of a singlestory dwelling on a vacant site within the RLZ. The assessment of effects on the environment concludes that for the reasons outlined in the application, the effects of undertaking this proposal will be less than



minor on the surrounding environment. There are considered to be no adversely affected persons.

No currently gazetted National Environmental Standards or National Policy Statements including the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement were considered to be relevant to this proposal.

The Regional Policy Statement for Northland was reviewed as part of this application. The proposal was considered to be consistent with the aims of this document.

In terms of the ODP and the PDP, the proposal was deemed to be consistent with the objectives and policies for the Rural Environment in general, the RLZ and the Rural Residential zone (PDP).

An assessment of Part II of the RMA has also been completed with the proposal able to satisfy this higher order document.

We look forward to receiving acknowledgment of the application and please advise if any additional information is required.

Andrew McPhee Consultant Planner



# **RECORD OF TITLE UNDER LAND TRANSFER ACT 2017 FREEHOLD**



Guaranteed Search Copy issued under Section 60 of the Land **Transfer Act 2017** 



921483 Identifier Land Registration District North Auckland **Date Issued** 22 July 2021

**Prior References** 431236

Estate	Fee Simple
Area	3000 square metres more or less
Legal Description	Lot 2 Deposited Plan 544271
Registered Owners	
John Graham Alexander Day and Sarah-Lyn Day	

## Interests

Appurtenant to part formerly Lot 6 DP 27219 is a right to drain water easement created by Easement Instrument 6658342.19 - 22.11.2005 at 9:00 am

The easements created by Easement Instrument 6658342.19 are subject to Section 243 (a) Resource Management Act 1991

8327490.5 Consent Notice pursuant to Section 221 Resource Management Act 1991 - 9.12.2009 at 3:57 pm

12100861.3 Consent Notice pursuant to Section 221 Resource Management Act 1991 - 22.7.2021 at 11:53 am

Land Covenant in Covenant Instrument 12100861.4 - 22.7.2021 at 11:53 am

12856433.2 Mortgage to ANZ Bank New Zealand Limited - 31.10.2023 at 3:32 pm



# **View Instrument Details**



Instrument No Status Date & Time Lodged Lodged By Instrument Type

8327490.5 Registered 09 December 2009 15:57 Waller, Sophia Louise Consent Notice under s221(4)(a) Resource Management Act 1991



Affected Computer Registers	Land District
431236	North Auckland
431237	North Auckland
431239	North Auckland

Annexure Schedule: Contains 1 Page.

Signature

Signed by Sophia Louise Waller as Territorial Authority Representative on 09/12/2009 02:56 PM

\*\*\* End of Report \*\*\*


Private	Bog 752, Memorial Ave
Kaikoh	e 0400, New Zeakınd
Freept	one: 0800 920 029
Phone	(09) 405 2750
Fax: (	)9) 401 2137
Email:	ask.us@fndc.govt.nz
Wehsi	e: www.fn/c.nevt.nz

#### THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

#### SECTION 221 : CONSENT NOTICE

REGARDING RC 2090021 the Subdivision of Lot 2 DP 326884 Lots 5 & 6 DP 27219 Sec 51 BLK XI Kerikeri SD North Auckland Registry

<u>PURSUANT</u> to Section 221 and for the purpose of Section 224 (c)(ii) of the Resource Management Act 1991, this Consent Notice is issued by the **FAR NORTH DISTRICT COUNCIL** to the effect that conditions described in the schedule below are to be complied with on a continuing basis by the subdividing owner and the subsequent owners after the deposit of the survey plan, and these are to be registered on the titles of the allotments specified under each condition below.

#### SCHEDULE

#### Lots 1,2 & 4 DP 408584

- i. Before any development is carried out, provide a formed double width sealed entrance to right of way easement "A" complying with the Council's Engineering Standard FNDC/S/6C and in addition provide a formed and metalled access on right of way "A" to 5 metres finished carriageway width for a minimum distance of 30 metres from the road frontage boundary."
- ii. Wastewater Treatment will be subject to an Appendix E (TP58) report submitted in conjunction with any Building Consent Application

SIGNED:

MAMYa

Mr M A McDonald

By the FAR NØRTH DISTRICT COUNCIL Under delegated authority: PRINCIPAL PLANNER

DATED at Kerikeri this  $25^{th}$  day of  $Ma\gamma$ 

2009.

# **View Instrument Details**



Instrument No Status Date & Time Lodged Lodged By Instrument Type





Affected Records of Title	Land District	
921482	North Auckland	
921483	North Auckland	
921484	North Auckland	
921485	North Auckland	
921486	North Auckland	
921487	North Auckland	
921488	North Auckland	
921489	North Auckland	
921490	North Auckland	
921491	North Auckland	
921492	North Auckland	

Annexure Schedule Contains 2 Pages.

#### Signature

Signed by Emma Jane Thompson as Territorial Authority Representative on 16/08/2021 02:34 PM

\*\*\* End of Report \*\*\*



Norte Staj 7 27, Nortenda An-Notacin (240, 1000 Ludeo) Inequant 2019 520 529 Norten (2019 421 520) Nor (2019 421 5257 Look (24 antible) god 20

#### Te Kounihera o Tei Takerou NI Te Kaki

His waa alana alama mbaat waxte to boo, waali and Agaas

#### THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

#### SECTION 221: CONSENT NOTICE

#### REGARDING RC-2190673-VAR/A

Being the Subdivision of Lot 1 DP 408584 North Auckland Registry

<u>PURSUANT</u> to Section 221 and for the purpose of Section 224 (c) (ii) of the Resource Management Act 1991, this Consent Notice is issued by the **FAR NORTH DISTRICT COUNCIL** to the effect that conditions described in the schedule below are to be complied with on a continuing basis by the subdividing owner and the subsequent owners after the deposit of the survey plan, and these are to be registered on the titles of the allotments specified below.

#### **SCHEDULE**

Lots 3-7 DP 544271

i. Without the prior approval of the Council, no building shall be erected, nor any earthworks be carried out which may pose an obstruction of the overland flow path located along the western boundary and as shown on the as-built drawings (attached).

#### Lots 1-11 DP 544271

- ii. In conjunction with the construction of any dwelling, and in addition to a potable water supply, a water collection system with sufficient supply for firefighting purposes is to be provided by way of tank or other approved means and to be positioned so that it is safely accessible for this purpose. These provisions will be in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Fighting Water Supply Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509.
- iii. Any building erected on the lot shall have foundations specifically designed by a Chartered Professional Engineer. The details of design shall be submitted in conjunction with the Building Consent application.
- iv. Any development requiring building consent on the lot shall be accompanied by a Stormwater Management report prepared by a





Norice Stay 7 527, Steenand An-Beacher St-40, Store Luckend Proglammer Store 500 500 500 Apres Stor 701 4020 Apres Stor 701 4020 Institud and antidepile partice Mobuler anno Antidepile partice

Te Kounihero o Tai Tokerou NI Te Koki

The sep place where release worth to byo, which and byour

suitably qualified practitioner in accordance with the 'Stormwater Management and Attenuation Report' provided in Condition 3(a) of RC2190163.

v. At time of building consent, the consent holder shall provide a landscape/ planting plan for the approval of Councils' Duly Delegated Officer. The purpose of the landscape/ planting plan is to provide amenity plantings to help mitigate the visual impact of the built development as viewed from the street and adjacent properties. Species used and location of plantings should not increase fire risk to the residential dwelling.

All approved plantings shall be implemented to the satisfaction of Councils Resource Consents Monitoring Officer or Duly Delegated Officer no later than the end of the planting season (May to September) immediately following enclosure of the building.

All approved planting shall be maintained on a continuing basis. In the event of plants failing, they shall be replaced no later than the end of the planting season (May to September) immediately following failure.

vi. Formation of individual driveways is not a condition of the subdivision consent. The formation of individual lot driveways will be the responsibility of the lot owner prior to, or at the time of development on the lots.

Millalia.

SIGNED:

<u>Mr Patrick John Killalea - Authorised Officer</u> By the FAR NORTH DISTRICT COUNCIL Under delegated authority: PRINCIPAL PLANNER – RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

DATED at KERIKERI this

16<sup>th</sup> day of July 2021





# PROPOSED NEW RESIDENCE FOR: JOHN GRAHAM ALEXANDER DAY & SARAH-LYN DAY 7 THE LAKES DRIVE, KERIKERI

JOB NUMBER: NLD 506 FRANCHISE: PLATINUM HOMES NEW HOME CONSULTANT: NIGEL TURNER

PLAN SET INDEX

SHEET

#### REVISIONS

PL-G 06 JUN 24 - AMENDMENTS FROM 19/5 ADDED

PL-F 05 JUN 24 - ISSUED FOR ENGINEER

PL-E 27 MAY 24 - ISSUED FOR TRUSS MANUFACTURER

PL-D 19 JAN 24 - AMENDMENTS AS PER RAVE COMMENTS AND ON 19/01/24

PL-C 18 JAN 24 - AMENDMENTS AS PER RAVE COMMENTS AND ON 17/01/24

PL-B 22 DEC 23 - CORRECTIONS AND AMENDMENTS AS PER RAVE COMMENTS AND EMAIL

PL-A 20 DEC 23 - ISSUED

## ENGINEER DESIGNED ITEMS:

- FOUNDATION - PFC PORTAL FRAME WITH FOUNDATION AND FIXINGS - BEAMS 01, 02 & 03 WITH ALL THE FIXINGS



OT 2 DP 544271 000m <sup>2</sup>	
ND ZONE	HIGH
RTHQUAKE ZONE	1
RROSION ZONE	C
OW LOADING	N/A (m) (kPa)
ILDING COVERAGE AREA:	284.50m <sup>2</sup>
ILDUING COVERAGE:	9.48% (10% MAX)
PERMEABLE AREA:	644.22m <sup>2</sup>
PERMEABLE COVERAGE:	21.47% (12.5% MAX)



OT 2 DP 544271 000m <sup>2</sup>	
ND ZONE	HIGH
RTHQUAKE ZONE	1
RROSION ZONE	C
OW LOADING	N/A (m) (kPa)
ILDING COVERAGE AREA:	284.50m <sup>2</sup>
ILDUING COVERAGE:	9.48% (10% MAX)
PERMEABLE AREA:	644.22m <sup>2</sup>
PERMEABLE COVERAGE:	21.47% (12.5% MAX)

ORTH DISTRICT	COUNCIL OPERATIVE DISTRICT PLAN RURAL LIVING
<b>T:</b> EIGHT. OR FLAT HEIGHT ABOVE I	9m MEAN GROUND LEVEL AROUND PERIMETER AT 1m INTERVALS
GHT:	2.0m @ 45°
CKS:	3.0m FROM ALL BOUNDARIES
ER 0.6m OVER SETBACK	
COURT:	N/A
	IV A
NG COVERAGE:	10% MAX OR 2,400m <sup>2</sup> (LESSER OF)
ANY PART OF EAVES >0.6m FRO	M OUTSIDE WALL OR SUPPORTING STRUCTURE
MEABLE SURFACE:	12.5% MAX OR 3,000m <sup>2</sup> (LESSER OF)
OOF COVERAGE ON PLAN AND A ATHS LESS THAN 1m WIDE	ALL HARDSTAND / GRAVEL BEYOND ROOF COVERAGE

ATION
= 60.65m <sup>2</sup> = 4.00m <sup>3</sup> = 512.89m <sup>2</sup> = 263.00m <sup>3</sup>
= 573.54m <sup>2</sup> = 263.00m <sup>3</sup>





FIXTURES DISCHARGING TO	DISCHARGE	GRADE	
BASIN	DN 40	1:40	
FIXTURES DISCHARGING TO UN-VENTED BRANCH DRAIN	DISCHARGE PIPE SIZE	GRADE	DAY &
BATH BASIN SHOWER LAUNDRY TUB + WM KITCHEN SINK WATER CLOSET	DN 40* DN 40* DN 40* DN 50* DN 50* DN 100	1:40 1:40 1:40 1:40 1:40 1:60	exander e, kerike
VENT SIZES	PIPE SIZE	GRADE	RIV
DRAIN VENT	DN 80	N/A	DA DA S D
SANITARY DRAINAGE	PIPE SIZE	GRADE	AKE 5 N
MAIN DRAIN	DN 100	1:60	
STORMWATER DRAINAGE	PIPE SIZE	GRADE	THI CHA
STORMWATER LINES DOWNPIPES	100 Ø 80 Ø	1:60 N/A	
* = DISCHARGE PIPE DISCHARGE BRANCH DRAIN AT FLOOR LE	S TO UN-VENTI VEL	ED DN65	
SANITARY SEWER PLUMBING AND TO AS/NZS 3500.2:2021 STORMWATER DRAINAGE TO NZE	D DRAINAGE BC E1/AS1.		
SANITARY SEWER AND STORMWA BY WAY OF AIR TEST IN ACCORD 12.3.2 OF AS/NZS 3500.2.2 OR	TER DRAINS TO ANCE WITH EIT PARAGRAPH 8.	BE TESTED HER CLAUSE 3 OF E1/VM1	A3 IARY
PIPE SIZES, GRADES, AND LAYOU BY A REGISTERED PLUMBER/DR/	JT, TO BE CONF AINLAYER.	IRMED	AN 250 @ .
<b>-Q</b> 10			Drawing: DRAINAGE PI SCALE 1:100, 1:: Revision: PL-G P
			2 4 elevation key
			06 JUN 24 NLD 506 SB Checked :: Amended
TO SEPTIC TAN	K UENT SIGN		Date: Job #: Drawn: Amended
KEF# 130013	]		<b>4</b> / <sub>47.</sub>











the copyright of this drawing remains the property of Platinum Homes







ELECTRICAL KEY		
SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION	No. OF
• <sup>2</sup>	2-WAY LIGHT SWITCH	2
e	3 in 1 FAN LIGHT	2
• <sup>3</sup>	3-WAY LIGHT SWITCH	3
AGDO 🗁	AUTO GARAGE DOOR OPENER	1
DW 📐	DISHWASHER SWITCH	1
	DOUBLE POWER OUTLET	22
	ELECTRICAL METER BOARD	2
E	EXTRACTOR FAN	1
	FUSE BOARD	1
	GAS CONNECTION METER	1
	GAS HW CALIFONT	1
	HEAT PUMP (EXTERNAL UNIT)	1
нт 📐	HEATED TOWEL RAIL	2
нвс )—	HOBB CIRCUIT	1
hwc	HOT WATER CYLINDER	1
•	LIGHT SWITCH	22
MW 🔀	MICROWAVE SWITCH	1
۲	RECESSED DOWNLIGHT PRI	23
۲	RECESSED DOWNLIGHT SEC	18
•	SINGLE POWER OUTLET	1
⊖ sd	SMOKE DETECTOR HUSH BUTTON	3
PHONE	TELEPHONE OUTLET	1
™++-►	TELEVISION OUTLET	1
wov)—	WALL OVEN CIRCUIT	2



















SED PORTAL 3D VIEW

4





Wilton Joubert Limited 09 527 0196 PO BOX 11-381 Ellerslie Auckland 1524

SITE	7 The Lakes Drive, Kerikeri
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	Lot 2 DP 544271
PROJECT	Proposed Residential Dwelling
CLIENT	Platinum Homes
REFERENCE NO.	131811
DOCUMENT	Stormwater Mitigation Report
STATUS/REVISION No.	В
DATE OF ISSUE	6 <sup>th</sup> March 2024

Report Prepared For	Attention	Email
Platinum Homes	Nigel Turner	Nigel.Turner@platinumhomes.co.nz

Authored by	G.Brant (BE(Hons) Civil)	Civil Engineer	Gustavo@wjl.co.nz	gustan
Reviewed & Approved by	B. Steenkamp (CPEng, BEng Civil, CMEngNZ, BSc (Geology))	Senior Civil Engineer	BenS@wjl.co.nz	Palinge



### 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following table is intended to be a concise summary which must be read in conjunction with the relevant report sections as referenced herein.

Legal Description:	Lot 2 DP 544271		
Site Area:	3,000m <sup>2</sup>		
Development Type:	Proposed Residential Dwelling		
Development Proposals Supplied:	Plan Set supplied by Platinum Homes (Ref No: NLD 506, dated: 19.01.2024)		
District Plan Zone:	Rural Living		
Permitted Activity Coverage:	12.5%		
	Post-Development Impermeable Areas		
Impermeable Coverage:	Proposed Roof Area $326.71m^2$ Total Hardstand (uncovered) $328.48m^2$		
I otal impermeable area = 655.19m² or 21.8% of the site area			
Attenuation is to be provided in accordance with the require outlined in Section 5 via flow attenuated outlets in the proposed dw rainwater tanks.         Recommended Tank – 3 x 25,000 litre Rainwater Tanks         Dimensions – 3600mm Ø x 2600mm high         10% AEP Control Orifice – 43mmØ orifice; located >470mm below         Overflow Outlet         1% AEP Control Orifice			
	It is recommended to share the proposed constate and metal driveways to		
Driveway Attenuation:	shed runoff to a <b>minimum</b> 200mm deep x 500mm wide grassed / rock-lined v- channel swale to the eastern side of the proposed driveway. The proposed swale is to have a bubble up sump at its lowest point which is to discharge directly to the discharge point. A minimum 1m length of 6-inch riprap lining is to be installed in the proposed		
	swale downstream of the tank's outlet. The last 1m of the swale is to be lined with minimum 6-inch riprap for erosion protection before discharging to the proposed bubble up sump.		
Point of Discharge:	It is recommended that the proposed bubble up sump be fitted with a 100mm $\emptyset$ outflow pipe draining to a kerb outlet along The Lakes Drive.		





## 2. <u>SCOPE OF WORK</u>

Wilton Joubert Ltd. (WJL) was engaged by the client, **Platinum Homes**, to produce an on-site stormwater mitigation assessment at the above site.

At the time of report writing, we have been supplied the following documents:

• Plan Set supplied by Platinum Homes including site plan, floor plan and elevations (Ref No: NLD 506, dated: 19.01.2024)

Should any changes be made to the provided plans with stormwater management implications, WJL must be contacted for review.

## 3. <u>SITE DESCRIPTION</u>

The ~3,000m<sup>2</sup> site is designated as Lot 2 DP 544271, which exists within a new subdivision 'The Lakes Drive'. The site is accessed off Waipapa Road approximately 900m east of the Waipapa Road-Kerikeri Bypass. The southern boundary is approximately 76m to the north of Waipapa Road. The site comprises a cleared, level grassed section generally not exceeding 3°.

The Far North District Council (FNDC) GIS Water Services Map indicates that reticulated stormwater, wastewater and potable water connections are not available to the property.



Figure 1: Aerial Snip from FNDC Maps Showing Site Boundaries (cyan) and 1m Contours (yellow)



## 4. <u>DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS</u>

The development proposal, obtained from the client, is to construct a residential dwelling, a concrete patio, a concrete driveway and a metal driveway on-site as depicted in the plan set provided by Platinum Homes (Ref No: NLD 506, dated: 19.01.2024).



Figure 2: Snip of Proposed Site Plan Provided by Platinum Homes (Ref No: NLD 506, dated: 19.01.2024)

The principal objective of this assessment is to provide an indicative stormwater disposal design which will manage runoff generated from the proposed impermeable areas resulting from the proposed development.

#### 5. ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

#### Impermeable Areas

The calculations for the stormwater system for the development are based on a gross site area of 3,000m<sup>2</sup> and the below areas *extracted from the supplied plans*:

	Pre-Development	Post-Development	Total Change
Dwelling Roof Area	0 m²	326.71 m²	326.71 m²
Total Hardstand (uncovered)	0 m²	328.48 m²	328.48 m <sup>2</sup>
Concrete Driveway	0 m²	82.18 m <sup>2</sup>	
Metalled Driveway	0 m²	207 m²	
Concrete Patio	0 m²	39.3 m²	
Pervious	3,000 m <sup>2</sup>	2,344.81 m²	-655.19 m²

The total amount of impermeable area on site, post-development, equates to 655.19m<sup>2</sup> or 21.8% of the site area. Should any changes be made to the current proposal, the on-site stormwater mitigation design must be reviewed.



## District Plan Rules

The site is zoned Rural Living. The following rules apply under the FNDC District Plan:

8.7.5.1.5 – Permitted Activities – Stormwater Management - The maximum proportion or amount of the gross site area covered by buildings and other impermeable surfaces shall be 12.5% or 3,000m<sup>2</sup>, whichever is the lesser.

8.7.5.2.2 – **Controlled Activities – Stormwater Management** - The maximum proportion or amount of the gross site area covered by buildings and other Impermeable Surfaces shall be 20% or 3300m<sup>2</sup>, whichever is the lesser.

The total proposed impermeable area for the development exceeds 20% of the site area and does not comply with Permitted Activity Rule (8.7.5.1.5) nor Controlled Activity Rule (8.7.5.2.2). Therefore, the proposals are considered to be a <u>Discretionary Activity</u>. Additional considerations for stormwater management as outlined in the FNDC District Plan Section 11.3 are required. A District Plan Assessment has been included in Section 8 of this report.

## Design Requirements

The site is under the jurisdiction of the Far North District Council. The design has been completed in accordance with the recommendations and requirements contained within the Far North District Council Engineering Standards, the Far North District Council District Plan and Clause E1 of the New Zealand Building Code.

The total impermeable area in exceedance of Permitted Activity Rule 8.6.5.1.3 is **280.19m<sup>2</sup>**. Stormwater attenuation for the 10% AEP and 1% AEP storm events with an adjustment for climate change will therefore be provided for this excess impermeable area.

Provided that the recommendations within this report are adhered to, the effects of stormwater runoff resulting from the unattenuated proposed / existing impermeable surfaces (375m<sup>2</sup> total) are considered to have less than minor effects on the receiving environment, equivalent to conditions that would result from development proposals falling within the Permitted Activity coverage threshold.

## Stormwater Modelling Method

The attenuation calculations have been computed using HydroCAD modelling software. The model has been configured utilising the Rational Method (NZ Building Code E1). The rainfall intensity values for the 10% and 1% AEP storm events adjusted for climate change are as follows:

		Rainfall Intensity Values (RCP6.0 2081-2100)						
Time	10m	20m	30m	1h	2h	6h	12h	24h
10% AEP	119	86.4	71.6	51.5	36.3	19.6	12.7	7.90
1% AEP	179	130	108	78.0	55.2	30.1	19.5	12.2

The NIWA RCP6.0 rainfall data scenario for 2081-2100 has been used to account for climate change.





## 6. STORMWATER MITIGATION ASSESSMENT

To meet the requirements outlined in Section 5, the following must be provided:

## Potable Water Supply

It is recommended that rainwater tanks are utilised to provide the proposed dwelling with a potable water supply. The tank type is at the discretion of the client. A proprietary guttering system is required to collect roof runoff from the proposed dwelling. A first flush diverter and/or leaf filters may be installed in-line between the gutters and the tank inlet. The tank inlet level should be at least 600mm below the gutter inlet and any in-line filters. Any filters will require regular inspection and cleaning to ensure the effective operation of the system. The frequency of cleaning will depend on current and future plantings around the proposed dwelling. Provision should be made by the homeowner for top-up of the tanks via water tankers in periods of low rainfall.

All potable tanks must be constructed level and fitted with balancing pipes at the top and near the base of each tank to connect all potable water tanks to each other. Due to inadequate water quality concerns, runoff from hardstand areas should not be allowed to drain to the potable water tanks.

The upper section of the potable water tanks is to act as a detention volume to achieve stormwater neutrality for the proposed impermeable areas exceeding the Permitted Activity threshold. One of the tanks is to be fitted with a 100mmØ overflow outlet with flow attenuation outlets as specified below.

#### Potable Tanks Detention Volume

As per the attached design calculations, the design elements of the detention volume are as follows:

Proposed Tank	3 x 25,000 litre Rainwater Tanks
Tank dimensions	3600mm Ø (or greater) x 2600mm high (or greater)
Outlet orifice (10% AEP control)	<b>43mm diameter orifice</b> ; located <u>&gt;470mm below the</u> overflow outlet - 293mm water elevation - 9.0m <sup>3</sup> Storage
Outlet orifice (1% AEP control)	<ul> <li>25mm diameter orifice; located <u>300mm above the 10%</u></li> <li><u>AEP control orifice</u> <ul> <li>467mm water elevation</li> <li>14.3m<sup>3</sup> Storage</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Overflow Outlet	100mm diameter; located at the top of the tank

Refer to the appended calculation set, Site Plan (131811-C200) and Tank Detail (131811-C201) for clarification. Discharge from the potable water / detention tanks must be transported via sealed pipes to an outlet in the proposed upstream bubble up sump for runoff conveyance to the proposed swale. A minimum 1m length of riprap lining is to be installed in the proposed swale downstream of the outlet.

The tank must be installed in accordance with the tank suppliers' details and specifications. Levels are to be confirmed by the contractor on-site prior to construction. Adequate fall (minimum 1% grade) from the tank's outlet to the discharge point is required. If this is not achievable, WJL must be contacted for review of the design.



### Stormwater Mitigation – Hardstand

It is recommended to shape the proposed concrete and metal driveways to shed runoff to a **minimum** 200mm deep x 500mm wide grassed / rock-lined v-channel swale toward the eastern side of the proposed driveway. The proposed swale is to have a bubble up sump at its lowest point which is to discharge directly to the discharge point specified below. Refer to the appended Site Plan (131811-C200) and calculation set for clarification.

A minimum 1m length of 6-inch riprap lining is to be installed in the proposed swale downstream of the tank's outlet. The last 1m of the swale is to be lined with minimum 6-inch riprap for erosion protection before discharging to the proposed downstream bubble up sump. Refer to the appended Site Plan (131811-C200).

The silt trap and drainage piping should be in accordance with E1 Surface Water of the NZBC. The silt trap must have a minimum 300mm sump for debris settlement to serve as a pre-treatment device prior to discharging to the discharge point.

Runoff resulting from smaller hardstand areas are to be shaped to shed to an equal or greater sized area of lower-lying lawn / planted areas for passive mitigation. Runoff passed through lawn / planted areas will be naturally filtered of entrained pollutants via filtration and evapotranspiration.

#### Discharge Point

It is recommended that the proposed downstream bubble up sump be fitted with a 100mmØ outflow pipe draining to a kerb outlet along The Lakes Drive.

Permission from Council should be sought for any works outside the property boundary.

#### 7. STORMWATER RUNOFF SUMMARY

Refer to the appended HydroCAD Calculation output.

#### Pre-Development Scenario – 10% AEP & 1% AEP Storm Events + CCF

Surface	Area	Runoff C	10% AEP Peak Flow Rate	1% AEP Flow Peak Rate
Greenfields Impermeable Roof Area Exceeding Permitted Activity	280.19 m²	0.59	2.05ℓ/s	3.10ℓ/s
Threshold				

#### Post-Development Scenario – 10% AEP & 1% AEP Storm Events + CCF

Surface	Area	Runoff C	10% AEP Peak Flow Rate	1% AEP Flow Peak Rate
Post-Development Roof Area Exceeding Permitted Activity Threshold via Detention Tank	280.19 m²	0.96	2.01€/s	3.09€/s

Given the design parameters, stormwater neutrality has been achieved for the 10% AEP and 1% AEP storm events across the proposed impermeable surfaces over the permitted activity threshold.



## 8. DISTRICT PLAN ASSESSMENT

As the proposed development is not compliant with Permitted Activity Rule 8.7.5.1.5, nor Controlled Activity Rule 8.7.5.2.2, it is therefore regarded as a <u>Discretionary Activity</u>.

In assessing an application under this provision, the Council will exercise its discretion to review the following matters below, (a) through (m) of FNDCDP Section 11.3.

In respect of matters (a) through (m), we provide the following comments:

(a) the extent to which building site coverage and Impermeable Surfaces contribute to total catchment impermeability and the provisions of any catchment or drainage plan for that catchment;	Impermeable surfaces resulting from the development increase site impermeability. Through tank attenuation, runoff is to be attenuated to pre-development conditions for the proposed impermeable coverage exceeding the Permitted Activity threshold.
(b) the extent to which Low Impact Design principles have been used to reduce site impermeability;	The impermeable areas in exceedance of Permitted Activity Rule 8.7.5.1.5 have been attenuated back to pre-development flow rates for the 10% AEP and 1% AEP storm events, adjusted for climate change. Low impact design principles have been implemented via specifications for erosion protection at the system outfall.
(c) any cumulative effects on total catchment impermeability;	Impervious coverage will increase by 655.19m <sup>2</sup> .
<ul> <li>(d) the extent to which building site coverage and Impermeable Surfaces will alter the natural contour or drainage patterns of the site or disturb the ground and alter its ability to absorb water;</li> <li>(e) the physical qualities of the soil type;</li> <li>(f) any adverse effects on the life supporting capacity of soils;</li> </ul>	Runoff from the proposed roof areas is to be collected and directed to the discharge point via sealed pipes. Ponding is not anticipated to occur provided the recommendations within this report are adhered to, mitigating interference with natural water absorption. Kerikeri Volcanic Group. Moderate drainage. Stormwater runoff from the proposed impermeable roof areas is to be collected and directed to stormwater management devices via sealed pipes, runoff from the proposed driveway to be collected in grassed channel mitigating the potential for contamination of surrounding soils and harm to life supporting capacity of soils.
<ul> <li>(g) the availability of land for the disposal of effluent and stormwater on the site without adverse effects on the water quantity and water quality of water bodies (including groundwater and aquifers) or on adjacent sites;</li> <li>(b) the extent to which payed impermechance)</li> </ul>	Runoff resulting from the proposed roof areas and hardstand area is to be collected and directed to the discharge point via grassed channel & sealed pipes, mitigating the potential for runoff to pass over / saturate surrounding soils. The site is large enough for on-site stormwater and effluent disposal (i.e setbacks between water sources and effluent disposal comply with Table 9 of the PRPN).
Surfaces are necessary for the proposed activity;	with access and is not considered excessive.



(i) the extent to which land scaping and vegetation may reduce adverse effects of run-off;	Existing vegetation and any plantings introduced by the homeowner during occupancy will aid in reducing surface water velocity and providing treatment. No specific landscaping scheme is proposed as part of the stormwater management system described herein.
(j) any recognised standards promulgated by	Not applicable.
industry groups;	
k) the means and effectiveness of mitigating	The impermeable areas in exceedance of Permitted
stormwater runoff to that expected by permitted	Activity Rule 8.7.5.1.5 have been attenuated back to
activity threshold;	pre-development flow rates for the 10% AEP and 1%
	AEP storm event, adjusted for climate change.
(I) the extent to which the proposal has	NIWA RCP6.0 rainfall data scenario for 2081-2100 has
considered and provided for climate change;	been used for the post-development flow scenario.
(m) the extent to which stormwater detention	The impermeable areas in exceedance of Permitted
ponds and other engineering solutions are used	Activity Rule 8.7.5.1.5 have been attenuated back to
to mitigate any adverse effects.	pre-development flow rates for the 10% AEP and 1%
	AEP storm event, adjusted for climate change.

### 9. <u>NOTES</u>

If any of the design specifications mentioned in the previous sections are altered or found to be different than what is described in this report, Wilton Joubert Ltd will be required to review this report. Indicative system details have been provided in the appendices of this report (131811-C200 & 131811-C201).

Care should be taken when constructing the discharge point to avoid any siphon or backflow effect within the stormwater system.

Subsequent to construction, a programme of regular inspection / maintenance of the system should be initiated by the Owner to ensure the continuance of effective function, and if necessary, the instigation of any maintenance required.

Wilton Joubert Ltd recommends that all contractors keep a photographic record of their work.



#### 10. LIMITATIONS

The recommendations and opinions contained in this report are based on information received and available from the client at the time of report writing.

This assignment only considers the primary stormwater system. The secondary stormwater system, Overland Flow Paths (OLFP), vehicular access and the consideration of road/street water flooding is all assumed to be undertaken by a third party.

All drainage design is up to the connection point for each building face of any new structures/slabs; no internal building plumbing or layouts have been undertaken.

During construction, an engineer competent to judge whether the conditions are compatible with the assumptions made in this report should examine the site. In all circumstances, if variations occur which differ from that described or that are assumed to exist, then the matter should be referred to a suitably qualified and experienced engineer.

The performance behaviour outlined by this report is dependent on the construction activity and actions of the builder/contractor. Inappropriate actions during the construction phase may cause behaviour outside the limits given in this report.

This report has been prepared for the particular project described to us and no responsibility is accepted for the use of any part of this report in any other context or for any other purpose.

Wilton Joubert Ltd.

Gustavo Brant Civil Engineer BE(Hons)

#### **REPORT ATTACHMENTS**

- Site Plan C200 (1 sheet)
- Tank Detail C201 (1 sheet)
- Calculation Set





LENGTH OF	SWALE TO BE			
			PIPE IL 62.10m DRAINAGE LI UP SUM POINT.	NE FROM BUBBLE IP TO DISCHARGE 100Ø uPVC @ >1%
	ANNEL IL 62.30m		- sw	
CHANN	EL SIDES 62.50m			
	OVERFLOW AT 62	2.10m 2.30m		
	PROPOSED DIS KERB OUTLET PIPE IL 62.09m. COUNCIL PERM WORKS OUTSI BOUNDARY	SCHARGE POINT T ON THE LAKES DR MISSION REQUIRED DE PROPERTY	O IVE. D FOR	

#### NOTES:

1. SITE PLAN IS ONLY INDICATIVE FOR CONCEPT DESIGN. NO

- MEASUREMENTS MAY BE TAKEN FROM DRAWING.
- 2. BACKGROUND INFORMATION, CONTOURS & LOCAL SERVICES
- PROVIDED BY THE CLIENT & EXTRACTED FROM LOCAL COUNCIL GIS. 3. ALL DIMENSION AND LEVELS TO BE CHECKED ON SITE PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION. ANY DISCREPANCIES TO BE

REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER.
4. ALL WORK TO BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RELEVANT STANDARDS AND MUST BE UNDERTAKEN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE HEALTH AND SAFETY AT WORK ACT 2015.

- IMPERVIOUS SURFACES FOR MITIGATION: SITE AREA = 3,000m<sup>2</sup> ROOF COVER = 326.71m<sup>2</sup>
  - TOTAL UNCOVERED HARDSTAND = 328.48m<sup>2</sup>

ROJECT TITLE:

LOT 2 DP 544271 7 THE LAKES DRIVE KERIKERI NORTHLAND

ORIGINAL DRAWING SIZE:	OFFICE:				
A3	ORE	NA			
DRAWING SCALE:	CO-ORDINATE SYSTE	M:			
1:250	NOT COOR	DINATED			
DRAWING NUMBER:	ISSUE:				
131811-C200 B					
COPYRIGHT - WILTON JOUBERT LIMITED					

- PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION.
- TANK TO BE INSTALLED AS PER MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS & RELEVANT COUNCIL STANDARDS.
- THE EFFECTIVE OPERATION OF THE SYSTEM.
- STEEL OR NYLON MESH.
- ASSUMED USE OF A 3 x 25,000 LITRE PROMAX WATER TANKS OR SIMILARLY APPROVED.

COPYRIGHT - WILTON JOUBERT LIMITED



					ISSUE / REVISION	DESIGNED BY:	SERVICES NOTE	DRAWING TITLE:	PR
	WILTON	No.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION	GB	WHERE EXISTING SERVICES ARE SHOWN, THEY ARE INDICATIVE ONLY AND MAY NOT INCLUDE ALL SITE SERVICES. WILTON JOUBERT LTD DOES NOT	TANK DETAIL	
	WILIUN	Α	FEB '24	GB	STORMWATER MITIGATION REPORT	DRAWN BY:	WARRANT THAT ALL, OR INDEED ANY SERVICES ARE SHOWN. IT IS THE CONTRACTORS RESPONSIBILITY TO LOCATE AND PROTECT ALL EXISTING		
	IOURERT	В	MAR '24	GB	STORMWATER MITIGATION REPORT REV B	GB	SERVICES PRIOR TO AND FOR THE DURATION OF THE CONTRACT WORKS.		
	JOODLILL					CHECKED BY:		PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	1
						BGS			
	Consulting Engineers						BUILDING CONSENT	STORMWATER MITIGATION REPORT	
Northland: 09 945 4 Christchurch: 021 824	188 Auckland: 09 527 0196 4 063 Wanaka: 03 443 6209					SORVETED BT.			
www	.wiltonjoubert.co.nz					N/A	DESIGN / DRAWING SUBJECT TO ENGINEER'S APPROVAL		



Time span=0.00-3.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 301 points Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 xTc Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: Pre-DevelopmentRunoff Area=280.2 m²0.00% ImperviousRunoff Depth=35 mmTc=10.0 minC=0.59Runoff=2.05 L/s9.8 m³

Link 3L: Pre-Development

Inflow=2.05 L/s 9.8 m<sup>3</sup> Primary=2.05 L/s 9.8 m<sup>3</sup>

## Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Pre-Development Impermeable Area Exceeding 12.5%

	Runoff	=	2.05 L/s @	0.17 hrs, Volume=	9.8 m³, Depth=	35 mm
--	--------	---	------------	-------------------	----------------	-------

Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 xTc, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs 7 The Lakes Drive 10-Year + CCF Duration=80 min, Inten=44.5 mm/hr

Ar	rea (m²)	CI	Description		
	280.2	0.59	Grass, shor	t	
	280.2		100.00% Pe	ervious Area	a
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(meters)	(m/m)	(m/sec)	(m³/s)	
10.0					Direct Entry,

## Subcatchment 1S: Pre-Development Impermeable Area Exceeding 12.5%



## Summary for Link 3L: Pre-Development

Inflow Are	ea =	280.2 m	n², 0.00%	Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	35 mm	for 10-Year + CCF event
Inflow	=	2.05 L/s @	0.17 hrs,	Volume=	9.8 m³		
Primary	=	2.05 L/s @	0.17 hrs,	Volume=	9.8 m³,	Atten= 0%	,Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



## Link 3L: Pre-Development

Time span=0.00-3.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 301 points Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 xTc Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: Pre-DevelopmentRunoff Area=280.2 m²0.00% ImperviousRunoff Depth=53 mmTc=10.0 minC=0.59Runoff=3.10 L/s14.9 m³

Link 3L: Pre-Development

Inflow=3.10 L/s 14.9 m<sup>3</sup> Primary=3.10 L/s 14.9 m<sup>3</sup>

## Summary for Subcatchment 1S: Pre-Development Impermeable Area Exceeding 12.5%

Runoff	=	3.10 L/s @	0.17 hrs. Volume=	14.9 m³. Depth=	53 mm
i tanon		0.10 0.0			00 11111

Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 xTc, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs 7 The Lakes Drive 100-Year + CCF Duration=80 min, Inten=67.6 mm/hr

Ar	rea (m²)	CI	Description		
	280.2	0.59	Grass, shor	t	
	280.2		100.00% Pe	ervious Area	а
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(meters)	(m/m)	(m/sec)	(m³/s)	
10.0					Direct Entry,

## Subcatchment 1S: Pre-Development Impermeable Area Exceeding 12.5%



## Summary for Link 3L: Pre-Development

Inflow Ar	ea =	280.2 m	n <sup>2</sup> , 0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	53 mm	for 100-Year + CCF event
Inflow	=	3.10 L/s @	0.17 hrs, Volume=	14.9 m³		
Primary	=	3.10 L/s @	0.17 hrs, Volume=	14.9 m³,	Atten= 0%	,Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



## Link 3L: Pre-Development


Time span=0.00-3.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 301 points Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 xTc Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 10S: Post-Development Runoff Area=280.2 m<sup>2</sup> 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=57 mm Tc=10.0 min C=0.96 Runoff=3.33 L/s 16.0 m<sup>3</sup>

Pond 16P: 3 x 25,500L Rainwater Tank Peak Elev=0.293 m Storage=9.0 m<sup>3</sup> Inflow=3.33 L/s 16.0 m<sup>3</sup> Outflow=2.01 L/s 14.5 m<sup>3</sup>

Link 16L: Post-development

Inflow=2.01 L/s  $14.5 \text{ m}^3$ Primary=2.01 L/s  $14.5 \text{ m}^3$ 

131811	7 The Lakes Drive 10-Year + CCF Duration=80 min,	Inten=44.5 mm/hr
Prepared by Wilton	Joubert Limited	Printed 9/02/2024
HydroCAD® 10.00-26	s/n 10413 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 3

# Summary for Subcatchment 10S: Post-Development Impermeable Roof Area Exceeding 12.5%

Runoff	=	3.33 L/s @	0.17 hrs, Volume=	16.0 m³, Depth=	57 mm
1 (dirion				reie in , Depui	<b>0</b> 7 11111

Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 xTc, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs 7 The Lakes Drive 10-Year + CCF Duration=80 min, Inten=44.5 mm/hr

Ar	ea (m²)	CI	Description		
	280.2	0.96 l	Roof		
	280.2		100.00% Im	pervious A	rea
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(meters)	(m/m)	(m/sec)	(m³/s)	
10.0					Direct Entry,

# Subcatchment 10S: Post-Development Impermeable Roof Area Exceeding 12.5%



# Summary for Pond 16P: 3 x 25,500L Rainwater Tank

Inflow Area	a =	280.2 m	²,100.00%	Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	57 mm	for	10-Year + CCF event
Inflow	=	3.33 L/s @	0.17 hrs,	Volume=	16.0 m <sup>3</sup>			
Outflow	=	2.01 L/s @	1.40 hrs,	Volume=	14.5 m³,	Atten= 409	%, L	.ag= 73.8 min
Primary	=	2.01 L/s @	1.40 hrs,	Volume=	14.5 m³			

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 0.293 m @ 1.40 hrs Surf.Area= 30.5 m<sup>2</sup> Storage= 9.0 m<sup>3</sup>

Plug-Flow detention time= 49.6 min calculated for 14.4 m<sup>3</sup> (90% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 45.9 min ( 90.9 - 45.0 )

Volume	Invert	Avail.Sto	orage Storage Description	
#1	0.000 m	79.	9.4 m <sup>3</sup> 3.60 mD x 2.60 mH Vertical Cone/Cylinder × 3	_
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	_
#1	Primary	0.000 m	43 mm Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600	
#2	Primary	0.300 m	25 mm Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600	
Primary	<b>OutFlow</b> Max ifice/Grate (O	k=2.01 L/s @ prifice Contro	@ 1.40 hrs  HW=0.293 m   (Free Discharge) rols 2.01 L/s @ 1.39 m/s)	

-2=Orifice/Grate (Controls 0.00 L/s)





# Summary for Link 16L: Post-development

Inflow A	rea =	280.2 m	n²,100.00%	Impervious,	Inflow Depth >	52 mm	for 10-Year + CCF event
Inflow	=	2.01 L/s @	1.40 hrs,	Volume=	14.5 m³		
Primary	=	2.01 L/s @	1.40 hrs,	Volume=	14.5 m³,	Atten= 0%	,Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



# Link 16L: Post-development

Time span=0.00-3.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 301 points Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 xTc Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 10S: Post-Development Runoff Area=280.2 m<sup>2</sup> 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=86 mm Tc=10.0 min C=0.96 Runoff=5.05 L/s 24.2 m<sup>3</sup>

Pond 16P: 3 x 25,500L Rainwater Tank Peak Elev=0.467 m Storage=14.3 m<sup>3</sup> Inflow=5.05 L/s 24.2 m<sup>3</sup> Outflow=3.09 L/s 20.9 m<sup>3</sup>

Link 16L: Post-development

Inflow=3.09 L/s 20.9 m<sup>3</sup> Primary=3.09 L/s 20.9 m<sup>3</sup>

131811	7 The Lakes Drive 100-Year + CCF Duration=80 min,	Inten=67.6 mm/hr
Prepared by Wilton	Joubert Limited	Printed 9/02/2024
HydroCAD® 10.00-26	s/n 10413 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 7

# Summary for Subcatchment 10S: Post-Development Impermeable Roof Area Exceeding 12.5%

Runoff = 5.05 L/s @ 0.17 hrs, Volume= 24.2 m <sup>3</sup> , Depth=	86 mm
--	-------

Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 xTc, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs 7 The Lakes Drive 100-Year + CCF Duration=80 min, Inten=67.6 mm/hr

Ar	rea (m²)	CI	Description		
	280.2	0.96	Roof		
	280.2		100.00% Im	pervious A	rea
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(meters)	(m/m)	(m/sec)	(m³/s)	
10.0					Direct Entry,

# Subcatchment 10S: Post-Development Impermeable Roof Area Exceeding 12.5%



# Summary for Pond 16P: 3 x 25,500L Rainwater Tank

Inflow Are	a =	280.2 m	<sup>2</sup> ,100.00% Impervious	s, Inflow Depth =	86 mm	for	100-Year + CCF event
Inflow	=	5.05 L/s @	0.17 hrs, Volume=	24.2 m <sup>3</sup>			
Outflow	=	3.09 L/s @	1.40 hrs, Volume=	20.9 m³,	Atten= 39	%, I	Lag= 73.7 min
Primary	=	3.09 L/s @	1.40 hrs, Volume=	20.9 m³			

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 0.467 m @ 1.40 hrs Surf.Area= 30.5 m<sup>2</sup> Storage= 14.3 m<sup>3</sup>

Plug-Flow detention time= 52.6 min calculated for 20.8 m<sup>3</sup> (86% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 47.2 min (92.2 - 45.0)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Sto	rage	Storage Description
#1	0.000 m	79.	4 m³	3.60 mD x 2.60 mH Vertical Cone/Cylinder × 3
Device	Routing	Invert	Outle	t Devices
#1	Primary	0.000 m	43 m	m Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
#2	Primary	0.300 m	25 m	m Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
Primary	<b>OutFlow</b> Max ifice/Grate (C	x=3.09 L/s @ Drifice Contro	) 1.40	hrs_HW=0.467 m_(Free Discharge) 8 L/s @ 1.77 m/s)

**2=Orifice/Grate** (Orifice Controls 0.51 L/s @ 1.04 m/s)





# Summary for Link 16L: Post-development

Inflow Ar	rea =	280.2 m	n <sup>2</sup> ,100.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth >	74 mm	for 100-Year + CCF event
Inflow	=	3.09 L/s @	1.40 hrs, Volume=	20.9 m <sup>3</sup>		
Primary	=	3.09 L/s @	1.40 hrs, Volume=	20.9 m³,	Atten= 0%	,Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



# Link 16L: Post-development



<b>131811-B</b> 7 The LakesPrepared by Wilton Joubert LimitedHydroCAD® 10.00-26 s/n 10413 © 2020 Hydrometer	Drive 100-Year + CCF Duration=80 min, Inten=67.6 mm/hr Printed 6/03/2024 droCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 2
Time span=0. Runoff by Rat Reach routing by Sim-Rou	00-3.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 301 points ional method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 xTc te method - Pond routing by Sim-Route method
Subcatchment 19S: Proposed Roof Area	Runoff Area=326.7 m <sup>2</sup> 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=86 mm Tc=10.0 min C=0.96 Runoff=5.89 L/s 28.3 m <sup>3</sup>
Subcatchment 20S: Proposed Concrete	Runoff Area=82.2 m² 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=86 mm Tc=10.0 min C=0.96 Runoff=1.48 L/s 7.1 m³
Subcatchment 21S: Proposed Gravel	Runoff Area=82.2 m² 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=72 mm Tc=10.0 min C=0.80 Runoff=1.23 L/s 5.9 m³
Reach 32R: Grassed Swale Avenue n=0.030 L=65.00	vg. Flow Depth=0.14 m Max Vel=0.26 m/s Inflow=6.12 L/s 36.8 m³ ) m S=0.0031 m/m Capacity=16.04 L/s Outflow=6.05 L/s 36.3 m³
Pond 29P: 3 x 25,500L Rainwater Tank	Peak Elev=64.056 m Storage=17.0 m³ Inflow=5.89 L/s 28.3 m³ Outflow=3.46 L/s 23.9 m³
Pond 30P: 100mm PIPE (Charged)	Peak Elev=62.773 m Inflow=3.46 L/s 23.8 m <sup>3</sup> Outflow=3.46 L/s 23.8 m <sup>3</sup>
Pond 31P: Bubble-Up Chamber	Peak Elev=62.666 m Inflow=3.46 L/s 23.8 m <sup>3</sup> Outflow=3.46 L/s 23.8 m <sup>3</sup>
Pond 33P: Bubble-Up Chamber 90 mm Round Cu	Peak Elev=62.292 m Inflow=6.05 L/s 36.2 m³ Ilvert n=0.011 L=5.00 m S=0.0080 m/m Outflow=6.05 L/s 36.2 m³
Link 34L: KERB DISCHARGE	Inflow=6.05 L/s 36.2 m³ Primary=6.05 L/s 36.2 m³

# Summary for Subcatchment 19S: Proposed Roof Area

Runoff = 5.89 L/s @ 0.17 hrs, Volume= 28.3 m<sup>3</sup>, Depth= 86 mm

Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 xTc, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs 7 The Lakes Drive 100-Year + CCF Duration=80 min, Inten=67.6 mm/hr

Area (m²)	C D	escription		
326.7	0.96 R	oof		
326.7	1	00.00% Im	pervious A	rea
Tc Length	Slope (m/m)	Velocity (m/sec)	Capacity	Description
10.0	(110/11)	(11/000)	(1170)	Direct Entry,

# Subcatchment 19S: Proposed Roof Area



# Summary for Subcatchment 20S: Proposed Concrete Driveway Area

Runoff = 1.48 L/s @ 0.17 hrs, Volume= 7.1 m<sup>3</sup>, Depth= 86 mm

Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 xTc, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs 7 The Lakes Drive 100-Year + CCF Duration=80 min, Inten=67.6 mm/hr

Ar	ea (m²)	С	Description		
	82.2	0.96	Concrete		
	82.2 100.00% Impervious Area				Area
Tc (min)	Length (meters)	Slope (m/m	Velocity (m/sec)	Capacity (m³/s)	Description
10.0					Direct Entry,

# Subcatchment 20S: Proposed Concrete Driveway Area



## Summary for Subcatchment 21S: Proposed Gravel Driveway Area

Runoff = 1.23 L/s @ 0.17 hrs, Volume= 5.9 m<sup>3</sup>, Depth= 72 mm

Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 xTc, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs 7 The Lakes Drive 100-Year + CCF Duration=80 min, Inten=67.6 mm/hr

Ar	rea (m²)	C	Description		
	82.2	0.80	Gravel		
	82.2	1	00.00% Pe	ervious Area	ea
Tc (min)	Length (meters)	Slope (m/m)	Velocity (m/sec)	Capacity (m³/s)	Description
10.0			\$ F		Direct Entry,

# Subcatchment 21S: Proposed Gravel Driveway Area



131811-B7 The Lakes Drive 100-Year + CCF Duration=80 min,Inten=67.6 mm/hrPrepared by Wilton Joubert LimitedPrinted 6/03/2024HydroCAD® 10.00-26 s/n 10413 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPage 6

# Summary for Reach 32R: Grassed Swale

Inflow Area =491.1 m², 83.26% Impervious, Inflow Depth >75 mmfor 100-Year + CCF eventInflow =6.12 L/s @1.33 hrs, Volume = $36.8 m^3$ Outflow =6.05 L/s @1.34 hrs, Volume = $36.3 m^3, Atten = 1\%, Lag = 0.9 min$ 

Routing by Sim-Route method, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Max. Velocity= 0.26 m/s, Min. Travel Time= 4.1 min Avg. Velocity = 0.22 m/s, Avg. Travel Time= 4.9 min

Peak Storage= 1.5 m<sup>3</sup> @ 1.34 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.14 m Bank-Full Depth= 0.20 m Flow Area= 0.05 m<sup>2</sup>, Capacity= 16.04 L/s

0.00 m x 0.20 m deep channel, n= 0.030 Earth, grassed & winding Side Slope Z-value= 1.2 m/m Top Width= 0.48 m Length= 65.00 m Slope= 0.0031 m/m Inlet Invert= 62.500 m, Outlet Invert= 62.300 m



Reach 32R: Grassed Swale



# Summary for Pond 29P: 3 x 25,500L Rainwater Tank

Inflow Are	a =	326.7 m	<sup>2</sup> ,100.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	86 mm	for	100-Year + CCF ever	nt
Inflow	=	5.89 L/s @	0.17 hrs, Volume=	28.3 m <sup>3</sup>				
Outflow	=	3.46 L/s @	1.41 hrs, Volume=	23.9 m³,	Atten= 41	%, L	ag= 74.2 min	
Primary	=	3.46 L/s @	1.41 hrs, Volume=	23.9 m³				

Routing by Sim-Route method, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 64.056 m @ 1.41 hrs Surf.Area= 30.5 m<sup>2</sup> Storage= 17.0 m<sup>3</sup>

Plug-Flow detention time= 54.0 min calculated for 23.9 m<sup>3</sup> (84% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 47.7 min (92.7 - 45.0)

Invert	Avail.Sto	rage	Storage Description	
63.500 m	79.	4 m³	3.60 mD x 2.60 mH V	ertical Cone/Cylinder x 3
Routing	Invert	Outle	et Devices	
Primary	63.500 m	43 m	m Vert. Orifice/Grate	C= 0.600
Primary	63.800 m	25 m	m Vert. Orifice/Grate	C= 0.600
	Invert 63.500 m Routing Primary Primary	InvertAvail.Sto63.500 m79.RoutingInvertPrimary63.500 mPrimary63.800 m	InvertAvail.Storage63.500 m79.4 m³RoutingInvertPrimary63.500 mPrimary63.800 m25 m	InvertAvail.StorageStorage Description63.500 m79.4 m³ <b>3.60 mD x 2.60 mH V</b> RoutingInvertOutlet DevicesPrimary63.500 m <b>43 mm Vert. Orifice/Grate</b> Primary63.800 m <b>25 mm Vert. Orifice/Grate</b>

Primary OutFlow Max=3.46 L/s @ 1.41 hrs HW=64.056 m TW=62.772 m (Dynamic Tailwater) -1=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 2.82 L/s @ 1.94 m/s) -2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.64 L/s @ 1.31 m/s)

# Pond 29P: 3 x 25,500L Rainwater Tank



# Summary for Pond 30P: 100mm PIPE (Charged)

Inflow Area	a =	326.7 m	<sup>2</sup> ,100.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth >	73 mm	for 100-Year + CCF event
Inflow	=	3.46 L/s @	1.41 hrs, Volume=	23.8 m <sup>3</sup>		
Outflow	=	3.46 L/s @	1.42 hrs, Volume=	23.8 m³,	Atten= 0%	o, Lag= 0.6 min
Primary	=	3.46 L/s @	1.42 hrs, Volume=	23.8 m³		

Routing by Sim-Route method, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 62.773 m @ 1.38 hrs

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	62.200 m	<b>Tube/Siphon/Float Valve</b> 100.00 mm Diameter, C= 0.600 30.00 m Long Tube, Hazen-Williams C= 130 Inlet / Outlet Elev. = 62.200 m / 61.900 m

Primary OutFlow Max=3.48 L/s @ 1.42 hrs HW=62.771 m TW=62.662 m (Dynamic Tailwater) -1=Tube/Siphon/Float Valve (Tube Controls 3.48 L/s @ 0.44 m/s)

# Pydrograph

# Pond 30P: 100mm PIPE (Charged)

# Summary for Pond 31P: Bubble-Up Chamber

Inflow Area	a =	326.7 m	<sup>2</sup> ,100.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth >	73 mm	for 100-Year + CCF event
Inflow	=	3.46 L/s @	1.42 hrs, Volume=	23.8 m <sup>3</sup>		
Outflow	=	3.46 L/s @	1.43 hrs, Volume=	23.8 m³,	Atten= 0%	,Lag= 0.6 min
Primary	=	3.46 L/s @	1.43 hrs, Volume=	23.8 m³		-

Routing by Sim-Route method, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 62.666 m @ 1.36 hrs

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices		
#1	Primary	62.500 m	100 mm Vert. Orifice/Grate	C= 0.600	

**Primary OutFlow** Max=3.54 L/s @ 1.43 hrs HW=62.661 m TW=62.633 m (Dynamic Tailwater) **1=Orifice/Grate** (Orifice Controls 3.54 L/s @ 0.45 m/s)

#### Pond 31P: Bubble-Up Chamber



# Summary for Pond 33P: Bubble-Up Chamber

Inflow Are	a =	491.1 m	<sup>2</sup> , 83.26% Impervious,	Inflow Depth >	74 mm	for 100-Year + CCF event
Inflow	=	6.05 L/s @	1.34 hrs, Volume=	36.2 m <sup>3</sup>		
Outflow	=	6.05 L/s @	1.35 hrs, Volume=	36.2 m³,	Atten= 0%	,Lag= 0.6 min
Primary	=	6.05 L/s @	1.35 hrs, Volume=	36.2 m³		

Routing by Sim-Route method, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 62.292 m @ 1.35 hrs

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	
#1	Primary	62.100 m	90 mm Round Culvert	
			L= 5.00 m Box, headwall w/3 rounded edges, Ke= $0.200$	Cc- 0 000
			n= 0.011, Flow Area= 0.006 m <sup>2</sup>	CC- 0.900

Primary OutFlow Max=6.05 L/s @ 1.35 hrs HW=62.292 m TW=0.000 m (Dynamic Tailwater) -1=Culvert (Barrel Controls 6.05 L/s @ 0.95 m/s)



# Pond 33P: Bubble-Up Chamber

# Summary for Link 34L: KERB DISCHARGE

Inflow Are	a =	491.1 m	<sup>2</sup> , 83.26% Impervious,	Inflow Depth >	74 mm	for 100-Year + CCF event
Inflow	=	6.05 L/s @	1.35 hrs, Volume=	36.2 m <sup>3</sup>		
Primary	=	6.05 L/s @	1.36 hrs, Volume=	36.2 m³,	Atten= 0%	,Lag= 0.6 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



# Link 34L: KERB DISCHARGE



Kerikeri Service Centre 11 1 FEB 2021

10 February 2021

Our Reference: 9452.223

Development Consents Department Far North District Council Level 2 John Butler Centre **KERIKERI** 

To whom it may concern,

#### RE: OKOKIWI DOWNS LTD & PARVUS HOLDINGS, 190 WAIPAPA ROAD, KERIKERI RC 219673-RMAVAR/A

We have lodged a 223 application with Council online for a 223 certificate. We have made an electronic payment of \$256.00, using the RC number as reference.

Please find attached the survey plan.

All conditions have been complied with.

- For condition 3(a), please find a copy of 16607 Subdivision Suitability Report V2 2019-09-17 enclosed,
- For condition 3(b), please find copies of the Top Energy draft plan and engineering drawings enclosed,
- For condition 3(d), please find a copy of email correspondence for details covering (i), (ii) and (iii),
- For condition 3(d)(iv), please find a copy of the Works Access Permit, Conditions, and unattended site shoulder closure plan enclosed,
- For condition 3(e), please find a copy of the preferred road names enclosed.

Should you require any further information, please don't hesitate to contact the office.

Kind regards,

Jan Henry Reception Thomson Survey Ltd

315 Kerikeri Road, Kerikeri P.O. Box 372, Kerikeri 0245, New Zealand. Email: Kerikeri@tsurvey.co.nz denis@tsurvey.co.nz, sam@tsurvey.co.nz Telephone: **09 4077360** Facsimile: **09 4077322** After Hours: Director: Denis Thomson 09 4071372 After Hours: Office Manager: Sam Lee 021 1370060

Background picture represents a New Zealand surveying trig station, used to beacon control survey marks



12



# **Title Plan - LT 544271**

Survey Number Surveyor Reference Surveyor Survey Firm Surveyor Declaration	LT 544271 9452 Okokiwi Downs and Denis McGregor Thomso Thomson Survey Limited	i Parvis Holdings n			
Survey Details					
Dataset Description	Lots 1 to13 Being a Subd	ivision of Lot 1 DP 408584 and Lot	4 DP 513347		
Status	Initiated				
Land District	North Auckland	Survey Class	Class B		
Submitted Date		Survey Approval	Date		
Submitted Dut		Deposit Date	Dute		
Territorial Authoritie	Ś				
rai North District					
Created Parcels					
Parcels		Parcel Intent	Area	<b>RT</b> Reference	
Lot 12 Deposited Plan	544271	Fee Simple Title	0.6252 Ha	921492	
Lot 11 Deposited Plan	544271	Fee Simple Title	0.6400 Ha	921491	
Lot 1 Deposited Plan :	544271	Fee Simple Title	0.3000 Ha	921482	
Lot 2 Deposited Plan	544271	Fee Simple Title	0.3000 Ha	921483	
Lot 10 Deposited Plan	544271	Fee Simple Title	0.3003 Ha	921491	
Lot 3 Deposited Plan 5	544271	Fee Simple Title	0.3137 Ha	921484	
Lot 4 Deposited Plan	544271	Fee Simple Title	0.3045 Ha	921485	
Lot 5 Deposited Plan 5	544271	Fee Simple Title	0.3002 Ha	921486	
Lot 8 Deposited Plan 544271		Fee Simple Title	0.3001 Ha	921489	
Lot 9 Deposited Plan 544271		Fee Simple Title	0.3149 Ha	921490	
Area G Deposited Plan 544271		Easement			
Area H Deposited Plan	1 544271	Easement			

LT 544271 - Title Plan

Easement Easement Easement Fee Simple Title

Easement Easement Easement Fee Simple Title

Fee Simple Title

Page 1 of 5

0.3000 Ha

0.3201 Ha

44.9630 Ha

49.2820 Ha

921487

921488

921493

# Schedule / Memorandum

.



#### Plan Number

DP 544271

Memorandum of Easements in Gross				
Purpose	Shown	Servient Tenement (Burdened land)	Grantee	
Right to drain stormwater.	G	Lot 3 hereon	Far North District Council	
Right to drain stormwater.	н	Lot 4 hereon	Far North District Council	
Right to drain stormwater.	1	Lot 5 hereon	Far North District Council	
Right to drain stormwater.	J	Lot 6 hereon	Far North District Council	
Right to drain stormwater.	К	Lot 7 hereon	Far North District Council	

Schedule of Existing Easements				
Purpose	Shown	Servient Tenement (Burdened land)	Created by	
Right of Way (Landscaping and maintenance)	E	Lot 13 Hereon	EI 11228503.1	
Right to drain water	C & D	Lot 13 Hereon	El 10912116.4	
Right of way (Pedestrian)	F	Lot 13 Hereon	EI 11228503.2	

Thomson Survey Ltd 315 Kerikeri Road, Kerikeri P.O. Box 372, Kerikeri 0245, New Zealand. Email: Kerikeri@tsurvey.co.nz

Telephone: 09 4077360





\_

.





# SUBDIVISION SUITABILITY REPORT

190 Waipapa Road Kerikeri

**RS Eng Ltd** • 2 Seaview Road, Whangarei 0110 • **09 438 3273** • **office@RSEng.co.nz** Consulting Engineers

₹.



# SUBDIVISION SUITABILITY REPORT

# 190 Waipapa Road

# Kerikeri

Report prepared for:	Okokiwi Down Parvus Holdings
Report prepared by:	Matthew Jacobson
Report reviewed by:	Steve Turner
Report reference:	16607
Date:	11 September 2019



Issue	Details	Date
1	RC Issue	28 May 2019
2	Update Scheme	11 September 2019



4

ŝ,

# Contents

54

4

1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Site Description	1
3.0	Desk Study	2
3.1	Geology	2
3.2	Historic Aerial Photography	2
3.3	Northland Regional Council Flood Modelling	2
4.0	Field Investigation	3
5.0	Subsurface Conditions	3
6.0	Residential Building Suitability Assessment	3
6.1	Ground Settlement	3
6.2	Expansive Soils	4
6.3	Earthworks	4
6.4	Foundations	4
7.0	Flood Susceptibility Assessment	5
7.1	Modelling and Design	6
7.2	Safety and Erosion	8
7.3	Flood Control Consent	8
8.0	Stormwater Attenuation	9
8.1	Generic Stormwater Attenuation Design	9
9.0	Servicing	11
9.1	On-site Wastewater Disposal	11
9.2	Stormwater Disposal	11
9.3	Water Supply / Fire-fighting	12
9.4	Access	12
10.0	Earthworks Consent	12
11.0	Conclusions	13
12.0	Limitations	14

# Appendices

А	Scheme Plan
В	Drawings
С	Field Investigations

D Northland Regional Council Flood Model Information

E Generic Stormwater Attenuation Design and Details



File: 16607 11 September 2019 Issue: 1

# SUBDIVISION SUITABILITY REPORT

190 Waipapa Road, Kerikeri

## 1.0 Introduction

RS Eng Ltd has been engaged by Okokiwi Downs Parvus Holdings to investigate the suitability of their property (Lot 1 DP 408584) for residential subdivision. The client proposes to subdivide the property into 11 allotments. The client now proposed a variation to that consent still creating 11 allotments. A scheme plan is included in Appendix A.

This report has been prepared to support a variation application to the Far North District Council. Resource consent from the Northland Regional Council has been obtained.

## 2.0 Site Description

This property is located on the northern side of Waipapa Road, 80m west of its intersection with Rainbow Falls Road. The property slopes gently towards the north and east and is currently in pasture. An open drain bisects part of the property, discharging towards the east.



Figure 1: Site Photograph



## 3.0 Desk Study

## 3.1 Geology

The GNS 1:250,000 scale New Zealand Geology Web Map shows that the property is located within an area underlain by Kerikeri Volcanics, which is described as follows: *"Basalt lava, volcanic plugs and minor tuff."* 

## 3.2 Historic Aerial Photography

A review of aerial photography from 1953 through to present has identified the following;

- The existing drainage in the centre of the property which falls towards the east appears to have been constructed to aid landuse.
- The property has not been subject to extensive earthworks / modification in the past.



Figure 2: 1953 Aerial Image. (Source: www.retrolens.nz)

#### 3.3 Northland Regional Council Flood Modelling

The Northland Regional Council (NRC) has undertaken extensive modelling as part of the Priority Rivers Project to assess the flood susceptibility of the Kerikeri River. The Kerikeri River has been subject to extensive investigation, analysis and modelling, being calibrated against actual flood events. To enable an assessment of the flood susceptibility we have collected flow values from the NRC. This information is included in Appendix D.

#### 4.0 Field Investigation

14

A Technician from this office visited the property on 29 March 2019 to undertake a walkover inspection, six hand augered boreholes and three Scala Penetrometer Tests. The walkover inspection did not observe any signs of concern across the property in relation to the proposal.

The boreholes were dug to a depth of 3.2m where they generally encountered clay SILTS and silt CLAYS being stiff, reducing in strength with depth. Pilcon Shear Vane readings were taken at regular intervals throughout the borehole. The In-situ Undrained Shear Strengths ranged between 57kPa and >200kPa. The Scala Penetrometer tests were undertaken at the base of Boreholes 1, 3 and 5. These tests extended to a maximum depth of 5.6m below ground level where no obvious signs of increased strength were observed.

On the 4 April 2019 four CPT tests were undertaken by Underground Investigations. These tests extended to a maximum depth of 25.0m. These tests inferred clays and clays silts continued to a depth of greater than 25m. Soil strengths varied with depth.

#### 5.0 Subsurface Conditions

Investigations in this area by RS Eng Ltd have generally identified alluvial soils overlying Basaltic lava flows at shallow depths, however the strength of and depth to the Basaltic material is variable. The subsurface investigations generally confirmed the published geology, however overlain by varying depths of alluvial deposits.

#### 6.0 Residential Building Suitability Assessment

#### 6.1 Ground Settlement

The field investigations identified the risk of potential settlement due to surcharge loads imposed by building development due to the low strengths of the underlying alluvial deposits. To assess the potential settlements from residential building loads analysis was undertaken using a software program called CPT-IT V2.01. The analysis was based on the following assumptions:

- Waffle Raft type (Ridged Foundation) foundation providing uniformly distributed load (G+0.4Q) – 5.0kPa
- Building width and length 20m

This analysis estimated settlements in the order of 2.5mm to 10mm. Differential settlements over a building width of 20m produce a slope less than 1:1000 which is considered acceptable for residential construction.

#### 6.2 Expansive Soils

۲

The clayey soils encountered on-site are likely to be subject to volumetric change with seasonal changes in moisture content (wet winters / dry summers); this is known as expansive or reactive soils. Apart from seasonal changes in moisture content other factors that can influence soil moisture content include:

- Influence of garden watering and site drainage.
- The presence of large trees close to buildings.
- Initial soil moisture conditions during construction, especially during summer and more so during a drought. Building platforms that have dried out after initial excavation should be thoroughly wet prior to any floor slabs being poured.

Based on the visual characteristics of the subsoils encountered in the investigations at the building site, we consider that the soils are Class M (moderately reactive) as per AS2870.

#### 6.3 Earthworks

To suitably develop building platforms on the lots we recommend as follows:

- No new fills shall be placed above existing ground level on any lot without further geotechnical investigation and assessment.
- Cuts are limited to a maximum 0.4m without further geotechnical investigation and assessment.
- Stockpiling of soil within 10m of foundations shall be avoided.

We also recommend that the following methodology be adopted for earthworks: Topsoil should be stripped from all cut and fill areas, stripping operations extending well beyond cut and fill extents to avoid peripheral (outer boundary) fill contamination. Stockpiles of topsoil and unsuitable material should be sited well clear of the works on suitable areas of natural ground. All sloping ground should be benched prior to the placement of any fills or drainage works and be inspected by a suitably qualified engineer. Once filling is completed it should be tested for its compaction by a suitably qualified engineer generally in accordance with NZS4431:1989 (Earthfill for Residential Development).

#### 6.4 Foundations

Investigations encountered soils at the surface which provide Ultimate Bearing Strengths greater than 300kPa, however weaker soils at depth are susceptible to settlements as a result of surcharge loading and must be managed accordingly. To provide a suitable foundation for residential construction we make the following recommendations:

 The long-term average building and surcharge loads are less than 5.0kPa (light timber framed construction with light weight cladding and roofing) without further geotechnical investigation. Heavy type construction will likely be suitable however this should be subject to further engineering/geotechnical input.

- A waffle raft type foundation is utilised for habitable buildings
- Standard NZS3604 type foundations are considered suitable for none habitable unlined construction (self-contained garages/shed) to distribute building loads.
- Foundations shall be specifically designed by a Chartered Professional Engineer to account for moderately expansive soils and the underlying weak alluvial soils identified.

# 7.0 Flood Susceptibility Assessment

The Northland Regional Council has designated this property as being flood susceptible during both the 10% and 1% AEP rainfall events. The floodwaters overflow from the Kerikeri River, spilling over Waipapa Road and flowing overland through neighbouring properties before entering an existing channel on the western side of the property. This channel turns towards the north, running along the property's western boundary before discharging into two ponds on Lot 4 DP 513347. Due to the flat nature of the land and existing channel restrictions, overland flows break out and cross the property, before re-entering the water course (pond 2) northeast of the property, see Figure 4 below.



Figure 3: 10% AEP+CC (Dark Blue) & 1% AEP+CC (Light Blue) Flood Extents (Source: NRC GIS)

To reduce the effects/remove the potential risk of the overland flow from the property, three preliminary options have been investigated, as follows:

- Option 1: Form a defined swale/channel to carry the overland flow through Lot 1 DP 408584. This option would contain the flow, effectively reducing its width through the property. This would however concentrate the discharge into the neighbouring properties towards the east.
- Option 2: Form a bund along the western boundary of the property to increase the capacity of the existing channel. This would however cause increased flood levels on neighbouring properties towards the east.
- Option 3: Form a swale/channel along the western property boundary, discharging into the existing channel just upstream of pond 1. This option would cause increased flows within the water course and pond 1, downstream of the western neighbouring properties.

Due to the potential for adverse effects to neighbouring properties from Options 1 and 2, Option 3 is favoured. Modelling and design have been undertaken to determine the size of the channel required and if any modifications to the existing water course and or ponds are required. It should be noted that the owner of Lot 4 DP 513347 is in support of this proposal.

#### 7.1 Modelling and Design

A simplified pre and post development model has been developed using HydroCAD, using peak flows provided by the NRC. The post development system will be designed based on the NRC flows with an additional allowance of 20% for future climate change. The modelling is summarised as follows with full calculations provided in Appendix E.



Figure 4: HydroCAD Routing Diagram

The NRC modelling determined a flow of 1% AEP 3m<sup>3</sup>/s passing overland through the property which is required to be diverted and contained by the proposed swale/channel. A trapezoidal grass lined channel with side slopes of 1:2.5, a 2m base width, being 0.6m deep has a capacity of 9.2m<sup>3</sup>/s which is ample to pass the 1% AEP (3m<sup>3</sup>/s) with an allowance for climate change.

As a result of the diversion, provisions for increased flow through the causeway is required. The existing causeway passes flood flows through two 990mmø culverts and a weir. Pre-development modelling shows a 1% AEP flood level of 59.9m (at Pond 1 Causeway). To maintain post development flood elevations at or less than pre-development, an additional culvert of equivalent capacity is required. Similar to that of pre-development the overland flows will then re-enter Pond 2, therefore total post development flow beyond Pond 2 is unchanged.

This proposal reduces the effects of flooding and overland flows on this and neighbouring properties, only having adverse effects on Lot 4 DP 513347, where the owner is in support of this proposal.

## 7.2 Safety and Erosion

The new channel will have 1% AEP flow of 3m<sup>3</sup>/s, given the cross-sectional area of 1.8m<sup>2</sup>, an average velocity of 1.6m/s is expected. The modified cross section will produce a flood depth of 0.5m, hence a velocity depth product of 0.8. This is not in compliance with the FNDC Engineering Standards and Guidelines 2004 which specifies a maximum requirement of 0.4, however as this channel/overland flow path is not required to be crossed for access to any dwelling or other part of the proposed properties. We consider this velocity depth product is acceptable.

The velocity of 1.6m/s has the potential to cause erosion of the channel. It is proposed to grass line the swale/channel immediately after earthworks. The Bay of Plenty Regional Council provides guidance (Stopbank Design and Construction Guidelines, dated November 2014) on the ability of varying surfaces to withstand sustained flood flows of varying velocities and duration. Using the average velocity, the guidelines indicate poor grass cover will resist erosion for the duration of a 1% AEP flood event.

# 7.3 Flood Control Consent

The proposed swale/channel will cause a diversion of floodwaters being classified as a discretionary activity by the Proposed Regional Plan under rule C4.1.7. Recourse consent from the Northland Regional Council is required. The following items discuss the relevant provisions of control and the proposals means of compliance:

1) There is no adverse flooding, erosion or over-drainage effects on other property. The proposal will result in increased flooding on a single property (Lot 4 DP 513347). A letter of support will be attached with an application for resource consent.

2) The activity does not alter the course of a lake or continually or intermittently flowing river. The proposal only alters the course of an overland flows path which operates during flood events greater than 2% AEP.

3) New land drainage does not occur within 50 metres of any natural wetland. No natural wetlands are located nearby the proposal. Two existing ponds are located nearby, however these are both manmade.

4) Drainage does not cause any change to the seasonal or annual range in water level of a natural wetland to an extent that may adversely affect the wetland's natural ecosystem.
Permanent water levels with the existing ponds will be unaltered, being controlled by their existing outlets.
5) No vegetation, soil or other debris generated from the activity is placed in a position where it may be carried into a river or natural wetland, lake or the coastal marine area. The proposed channel will be grass lined with outfall to the existing stream being RipRap lined to prevent scour and erosion.

*6) There is no damage to a flood defence or any other authorised structure.* No existing flood defence structures are located nearby.

11) Any discharge of sediment associated with repair and maintenance activities does not occur for more than five consecutive days and must not occur for more than 12 hours on any one day. During construction of the channel sediment erosion control measure will be in place until full revegetation is achieved. Refer to RS Eng Earthworks Management Plan.

### 8.0 Stormwater Attenuation

٩

The variation removes the internal right of way and instead extends the road to vest the full length of the development. The proposed development is expected to create impervious surfaces of approximately 2500m<sup>2</sup> by way of the proposed road. Additionally, residential construction on each allotment may increase impervious surfaces in the order of between 550-700m<sup>2</sup>/lot. Impervious surfaces allow little or no infiltration of stormwater into the ground and therefore a greater volume of rainfall will run off the surface. As a result, attenuation of the stormwater runoff is required to ensure stormwater flows from the property are no more than predevelopment. This minimises any adverse effects on downstream properties and council services.

To attenuate the proposed new road, there are several options which are under consideration, these include the following; attenuation pond in Lot 2 DP 408584, attenuation pit/s in the road reserve or beneath the carriageway, or alteration of the large pond in Lot 4 DP 513347. Attenuation of stormwater can be achieved using any of these options some being more economically viable than the other, however, due to pending development on the beforementioned properties, the method of attenuation will be finalised at the engineering plan stage to best suit the total development.

### 8.1 Generic Stormwater Attenuation Design

A new dwelling may have a total roof area of 400m<sup>2</sup> and driveway of approximately 150-300m<sup>2</sup>. It is proposed to direct stormwater runoff from the roof of the new building into a attenuation tank with restricted outlets to reduce the peak flows to predevelopment levels. The attenuation tank restricts stormwater runoff from the roof sufficiently to compensate for the increased flows from the paved area.

The pre-development and post-development runoff was modelled with HydroCAD. The ARC TP108 method was adopted for calculating the run-off flow, using rainfall historic and RCP6 2081-2100 flows from HIRDS 4 (High Intensity Rainfall Design System, NIWA).

ï

	Pre-dev	elopment	Post-d	Post-development		
Permeable Area (m <sup>2</sup> )						
Grassed	5	550	1	0		
Impervious Area (m <sup>2</sup> )			1			
Roof		0		400		
Drive		0	300			
Peak flow I/s	10% AEP	1% AEP	10% AEP	1% AEP		
			+CC	+CC		
From surfaces	13.74	23.95	22.21	34.37		
Total attenuated flows				22.65		
Tank storage required			14.5m <sup>3</sup>	2 <b>0.8</b> m <sup>3</sup>		

#### Table 1: Summary of Example Attenuation Calculations

This modelling concluded that 20.8m<sup>3</sup> is required for stormwater attenuation. To achieve this a 25,000L water tank is recommended (See detail in Appendix F):

Diameter of the Tank	3.6m
Primary outlet orifice	40mm diameter 2.05m below tank overflow.
Secondary outlet orifice	55mm diameter 1.4m above primary orifice.

The design will attenuate peak flows off the proposed dwelling to the pre-development level. See the calculations and indicative detail included in Appendix E.

Note that this is an example only to demonstrate compliance and that actual attenuation requirements will depend on the proposed impervious surface areas.

### 9.0 Servicing

£

### 9.1 On-site Wastewater Disposal

On-site effluent disposal will be required for individual lots. A typical design is noted as follows;

A four bedroom dwelling equates to a design occupancy of 6 persons/day. TP58 requires that for households with standard fixtures sourcing water from rainwater tanks, a flow of 180L/person/day be used, therefore the total design flow is 1080L/day. We have assessed the soil as category 6 as per TP58. Using a daily loading rate of 3.0mm/day for secondary treated effluent to pressure compensating drip irrigation line, a field of 360m<sup>2</sup> is required. Effluent disposal areas are shown on Sheet 3 in Appendix A are in compliance with the criteria of the proposed regional plan summarised in Table 3.

Feature	Proposed Regional Plan	Achieved
Identified Stormwater Flow Path	5m	>5m
River, Lake, Pond, Stream, Dam or Wetland	15m	>15m
Existing Water Supply Bore	20m	>20m
Property Boundary	1.5m	>1.5m
Groundwater	0.6m	>1.2m
10m Buffer Zone	Slopes >10°	<10°
Floodplain Exclusion	5% AEP	>5% AEP
Reserve area	30%	>30%

Table 3: NRC Permitted Discharge Compliance

It should also be appreciated that alternative methods of effluent disposal are available. All systems are to be being subject to specific design at the building consent stage RS Eng is satisfied that on-site wastewater disposal can be achieved on each lot incompliance with FNDC, NRC and TP58.

### 9.2 Stormwater Disposal

To dispose of stormwater from each property, the roadways, and negate the need for the existing central drainage channels (open drains), a stormwater reticulation is proposed. A preliminary design has been undertaken to determine pipe sizes required. A catchment analysis has been undertaken using the rational methods, described in the NZBC E1, using rainfall flows from HIRDS 4 (High Intensity Rainfall Design System, NIWA) using RCP6 2081-2100 to account for future climate change.

These preliminary calculations determined a pipe diameter of 450mmø, with a preliminary alignment shown Sheet 2 in Appendix B.

### 9.3 Water Supply / Fire-fighting

Reticulated water connections are not available to the proposed lots and therefore a rainwater storage tank(s) supply will be required for proposed lots. We recommend a minimum tank size of two 25,000L with all downpipes from future dwelling roofs connected to the storage tank(s).

The Standards New Zealand Publicly Available Specification (SNZPAS) 4509:2008 New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice states that buildings (FW2 Classification) require a minimum supply of 45m<sup>3</sup> of water within a 90m distance from the furthest point of a dwelling for fire-fighting purposes for a non-reticulated water supply. The Fire Service has previously advised that either 12-15m<sup>3</sup> of permanent storage must be available per lot, or a communal 25m<sup>3</sup> storage be made available to within 90m of any proposed house site. It is noted that a FFWS application form is filled out and sent to the Fire Emergency Department at Building Consent Stage if individual lot storage is required.

The required water storage for fire-fighting can best be achieved on-site by installing a water tank with domestic take above the permanent level. The tank will need to be shielded from the effects of radiated heat from a fire (plastic tanks should be setback a minimum of 6.0m from the dwelling). There should be unimpeded vehicle access to the water tank including the control of overgrown vegetation, hanging cables and building projections. A 100mmø fire service coupling is required to the base of the tank.

### 9.4 Access

To develop the subdivision, it is proposed to construct a roadway to be vested to the FNDC. All works will be in accordance with the relevant FNDC requirements.

### **10.0Earthworks Consent**

To construct the proposed swale/channel and form the access road, earthworks are required. A earthworks area of approximately 7000m<sup>2</sup> is required with volumes listed in Table 4 below.

Item	Cut (m <sup>3</sup> )	Fill (m <sup>3</sup> )
Swale/Channel	570	0
Road	500	750
Total	1070	750

Table 4: Estimated On-site Earthworks Volumes

These earthworks are not in breach of the NRC Regional Water and Soil Plan Rule 34.1.3, being classified as a Permitted Activity as within Riparian Management Zone (5m) volumes and area are less than 50m<sup>3</sup> and 200m<sup>2</sup> respectively. It should also be noted that the earthworks are a Permitted Activity under rule 33.1.3 (Earthworks less than 5000m<sup>3</sup>).

The earthworks are however in breach of the Proposed Regional Plan Rule C.8.3, being classified as a Controlled Activity (Earthworks being <50<sup>3</sup> and > 1000m<sup>3</sup>). Resource Consent is required from the Northland Regional Council. Refer to the earthworks management plan for further details.

### 11.0 Conclusions

It is the conclusion of RS Eng Ltd that the property is suitable for the proposed subdivision provided the recommendations and limitations stated within this report are adhered to. The proposed swale/channel has been granted resource consent by the NRC for earthworks within a flood plain and Riparian Management Zone.

We also conclude that in terms of Section 106 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and subject to the recommendations of this report that:

- (a) the land in respect of which a consent is sought, or any structure on the land, is not or is not likely to be subject to material damage by subsidence, slippage or inundation from any source; and
- (b) any subsequent use that is likely to be made of the land is not likely to accelerate, worsen, or result in material damage to the land, other land, or structure by subsidence, slippage or inundation from any source and,
- (c) sufficient provision has been made for legal and physical access to each allotment to be created by the subdivision

#### 12.0 Limitations

This report has been prepared solely for the benefit of our client and the Far North District Council. The purpose is to determine the engineering suitability of the proposed development, in relation to the material covered by the report. The reliance by other parties on the information or opinions contained therein shall, without our prior review and agreement in writing, do so at their own risk.

Recommendations and opinions in this report are based on data obtained as previously detailed. The nature and continuity of subsoil conditions away from the test locations are inferred and it should be appreciated that actual conditions could vary from those assumed.

This report does not address matters relating to the National Environmental Standard for Contaminated Sites, and if applicable separate advice should be sought on this matter from a suitably qualified person.

If during the construction process, conditions are encountered that differ from the inferred conditions on which the report has been based, the site should be examined by a suitably qualified engineer to determine if any modification of the design based upon this report is required.

Prepared by:

Matthew Jacobson Senior Engineering Technician NZDE(Civil)

**RS Eng Ltd** 

Reviewed by:

Steve Turner Chartered Professional Engineer NZCE(Civil), BE(Civil), CPEng, CMEngNZ, IntPE

## Appendix A

### Scheme Plan



ŕ

### Appendix B

k

### Drawings







### Appendix C

Field Investigations

\$1

×.



					-	Northing	Job Number 16607
	RS Eng Ltd	Borehole	Log	BH	2	Easting	Date po log inc
	09 438 3273	Project				Shear Vane	29/03/19
	2 Seaview Road	Subdivision Sui	tability			211Gal Aquis	BV
	Whangarel 0110	Okokiwi Downs	Parvus	Holdi	ngs	Slope	Drill Method Hand Auger
		Borehole Location					Drill Size 75mm
		Terminated at:	Ê			UNDRAINED SHEAR	Pilcon Shear Vane readings and
BOL	STRATA DESCRIPTION	Target Depth 🛛 Refusal 🗆	LH (m	LEVEL		STRENGTH (KPa)	comments Su, Undisturbed
SYN		Near Refusal 🗌 Saturated 🗂	DE	GW	20 40	60 80 1100 1120 1140 1160 1180	Rm, Remoulded
		1					
	lopsoil						
			- 200				
* * *	Clay SILT, Brown/Dark Grey,	Stiff, Dry, Friable	1000				
* * *	Intermixed Rock/Gravels/Some O	rganic Material @ 500,	400				
- <del>*</del> * *	Becoming Urange/Wh	ite Mottled	-1 7393				-
H X X			- 600			•	Su=200+kPa
			Ĕ.				
× × ×			- 800				
***			E				
<u>ж ж ж</u> ж ж ж ж ж ж			-1000				
- <del>-</del>							
<u> </u>	8 22228 2 2		- 1200			•	Su=200+kPa
***	Clay SILT, Gravels, Light Brown, F	irm Stiff, Wet, Friable	-				
<u> </u>			- 1400				
***			+				
<u> </u>			- 1600				
* * *			-			<b>↓</b>	Su=200+kPa
<u></u>			- 1800				
* * *	Clay SILT, Blueich Gray, Ei	rm Wot (Ach)	1				( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )
<u></u>	Intermixed Very Stiff	Material	-2000				6
× × × ×			-				C 40010
			- 2200			•	Su=102kPa 8m=22kPa
<u>ж</u> ин хин			-	ŀ			
	Bore ends at 2	.4m	2400	ŀ			
-	Groundwater not En	countered	-				
- 1			-2600	F			
-				-			
			- 2800	F			
			-				
				F			
			-	F			
-			- 3200	F			
-			-	F			
225			- 3400	l if			
			-	F			
-			-3600	F			
			-	F			
-			- 3800	F			
			-				
			-4000	-			
			1759/1976).				



						Northing	Job Numb	16607
	RS Eng Ltd	Borehole	Log	ВH	4	Easting	Date	20/02/10
	09 438 3273 office/085Eng co. bz	Project				Shear Vane	Logged By	29/03/19
	Eng 2 Seavlew Road	Subdivision Sui	tability			Class	Doill & Analysi	BV
L	LIS Whangarel 0110	Okokiwi Downs	s Parvus	Holdir	ngs	зюре	Drill Metho	<sup>®</sup> Hand Auger
		Borehole Location					Orill Size	75mm
		Terminated at:	(e		ι	JNDRAINED SHEAR	Pilcon She	ar Vane readings a
ABOL	STRATA DESCRIPTION	Refusal	H	I LEVE		STRENGTH (KPa)	s	u, Undisturbed
SYA		Near Refusal 🗌 Saturated 🗌	0Eb	B	20 40	60 80 120 140 140 150 180	R	m, Remoulded
	Tansail						-	
	ropson		200	F			-	
			200	l F				
* * *	Clay SILT, Brown/Dark Grey	, Stiff, Dry, Friable	1				-	
* * * *	Intermixed Rock/Gravels/Some C	Organic Material @ 500,	400					
ин ( м м ( м м	becoming Grange/ w	nite Mottled	Γ				Su=145	5kPa
к ж ж			600			$\Psi$	Rm=7k	Pa
* *			E					
я я 			- 800				-	
**			- anappa					
**			-1000					
* * * *			Costes					
* *			- 1200				9 Su=200	)+kPa
х х х х			-					
х н н н н н			- 1400					
к н к н			-					
* * * *			- 1600				Su=131	kPa
* *			E.			₽	Rm=7k	Pa
* *	Clav SILT, Blueish Grev, F	irm. Wet (Ash)	1800					
* × * ×	Intermixed Very Stil	f Material	Tessee	l i				
_* * * *	Weakening with	Depth	-2000					
			1				Su=116	2 Po
* *			- 2200			•	Rm=15	kPa
× × ×			-				-	
• ж ж ж ж			- 2400				-	
* * * * * *			and a second sec				Su-59k	Po
	Bore ends at 2	2.6m	2600			•	Rm=10	kPa
	Groundwater not Er	countered	-				-	
			2800					
5							=	
-			-3000					
			-					
1			3200				Ē2	
8			-				-	
2			- 3400					
			-					
			- 3600				-	
-			-					
ē.			- 3800					
							-	
-			-4000					
			-					

					_	Northing	Job Number 16607
	RS Eng Ltd	Borehole l	.og BH 5		5	Fasting	Date
	09 438 3273				-	costing	29/03/19
	office@RSEng.co.nt	Project Subdivision Suit	ability			Shear Vane	Logged By BV
	2 Seaview Road, Whangarei 0110	Client Okokiwi Downs	Damuic	Holdi	nas	Slope	Drill Method
		Borehole Location	Faivus	noiui	iigs		Drill Size
			-				75mm
		Terminated at:	(wu		ι	JNDRAINED SHEAR	Pilcon Shear Vane readings and
VBOL	STRATA DESCRIPTION	Refusal	HTH (1	IEV6		STRENGTH (KPa)	Su, Undisturbed
SYA		Near Refusal 🗖 Saturated 🕅	8	GW	20	60 80 120 140 160 180	Rm, Remoulded
	77.						
	Topsoil		-				
			_ 200				
* * *	Clay SILT, Brown/Dark Grey,	Stiff, Dry, Friable	400				
* * *	Intermixed Rock/Gravels/Some O	rganic Material @ 500,	400				Su=181kPa
н к к.	Becoming Orange/Wh	ite Mottled				Ψ	Rm=30kPa
H H H H H H			- 600				
***			=				
× × ×			- 800				
x x x			012565.				
			110104				2
XXX			-1000				- Su=190+kPa
			-				
***			- 1200				-
* * *	Clay SILT, Gravels, Light Brown, F	irm Stiff, Wet, Friable					
x x x			1400				
* * * * * * * *			1400				
			=			$\Phi$	Su=190+kPa
× × × × × ×			- 1600				
× × × ×			-		╈		
			- 1800				-
* * *			_				
* * *	Clay SILT, Blueish Grey, Fi	rm, Wet (Ash)					Su=112kPa
* * *	Intermixed Very Stiff	Material	2000			Ψ	Rm=26kPa
* * *	weakening with	Deptil					
			- 2200				-
* * *			-				-5
			- 2400				-
* * *			3600				
* * *			1000				
	Bore ends at 2	.7m		1 4			
	Saturated		- 2800	1 1			
			_				
		:	-3000				
		-					-
			2100				
			- 3200				
-			-				
			- 3400				-
220							-
			-3600				
- 1			- 3800				
-				ŀ			+)
-			-4000				-

						Northing	Job Number 16607
	RS Eng Ltd	Borehole I	_og	BH	6	Easting	Date
	09 438 3273		0				29/03/19
	allice@RSEng.co.nz	Project Subdivision Suit	ability			Shear Vane	Logged By BV
	EIIS Whangarei 0110	Client Okokiwi Downs	Parvus	Holdi	ngs	Drill Method Hand Auger	
		Borehole Location					Drill Size 75mm
		Terminated at:	2	<u> </u>	Î.	UNDRAINED SHEAR	Pilcon Shear Vane readings and
5	STRATA DESCRIPTION	Target Depth	H (mn	EVE		STRENGTH (KPa)	comments
SYME	STRATA DESCRIPTION	Near Refusal	DEPT	GWL	20 40	60 1100 1140 1180	Rm, Remoulded
		Saturated					
	Topsoil		-				-
XXX			200				
***	Clay SILT, Brown/Dark Grey, Intermixed Bock/Gravels/Some O	Stiff, Dry, Friable					-
* * *	Becoming Orange/Wh	ite Mottled	400				-
x x x x x			_				
* * * * * *			- 600			<b>A</b>	Su=145kPa
***						<b>Y</b>	Rm=7kPa
* * *			[				
***			- 800				
***			-				
<u> </u>			-1000				а I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
* * *			-1200			<b>•</b>	= Su=131kPa = Bm=22kPa
н н н Т н н			- 1				KIII-ZZKrd
х х х д х х			- 1400				-
***							
***			1600				
***			1000				Su=145kPa
* * *			Case			<b>V</b>	Rm=22kPa
* * *	Clay SILT, Blueish Grev, Fi	irm, Wet (Ash)	1800				
* * *	Intermixed Very Stiff	Material	-				
<u>×</u> ×× ×××	Weakening with	Depth	-2000				-
<u>н к к</u> н к к			-				
			- 2200				-
* * *		0	-				Su=102kPa
-	Bore ends at 2 Groundwater not En	.om countered	- 2400				rtin=tokra
			09285020   				
			- 2600				
			1000				
			2000				
			2800				
				- 8			
			-3000				-
			*				
-			- 3200				
- 1			-				
- 1			- 3400				
			_				
			-3600				
			2000				
			3800				
-			-4000				3
				<u></u>	: [ ] [		

### Appendix D

### Northland Regional Council Flood Model Information

,



### **Matthew Jacobson**

From:	Matthew Jolly <matthewj@nrc.govt.nz></matthewj@nrc.govt.nz>
Sent:	Wednesday, 27 March 2019 11:17 a.m.
To:	Matthew Jacobson
Subject:	RE: Flooding Info, 190 Waipapa Road,
Attachments:	190 Waipapa Road model chainages.pdf; FINAL Flood map disclaimer Oct 2013
	(A586686).pdf; 190 waipapa road Surveyed Flood Levels.pdf

Good Morning Matt,

See the attached map showing the chainages.

I have taken cross section 1 at chainage 320.17, cross section 2 at chainage 626.91, cross section 3 is shown on the map and cross section 4 is at chainage 1100. The flows and levels are as follows:

<u>10 Year</u> 1 = 3 (1D-2D) 2 = 3 (1D) 3 = 0 - No flow No ponding 4 = 6.9 (1D) Flood Level 55.64m OTP

50 Year 1= 3 (1D-2D) 2 = 4.10 (1D) 3 = 0 - No Flow, some ponding 4 = 7.17 (1D) Flood Level 55.65m OTP

<u>100 Year</u> 1 = 11 (1D-2D) 2 = 9 (1D) 3 = 2-3 4 = 12 (1D) Flood Level 55.70m OTP

The 1D discharge and flood levels were taken from the model from Mike 11. The 2D discharge was taken from WaterRide. Please see the attached Flood Map Disclaimer regarding this information.

I have also provided a map showing the surveyed flood levels from the March 2007, January 2011 and August 2014 events.

If you have any questions regarding this information, don't hesitate to contact me.

Ngā mihi

Matthew Jolly Rivers and Natural Hazards Officer DDI 09 470 1210 Ext. 9149 M 027 703 0201

## Appendix E

Generic Stormwater Attenuation Design and Details

R	<b>S</b> ng	RS Er 09 438 office@ 2 Seavie Whanga	ng Ltd 3273 RSEng.co.nz ew Road, arei 0110					File No. Plan Ref. No. Calculated by. Checked by. Date.	16246 MJ 22/5/19
Client.		Okokiwi I	Downs						
Catchment	•	Reticulati	ion Prelimin	ary Sizin	Ig				
<u>Catchment</u>	Flow								
Using The (	from the	e Building C	iode)						
Rc	=	С	×	1	x	A	/	360	
Where		с	=	0.3	From the Bu	ilding Code fo	or Pastur	e	
		1	=	107	From HIRDS	RCP6.0 2081-	2100 fo	r 10min Time of C	Concerntration
		А	=	3.25	ha				
R <sub>c</sub>	=	<u>0.29</u>	<u>m³/s</u>						
Flow Throu	gh Culv	<u>ert</u>	Tŋ	/ Culver	t 0.450	øm			
Using Manı	nings For	mula =>	Q = A 1/n R	<sup>2/3</sup> s <sup>1/2</sup>					
	n	=	0.012	From N	lew Zealand Bi	uilding Code			
	A	=	0.16						
	R	=	А	1	Р				
		=	0.2	1	1.414				
		=	0.113						
			1 :	00					
	2	=	0.011	90 m/m					
Q	=	0.15904 <u>0.326</u>	* 1/ m³/s	0.012	*	0.113 2/	3	* 0.01	111 1/2

٠

0.290 < 0.326 Therefore there is adequate capacity for a Q<sub>10</sub> storm. Flow off catchment Flow through culvert



,



.

**16607 Example SW Attenuatio** ARC TP 108 24 Hour 10% AEP Rainfall=167 mm, Ia/S=0.06

 Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company
 Printed 11/09/2019

 HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 06482 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC
 Printed 11/09/2019

#### Summary for Subcatchment 11S: Pre Development

Runoff = 13.74 l/s @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 75.7 m<sup>3</sup>, Depth> 108 mm

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs ARC TP 108 24 Hour 10% AEP Rainfall=167 mm, Ia/S=0.06

A	rea (m²)	CN I	Description								
	700.0	76 1	6 Woods/grass comb., Fair, HSG C								
	700.0		100.00% Pe	rvious Area	5-						
Tc (min)	Length (meters)	Slope (m/m	Velocity (m/sec)	Capacity (m³/s)	Description						
12.3	100.0	0.0800	0.13		Sheet Flow, Grass: Short	n= 0.150	P2= 113 mm				

**16607 Example SW Attenuation** ARC TP 108 24 Hour
 1% AEP Rainfall=256 mm, Ia/S=0.06

 Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company
 Printed
 11/09/2019

 HydroCAD® 10.00-15
 s/n 06482
 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

### Summary for Subcatchment 11S: Pre Development

Runoff = 23.95 l/s @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 132.8 m<sup>3</sup>, Depth> 190 mm

.

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs ARC TP 108 24 Hour 1% AEP Rainfall=256 mm, Ia/S=0.06

A	rea (m²)	CN I	Description							
	700.0	76	Voods/grass comb., Fair, HSG C							
	700.0	100.00% Pervious Area								
Tc (min)	Length (meters)	Slope (m/m	e Velocity ) (m/sec)	Capacity (m³/s)	Description					
12.3	100.0	0.0800	) 0.13		Sheet Flow, Grass: Short	n= 0.150	P2= 113 mm			

**16607 Example SW Attenu** ARC TP 108 24 Hour 10% AEP+CC Rainfall=189 mm, Ia/S=0.06Prepared by Hewlett-Packard CompanyPrinted 11/09/2019HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 06482 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPrinted 11/09/2019

### Summary for Subcatchment 14S: Post Dev Roof

Runoff = 12.69 l/s @ 12.05 hrs, Volume= 73.3 m<sup>3</sup>, Depth> 183 mm

а <sup>8</sup>

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs ARC TP 108 24 Hour 10% AEP+CC Rainfall=189 mm, Ia/S=0.06

A	rea (m²)	CN	De	scription			
	400.0	98	Pa	ved parki			
	400.0		100	0.00% Imj	pervious Ar	ea	
Tc (min)	Length (meters)	Sloj (m/r	pe n)	Velocity (m/sec)	Capacity (m³/s)	Description	
10.0						Direct Entry,	

**16607 Example SW Attenua** ARC TP 108 24 Hour
 1% AEP+CC Rainfall=292 mm, Ia/S=0.06

 Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company
 Printed
 11/09/2019

 HydroCAD® 10.00-15
 s/n 06482
 © 2015
 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

### Summary for Subcatchment 14S: Post Dev Roof

Runoff = 19.64 l/s @ 12.05 hrs, Volume= 114.4 m<sup>3</sup>, Depth> 286 mm

28

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs ARC TP 108 24 Hour 1% AEP+CC Rainfall=292 mm, Ia/S=0.06

A	rea (m²)	CN	Description			
	400.0	98	Paved parki	ng, HSG C		
	400.0		100.00% lm	pervious Ar	ea	
Tc (min)	Length (meters)	Slop (m/m	e Velocity ) (m/sec)	Capacity (m³/s)	Description	
10.0					Direct Entry,	

**16607 Example SW Attenu**ARC TP 108 24 Hour10% AEP+CC Rainfall=189 mm, Ia/S=0.06Prepared by Hewlett-Packard CompanyPrinted 11/09/2019HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 06482 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPrinted 11/09/2019

#### Summary for Subcatchment 19S: Post Deve Drive

Runoff = 9.52 l/s @ 12.05 hrs, Volume= 55.0 m<sup>3</sup>, Depth> 183 mm

÷ -

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs ARC TP 108 24 Hour 10% AEP+CC Rainfall=189 mm, Ia/S=0.06

A	rea (m²)	CN	Description			
	300.0	98	Paved parki	ng, HSG C		
	300.0		100.00% Im	pervious Ar	rea	
Tc (min)	Length (meters)	Slope (m/m	e Velocity ) (m/sec)	Capacity (m³/s)	Description	
10.0					Direct Entry,	

**16607 Example SW Attenua** ARC TP 108 24 Hour 1% AEP+CC Rainfall=292 mm, Ia/S=0.06

 Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company
 Printed 11/09/2019

 HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 06482 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC
 Printed 11/09/2019

### Summary for Subcatchment 19S: Post Deve Drive

Runoff = 14.73 i/s @ 12.05 hrs, Volume= 85.8 m<sup>3</sup>, Depth> 286 mm

<u>د</u> ۹

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs ARC TP 108 24 Hour 1% AEP+CC Rainfall=292 mm, Ia/S=0.06

A	rea (m²)	CN [	Description							
-	300.0	98 I	aved parki	ed parking, HSG C						
	300.0		100.00% Im	pervious Ar	ea					
Tc (min)	Length (meters)	Siope (m/m	e Velocity (m/sec)	Capacity (m³/s)	Description					
10.0			168		Direct Entry,					

16607 Example SW Atta
Prepared by Hewlett-Packard ARC TP 108 24 Hour 10% in a 0.06
TydrocAD® 10.00-15 s/n 06482 @ company
Printed 11/09/2010
Summanue
Inflow Are
Inflow Area = 400.0 m2 too
Outflow = $12.69 \text{ l/s} @ 12.05 \text{ hrs}$ , Volume = $4.34 \text{ l/s} @ 12.05 \text{ hrs}$ , Volume = $4.34 \text{ l/s} @ 12.05 \text{ hrs}$ , Volume = $10\% \text{ AEP} + CC \text{ event}$
Primary = $4.34 \text{ l/s} \oplus 12.35 \text{ hrs}$ , Volume= $73.3 \text{ m}^3$
Routing by Stor Ind
Peak Elev= 1.425 m @ 40 at Time Span= 0.00.24 co.
12.35 hrs Surf Area= 10 2 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
Plug-Flow detention times as a
Center-of-Mass det, time= 20 4 min calculated for 72 a.m. a.u.
Volume 29.1 min (778.2 - 749 1)
Volume Invert Avail of
#1 0.000 m Storage Storage Description
30.5 m <sup>3</sup> 3.60 mD x 3 00
Device Routing
#1 Primary O Doo
#2 Primary 1 400 m 40 mm Vert, Orifice /0
Primar 2 55 mm Vert. Orifice/Orate C= 0.600
Thinking OutFlow Max=4 26 1/2 @ to a
2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 2.35 hrs HW=1.425 m /
(Free Discharge)
- 5111 015 0.37 I/S @ 0.30 m/s)

16607 Example SW Attenua ARC TP 108 24 Hours 464	
HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 06482 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions U.C. Printed 11/09/2019	) ) 30
Summan, Fr. P	2
Inflow t	
Inflow Area = 400.0 m2 ton	
Outflow = 19.64 I/s @ 12.05 hrs, Volume= 9.73 I/s @ 12.05 hrs, Volume= 19.73 I/s @ 12.05 hrs, Volume= 19.75 hrs, Volume= 19.7	vent
$9.73 \text{ //s} = 9.73 \text{ //s} = 12.23 \text{ hrs}, \text{ Volume} = 114.4 \text{ m}^3$	
Routing by Stor-Ind method. The second secon	
Peak Elev= 2.048 m @ 40 control filme Span= 0.00-24 och	
12.23 hrs Surf.Area= 10 2 ms, dt= 0.05 hrs	
Plug-Flow detention time to Storage= 20.8 m <sup>3</sup>	
Center-of-Mass det time= 32.4 min calculated for 440	
me = 29.4  min (773.9 - 744.4) = 100%  of inflow	
Volume Invort	
#1 Avail.Storage Store	
30.5 m <sup>3</sup> a secription	
Device Devit	-
#1 Invert Out in	
#1 Primary 0.000 m Outlet Devices	
#2 Primary 1 400 m 40 mm Vert. Orifica /0	<b>7</b>
Dut 55 mm Vert Orifice (Grate C= 0.600	
Frimary OutFlow May-0 74 H	
-1=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 4.75 I/s @ 3.78 m/s)	

96 l/s @ 2.09 m/s)

e '' '

**16607 Example SW Attenu** ARC TP 108 24 Hour 10% AEP+CC Rainfall=189 mm, la/S=0.06 Prepared by Hewlett-Packard Company HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 06482 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/09/2019 Printed 11/09/2019

# Summary for Link 20L: Total

Inflow Area =	70.0	ounnary to	r Link 20L	: Total			
Inflow = Primary = Primary outflow	/00.0 12.85 l/s @ 12.85 l/s @ = Inflow, Time	0 m²,100.00% Imperv 12.06 hrs, Volume= 12.06 hrs, Volume= Span= 0.00-24.00 h	ious, Inflow : : rs, dt≃ 0.05	/ Depth > 127.9 m <sup>3</sup> 127.9 m <sup>3</sup> ,	183 mm Atten= 0%,	for 10% AEP+CC Lag= 0.0 min	event
				1113			

16607 Example SW AttenuaARC TP 108 24 Hour1% AEP+CC Rainfall=292 mm, Ia/S=0.06Prepared by Hewlett-Packard CompanyPrinted 11/09/2019HydroCAD® 10.00-15 s/n 06482 © 2015 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPrinted 11/09/2019

#### Summary for Link 20L: Total

 Inflow Area =
 700.0 m²,100.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth >
 285 mm
 for 1% AEP+CC event

 Inflow =
 22.65 l/s @
 12.07 hrs, Volume=
 199.7 m³

 Primary =
 22.65 l/s @
 12.07 hrs, Volume=
 199.7 m³, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

, t


Work Pack Ref





























ROAD CROSS SECTION - CH20.0m

4

















- All works to comply with all relevant local authority by-laws and council regulations where applicable.
- All works to be inspected by a Far North District Council representative or a Chartered Professional Engineer.
- Contractors to confirm all dimensions on site prior to commencing any work
- Do not scale off drawings.
- These drawings are to be read in conjunction with specifications plans take precedence.
- If any part of these documents are unclear, please contact RSEng Ltd.
- This plan is copyright to RSEng Ltd and should not be reproduced without prior permission.





NOTES: All services should be located on-site prior to commencement of works.

ï

- All works to comply with all relevant local authority by taws and council regulations where applicable.
- All works to be inspected by a Far North Distinct Council representative or a Chartered Professional Engineer.
- Contractors to confirm all damensions on site prior to commencing any work.
- Do not scale off drawings.
- These drawings are to be read in conjunction with specifications plans take precedence.
- If any part of these documents are unclear, please contact RSEng Ltd.
- This plan is copyright to RSEng Ltd and should not be reproduced without prior permission.

























٠

- All works to be inspected by a Far North Distinct Council representative or a Chartered Professional Engineer.
- Contractors to confirm all dimensions on site prior to commencing any work.
- These drawings are to be read in conjunction with specifications plans take precedence.
- If any part of these documents are unclear, please contact RSEng Ltd.



# Thomson Survey

From: Sent: To: Subject: Paul Henry [paul@tsurvey.co.nz] Wednesday, 10 February 2021 9:59 a.m. 'Thomson Survey' FW: Lots 1-13 @ 190 Waipapa Rd

Kind Regards

Paul Henry

Surveyor BSurv

315 Kerikeri Road, Kerikeri 0230 PO Box 372 Kerikeri 0245

p. 09 4077360 | e. paul@tsurvey.co.nz

-----Original Message-----From: Stephen Bill | Crown Brands [mailto:stephen@crownbrands.co.nz] Sent: Wednesday, 27 January 2021 3:10 PM To: 'Brad' Cc: 'Grant Bill'; Paul Henry Subject: Lots 1-13 @ 190 Waipapa Rd

Hello Brad,

Could you send a copy of the Traffic Management Plan to Paul at Thompson Survey so they can apply for 223c as below please?

Thanks & Regards, Stephen

-----Original Message-----From: Stephen Bill | Crown Brands Sent: Wednesday, 27 January 2021 3:07 p.m. To: Paul Henry paul@tsurvey.co.nz> Cc: 'Grant Bill' <grant.bill@outlook.com</pre>; 'Lynley Newport' <lynley@tsurvey.co.nz> Subject: RE: Lots 1-13 @ 190 Waipapa Rd

Hello Paul,

Sounds good, please proceed with 223c.

```
Successful Contractor: JSB Construction Ltd
Duration of contract: 1 November 2020 - 31 March 2021
Supervising Engineer: RS Eng - Matthew Jacobson
```

```
- Traffic Management Plan - will request Brad from JSB to send direct to you.
```

Thanks & Regards, Stephen

----Original Message-----

From: Paul Henry [mailto:paul@tsurvey.co.nz]
Sent: Wednesday, 27 January 2021 2:52 p.m.
To: Stephen Bill | Crown Brands <<u>stephen@crownbrands.co.nz</u>>
Cc: 'Grant Bill' <<u>grant.bill@outlook.com</u>>; 'Lynley Newport'
<<u>lynley@tsurvey.co.nz</u>>
Subject: RE: Lots 1-13 @ 190 Waipapa Rd

Hi Stephen/Grant.

Can you supply us the details that will meet condition 3 d) i) to iv) of the attached resource consent? Once we have that information we can apply for the 223 cert, if you are happy for us to do so?

Kind Regards

Paul Henry

Surveyor BSurv

315 Kerikeri Road, Kerikeri 0230 PO Box 372 Kerikeri 0245

p. 09 4077360 | e. paul@tsurvey.co.nz

# 3 divj

# **Works Access Permit**

Registration Number:1771903Utility Reference:4804152986

# **1. Details of Proposed Work**

Activity: Minor Earthworks/Filling Address: Waipapa Road, Kerikeri, Kerikeri, 0230 Location in road: Carriageway, Berm WAP valid period: 28 January 2021 to 31 March 2021

### 2. The Parties

Far North District Council being a body corporate in accordance with the Local Government Act 2002 ('the Corridor Manager;')

Far North District Council

Private being an approved Utility Operator in accordance with submitting a request for access in accordance with that act;

BROADSPECTRUM (NEW ZEALAND) LIMITED being the agent of the Utility Operator submitting this request on behalf of the Utility Operator and in accordance with the Utility Operator's statutory rights ('the Applicant').

# **3. Attachments**

Attachment 1 being the Schedule of Reasonable Conditions.

Attachment 2 being plan TMP showing the agreed service location.

### 4. Background

(a) The Utility Operator wishes to carry out the works stated on CAR Number 1771903 and thereafter maintain the utility services established in the corridor;

(b) The Corridor Manager is required to provide a written consent in accordance with its governing legislation and to provide a schedule of reasonable conditions, if required, by the utility legislation under which the request for access has been made; and

(c) In accordance with the Code: Utilities' Access to the Transport Corridors and on behalf of the Corridor Manager, I give my written consent for access to the corridor at the agreed location and attach my schedule of reasonable conditions:

(d) In the case of State highways this Works Access Permit serves as the approvals required under sections 51 and 78 of the Government Roading Powers Act.

Signed quarter

Date 25/01/2021

Alan Wheatley acting pursuant to delegated authority.

FOR Corridor Manager APPROVAL USE ONLY Time Spent Processing: Approved Route Plan V TMP Submitted Stockpiling Arrangements Arrangements APPROVED CAR 1771903 Alan Wheatley STMS Number 21790 Far North District Council Arrangements 25 January 2021

# CONDITIONS

# **General Conditions**

- The Utility Operator must: (a) carry out all Work in Transport Corridors in accordance with 1 the Code and KiwiRail's Specifications for Working in Railway Corridors; (b) undertake all Works in compliance with the Acts of Parliament and mandated codes of practice that relate to their industry and the type of Work described within the plans and methodology submitted; (c) install assets more or less in the location shown on the attached plans, and agree the exact location and position with the Road Corridor Manager before Work commences; (d) locate any Utility Structures in the Road Corridor in the agreed position shown on the drawings and clear of the Carriageway, Road Corridor furniture and kerbs, drains, manholes, etc. Utility Structures agreed to be within the trafficable part of the Road are to be flush with the surface and designed to withstand full heavy Traffic loading (NZTA's HN-HO-72 Traffic Loading); (e) provide a full description of the construction methodology, reinstatement, resurfacing and compaction and agree this with the Road Corridor Manager prior to Work commencing; (f) make the Works available at all times for inspection by any person representing the Road Corridor Manager; (g) if requested, pay the reasonable costs of the Road Corridor Manager in connection with the processing of this notice and for the monitoring and auditing of the Works; (See NZ Transport Agency Cost Structure under Clause 23) (h) keep a full copy of the Works Access Permit/ Permit to Enter and Reasonable Conditions on the Work Site at all times during the Works; (i) undertake remedial action on non-conforming Work within the timeframe set by the Road Corridor Manager, where reasonable and practicable; (i) gain all the necessary consents, approvals and permits from the relevant statutory and regulatory authorities at its own cost; (k) keep plans of the installed Work and make them available to the Railway Corridor Manager (in all cases) and Road Corridor Manager (on request); (I) compensate the Road Corridor Manager for any damage or costs incurred to the Road Corridor due to the Work or for costs resulting from the removal of abandoned installations, Utility Structures, components and equipment that belong to the Utility Operator; (m) repair all Road Corridor assets damaged as a result of the Works, should the Road Corridor Manager determine these are necessary prior to the end of the Warranty period; (n) restore to their original condition any surface or Utility Structure that was damaged or removed as a result of the Works; (o) control the surface water channels so as to cause minimal interference to existing flows; (p) fully restore the surface water channels at the completion of the Works; (q) notify the Road Corridor Manager of any maintenance Work it proposes to undertake within the two-year Warranty period; (r) have in place an approved TMP for Roads and Motorways at least two days prior to Work commencing on the Work Site; (s) provide the Road Corridor Manager with two Working Days' notice before commencement of Work on the Work Site; (t) ensure that the Work is carried out under the control of a warranted supervisor as required by the Code of Practice for Temporary Traffic Management and ensure that there are sufficient people on site specifically to control the flow of Traffic through the site in accordance with the TMP; (u) comply with instructions from an officer of the NZ Police Traffic Safety Branch or a duly authorised agent of the Road Corridor Manager in respect of Traffic management and safety; (v) complete Works in the Road Corridor in one continuous operation (suspension of Works over five continuous days requires the prior written permission of the Road Corridor Manager); (w) protect and maintain all Road Corridor signs, markers, signals, barriers and associated marking and replace them to the appropriate industry standard where they have been damaged by the Works: (x) complete and submit a Works Completion Notice form when the Works are complete; and (y) stop Work as necessary to meet the requirements of section 42 of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014.
- 2. Work must not take place on or near a State highway during and one day either side of a public holiday or public holiday weekend.
- 3. Where otherwise required due to Traffic volumes or specific residential or Central Business District requirements, the hours of Work must be as specified in the Local Conditions and Special Conditions.



4. The Warranty period starts from the date the Road Corridor Manager has given signed acceptance that the Work is complete or otherwise as provided in Section 4.7.1.7 of the STMS Number 21790

CAR Number: 1771903

Far North District Council Page 1 Of 2 25 January 2021 Code.

- 5. Unless the Works stated in the WAP have started on the Work Site, the agreement relating to the Works will only remain valid for six months from the date of approval on the Works Access Permit.
- 6. The Road Corridor Manager must manage all applications relating to Road Corridor access in accordance with the timeframes and processes in the Code.
- 7. The Corridor Manager may:

(a) assess the suitability of any action proposed by the Utility Operator during the Warranty period and impose Reasonable Conditions that will maintain the integrity of the Road assets;

(b) arrange for remedial Work to be done and recover the costs incurred from the Utility Operator, if the Utility Operator fails to take action within the agreed timeframe; and

(c) instruct the Utility Operator to stop Work and leave the Work Site (having made the site safe) if the Works are not complying with the relevant Reasonable Conditions including any plans, relevant conditions or specifications contained in the Code, or permission requirements.

- 8. In granting this WAP, no vested right is created.
- 9. This WAP is not transferable without the written permission of the Road Corridor Manager.

# **Local Conditions**



CAR Number: 1771903



Condition 3 e)



1.4

# **Application for Road Naming/Renaming**

# GENERAL INFORMATION

Proposed names are to be submitted for new roads in subdivisions to Infrastructure & Asset . Management Department with the application for resource consent. Proposed names are to be submitted (in writing) for existing legal but unnamed roads, to the Administration Officer, Infrastructure & Asset Management Department. Proposed road renamings are to be submitted in writing. Requests from outside of Council must provide information and background as to why the road should be renamed. Written consent from affected residents and owners must be obtained. The proposed names will be checked against Council's Roading database to avoid duplication. Liaise with the iwi when using Maori names and provide supporting document of their approval. Several names (3 are recommended) should be submitted for each road or accessway, in order of preference in case of rejection A background to the names, their origins and their link with the area is to be supplied Personal names are to be discouraged unless the name submitted has a historical connection with the property being subdivided, or are that of a well-known identity or prominent Far Northerner, or New Zealander It is Councils prerogative under Section 319(j) Local Government Act 1974 to name streets and e the Council may refuse to approve names considered unsuitable Where more than one road is being created in a subdivision, a common theme is recommended a for road names Private road names are considered by Council. They will need to meet Council's Signage Guidelines (white background with blue lettering. Names are to be chosen in proportion to the type of road, and in accordance with the Naming Guide at the end of the form If you are unsure if the road you want to name is a Council maintained road or private road, please contact the Infrastructure & Asset Management Department. **APPLICANT DETAILS** Applicant/Developer Name: Stephen Bill lowns Ltd 10/clings Ltd Organisation: RI 3. in Postal Address: 021 021 2284759 \_\_\_Mobile: Phone:\_\_\_\_

stenhanarrownbrands

Email:

and the second second				
ROAD LOCATIO	ON			
Address: 19	to Naipopo Rel Konkeri			
Legal Descriptio	n: Lot 1 DP 408584 + Lot 4 OP 513347			
Resource Conse	ent Application Number: 21406 73 - RMAVAR/A.			
Please supply a	a scheme plan map that clearly indicates the location of the Road, Private Road			
or Right-Of-Wa	y when submitting your application.			
TYPE OF ROAD	) (Please tick) -			
Public R	toad Private Road Right-of-Way			
PROPOSED RO	DAD NAMES			
Road 1	First Choice: The Laker Drive			
	Second Choice: Lakevien Arive			
	Third Choice: Mason Heights			
Road 2	First Choice:			
	Second Choice:			
	Third Choice:			
Road 3	First Choice:			
	Second Choice:			
	Third Choice:			
BACKGROUND A background to	the names, their origins and their link with the area is to be supplied			
Road	will and I II - I			
Della	Will eventually continue on and			
Luilt	Emen int & significant Loute,			
Tal	By John Willion			
Ponn	Mason consulted			
Bevan	Milarty consulted Conner of LotL			

ROAD RENAMING

Please state the current road name and your reason for requesting the name change below then complete the rest of the form. Also, please ensure you attach the written consents of at least 85% of affected residents and attach to the application form.

# **GENERAL INFORMATION AND GUIDELINES**

Ensure that road names are not duplicated in the Far North District (*both spelling and pronunciation to be considered*); this includes same road names with different suffix. To do this, please use <u>www.google.co.nz/maps</u> and search "proposed road name (excl suffix) Northland" to check if proposed names may be duplicates.

Ensure that road type appropriately matches the definition of the suffix, such as 'road', 'avenue' etc. Road names without a suffix are now strongly discouraged (e.g. Broadway). The following definitions provide a guide, but please note that other appropriate suffixes that are not in this list may be used.

Suffix	Definition
Avenue	A generally broad straight roadway planted on each side with trees
Boulevard	A wide roadway well paved usually with trees and grass
Circle Close	A roadway that generally forms a circle or a short enclosed roadway bounded by a circle A short enclosed road.
Court	A short enclosed road usually surrounded by buildings
Crescent	A crescent or half-moon shaped street rejoining the road from which it starts
Drive	Wide main roadway without many cross streets - an especially scenic road or street
Esplanade	Level roadway along the seaside, lake or a river
Glade	Roadway usually in a valley of trees
Green	Roadway often leading to a grassed public recreation area
Grove	A road that often features a group of trees standing together
Lane	A narrow way, path, country road or street. A narrow passage between hedges or buildings, an alley
Loop	Roadway that diverges from and then rejoining a main thoroughfare
Mews	Roadway in a group of houses
Suffix	Definition
Parade	Public roadway or promenade
Place	A short sometimes narrow enclosed roadway
Quay	A roadway alongside or projecting into water
Rise	A roadway going to a higher place or position
Road	Route or way between places. General usage. Defined in Local Government Act 1974, Section 315
Terrace	Roadway on a hilly area that is mainly flat
Vale	A roadway along low ground between hills
Way	A winding or curved track or path for passing along

The following are suitable suffixes for particular road types:

Road Type Cul-de-sac (short dead-end street with turnaround at the end) Close, Court, Place Wide spacious street

Suffix Avenue, Boulevard, Parade

The following are suitable suffixes for private roads and private ways categorised into particular road types:

l	Road Type	Suffix				
Í.	Narrow road and right of way	Lane, Way				
ľ	Associated with high ground	Rise,				
L	Associated with low ground	Vale				
l	Tree lined road	Avenue, Glade, Grove				
	Applicants Signature:	Rfl.		Date: 25	Jongar	, 2021
	Return Application to Postal:	/ Far North District Council Private Bag 752 KAIKOHE 0440 Attention: Selina Topia	or	Email: selii	na.topia@fndc.go	vt.nz
-						

# Appendix - Guidelines for Choosing a Road Name

Road names should be chosen from the following categories provided they meet the criteria in clause 5.5 and 5.6 of this policy.

7.1 History – Weighting 3

1010-034

7.1.1 The name of a historical person, event, industry or activity associated with the area. Such names may include early settlers and early notable people such as conservationists or naturalists.

7.1.2 The family name of the former owner of a farm or property or the name of the farm or property may be used if a historical context is established.

7.2 Culture – Weighting 3 (*Cultural significance to Maori or culture other than Maori*) 7.2.1 This category includes the name of a Maori heritage precinct, site or track or traditional appropriate Maori name for the area.

7.2.2 All Maori names are to be submitted to an lwi representative to ensure that they are appropriate, spelt correctly, interpreted correctly and are not offensive to Maori.

7.2.3 Maori should be consulted as to whether they have an interest in the land on which the road is to be constructed and asked if they wish to contribute names at the beginning of the Resource Consent process.

7.2.4 Joint non-Maori/Maori names will not generally be considered.

7.3 Geography – Weighting 2

7.3.1 This category includes local geographical, topographical, geological and landscape features. 7.3.2 Local flora and fauna also fall into this category e.g. trees, plants and animals that are widespread and plentiful in the area.

7.3.3 Views must be readily identifiable.

7.4 Theme – Weighting 2 (*Common or established themes in the area*) 7.4.1 Where more than one road is being created in a development, a common theme is recommended for the names.

7.4.2 Where there is an established theme in an area, new road names should reflect this theme.

7.4.3 Proposed themes for a new subdivision must be submitted to council for approval.

7.4.4 When all the roads in a development or suburb fit a theme, the road layout of the development is easier to remember. The area will stand out on a map.

7.4.5 A theme may contribute to a sense of community within the area. A well chosen theme with the roads named accordingly can leave a lasting impression long after the development process has been completed.

7.5 Noteworthy Person – weighting 1 (Personal name for special service to the District or community)

7.5.1 Persons who have made a notable contribution to the area or the District fall into this category. The contribution which can be duly recognised may be in conservation, community service, sport, arts, military, commerce, local government or other sphere of activity.

7.5.2 Names from local war memorials will be considered where appropriate. Permission of surviving relatives should be obtained where appropriate.

### 7.6 Weighting the Names

7.6.1 Names may fit more than one category. The weighting reflects the relative importance of the categories and enables names to be ranked in order of merit - with the highest scored being the highest ranked.

As at 12:53PM, Thursday 11 February 2021

# Payment Successful

A payment has been made with the following details:

To:FNDC online paymentFrom Account:12-3091-0156927-00 (Business Cheque)Amount:\$256.00

Details to appear on their statement: ThomsonSurve RC 219673 Okokiwi9452



Notes regarding electronic payments: If your payment is being made to a non-ASB Account, you should allow up to 2 working days from the time of this transaction for the funds to be credited to the other bank account.

ASB Bank Limited 2021
 Privacy Statement FastNet Classic Terms Internet Access Terms



RE: 7 The Lakes Drive stormwater mitigation report

Ben Steenkamp <BenS@wjl.co.nz> Mon 15/07/2024 3:45 PM To:Andrew McPhee <andrew@bayplan.co.nz> Cc:Steve Sanson <Steve@bayplan.co.nz> Hi Andrew.

All good, here is a markup of the new setup in the tanks as well. This brings flows below the pre development flows for the 10% and 1% AEP design storms for all impervious areas as per the consent notice.



Kind regards,

Ben Steenkamp

Civil Group Manager (CPEng, BEng Civil, BSc (Geology), CMEngNZ)



Northland, Auckland-Waikato, Canterbury, Southern Lakes E: <u>bens@wijl.co.nz</u> New Jobs: <u>jobs@wijl.co.nz</u> PO Box 11381, Ellerslie, Auckland 1542 | 196 Centreway Road, Orewa, Auckland 0932 Website: <u>www.wiltonjoubert.co.nz</u>

From: Andrew McPhee <andrew@bayplan.co.nz> Sent: Monday, July 15, 2024 3:14 PM To: Ben Steenkamp <BenS@wjl.co.nz> Cc: Steve Sanson <Steve@bayplan.co.nz> Subject: Re: 7 The Lakes Drive stormwater mitigation report

#### Thanks Ben

If you are comfortable, I will just use the email trail below in the AEE to address the issue? If the design methodology is redundant and the methodology you provided address the effects, then that should in theory suffice.

Kind regards Andrew

From: Ben Steenkamp <<u>BenS@wijl.co.nz</u>> Sent: Monday, 15 July 2024 2:30 pm To: Andrew McPhee <<u>andrew@bayplan.co.nz</u>>

#### 7/15/24, 4:07 PM

Cc: Steve Sanson <<u>Steve@bayplan.co.nz</u>> Subject: RE: 7 The Lakes Drive stormwater mitigation report

### Thanks Andrew,

The design methodology used in the report is actually not one accepted by FNDC. But as it is in the consent notice, we can change to this.

# Please see below changes from my prelim checks.

Everything increases a bit in order to comply with the conditions.

One thing we cannot resolve is to pipe the 100-year storm via a kerb discharge – would need 5 x 90mm outlets which would look bad. I recommend just to let it overspill on the road as it wont make much difference.



### Let me know if I should update the report with these changes - Note that this will be done on a time/cost basis - 2 hours max

Kind regards,

Ben Steenkamp Civil Group Manager (CPEng, BEng Civil, BSc (Geology), CMEngNZ)



Northland, Auckland-Walkato, Canterbury, Southern Lakes E: <u>bens@wijl.co.nz</u> New Jobs: j<u>obs@wijl.co.nz</u> PO Box 11381, Ellerslie, Auckland 1542 | 196 Centreway Road, Orewa, Auckland 0932 Website: <u>www.wiltonjoubert.co.nz</u> From: Andrew McPhee <<u>andrew@bayplan.co.nz</u>> Sent: Monday, July 15, 2024 9:16 AM To: Ben Steenkamp <<u>BenS@wjl.co.nz</u>> Cc: Steve Sanson <<u>Steve@bayplan.co.nz</u>> Subject: Re: 7 The Lakes Drive stormwater mitigation report

#### Hi Ben

The property file is a bit of a labyrinth to get through, and I cannot find any reference to RC2190163.

It would appear that the condition should be referencing RC2190673 which has a condition 3a. The attached report was submitted to satisfy the condition as part of 223, I can only assume it is what the condition is referencing.

Kind regards Andrew

From: Andrew McPhee <<u>andrew@bayplan.co.nz</u>>
Sent: Thursday, 11 July 2024 10:19 am
To: Ben Steenkamp <<u>BenS@wjl.co.nz</u>>
Cc: Steve Sanson <<u>Steve@bayplan.co.nz</u>>
Subject: Re: 7 The Lakes Drive stormwater mitigation report

Hi Ben

I am going to need to order the property file, so will send through once I have it.

Kind regards Andrew

Get Outlook for Android

From: Ben Steenkamp <<u>BenS@wjl.co.nz</u>>
Sent: Thursday, July 11, 2024 10:08:32 AM
To: Andrew McPhee <<u>andrew@bayplan.co.nz</u>>
Cc: Steve Sanson <<u>Steve@bayplan.co.nz</u>>
Subject: RE: 7 The Lakes Drive stormwater mitigation report

You don't often get email from <u>bens@wjl.co.nz</u>. Learn why this is important

Hi Andrew,

Our design was done to comply with the district plan zoning requirements. We are not aware of any site conditions. Can you please send through the Report referred to below? I can have a look to see if this differs to our design.

Kind regards, Ben Steenkamp Civil Group Manager (CPEng, BEng Civil, BSc (Geology), CMEngNZ)



Northland, Auckland-Waikato, Canterbury, Southern Lakes P: 027 2792 392 | E: <u>bens@wjl.co.nz</u> New Jobs: jobs@wjl.co.nz PO Box 11381, Ellerslie, Auckland 1542 | 196 Centreway Road, Orewa, Auckland 0932 Website: <u>www.wiltonjoubert.co.nz</u>

From: Andrew McPhee <<u>andrew@bayplan.co.nz</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, July 10, 2024 3:18 PM
To: Ben Steenkamp <<u>BenS@wjl.co.nz</u>>
Cc: Steve Sanson <<u>Steve@bayplan.co.nz</u>>
Subject: 7 The Lakes Drive stormwater mitigation report

### Hi Ben

I am preparing the RC for this property using the stormwater mitigation report prepared by WJ.

Quick question, did you site or use the Stormwater Management and Attenuation Report provided in Condition 3(a) of RC2190163?

iv. Any development requiring building consent on the lot shall be accompanied by a Stormwater Management report prepared by a



#### Annexure Schedule: Page:2 of 2

Far North District Council herrer for Y12 Henred An Realist CR2, Des James Freedowse CR2, Des James Freedowse CR2, Des James Freedowse CR2, Des James Freedowse CR3, Des James Water weiche Auster Water weiche Australia

suitably qualified practitioner in accordance with the 'Stormwater Management and Attenuation Report' provided in Condition 3(a) of RC2190163

This is a condition on the consent notice applying to the property so either needs to be referenced in your report, or I need to request the property file and establish whether your report is consistent.

Trying option 'a' first before I request the file.

Kind regards



### Andrew McPhee (BPlan Hons)

Director | Consultant Planner Bay of Islands Planning (2022) Ltd

<u>021784331</u>

andrew@bayplan.co.nz

https://www.bayplan.co.nz

Kerikeri House, Suite 3 88 Kerikeri Road, Kerikeri, 0295