

Kerikari Service Centro 2 SEP 2024 Office Use Only Application Number:

Application for resource consent or fast-track resource consent

(Or Associated Consent Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)) (If applying for a Resource Consent pursuant to Section 87AAC or 88 of the RMA, this form can be used to satisfy the requirements of Schedule 4). Prior to, and during, completion of this application form, please refer to Resource Consent Guidance Notes and Schedule of Fees and Charges — <u>both available on the Council's web page</u>.

1. Pre-Lodgement Meeting

Have you met with a council Resource Consent representative to discuss this application prior to lodgement? **Yes No**

(more than one circle can be ticked):	
CLand Use	Discharge
Fast Track Land Use*	Change of Consent Notice (s.221(3))
Subdivision	Extension of time (s.125)
Consent under National Environm (e.g. Assessing and Managing Contai	ental Standard ninants in Soil)
Other (please specify)	

* The fast track is for simple land use consents and is restricted to consents with a controlled activity status.

3. Would you like to opt out of the Fast Track Process?

Yes No

4. Consultation

Have you consulted with l	wi/Hapū? 🔵 Yes 🧭 No
If yes, which groups have you consulted with?	
Who else have you consulted with?	Engineers.
For any questions or informat	tion recording buildon a consultation places contact To Uses at Far North District

For any questions or information regarding iwi/hapū consultation, please contact Te Hono at Far North District Council <u>tehonosupport@fndc.govt.nz</u>

5. Applicant Details

Name/s:

Email:

ĩ

Phone number:

Postal address: (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the act)

		the second second second second	A Contraction of the	and the second second second second	
	Stella	Terrell			
nod of on 352					

6. Address for Correspondence

Name and address for service and correspondence (if using an Agent write their details here)

Name/s:	Versatile	
Email:		
Phone number:		
Postal address: or alternative method of service under section 352 of the act)		

* All correspondence will be sent by email in the first instance. Please advise us if you would prefer an alternative means of communication.

7. Details of Property Owner/s and Occupier/s

Name and Address of the Owner/Occupiers of the land to which this application relates (where there are multiple owners or occupiers please list on a separate sheet if required)

Name/s:	Jin + Stella	Terrell	
Property Address/ Location:	114 Waipapa	Rd	
		Postcode	

8. Application Site Details

Location and/or property street address of the proposed activity:

Name/s:	Jin + Stella Terrell.
Site Address/ Location:	114 Jaipapa Rd.
	Postcode
Legal Description:	Lot 3 DP 167464 Val Number:
Certificate of title:	

Please remember to attach a copy of your Certificate of Title to the application, along with relevant consent notices and/or easements and encumbrances (search copy must be less than 6 months old)

Site visit requirements:

Is there a locked gate or security system restricting access by Council staff? () Yes () No

Is there a dog on the property? OYes 🕑 No

Please provide details of any other entry restrictions that Council staff should be aware of, e.g. health and safety, caretaker's details. This is important to avoid a wasted trip and having to rearrange a second visit.

0.1		

9. Description of the Proposal:

Please enter a brief description of the proposal here. Please refer to Chapter 4 of the District Plan, and Guidance Notes, for further details of information requirements.

Breach of stormwater Ma. Report Attached + completed Haglworkene

If this is an application for a Change or Cancellation of Consent Notice conditions (s.221(3)), please quote relevant existing Resource Consents and Consent Notice identifiers and provide details of the change(s), with reasons for requesting them.

10. Would you like to request Public Notification?

Yes 🕅 No

11. Other Consent required/being applied for under different legislation

(more than one circle can be ticked).	(more	than	one	circle	can	be	ticked):
---------------------------------------	-------	------	-----	--------	-----	----	----------

Building Consent 2025 (Markhown)

Regional Council Consent (ref # if known) Ref # here (if known)

National Environmental Standard consent Consent here (if known)

Other (please specify) Specify 'other' here

12. National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health:

The site and proposal may be subject to the above NES. In order to determine whether regard needs to be had to the NES please answer the following:

Is the piece of land currently being used or has it historically ever been used for an activity or industry on the Hazardous Industries and Activities List (HAIL) **Yes No Don't know**

Is the proposed activity an activity covered by the NES? Please tick if any of the following apply to your proposal, as the NESCS may apply as a result. **Yes Yo Don't know**

Subdividing land

Changing the use of a piece of land

Disturbing, removing or sampling soil

Removing or replacing a fuel storage system

13. Assessment of Environmental Effects:

Every application for resource consent must be accompanied by an Assessment of Environmental Effects (AEE). This is a requirement of Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and an application can be rejected if an adequate AEE is not provided. The information in an AEE must be specified in sufficient detail to satisfy the purpose for which it is required. Your AEE may include additional information such as Written Approvals from adjoining property owners, or affected parties.

Your AEE is attached to this application Ores As part of engineers report

13. Draft Conditions:

Do you wish to see the draft conditions prior to the release of the resource consent decision? () Yes () No

If yes, do you agree to extend the processing timeframe pursuant to Section 37 of the Resource Management Act by 5 working days? **Yes No**

14. Billing Details:

This identifies the person or entity that will be responsible for paying any invoices or receiving any refunds associated with processing this resource consent. Please also refer to Council's Fees and Charges Schedule.



Fees Information

An instalment fee for processing this application is payable at the time of lodgement and must accompany your application in order for it to be lodged. Please note that if the instalment fee is insufficient to cover the actual and reasonable costs of work undertaken to process the application you will be required to pay any additional costs. Invoiced amounts are payable by the 20th of the month following invoice date. You may also be required to make additional payments if your application requires notification.

Declaration concerning Payment of Fees

I/we understand that the Council may charge me/us for all costs actually and reasonably incurred in processing this application. Subject to my/our rights under Sections 357B and 358 of the RMA, to object to any costs, I/we undertake to pay all and future processing costs incurred by the Council. Without limiting the Far North District Council's legal rights if any steps (including the use of debt collection agencies) are necessary to recover unpaid processing costs I/we agree to pay all costs of recovering those processing costs. If this application is made on behalf of a trust (private or family), a society (incorporated or unincorporated) or a company in signing this application I/we are binding the trust, society or company to pay all the above costs and guaranteeing to pay all the above costs in my/our personal capacity.

Cla Det

Name: (please write in full)

Signature: (signature of bill payer

15. Important Information:

Note to applicant

You must include all information required by this form. The information must be specified in sufficient detail to satisfy the purpose for which it is required.

Mch

You may apply for 2 or more resource consents that are needed for the same activity on the same form. You must pay the charge payable to the consent authority for the resource consent application under the Resource Management Act 1991.

Fast-track application

Under the fast-track resource consent process, notice of the decision must be given within 10 working days after the date the application was first lodged with the authority, unless the applicant opts out of that process at the time of lodgement. A fast-track application may cease to be a fast-track application under section 87AAC(2) of the RMA.

Privacy Information:

MANDATORY

Once this application is lodged with the Council it becomes public information. Please advise Council if there is sensitive information in the proposal. The information you have provided on this form is required so that your application for consent pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991 can be processed under that Act. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Far North District Council. The details of your application may also be made available to the public on the Council's website, www.fndc.govt.nz. These details are collected to inform the general public and community groups about all consents which have been issued through the Far North District Council.

Date 2

204

15. Important information continued...

Declaration

The information I have supplied with this application is true and complete to the best of my knowledge.

Name: (please write in full)	Mike	Clarach	
Signature:			Date 2/9/2024
		made by electronic means	
		and the second second second second second second	

Checklist (please tick if information is provided)

- Payment (cheques payable to Far North District Council)
- (A current Certificate of Title (Search Copy not more than 6 months old)
- ODetails of your consultation with lwi and hapū
- Ocopies of any listed encumbrances, easements and/or consent notices relevant to the application
- Applicant / Agent / Property Owner / Bill Payer details provided
- Output to the second second
- Assessment of Environmental Effects
- Written Approvals / correspondence from consulted parties
- Reports from technical experts (if required)
- Ocopies of other relevant consents associated with this application
- CLocation and Site plans (land use) AND/OR
- Location and Scheme Plan (subdivision)
- Elevations / Floor plans
- Topographical / contour plans

Please refer to Chapter 4 of the District Plan for details of the information that must be provided with an application. Please also refer to the RC Checklist available on the Council's website. This contains more helpful hints as to what information needs to be shown on plans.



RECORD OF TITLE UNDER LAND TRANSFER ACT 2017 FREEHOLD

Search Copy



R.W. Muir Registrar-General of Land

Identifier	NA101C/568
Land Registration District	North Auckland
Date Issued	14 February 1996

Prior References NA30A/1258

EstateFee SimpleArea1.1067 hectares more or lessLegal DescriptionLot 3 Deposited Plan 167464Registered OwnersStella Anne Terrell as to a 1/4 shareTerrell Trustee Limited as to a 3/4 share

Interests

Appurtenant hereto are rights to convey water, transmit electricity and telecommunications specified in Easement Certificate C954439.3 - 14.2.1996 at 3.15 pm

The easements specified in Easement Certificate C954439.3 are subject to Section 243 (a) Resource Management Act 1991 12859657.3 Mortgage to Bank of New Zealand - 30.11.2023 at 4:37 pm



Identifier



Stormwater Management Report For proposed building 114 Waipapa Road Lot 3 DP 167464 for

Jim Terrell

r.

. ÷

Haigh Workman reference 24 165

28 August 2024 - Final

Phone: +64 9 407 8327 • Fax: +64 9 407 8378 • info@haighworkman.co.nz • www.haighworkman.co.nz



(a) Revision History

Revision Nº	Issued By	Description	Date
	Alan Collins	Final	28 August 2024

Prepared by

2

,

,

Alan Collins Senior Civil Engineer MEngSt, BE (Hons)

Reviewed by

Tom Adcock Senior Civil Engineer BE (Civil), MEngNZ

Approved by

John Papesch Senior Civil Engineer CPEng, IntPE (NZ)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

•

i.

3

1	Exe	cutive Summary	
2	Intr	roduction	5
2	2.1	Objective and Scope	5
2	2.2	Limitations	5
3	Site	e Description	6
3	.1	Site Location	6
3	.2	Site Features	6
3	.3	District Plan Zoning	7
3	.4	Proposed Development	7
4	Acc	ess	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4	.1	Vehicle Crossing Details	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4	.2	Sighting Distance	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4	.3	Driveway	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4	.4	Parking and Manoeuvring	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4	.5	Traffic	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4	.6	Assessment Criteria	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5	Floc	od Hazard Assessment	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5 5	Floc	od Hazard Assessment Regulatory Framework	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined.
5 5 5	Floc .1 .2	od Hazard Assessment Regulatory Framework Flood Mapping	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined.
5 5 5 5	Floc .1 .2 .3	od Hazard Assessment Regulatory Framework Flood Mapping Recommended Minimum Floor Levels	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined.
5 5 5 6	Floc .1 .2 .3 Stor	od Hazard Assessment Regulatory Framework Flood Mapping Recommended Minimum Floor Levels rmwater Management	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined.
5 5 5 6 6	Floc .1 .2 .3 Stor .1	od Hazard Assessment Regulatory Framework Flood Mapping Recommended Minimum Floor Levels rmwater Management Impervious Surface Area	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. 8
5 5 5 6 6	Floc .1 .2 .3 Stor .1 .2	od Hazard Assessment Regulatory Framework Flood Mapping Recommended Minimum Floor Levels rmwater Management Impervious Surface Area Stormwater Quantity Control	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. 8 8
5 5 6 6 6	Floc .1 .2 .3 Stor .1 .2 .3	od Hazard Assessment Regulatory Framework Flood Mapping Recommended Minimum Floor Levels rmwater Management Impervious Surface Area Stormwater Quantity Control Assessment Criteria	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. 8 8 8 8
5 5 6 6 6 7	Floc .1 .2 .3 Stor .1 .2 .3 Wat	od Hazard Assessment Regulatory Framework Flood Mapping Recommended Minimum Floor Levels rmwater Management Impervious Surface Area Stormwater Quantity Control Assessment Criteria ter Supply	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. 8 8 12 Error! Bookmark not defined.
5 5 6 6 6 7 7	Floc .1 .2 .3 Stor .1 .2 .3 Wat	od Hazard Assessment Regulatory Framework Flood Mapping Recommended Minimum Floor Levels rmwater Management Impervious Surface Area Stormwater Quantity Control Assessment Criteria ter Supply Potable Water Supply	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. 8 8 12 Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined.
5 5 5 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7	Floc .1 .2 .3 Stor .1 .2 .3 Wat .1 .2	od Hazard Assessment Regulatory Framework Flood Mapping Recommended Minimum Floor Levels rmwater Management Impervious Surface Area Stormwater Quantity Control Assessment Criteria ter Supply Potable Water Supply Water Demand	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. 8 8 12 Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined.
5 5 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7	Floc .1 .2 .3 Stor .1 .2 .3 Wat .1 .2 .3	od Hazard Assessment Regulatory Framework Flood Mapping Recommended Minimum Floor Levels rmwater Management Impervious Surface Area Stormwater Quantity Control Assessment Criteria ter Supply Potable Water Supply Water Demand Fire Fighting	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. 8 8 12 Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined.
5 5 5 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 8	Floc .1 .2 .3 Stor .1 .2 .3 Wat .3 Was	od Hazard Assessment Regulatory Framework Flood Mapping Recommended Minimum Floor Levels rmwater Management Impervious Surface Area Stormwater Quantity Control Assessment Criteria ter Supply Potable Water Supply Water Demand Fire Fighting	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. 8 8 12 Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined.
5 5 5 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 8 8	Floc .1 .2 .3 Stor .1 .2 .3 Wat .1 .3 Was .1	od Hazard Assessment Regulatory Framework Flood Mapping Recommended Minimum Floor Levels rmwater Management Impervious Surface Area Stormwater Quantity Control Assessment Criteria ter Supply Potable Water Supply Water Demand Fire Fighting Stewater Generation Volume	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. 8 8 12 Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined.
5 5 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8	Floc .1 .2 .3 Stor .1 .2 .3 Wat .1 .2 .3	od Hazard Assessment Regulatory Framework Flood Mapping Recommended Minimum Floor Levels rmwater Management Impervious Surface Area Stormwater Quantity Control Assessment Criteria ter Supply Potable Water Supply Water Demand Fire Fighting stewater Generation Volume System Capacity	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. 8 8 12 Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined.

Stormwater Management Report for Proposed Building 114 Waipapa Road Jim Terril

1 Executive Summary

Civil & Structural Engineers

GH WORKMA

1

4

Haigh Workman Ltd was commissioned by Jim Terrell (the Client) to undertake a stormwater management report to support building consent application for a proposed building for 114 Waipapa Road. The building is to be built by Versatile Buildings.

The impervious surface area calculation shows 15.8% coverage making the proposed development a Controlled Activity.

Downstream stream inundation of certain buildings can be expected in a 1% AEP event. To not exacerbate the flood hazard, the 1% AEP event is to be attenuated.

The 2023 FNDC Engineering Standards were applied to calculated runoff effects for the 50%, 20%, and 1% AEP events. In order to attenuate to 80% predevelopment flowrate, 0.6L/s, 0.7L/s, and 1.3L/s is required to be detained in a 50%, 20%, and 1% AEP event respectively.

The required attenuation is achieved and exceeded with a standard 5000L detention tank collecting roof runoff from the proposed building. A single outlet orifice of 20mm diameter provides the necessary attenuation.

Outflows are to be directed to the northeast, mimicking the pre-development flow path.

Stormwater Management Report for Proposed Building 114 Waipapa Road Jim Terril

2 Introduction

GH WORKMA

Civil & Structural Engineers

Haigh Workman Ltd was commissioned by Jim Terrell (the Client) to undertake a stormwater management report to support building consent application for a proposed building (roof area 64.8m²). The proposed building is to be built by Versatile who have provided a site plan (see Appendix).

The Site as an existing dwelling and ancillary buildings with a total existing roof area of 507m². A gravel culdesac driveway with parking area has an estimated area of 1080m².

The Site is located at 114 Waipapa Road, Kerikeri in the Rural Living Zone. It is understood that the proposed development is a controlled activity in regard to impervious percentage (see Versatile drawing – Part Site Plan V243639 Sheet 02 in the Appendix)

2.1 Objective and Scope

The objectives of this investigation were to:

- Review current regulation and stormwater neutrality requirements.
- Review flood hazard risk to downstream property.
- Conduct attenuation calculations.
- Propose detention tank design dimensions.

2.2 Limitations

This report is intended to support the consent application with the Far North District Council. The information and opinions expressed in this report shall not be used in any other context without prior approval from Haigh Workman Ltd.

If at consent application the proposed development diverges from the provided scheme plan, the report will need to be revisited.

Haigh Workman Ltd does not take responsibility for factors that affect the engineering assessment of the proposed development that are not covered in the agreed brief.



Stormwater Management Report for Proposed Building 114 Waipapa Road Jim Tertll

3 Site Description

3.1 Site Location

- Site Address: 114 Waipapa Road
- Legal Description: Lot 3 DP 167464

Total Site Area: 1.1067 ha



Figure 1: Site Plan view

6

3.2 Site Features

The Site is built on the north side of Waipapa Road on a northeast facing incline (Approx. 4%). Runoff travels as sheet flow towards a swale within the western boundary of 13 Silkwood Lane. No: 13 has a Council 450mm culvert collecting the outfall and discharging into the Silkwood Lane swale. The swale continues along the



5

.

7

Silkwood Lane corridor to a stormwater pond at the end of the Lane. The overflow to this pond is an overland flowpath directly into the Waipapa River.

The soil on the Site is considered good draining and has historically been used for horticulture. The location of the proposed building currently has grass coverage.

Other infrastructure on the Site includes an existing dwelling, two smaller buildings, a gravel driveway and parking bay and a pool with patio area.

3.3 District Plan Zoning

According to the Far North District Plan the Site is zoned as 'Rural Living'.

3.4 Proposed Development

The proposed scheme plan can be found in the Appendix. A proposed building of 64.8m² roof coverage is to be built in an area of existing grass coverage.

No other alterations to the existing site layout are understood.



4 Stormwater Management

4.1 Impervious Surface Area

The proposed development will see the existing roof area and concrete access and parking area increased.

The Post Development impervious percentage is determined below:

Existing Roof Cover	507m ²
Existing Pool Area	100m ²
Existing Gravel Driveway and Parking	1080m ²
Proposed Roof Cover	64.8m ²
Total Impervious	1715.8m ²
Total Site Area	11067m ²
Impervious Percentage	15.8%

Under Rule 8.7.5.1.5 of the Far North Operative Plan, to be a Permitted Activity the maximum proportion of a gross site area in the Rural Living Zone that can be impermeable is 12.5%. The proposed development exceeds this provision.

Under Rule 8.7.5.2.2, to be a Controlled Activity the maximum proportion or amount of the gross site area covered by buildings and other impermeable surfaces shall be 20% or 3300m², whichever is the lesser. The proposed development meets this provision.

The proposed development is considered a **Controlled Activity**. Rule 8.7.5.2.2 states that stormwater mitigation is required. While the Operative District Plan references the Verification Method E1/VM1 in the NZ Building Code as the design standard, it is understood that the FNDC Engineering Standards are now preferred.

4.2 Stormwater Quantity Control

4.2.1 *Regulative Framework*

The 2023 Far North Engineering Standards allows for the Rational Method for assessing runoff effects, with C values that are more suited for Far North Conditions detailed in Table 4-3. Table 4-1 stipulates that the 50% and 20% AEP event is to be attenuated to 80% predevelopment flowrate. It is understood from previous Haigh Workman projects that this refers only to the land being developed and not to the entire lot area.

Where flood is required, the 1% AEP event is to be detained to the 80% pre-development flowrate.

The historical flood data can be used. The 80% pre-development flowrate requirement is adequate allowance for climate change.

Rule C.6.4.2 of the Northland Regional Plan provides for the diversion and discharge of stormwater from outside a public stormwater network provided (amongst other conditions) the diversion and discharge does not cause or increase flooding of land on another property in a storm event of up to and including a 10 percent annual exceedance probability or flooding of buildings on another property in a storm event of up to and including a one percent annual exceedance probability.



Stormwater Management Report for Proposed Building 114 Waipapa Road Jim Terril

4.2.2 Downstream Flooding Risk

Stormwater from the Site travers as sheet flow in the northeast direction towards the Waipapa River. The NRCPriority River Model for the Waipapa River shows inundation for several bankside dwellings in a 1% AEP flood event (with climate change allowance). For example, 1 Waipapa Landing Place (see Figure 2). Because of the downstream flood risk, and because of the long time of concentration in comparison with the catchment length, it is necessary to provide attenuation to 80% pre-development flowrates in a 1% AEP + CC event to comply with Table 4-1 in the 2023 FNDC Engineering Standards



Figure 2: Downstream Flooding Risk to buildings in a 1% AEP event.

4.2.3 Runoff Effects

9

Runoff effects for the 50%, 20% and 1% AEP events (10 min intensity) were assessed for changes in flowrate. Rainfall intensities are taken from the NIWA HIRDs database using the historical data. Runoff Coefficients were taken from Table 4-3 in FNDC Engineering Standards.



Post-Development Runoff

	Area	С	15	Q5	I100	Q100	l2	Q2
	m²		mm/hr	L/s	mm/hr	L/s	mm/hr	L/s
Proposed Roof Area	64.8	0.96	84.6	1.5	147	2.5	65.4	1.1
Existing Roof Area	507	0.96	84.6	11.4	147	19.9	65.4	8.8
Existing Gravel Driveway	1080	0.74	84.6	18.8	147	32.6	65.4	14.5
Open Space (75%+ grass coverage), Type C soil	9415.2	0.59	84.6	130.5	147	226.8	65.4	100.9
Total	11067			162.2		281.9		125.4

Pre-Development Runoff

	Area	С	15	Q5	I100	Q100	12	Q2
	m ²		mm/hr	L/s	mm/hr	L/s	mm/hr	L/s
Roof Area	507	0.96	84.6	11.4	147	19.9	65.4	8.8
Gravel Pavement	1080	0.74	84.6	18.8	147	32.6	65.4	14.5
Open Space (75%+ grass coverage), Type C soil	9480	0.59	84.6	131.4	147	228.4	65.4	101.6
Total	11067			161.7		280.9		125.0
Excess run-off				0.6		1.0		0.4
Required Attenuation (to 80% predevelopment)				0.7		1.3		0.6

In order to detain flowrate in accordance with Table 4-1 of the FNDC Engineering Standards 2023, 0.6L/s, 0.7L/s, and 1.3L/s needs to be attenuated in a 50%, 20%, and 1% AEP respectively.

4.2.4 Stormwater Detention Tank Details

The detention calculation was conducted using a 6-hour nested design storm for the historic rainfall intensities for the 50%, 20%, and 1% AEP events.

It is proposed that a standard 5000L HDPE tank (with standard 1.9m diameter) be utilised for the detention tank. The intake of the detention tank is to be the roof water collection of the proposed building (64.8m² roof area). With a 20mm internal diameter outlet orifice set 100mm above the invert of the tank. The achieved attenuation is 0.7L/s, 0.7L/s, and 1.8L/s for the 50%, 20%, and 1% AEP events respectively.

The maximum storage expected in the tank is 2.433m³ in a 1% AEP event. An emergency overflow (100mm diameter) is to be positioned at the top of the tank in case of blockage. An access hatch is required for inspecting and cleaning the outlet orifice.

Outflows are to be directed in the northeast direction and dispersed with a T bar disperser laid parallel with the contours.



.

Stormwater Management Report for Proposed Building 114 Waipapa Road Jim Terrll



Figure 3: Attenuation in 50% AEP event.



Figure 4: Attenuation in a 20% AEP event.



Stormwater Management Report for Proposed Building 114 Waipapa Road Jim Terril



Figure 5: Attenuation in a 1% AEP event.

4.2.5 Consideration for the 10% AEP event

Standard 4.1.3(e) in the 2023 Engineering Standards states that primary stormwater systems shall be capable of conveying a 10% AEP event without surcharge. Stormwater is discharged into a swale on the Silkwood Lane corridor. The swale is of a good size and is judged to have capacity for a 10% AEP event.

Attenuation calculations of a 10% AEP event was not considered necessary as the required detention is likely to be achieved with attenuating the 20% and 1% AEP events.

4.3 Assessment Criteria

Assessment Criteria is taken from 11.3 of the FNDC Operative Plan:

Assessment	Comment	Acceptable
(a) The extent to which building site coverage and impermeable surfaces result in increased stormwater runoff and contribute to total catchment impermeability and the provisions of any catchment or drainage plan for that catchment.	The proposed detention tank system will mitigate any quantity effects.	Y
(b) The extent to which Low Impact Design principles have been used to reduce site impermeability.	The increase in impermeable surface is limited to the roof coverage of the proposed building. The dimensions of the access and parking areas are to remain unchanged.	Y
(c) Any cumulative effects on total catchment impermeability.	Not applicable.	N/A
(d) The extent to which building site coverage and impermeable surfaces will alter the natural contour	The are no changes to the natural contour or drainage patterns proposed.	Y



,

.

Stormwater Management Report for Proposed Building 114 Waipapa Road Jim Terrll

or drainage patterns of the site or disturb the ground and alter its ability to absorb water.		
(e) The physical qualities of the soil type.	Not applicable.	N/A
(f) Any adverse effects on the life supporting capacity of soils.	Not applicable.	N/A
(g) The availability of land for the disposal of effluent and stormwater on the site without adverse effects on the water quantity and water quality of water bodies (including groundwater and aquifers) or on adjacent sites.	The soil is not considered suitable for a soakage bed. However, some infiltration and evapotranspiration can be expected in the Silkwood Lane swale.	Y
(h) The extent to which paved, impermeable surfaces are necessary for the proposed activity.	The only new impermeable surface proposed is the roof for the proposed dwelling.	Y
(i) The extent to which landscaping may reduce adverse effects of run-off.	A small amount of landscaping is to be expected with residential usage. This has not been factored into the runoff effect calculation for the sake of conservatism.	Y
(j) Any recognised standards promulgated by industry groups	Not applicable.	N/A
(k) The means and effectiveness of mitigating stormwater run-off to that expected by the permitted activity threshold.	The existing infrastructure of the Site already exceeds the Permitted Activity threshold so it is not feasible.	N/A
 The extent to which the proposal has considered and provided for climate change. 	Attenuation calculations were conducted using the NIWA HIRDS Historical Data. As per the 2023 Engineering Standards, attenuation is required to return flowrates to 80% predevelopment. This 20% discount is adequate allowance for the effects of climate change.	Y
(m) The extent to which stormwater detention ponds and other engineering solutions are used to mitigate any adverse effects.	A 5L detention tank is demonstrated to mitigate all stormwater quantity effects.	Y



.

,

Stormwater Management Report for Proposed Building 114 Waipapa Road Jim Terrll

Appendix A – Scheme Plan









FUDC - Approved Building Consent Document - EBC-2025-45/0 - Pg 10 of 29 - 24/07/2024 - J.O



FUDC - Approved Building Consent Document - EBC-2025-45/0 - Pg 8 of 29 - 24/07/2024 - J.O

¥ . + ¥





	*Includes uncil	GST No F	Far	North	District	Со
			2~004	-920		
	**** Private Ba Memorial A Kaikohe O4	Duplicate ng 752 Ne 100	Rece	081 +++ +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	** 00 920029 9 4015200	
	Receipt No Date:) 8053 02-SEP-20	1590 124	Draw	er:KCCS2	
	RC APPL STELLA AND 114 WAIPAF KERIKERI)JIM TERRE A ROAD	ELL			
	AP PP/RMA	v/7187 E	ft	-	1,595.00 1,595.00	
•	Receipt An Amount Ter Change Iss Rounding A	nount: ndered: sued: nmount:			1,595.00 1,595.00	×

32



BAY OF ISLANDS PLANNING (2022) LIMITED

Kerikeri House Suite 3, 88 Kerikeri Road Kerikeri

<u>office@bayplan.co.nz</u> Website - <u>www.bayplan.co.nz</u>

12 September 2024

Far North District Council John Butler Centre Kerikeri

Dear Team Leaders,

Re: Proposed building- 114 Waipapa Rd, Kerikeri

Our client Versatile is seeking a resource consent to construct a building on 114 Waipapa Road, Kerikeri. The site is zoned Rural Living within the operative Far North District Plan (**ODP**), and Rural Residential under the Proposed Far North District Plan (**PDP**). Resource consent is required to accommodate the building as the site exceeds the permitted standard for stormwater management.

The application is a **controlled activity** under the ODP and requires resource consent in respect of Stormwater Management. We attach information required to be included in this application by the relevant statutory documents as follows:

- Appendix A Record of Titles & Relevant Instruments
- Appendix B Application Plans & Elevations (Versatile)
- Appendix C Stormwater Management Report (Haigh Workman)

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you require any further information.

Yours sincerely,

Andrew McPhee Consultant Planner



1. Introduction

The applicant is seeking a land use consent to construct a building on their property at 114 Waipapa Road in Kerikeri. The site is legally described as Lot 3 DP 167464 and comprises a land area of 1.1067ha. A copy of the relevant Records of Title is attached at **Appendix A**.

2. Site Description



Figure 1 – Site (Source: Prover)



Figure 2 – Site Aerial (Source: Google Earth)

The site is located on the northern side of Waipapa Road, with the access approximately 220 metres west



of the roundabout with the heritage Bypass. The site comprises a total land area of 1.1067ha and includes a number of buildings including a dwelling and two smaller buildings.

The site is generally grass covered with the boundaries and access landscaped with larger specimen trees. The site is larger than many of the surrounding properties in the Rural Living zone.

While the site has historically been used for horticultural activities, minimal earthworks are required for foundations only and the use of the site remains residential.

The site is not subject to Natural Hazards, nor is it within notable proximity to any waterbody or wetland.



Figure 3 – River Flood Hazard (Source: PDP Maps)

Far North Maps indicates that soil types are of high versatile value (LUC 3s2), however the site was part of a wider subdivision application creating small lifestyle sites with the intension of more intensive development rather than rural production activities. The National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land does not apply to the Rural Living zone.

3. Record of Title, Consent Notices and Land Covenants

The site Record of Title is attached at **Appendix A**. No consent notices apply to the title.

4. Description of the Proposal

The applicant proposes to construct a 64.8m² building on the site at 114 Waipapa Road. The proposed dwelling will be in accordance with the site layout, floor plan and elevations prepared by Versatile and attached at **Appendix B**.



Bay of Island Planning Limited | Website: <u>www.bayplan.co.nz</u> | Email: office@bayplan.co.nz



Figure 4 - Proposed floor plan and elevations (Source: Versatile)

The total impermeable areas on the property would be 1715.8m² or 15.5% of the site area. Total building coverage area would comprise 511.8m² or 4.6% of the site area.

A 810m² of driveway will provide access to the proposed dwelling.

Proposed earthworks are only in relation to foundations for the proposed building.

5. Reasons for Consent

1. The Far North District Plan zones the site Rural Living Zone. There are no other identified Resource Features apart from being within a Kiwi 'Present' area.



Figure 5 – ODP Map – Rural Living zone (Source: Far North Maps)





Figure 6 – PDP Map – Rural Residential zone (Source: PDP Maps)

The following tables set out the applicable permitted development standards for the Rural Living zone and District Wide performance standards. Table 1 and 2 identifies the applicable rules and provides comment on compliance with those rules. An assessment against the PDP rules with immediate legal effect have also been provided (Table 3).

	RURAL LIVING ZONE STANDARDS				
Rule #	PERMITTED STANDARDS	PERFORMANCE/COMMENTS			
8.7.5.1.1 Residential Intensity	One residential unit per site or 4,000m ² . Rule does not apply to sites created by subdivision, where all other standards for permitted activities are complied with.	One residential unit exists. Complies			
8.7.5.1.2 Scale of Activities	Scale of Activities: The total number of people engaged at any one period of time in activities on a site, including employees and persons making use of any facilities, but excluding people who normally reside on the site or are members of the household shall not exceed 1 person per 1,000m2 of net site area.	The site is utilised by residents.			
8.7.5.1.3	Building Height: Maximum height 9 metres.	The proposed building is 4.217m. Complies			

Table 1 – Rural Living Zone – Performance Standards

	RURAL LIVING ZONE STANDARDS					
Rule #	PERMITTED STANDARDS	PERFORMANCE/COMMENTS				
8.7.5.1.4 Sunlight	Permitted - No part of any building shall project beyond a 45-degree recession plane as measured inwards from any point 2m vertically above ground level on any site boundary.	The proposed dwelling will not be within the sunlight recession plane in relation to any of the boundaries. Complies				
8.7.5.1.5 Stormwater Management	Permitted - Maximum of 12.5% of the total site area. Controlled - Maximum of 20% of the total site area.	Total Impermeable surfaces on the site will be 1715.8 ² or 15.5%. Controlled				
8.7.5.1.6	Setback from boundaries: no building within 3m of boundary with various specified exceptions.	The proposed dwelling is not within 3m from any of the site boundaries.				
8.7.5.1.7	Screening for Neighbours – Non-Residential Activities Permitted - Except along boundaries adjoining a Commercial or Industrial zone, outdoor areas providing for activities such as parking, loading, outdoor storage and other outdoor activities associated with non-residential activities on the site shall be screened from adjoining sites by landscaping, wall/s, close boarded fence/s or trellis/es or a combination thereof. They shall be of a height sufficient to wholly or substantially separate these areas from the view of neighbouring properties. Structures shall be at least 1.8m in height, but no higher than 2.0m, along the length of the outdoor area. Where such screening is by way of landscaping it shall be a strip of vegetation which has or will attain a minimum height of 1.8m for a minimum depth of 2m	N/A				
8.7.5.1.9	Hours of Operation - Non-Residential Activities Permitted – (a) The maximum number of hours the activity shall be open to visitors, clients or deliveries shall be 50 hours per week; and (b) Hours of operation shall be limited to between the hours: 0700 - 2000 Monday to Friday 0800 - 2000 Saturday, Sunday and Public Holidays Provided that this rule does not apply: (i) where the entire activity is located within a building; and (ii) where each person engaged in the activity outside the above hours resides permanently on the site; and (iii) where there are no visitors, clients or deliveries to or from the site outside the above hours. Exemptions: This rule does not apply to activities that have a predominantly residential function such as lodges, motels and homestays.	N/A				
8.7.5.1.10	Keeping of Animals	N/A				
8.7.5.1.11	Noise: noise at or within boundary of any other site in the zone not to exceed specified limits.	Residential activity. Complies				
8.7.5.1.12	Helicopter Landings Area	N/A				


	RURAL LIVING ZONE STANDARDS			
Rule #	PERMITTED STANDARDS	PERFORMANCE/COMMENTS		
8.7.5.1.13	Building Coverage: Permitted - Any new building or alteration/addition to an existing building is a permitted activity if the total Building Coverage of a site does not exceed 10% or 2,400m2, whichever is the lesser, of the gross site area.	The proposed building coverage is 511.8m ² or 4.6%. Complies		

Table 2 – District Wide Performance Standards

	PART 3 – DISTRICT WIDE STANDARDS	
Rule #	STANDARDS	PERFORMANCE/COMMENTS
Chapter 12 – Na	tural and Physical Resources	
12.1 Landscape & Natural Features	 12.1.6.1.1 Protection of Outstanding Landscape Features 12.1.6.1.2 Indigenous Vegetation Clearance in Outstanding landscapes 12.1.6.1.3 Tree Planting in Outstanding Landscapes 12.1.6.1.4 Excavation and/or filling within an outstanding landscape 12.1.6.1.5 Buildings within outstanding landscapes 12.1.6.1.6 Utility Services in Outstanding Landscapes 	N/A
12.2 Indigenous Flora and Fauna	 12.2.6.1.1 Indigenous Vegetation Clearance Permitted Throughout the District 12.2.6.1.2 Indigenous Vegetation Clearance in the rural Production and Minerals Zones 12.2.6.1.3 Indigenous Vegetation Clearance in the General Coastal Zone 12.2.6.1.4 Indigenous Vegetation Clearance in Other Zones 	N\A
12.3 Earthworks	 12.3.6.1.2 Excavation and/or filling, excluding mining and quarrying, on any site in the Rural Living, Coastal Living, South Kerikeri Inlet Zone, General Coastal, Recreational Activities, Conservation, Waimate North and Point Veronica Zones Permitted – Maximum of 300m³ within a 12-month period and cannot be higher than 1.5m cut or fill. 	Minimal earthworks required for foundations. Cut and Fill faces will be less than the permitted maximum. Complies
12.4 Natural Hazards	12.4.6.1.1 Coastal Hazard 2 Area 12.4.6.1.2 Fire Risk to Residential Units	N/A
12.5 Heritage	 12.5.6.1.1 Notable Trees 12.5.6.1.2 Alterations to/and maintenance of historic sites, buildings and objects 12.5.6.1.3 Registered Archaeological Sites 	N/A
12.5A Heritage Precincts	There are no Heritage Precincts that apply to the site.	N/A
12.6 Air	Not applicable	N/A

	PART 3 – DISTRICT WIDE STANDARDS	
Rule #	STANDARDS	PERFORMANCE/COMMENTS
12.7 Lakes, Rivers, Wetlands and the Coastline	 12.7.6.1.1 Setback from lakes, rivers and the coastal marine area 12.7.6.1.2 Setback from smaller lakes, rivers and wetlands Permitted = for rivers minimum setback of 10 x the average width of the river where it passes through or past the site provided that the minimum setback is 10m and the maximum is no more than minimum required by Rule 12.7.6.1.1 12.7.6.1.3 Preservation of indigenous wetlands 12.7.6.1.4 Land Use Activities involving the Discharges of Human Sewage Effluent 12.7.6.1.5 Motorised Craft 	N/A N/A N/A N/A
	12.7.6.1.6 Noise	N/A
12.8 Hazardous Substances		N/A
12.9 Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency		N/A
Chapter 15 – Tra	affic, Parking and Access	
15.1.6A.2.1 Traffic Intensity	15.1.6A Maximum Daily One Way Traffic Movements Rural Living Permitted – 20	The first residential unit on a site is exempt from this rule Complies
15.1.6B Parking	15.1.6B.1.1 On-site Car Parking Spaces: Permitted – 2 per residential unit	The site can accommodate more than 2 vehicles.
15.1.6C.1.1 Vehicle Access	Private Accessway in all zones Permitted – 3m wide carriageway	The existing access off Waipapa Road complies.
15.1.6C.1.5 Vehicle Crossing	Vehicle Crossing Standards in Rural and Coastal Zone	The existing crossing is constructed in accordance with these standards.
15.1.6C.1.7 General Access Standards	General Access Standards	The existing access can meet the required standards.

In terms of the ODP the application falls to be considered as a Controlled Activity in accordance with Section 104A of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA).



Proposed District Plan				
Matter	Rule/Std Ref	Relevance	Compliance	Evidence
Hazardous Substances	Rule HS-R2 has	N/A		Not relevant as no
Majority of rules relates	immediate legal effect			such substances
to development within a	but only for a new			proposed.
site that has heritage or	significant hazardous			
cultural items	facility located within a			
scheduled and mapped	scheduled site and area			
however Rule HS-R6	of significance to Māori,			
applies to any	significant natural area			
development within an	or a scheduled heritage			
SNA – which is not	resource			
mapped				
	HS-R5, HS-R6, HS-R9			
Heritage Area Overlays	All rules have immediate	N/A		Not indicated on Far
(Property specific)	legal effect (HA-R1 to			North Proposed
This chapter applies	HA-R14)			District Plan
only to properties within	All standards have			
identified heritage area	Immediate legal effect			
overlays (e.g. in the	(HA-ST to HA-S3)			
operative plan they are				
called precincts for				
	All rules have immediate			Not indicated on Far
(Property specific and		N/A		Not mulcated on Fai
(Froperty specific and				District Plan
sites (if the boundary is	Schedule 2 has			DISTLICT FLAT
within 20m of an	immediate legal effect			
identified heritage	inimediate tegat enect			
item))				
Bule HH-B5 Farthworks				
within 20m of a				
scheduled heritage				
resource. Heritage				
resources are shown as				
a historic item on the				
maps)				
This chapter applies to				
scheduled heritage				
resources – which are				
called heritage items in				
the map legend				
Notable Trees	All rules have immediate	N/A		Not indicated on Far
(Property specific)	legal effect (NT-R1 to			North Proposed
Applied when a property	NT-R9)			District Plan
is showing a scheduled	All standards have legal			
notable tree in the map	effect (NT-S1 to NT-S2)			
	Schedule 1 has			
	immediate legal effect			
Sites and Areas of	All rules have immediate	N/A		Not indicated on Far
Significance to Māori	legal effect (SASM-R1 to			North Proposed
(Property specific)	SASM-R7)			District Plan
Applied when a property	Schedule 3 has			
is showing a site / area	immediate legal effect			

Table 3 – PDP performance standards with immediate legal effect



Bay of Island Planning Limited | Website: www.bayplan.co.nz | Email: office@bayplan.co.nz

of significance to Maori				
in the map or within the				
Te Oneroa-a Tohe Beach				
Management Area (in				
the operative plan they				
are called site of				
cultural significance to				
Maori)				
Ecosystems and	All rules have immediate	N/A		Not indicated on Far
Indigenous Biodiversity	legal effect (IB-R1 to IB-			North Proposed
SNA are not mapped –	R5)			District Plan. No
will need to determine if				vegetation clearance
indigenous vegetation				proposed.
on the site for example				
Activities on the Surface	All rules have immediate	N/A		Not indicated on Far
of Water	legal effect (ASW-R1 to			North Proposed
	ASW-R4)			District Plan
Earthworks	The following rules have	Yes	Complies	Proposed
all earthworks (refer to	immediate legal effect:			earthworks will be in
new definition) need to	EW-R12, EW-R13			accordance with the
comply with this	The following standards			relevant standards
	have immediate legal			including GD-05 and
	effect:			will have an ADP
	EW-S3, EW-S5			applied.
Signs	The following rules have	N/A		Not indicated on Far
(Property specific) as	immediate legal effect:			North Proposed
rules only relate to	SIGN-R9, SIGN-R10			District Plan
situations where a sign	All standards have			
is on a scheduled	immediate legal effect			
heritage resource	but only for signs on or			
(heritage item), or within	attached to a scheduled			
the Kororareka Russell	heritage resource or			
or Kerikeri Heritage	heritage area			
Areas	_			
Orongo Bay Zone	Rule OBZ-R14 has	N/A		Not indicated on Far
(Property specific as	partial immediate legal			North Proposed
rule relates to a zone	effect because RD-1(5)			District Plan
only)	relates to water			
Comments:				
No consents are required	under the PDP.			

Overall, the application would fall to be considered as a **Controlled Activity**.

6. Statutory Considerations

Section 104A of the RMA governs the determination of applications for controlled activities:



104A Determination of applications for controlled activities

After considering an application for a resource consent for a controlled activity, a consent authority-

- (a) must grant the resource consent, unless it has insufficient information to determine whether or not the activity is a controlled activity; and
- (b) may impose conditions on the consent under section 108 only for those matters-
 - (i) over which control is reserved in national environmental standards or other regulations; or
 - (ii) over which it has reserved its control in its plan or proposed plan.

Council must grant an application for a Controlled Activity and may impose conditions over which it has reserved control.

Section 104 of the RMA sets out matters to be considered when assessing an application for a resource consent.

104 Consideration of applications

- (1) When considering an application for a resource consent and any submissions received, the consent authority must, subject to Part 2 and section 77M, have regard to-
 - (a) any actual and potential effects on the environment of allowing the activity; and
 - (ab) any measure proposed or agreed to by the applicant for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment to offset or compensate for any adverse effects on the environment that will or may result from allowing the activity; and
 - (b) any relevant provisions of-
 - (i) a national environmental standard:
 - (ii) other regulations:
 - (iii) a national policy statement:
 - (iv) a New Zealand coastal policy statement:
 - (v) a regional policy statement or proposed regional policy statement:
 - (vi) a plan or proposed plan; and
 - (c) any other matter the consent authority considers relevant and reasonably necessary to determine the application.

In the determination of this application, those considerations include the actual and potential effects of an activity on the environment, the relevant provisions of the Northland Regional Policy Statement (or other relevant statutory document), the Far North District Plan and any other matter the consent authority considers relevant and reasonably necessary to determine the application.

The following assessment addresses all of the relevant considerations under s104 of the RMA.

Assessment of Effects on The Environment

The RMA (section 3) meaning of effect includes:



3 Meaning of effect

- In this Act, unless the context otherwise requires, the term effect includes-
- (a) any positive or adverse effect; and
- (b) any temporary or permanent effect; and
- (c) any past, present, or future effect; and
- (d) any cumulative effect which arises over time or in combination with other effects-

regardless of the scale, intensity, duration, or frequency of the effect, and also includes-

- (e) any potential effect of high probability; and
- (f) any potential effect of low probability which has a high potential impact.

Section 104(2) of the RMA states that:

"when forming an opinion for the purposes of subsection (1)(a), a consent authority may disregard an adverse effect of the activity on the environment if a national environmental standard or the plan permits an activity with that effect."

This is referred to as the "permitted baseline", which is based on the permitted performance standards and development controls that form part of a district plan. For an effects-based plan such as the Far North District Plan where specified activities are not regulated, determining the permitted baseline is a useful tool for determining a threshold of effects that are enabled by the zone.

Ordinarily the placement of buildings and impermeable surfaces on this site can amass a total coverage of 12.5% or 1,383.4m² in the ODP as a permitted activity. The proposed total impermeable surface coverage is 1,715.8m², which is an additional 332.4m² or an additional 3% impermeable coverage for the site.

The focus of this assessment is on addressing the matters directly related to the rules in the ODP regarding the breach to stormwater management. Regard has also been given to the objectives and policies of the Northland Regional Policy Statement, ODP and PDP.

Stormwater Management effects

A comprehensive Stormwater Management Report has been prepared by Haigh Workman and supplied in **Appendix C**. The report has been prepared by a suitably qualified person and approved by a chartered professional engineer in accordance with Rule 8.7.5.2.2. Further, it has been prepared in accordance with the 2023 FNDC Engineering Standards calculated runoff effects for the 50%, 20%, and 1% AEP events.

An assessment of the matters Council has restricted the exercise of its control over is located in section 4.3 of the Stormwater Management Report (**Appendix C**). The report recommends a standard 5000L HDPE tank (with standard 1.9m diameter) be utilised for the detention tank to mitigate the effects of stormwater on the site.

It is considered that the mitigation of stormwater in accordance with the recommendations in the Stormwater Management Report will ensure that the effects of stormwater will be less than minor.



Statutory Plan Considerations

The activity is controlled in the ODP meaning that the plan 'enables' the activity. This is because the effects of the activity are well understood, and it is consistent with the objectives and policies of the plan. There is no need or requirement to undertake a further assessment of the statutory documents.

Council must approve the application but may apply conditions related to the matters over which it has restricted discretion. The assessment of these matters was undertaken in the Stormwater Management Report in **Appendix C**.

Proposed Far North District Plan Objectives & Policies & Weighting

Section 88A(2) provides that "any plan or proposed plan which exists when the application is considered must be had regard to in accordance with section 104(1)(b)." This requires applications to be assessed under both the operative and proposed objective and policy frameworks from the date of notification of the proposed district plan.

In the event of differing directives between objective and policy frameworks, it is well established by case law that the weight to be given to a proposed district plan depends on what stage the relevant provisions have reached, the weight generally being greater as a proposed plan move through the notification and hearing process. In Keystone Ridge Ltd v Auckland City Council, the High Court held that the extent to which the provisions of a proposed plan are relevant should be considered on a case by case basis and might include:

- The extent (if any) to which the proposed measure might have been exposed to testing and independent decision making;
- Circumstances of injustice; and
- The extent to which a new measure, or the absence of one, might implement a coherent pattern of objectives and policies in a plan.

In my view the PDP has not gone through the sufficient process to allow a considered view of the objectives and policies for the Rural Residential Zone overlay, however regard has been had to the PDP and the application is considered to be consistent with it.

The activity is considered to be consistent with the objectives and policies of both the ODP and PDP.

7. Notification Assessment (s95matters)

The Council will need to determine the basis on which the application will be processed. These include public notification, limited notification, or non-notification. Sections 95A and 95B provide a step-by-step process that Council must follow when determining whether to publicly or limited notify an application.



Public Notification (s95A)

Section 95A outlines the steps that must be followed to determine whether an application should be publicly notified.

Step 1 – Details requirements for mandatory public notification. None of these apply to the proposal.

Step 2 – Details situations where public notification is precluded in some circumstances. The application is for a controlled activity.

Step 3 – Does not apply.

Step 4 – Details requirements in special circumstances. It is considered that there are no special circumstances that would warrant notification.

Limited Notification (s95B)

S95B includes steps to be followed when deciding whether an application should be subject to limited notification.

Step 1 – relates to the consideration of certain affected groups and affected persons including any protected customary rights groups or affected marine title groups. There are no such groups affected by this application.

Step 2 – details requirements for limited notification where the application is for one or more activities that is precluded from limited notification by a rule or standard or is a controlled or prescribed activity. The application is for a controlled activity.

Step 3 – Does not apply

Step 4 – relates to requirements to notify where special circumstances exist. There are no special circumstances that would warrant limited notification of this application.

The application is precluded from limited and public notification.

8. PART II – Resource Management Act 1991

Purpose of the RMA

The proposal can promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources, as current and future owners and users of the land are able to provide for their social, cultural and economic wellbeing and their health and safety. Development of this site will contribute to the local economy, community



wellbeing, utilise local services and infrastructure for residential activities at a scale anticipated by Council. Any effects on the environment are anticipated to be less than minor.

Matters of National Importance

The site is within a Kiwi present area. However, the proposal is not anticipated to adversely affect kiwi habit. Māori are not considered to be adversely affected by this proposal, nor is any historic heritage likely to be impacted.

Other Matters

The proposal will result in an efficient use of resources with the development occurring on the periphery the Kerikeri township within the Rural Living zone. Amenity values will be maintained because the proposal is similar to existing activities on properties within this area. There will be no adverse impact on local ecosystems or overall.

9. Conclusion

This application seeks a **Controlled Activity** resource consent to undertake construction of a building on a site within the Rural Living zone. The assessment of effects on the environment concludes that for the reasons outlined in the application, the effects of undertaking this proposal will be less than minor on the surrounding environment. The application is precluded from limited and public notification.

No currently gazetted National Environmental Standards or National Policy Statements including the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement were considered to be relevant to this proposal.

As a controlled activity in the ODP the application is considered to be consistent with statutory documents.

An assessment of Part II of the RMA has also been completed with the proposal able to satisfy this higher order document.

We look forward to receiving acknowledgment of the application and please advise if any additional information is required.

Andrew McPhee Consultant Planner



RECORD OF TITLE UNDER LAND TRANSFER ACT 2017 FREEHOLD



Registrar-General of Land

Guaranteed Search Copy issued under Section 60 of the Land Transfer Act 2017



IdentifierNA101C/568Land Registration DistrictNorth AucklandDate Issued14 February 1996

Prior References NA30A/1258

Estate	Fee Simple
Area	1.1067 hectares more or less
Legal Description	Lot 3 Deposited Plan 167464
Registered Owners	
Stella Anne Terrell a	s to a 1/4 share

Terrell Trustee Limited as to a 3/4 share

Interests

Appurtenant hereto are rights to convey water, transmit electricity and telecommunications specified in Easement Certificate C954439.3 - 14.2.1996 at 3.15 pm

The easements specified in Easement Certificate C954439.3 are subject to Section 243 (a) Resource Management Act 1991 12859657.3 Mortgage to Bank of New Zealand - 30.11.2023 at 4:37 pm



	Versatile [®] 400 of Building Better for NZ
	ENGINEERED BY: MITEK New Zealand Limited MITEK LUMBERLOK BOWMAC PRODUCER STATEMENT AND STRUCTURAL DETAILS CLIENT: Jim Terrell 114 Waipapa Road Kerikeri
0	BUILDING: VRS Project Ref: 2089990 Model: Versatile 600 Series Size: 9.000m long x 7.200m wide, 2.420m stud height Wind Zone: High Snow Loading: None region, Sg = 0.0kPa Earthguake Zone: 1
	Eartifiquate 20ne. 1 Exposure Zone: Zone C Roof Details: 25 degree pitch, 6 Rib 0.35mm roofing Trusses: 90x45mm kiln dried H1.2, stress graded timber as per floor plan Wall Framing: 90x45mm kiln dried H1.2, stress graded timber Cladding: Vertical 6 Rib 0.35mm rollformed steel profile Downpipe Size: Round PVC 65mm Diameter PVC Floor Type: Concrete

INDEX

- Contents 1
- 2 Site Plan
- **Producer Statement** 3
- **Durability Statement** 4
- Foundation Details 5-7
- Floor Plan General 8
- Floor Plan Electrical 9
- Elevations 10
- 11-12 Cross Section
- 13-14 Opening Details
- **Roof Framing** 15
- Truss Design 16
- 17-18 Truss Fixing Details
- **Roof Bracing** 19
- Wall Bracing Demand 20
- 21-22 Wall Bracing Achieved
- **Bracing Elements** 23
- 24-26 Flashing Details

VB2000 - Design

Sheet 1 of 26







For: Jim Terrell 114 Waipapa Road Kerikeri 0230

DIMENSIONS IN mm UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED

COPYRIGHT: THESE DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE EXPRESS PERMISSION OF SPANBILD NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

VB2000 Site Plan Sheet 2 of 26

\sim	\frown	DIMENSIONS IN mm UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED THIS IS A C.A.D. DRAWING AND MUST NOT BE ALTERED BY MANUAL METHODS
PRODUCER STATEMENT - PS1	association of consulting and engineering	EXPLANATION
	engineering	This design covers the structural aspects of a Versatile 600 Series building.
DESIGN	new zealand te ao rangahau	The sequence of design information is broken down
	P. 2080000	into the following categories:
BUILDING CODE CLAUSE(S). BT and BZ JOB NUMBE	N. 2009990	Wall Framing.
		Truss Design.
Engineering Design Firm) TO: Spanhild New Zealand Limited		All Structural Fixings.
		 Building Bracing Design for both Roof and Walls.
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Far North District Council		
Building Consent Authority)		
N RESPECT OF: Proposed Building (Garage)		All other aspects of the structure are constructed in accordance with the standard Versatile Buildings
Description of Building Work)		details.
T : 114 Waipapa Road, Kerikeri, 0230, New Zealand		These buildings have been designed for a Building Importance Level 1, with a 50 year
Address Town/City)		mese buildings have been designed for a building importance Level 1, with a 50 year working life. Befor to AS/NZS 1170 0:2002
EGAL DESCRIPTION		working life. Relef to AS/NZS 1170.0.2002
		Copyright: These drawing must not be used without express prior permission from MiTek New Zealand
le have been engaged by the owner/developer referred to above to provide (Extent of Engageme	ent):	Limited and Spanbild New Zealand Limited.
32000. Sheets 1. 3-4. 8. 10-23	511y.	
respect of the requirements of the Clause(s) of the Building Code specified above for part only,	as specified in the	
chedule, of the proposed building work.		
		DESIGN LOADS
he design carried out by us has been prepared in accordance with:		Dead Loads for Light Roof:
Compliance documents issued by the Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment	(Verification method/acceptable	Truss Top Chord= 0.15kPa (includes weight of trusses, purlins, associated framing and zincalume roof)
solution) B1/VM1, B2/AS1, AS/NZS 1170 (Parts 0, 1, 2 & 3), NZS 3603:1993, NZS 360	4:2011 and/or;	I russ Bottom Chord=0.15KPa (no ceiling) or 0.20kPa if there is a ceiling for trusses @ 1200crs.
 Alternative solution as per the attached Schedule. 		Live Loads:
		Truss Ton Chord= 1 1kN concentrated load 0 25kPa uniform load
The proposed building work covered by this producer statement is described on the drawings spe	cified in the Schedule, together	Truss Bottom Chord=0.9kN concentrated load below 1200mm bead beight and
with the specification, and other documents set out in the Schedule.		1.4kN concentrated load above 1200mm head height.
On behalf of the Engineering Design Firm, and subject to:		
Di benan of the Engineering Design Firm, and subject to.		
Site verification of the following design assumptions: Building IL1, Light roof		Wind Loads:
All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requirements;		Building designed for High wind conditions.
believe on reasonable grounds that:		Seismic loads:
• the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings. specifications, and other do	cuments provided or listed in the	Building designed for Seismic Zone 1.
Schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Code and that;		
• the persons who have undertaken the design have the necessary competency to do so.		Snow loads:
		Buildings designed for None, Sg = 0.0kPa
recommend the N/A level of construction monitoring.		
		Refer to MiTek New Zealand Limited for any design modifications required for increase in snow loads or wind
, (<i>Name of Engineering Design Professional</i>) Claude Antony Carter Cook	, am:	loads above those stated on the drawings.
• CPEng number 240891		
and hold the following qualifications CP Eng, IntPE, BE(Hons)		
		DESIGN REFERENCES
he Engineering Design Firm holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less t	than \$200,000	• NZS3603:1993
he Engineering Design Firm is a member of ACE New Zealand.		• NZS3604:2011
		 AS/NZS1170 Part 0:2002
IGNED BY (Name of Engineering Design Professional): Claude Antony Carter Cook		AS/NZS1170 Part 1:2002
		AS/NZS1170 Part 2:2011
and		AS/NZS1170 Part 3:2003
ung .		• ANSI/TPI1 - 2002
N REHALE OF (Engineering Design Firm): MiTck Now Zooland Limited	Data21/06/2021	
	Dale24/00/2024	
ote: This statement has been prepared solely for the Building Consent Authority named above and shall not be relied u ability in relation to this statement accrues to the Engineering Design Firm only. As a condition of reliance on this statement	pon by any other person or entity. Any	(For: Jim Terrell) VB2000 - Design
ccepts that the total maximum amount of liability of any kind arising from this statement and all other statements provide	ed to the Building Consent Authority in	114 Waipapa Road
relation to this building work, whether in fort or otherwise is limited to the sum of \$200,000	- · · · ·	Kerikeri

0230

relation to this building work, whether in tort or otherwise, is limited to the sum of \$200,000. This form is to accompany **Form 2 of the Building (Forms) Regulations 2004** for the application of a Building Consent.

Job Number 2089990 PRODUCER STATEMENT PS1

FNDC - Approved Building Consent Document - EBC-2025-45/0 - Pg 3 of 29 - 24/07/2024 - J.O

November 2021

Producer Statement

 \sim

Sheet 3 of 26

MANUFACTURERS DURABILITY STATEMENT

INTRODUCTION.

To satisfy the requirements of Clause B2:'Durability' of the New Zealand Building Code, the following provisions must apply to the metal cladding.

RANGE OF PRODUCT AND USE.

Specification:	AS1397:2021
Coating Type:	Zinc/Aluminium & Painted
Steel Thickness Range:	0.35mm - 0.95mm BMT
Steel Grade Range:	G300 - G550
Application:	Cladding for Building Importance Level 1, with a 50 year working life.
	Refer AS/NZS 1170.0:2002
Fasteners:	Galvanised clouts. Aluminium rivets for all steel components.
	IFI114:2015

REQUIREMENTS, LIMITATIONS AND EXCLUSIONS.

- Applicable to buildings in sea-spray Zone D and exposure Zones B and C in accordance with Section 4, Durability, NZS 3604:2011 which is an acceptable solution under Clause B2 of the NZBC.
- Fixing and installation of the cladding must be done exactly in accordance with Versatile Buildings Specifications.
- Normal and regular maintenance must be carried out on the exterior surface of the cladding, and the following guide must be followed to ensure the durability requirements are met.

REGULAR MAINTENANCE.

Exposure Zones B and C. (All areas other than sea-spray zones - see below)

Rain washing only required on the exposed sections. Sheltered or protected areas such as under spouting, top cladding boards and tops of doors require washing every three months.

Sea-spray Zone D (Within 500m from the sea or 100m from sheltered harbours or inlets) and areas of geothermal activity.

Rain washing only required on exposed areas. Sheltered and protected areas such as under spouting, top cladding boards and tops of doors require washing down every month and when corrosive salts are present.

EXTENDED MAINTENANCE, PAINTING OR REPAINTING.

Extended Durability

Once the metallic coating or the paint system has weathered away, signs of red rust for bare material or signs of the metallic coating for painted material painting of the entire surface is required to extend the life of the cladding product. Paint manufacturer's recommendations are to be followed for the surface preparation and paint type to be used.

Evident Corrosion

Areas that show signs of white or red rust/corrosion (typically in unwashed areas) require cleaning back with a stiff brush and cleaner to remove all dust, surface contaminants and corrosion products. Present a sound substrate for painting. Priming of the surface and application of two coats of paint as per the paint manufacturer's recommendations is then required. Particular attention needs to be paid to laps (side, end, flashing etc) where earlier corrosion may have started, due to moisture and dirt entrapment. If evident corrosion is not treated quickly, rapid deterioration of the sheet may occur which could result in perforation. At this stage replacement of the affected sheet is the best option.

REFERENCES.

- 1. NZBC Compliance Document Clause B2 Durability.
- 2. NZS 3604:2011, Section 4, Durability*

*NZS3604 has been used as a reference only to identify Corrosion zones, Sea-spray zones.



MiTek New Zealand Limited

LUMBERLOK®

BOWMAC®





For: Jim Terrell 114 Waipapa Road Kerikeri 0230

VB2000 - Design

Durability Statement

Sheet 4 of 26



Versatile[®] 400 of Building Better for NZ

egis



For: Jim Terrell

Kerikeri

0230

114 Waipapa Road

FNDC - Approved Building Consent Document - EBC-2025-45/0 - Pg 5 of 29 - 24/07/2024 - J.O



DESIGN	engineering new zealand te ao rangahau
3UILDING CODE CLAUSE(S): B1 (see Note 1 for durability)	JOB NUMBER: 2089990
Engineering Design Firm)	
FO: Spanbild New Zealand Limited	
Owner/Developer)	
Building Consent Authority)	
N RESPECT OF: Stand alone, non-habitable importance level 1 (IL1 - 50 ye	ear design life), building slab and foundation
Description of Building Work) AT: 114 Waipapa Road, Kerikeri 0230	
Address, Town/City)	
EGAL DESCRIPTION:	N/A 🗌
The nave been engaged by the owner/developer referred to above to provide structural Engineering Design, Foundation Details, Sheets 5-7 The respect of the requirements of the Clause(s) of the Building Code specified Schedule, of the proposed building work.	(<i>Extent of Engagement</i>):
he design carried out by us has been prepared in accordance with:	
• Compliance documents issued by the Ministry of Business, Innov	vation & Employment (Verification method/acceptabl
solution) B1/VM1, B1/VM4	and/or;
 Alternative solution as per the attached Schedule. 	
The proposed building work covered by this producer statement is described with the specification, and other documents set out in the Schedule.	on the drawings specified in the Schedule, together
On behalf of the Engineering Design Firm, and subject to:	
• Site verification of the following design assumptions: See notes 1-7	of 'Garage Foundation Detail'.
All proprietary products meeting their performance specification requ	uirements;
believe on reasonable grounds that:	
• the building, if constructed in accordance with the drawings, specific	cations, and other documents provided or listed in th
Schedule, will comply with the relevant provisions of the Building Co	ode and that;
• The persons who have undertaken the design have the necessary of	
recommend the N/A level of construction monitoring .	
, (Name of Engineering Design Professional) John McCurran	, am:
• PCPEng number 46451	
The Engineering Design Firm holds a current policy of Professional Indemnit The Engineering Design Firm is a member of ACE New Zealand.	ty Insurance no less than \$200,000
SIGNED BY (Name of Engineering Design Professional): John McCurran	
laic,	

ON BEHALF OF (Engineering Design Firm): Egis NZ Limited

Date24/06/2024

Building Consent lodgement must be prior to 15/04/2025 Note: This statement has been prepared solely for the Building Consent Authority named above and shall not be relied upon by any other person or entity. Any liability in relation to this statement accrues to the Engineering Design Firm only. As a condition of reliance on this statement, the Building Consent Authority accepts that the total maximum amount of liability of any kind arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in tort or otherwise, is limited to the sum of \$200,000.

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building (Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent.

Job Number 2089990 PRODUCER STATEMENT PS1 DIMENSIONS IN mm UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED THIS IS A C.A.D. DRAWING AND MUST NOT BE ALTERED BY MANUAL METHODS

SCHEDULE TO PS1

Alternative Solutions apply for areas that the potential for liquefaction or lateral spread has been identified as it is outside B1/VM4. The alternative solutions are MBIE guidance documents "Planning and engineering guidance for potentially liquefactionprone land" and Repairing and re-building houses affected by the Canterbury Earthquakes"

The foundations require suitable soils. This IL1 foundation (generally unlined) has been designed for;

- Geotechnical Ultimate Bearing Capacity of 100 kPa,
- Where there is no potential for liquefaction or lateral spread or
- Liquefaction Vulnerability where liquefaction damage is unlikely (very low or low liquefaction vulnerability), •
- Non-expansive soils.

Advise Calibre if through PIM or on site any of the following are noted or uncovered during foundation excavation;

- Indications of local instability,
- Foundations closer than 3 x height of a bank plus 0.6 m measured from the foot of the bank, and the length of the flat at the base of the bank is a minimum of 4 times the height of the bank,
- Slope steeper than 5 degrees away from the building platform. •
- Buried organic topsoil, peat, soft clays, buried services or expansive clays, • any fill that does not have a certificate of suitability issued in accordance with NZS 4431

For: Jim Terrell 114 Waipapa Road Kerikeri 0230

VB2000 - IL1 Foundation

Foundation Details

Sheet 6 of 26







For: Jim Terrell 114 Waipapa Road Kerikeri 0230 COPYRIGHT: THESE DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE EXPRESS PERMISSION OF SPANBILD NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

VB2000 - IL1 Foundation

Foundation Details

Sheet 7 of 26













	NOTES	
	NOTESR1 : ROOF• 6 Rib 0.35mm roofing over roofing underlay over90x45 SG8 H1.2 purlins @ 1000mm centres max,fixed between trusses.• For purlin fixings and bottom chord truss stiffenersrefer to 'Roof Framing' sheet 15 of 26.• For truss centres refer to 'Floor Plan General' sheet8 of 26.• For truss design and fixings refer to 'Truss Design'sheet 16 of 26 and 'Truss Fixing Details' sheets 17-18of 26.W1 : WALLS• Colorsteel Endura Vertical 6 Rib 0.35mm cladding over building wrap over 90x45 SG8 H1.2 studs @600mm centres max with 2 rows of 90x45 NLB H1.2 dwangs.F1 : FLOOR• For foundation details refer to 'Foundation Details' sheet 6 of 26.• H3.2 Bottom plate to be fixed to the foundation with Lumberlok Bottom Plate Fixing Anchor with 75mm x 4mm diameter nail adjacent at 1200mm crs.	SS PERMISSION OF SPANBILD NEW ZEALAND LIMITED.
50		COPYRIGHT: THESE DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE EXPRE
	VB2000 - Design	
	Cross Section	_
	Sheet 11 of 26	



	NOTES	ĺ
	R1 : ROOF • 6 Rib 0.35mm roofing over roofing underlay over 90x45 SG8 H1.2 purlins @ 1000mm centres max, fixed between trusses. • For purlin fixings and bottom chord truss stiffeners refer to 'Roof Framing' short 15 of 26	AITED.
	 For truss centres refer to 'Floor Plan General' sheet 8 of 26. For truss design and fixings refer to 'Truss Design' sheet 16 of 26 and 'Truss Eixing Details' sheets 17-18 	EALAND LIN
50	sheet 16 of 26 and 'Truss Fixing Details' sheets 17-18 of 26. W1 : WALLS • Colorsteel Endura Vertical 6 Rib 0.35mm cladding over building wrap over 90x45 SG8 H1.2 studs @ 600mm centres max with 2 rows of 90x45 NLB H1.2 dwangs. F1 : FLOOR • For foundation details refer to 'Foundation Details' sheet 6 of 26. • H3.2 Bottom plate to be fixed to the foundation with Lumberlok Bottom Plate Fixing Anchor with 75mm x 4mm diameter nail adjacent at 1200mm crs.	COPYRIGHT: THESE DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE EXPRESS PERMISSION OF SPANBILD NEW ZEA
	VB2000 - Design	
	Cross Section	_
		1

Sheet 12 of 26



- 24/07/2024 29 of FNDC - Approved Building Consent Document - EBC-2025-45/0 - Pg 13

Sheet 13 of 26



Kerikeri

0230

FNDC - Approved Building Consent Document - EBC-2025-45/0 - Pg 14 of 29 - 24/07/2024 - J.O

COPYRIGHT: THESE DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE EXPRESS PERMISSION OF SPANBILD NEW ZEALAND LIMITED.

VB2000 - Design

Opening Details

Sheet 14 of 26



- 24/07/2024 29 of 15 - Approved Building Consent Document - EBC-2025-45/0 - Pg

- J.O

C

FNDC





- J.O

- 24/07/2024

29

of

- Approved Building Consent Document - EBC-2025-45/0 - Pg 16

FNDC







- J.O

of 29 - 24/07/2024

FNDC - Approved Building Consent Document - EBC-2025-45/0 - Pg 17





TRUSS FIXING DETAILS



Versatile 40



For: Jim Terrell 114 Waipapa Road Kerikeri 0230

COPYRIGHT: THESE DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE EXPRESS PERMISSION OF SPANBILD NEW ZEALAND LIMITED.

VB2000 - Design

Truss Fixing Details

Sheet 18 of 26

ROOF BRACING

EXPLANATION

Using a diaphragm approach, the roof is braced using a series of Lumberlok Strip Brace patterns in the plane of the truss top chords to transfer the bracing demand to the top plates. The loads at the top plate level are then transfered to the foundation through the wall bracing system.

ROOF BRACING PATTERN LAYOUT



FIXINGS

Each single row of Lumberlok Strip Brace to be tensioned up and laid over the top of the purlins. Fix each end with 5/30x3.15 nails and fix crossings with 2/30x3.15 nails.



LUMBERLOK®

BOWMAC[®] Versatile[®] 400



For: Jim Terrell 114 Waipapa Road Kerikeri 0230

COPYRIGHT: THESE DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE EXPRESS PERMISSION OF SPANBILD NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

VB2000 - Design

Roof Bracing

Sheet 19 of 26

WALL BRACING DEMAND

BRACING UNITS DISTRIBUTION

EARTHQUAKE BRACING DEMAND

Using NZS 3604:2011, Section 5 Bracing Design, Table 5.10 - Bracing demand for various combinations of cladding for single and two-storey buildings on concrete slab-on-ground (2 kPa floor load, soil type D/E, earthquake zone 3)

Roof cladding	Single storey cladding	Roof pitch degrees	Single storey walls	
Light roof	Light	25°	6 BU/m2	
Multiplication factors	EQ zone = 1 Soil class = D&E Deep to very soft		0.5	
Earthquake demand			3 BU/m2	
Using factors based on ratios in AS/NZS1170.0:2002, part 5 from BIL2 - 50 years working life to BIL1 - 50 years working life.				
Building Importance Level 1 modification factor.			0.5	
EARTHQUAKE DEMAND REQUIRED (Along and Across)			1.5 BU/m2	
BL 9.000m x BW 7.200m	n = 64.8m2		64.8m2 x 1.5 BU/m2 98 BU	

WIND BRACING DEMAND

Using NZS 3604:2011, Section 5 Bracing Design, Table 5.6 - Wind bracing demand for single or upper storey wall (BU/m).

Single or Upper Floor level to apex (H)	Roof height above eaves (H)	High Wind Zone Across	High Wind Zone Along	
5 m	2 m	50 BU/m	55 BU/m	
In wind zones other than High, multiply the figure above by the appropriate factor given opposite.		High = 1		
Wind demand with wind zone factor applied.		Across	Along	
		50 BU/m	55 BU/m	
Using factors based on ratios in AS/NZS1170.0:2002, part 2 from BIL2 - 50 years working life to BIL1 - 50 years working life.				
Building Importance Level 1 modification factor. 0.8		349		
WIND DEMAND REQUIRED		Across 42.4 BU/m	Along 46.7 BU/m	
		BL 9.000m x 42.4 BU/m 382 BU	BW 7.200m x 46.7 BU/m 337 BU	



VIEW	50% ACROSS (BU)		
1	Wind	Earthquake	
	191	49	



MiTek New Zealand Limited





For: Jim Terrell 114 Waipapa Road Kerikeri 0230



COPYRIGHT: THESE DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE EXPRESS PERMISSION OF SPANBILD NEW ZEALAND LIMITED











For: Jim Terrell 114 Waipapa Road Kerikeri 0230

of 29 - 24/07/2024 - J.O 3 FNDC - Approved Building Consent Document - EBC-2025-45/0 - Pg

SUMMAR	Y - ACROS	SS (BU)
	Wind	EQ
Required	191	49
Achieved	270	90
SUMMA	RY - ALON	Scale NTS G (BU)
6	Wind	EQ
Required	0	0

VB2000 - Design

Wall Bracing Achieved

Sheet 21 of 26



Cladding Wind BU EQ BU Hardware MBX6-45-24 169 Wind BU EQ BU 56





For: Jim Terrell 114 Waipapa Road Kerikeri 0230

MBX6-45-24

169

56

SUMMARY - ACROSS (BU)			
	Wind	EQ	
Required	191	49	
Achieved	338	112	

Scale NTS

SUMMARY - ALONG (BU)			
	Wind	EQ	
Required	337	98	
Achieved	338	112	

Scale NTS

VB2000 - Design

Wall Bracing Achieved

Sheet 22 of 26

COPYRIGHT: THESE DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE EXPRESS PERMISSION OF SPANBILD NEW ZEALAND LIMITED



				-
			405	
	Total BU/m	Wind	135	
	per cross	Lailiquake	45	
				LIM
Fix brace	e onto gutter			QN
punn an	a top plate.			EAL [#]
				V ZE
1 tension	er per strip.			NE
				3ILD
				ANE
Multi Bra	ce cross both			SP
at 45 to	55 max.			JO Z
				SIO
11/30x3.	15 min			MIS
on Multi	Brace.			DER
				SS
				PRE
				ШЩ
		9	Scale A3-1:50	Ξ
				5 LT
				ΗĔ
				≥
				DCE
				ODL
				EPR
				ERI
				DT B
				N N N
				INSI
				N Si
				UN0
				RAV
				D
				LES ES
				1:T
				lGF
				PYF
				8
				`
	V	B2000 - Desię	gn	
				1

Bracing Elements

Sheet 23 of 26

_





- J.O



Sheet 24 of 26





BOWMAC[®] Versatile[®] 400



For: Jim Terrell 114 Waipapa Road Kerikeri 0230

COPYRIGHT: THESE DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE EXPRESS PERMISSION OF SPANBILD NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

VB2000

Flashing Details

Sheet 25 of 26




of 29 - 24/07/2024 - J.O

26

FNDC - Approved Building Consent Document - EBC-2025-45/0 - Pg

Versatile 40



For: Jim Terrell 114 Waipapa Road Kerikeri 0230

		רר
W SILL DETA	IL	
3	5x12 UT timber batten	MITED.
4	mm Glass pane	TAND LI
S	ill 90x45 NLB H1.2	IEW ZEA
]s	Sill flashing with 15° slope Vertical 6 Rib cladding over	SION OF SPANBILD N
S	building wrap. tud	EXPRESS PERMIS
	Scale A3-1:5	UT THE
	PA Door Door inner and outer jamb flashing with 35x12 UT timber packer Trim stud Vertical 6 Rib cladding over building wrap.	COPYRIGHT: THESE DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED WITH
	Scale A3-1:5	

VB2000

Flashing Details

Sheet 26 of 26

CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON SITE PRIOR TO STARTING . ALL DIMENSIONS IN MM UNLESS STATED Note: Construction to comply with NZS3604.2011 and the New Zealand Building Code



Building Coverage: Existing Buildings footprint: 447m² **Proposed Building footprint:** 64.8m² **Total Building Coverage:** 511.8m² (4.6%) Permitted Activity maximum: the lesser of 10% or 2400m²

 $(10\% \text{ of } 1.1067\text{ha} = 1107\text{m}^2)$

104.74 m 90° 02' 00" 21.80 n 36.16 m 0 existing gravel drive 6.68 m 287° 27' 40" 14.29 m 279° 09' 30' existing vehicle acce -WAIPAPA ROAD----

Versatile

PROPOSED VERSATILE BUILDING FOR: TERRELL 114 WAIPAPA ROAD, KERIKERI DRAWING TITLE:

SITE PLAN

North	
80° 01' 30"	
92.81 m	
3.00 m <u>1</u> Sheet: 02	
10.69 m 198° 10' 00" 8.08 m 194° 10' 00"	
way (1080m² estimated) ss	
REVISIONS: - date -	
SCALE @ A3 DATE: 1:1000 JULY 2024 C.A.D. PROJECT #: V24639	SHEET No. 01 Of 3





(b) Cover greater than 375mm Bedding type "D" of NZS 4452

where cover depth is less than 375mm but greater than 125mm provide 75mm minimum of concrete instead of fill depth of compacted granular bedding over pipe may then be reduced to 50mm minimum

for trench width at top greater than 600mm provide 75mm concrete instead of fill

Acceptable fill materials:

- bedding material of clean granular non-cohesive material ٠ with a maximum particle size of 20mm (eg pea gravel)
- selected compacted fill of any fine-grained soil or granular material which is free from topsoil and rubbish and has a maximum particle size of 20mm
- Ordinary fill which may comprise any fill or excavated material

refer also NZBC E1/AS1



PROPOSED VERSATILE BUILDING FOR: TERRELL 114 WAIPAPA ROAD, KERIKERI DRAWING TITLE:

STORMWATER DRAIN GRADIENTS: 80Ø - 1:100 minimum 100Ø - 1:120 minimum 150Ø - 1:200 minimum (all as per Table 2 E1/AS1)

DRAINAGE DETAIL

C.A.D. PROJECT #:	V2463	39	OF	3
1:5		JULY 2024	03	3
SCALE @ A3	DATE:		SHEET	No.
- -				
REVISIONS:				



Stormwater Management Report For proposed building 114 Waipapa Road Lot 3 DP 167464 for

Jim Terrell

Haigh Workman reference 24 165

28 August 2024 - Final

Phone: +64 9 407 8327 • Fax: +64 9 407 8378 • info@haighworkman.co.nz • www.haighworkman.co.nz



(a) Revision History

Revision Nº	Issued By	Description	Date
	Alan Collins	Final	28 August 2024

Prepared by

Alan Collins Senior Civil Engineer MEngSt, BE (Hons)

Reviewed by

JAN

Tom Adcock Senior Civil Engineer BE (Civil), MEngNZ

Approved by

John Papesch Senior Civil Engineer CPEng, IntPE (NZ)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

3

1	Exe	cutive Summary	
2	Intr	oduction	5
	2.1	Objective and Scope	5
	2.2	Limitations	5
3	Site	Description	6
3	3.1	Site Location	6
3	3.2	Site Features	6
	3.3	District Plan Zoning	7
	3.4	Proposed Development	7
4	Acc	ess	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4	4.1	Vehicle Crossing Details	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4	4.2	Sighting Distance	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4	4.3	Driveway	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4	4.4	Parking and Manoeuvring	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4	4.5	Traffic	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4	4.6	Assessment Criteria	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5	Floo	od Hazard Assessment	Error! Bookmark not defined.
ļ	5.1	Regulatory Framework	Error! Bookmark not defined.
ļ	5.2	Flood Mapping	Error! Bookmark not defined.
ļ	5.3	Recommended Minimum Floor Levels	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6	Stor	rmwater Management	
(5.1	Impervious Surface Area	8
(5.2	Stormwater Quantity Control	
(5.3	Assessment Criteria	
7	Wat	ter Supply	Error! Bookmark not defined.
-	7.1	Potable Water Supply	Error! Bookmark not defined.
-	7.2	Water Demand	Error! Bookmark not defined.
-	7.3	Fire Fighting	Error! Bookmark not defined.
8	Was	stewater	Error! Bookmark not defined.
8	8.1	Generation Volume	Error! Bookmark not defined.
8	8.2	System Capacity	Error! Bookmark not defined.
8	8.3	Connection to the Network	Error! Bookmark not defined.



4

1 Executive Summary

Haigh Workman Ltd was commissioned by Jim Terrell (the Client) to undertake a stormwater management report to support building consent application for a proposed building for 114 Waipapa Road. The building is to be built by Versatile Buildings.

The impervious surface area calculation shows 15.8% coverage making the proposed development a Controlled Activity.

Downstream stream inundation of certain buildings can be expected in a 1% AEP event. To not exacerbate the flood hazard, the 1% AEP event is to be attenuated.

The 2023 FNDC Engineering Standards were applied to calculated runoff effects for the 50%, 20%, and 1% AEP events. In order to attenuate to 80% predevelopment flowrate, 0.6L/s, 0.7L/s, and 1.3L/s is required to be detained in a 50%, 20%, and 1% AEP event respectively.

The required attenuation is achieved and exceeded with a standard 5000L detention tank collecting roof runoff from the proposed building. A single outlet orifice of 20mm diameter provides the necessary attenuation.

Outflows are to be directed to the northeast, mimicking the pre-development flow path.



2 Introduction

Haigh Workman Ltd was commissioned by Jim Terrell (the Client) to undertake a stormwater management report to support building consent application for a proposed building (roof area 64.8m²). The proposed building is to be built by Versatile who have provided a site plan (see Appendix).

The Site as an existing dwelling and ancillary buildings with a total existing roof area of 507m². A gravel culdesac driveway with parking area has an estimated area of 1080m².

The Site is located at 114 Waipapa Road, Kerikeri in the Rural Living Zone. It is understood that the proposed development is a controlled activity in regard to impervious percentage (see Versatile drawing – Part Site Plan V243639 Sheet 02 in the Appendix)

2.1 Objective and Scope

The objectives of this investigation were to:

- Review current regulation and stormwater neutrality requirements.
- Review flood hazard risk to downstream property.
- Conduct attenuation calculations.
- Propose detention tank design dimensions.

2.2 Limitations

5

This report is intended to support the consent application with the Far North District Council. The information and opinions expressed in this report shall not be used in any other context without prior approval from Haigh Workman Ltd.

If at consent application the proposed development diverges from the provided scheme plan, the report will need to be revisited.

Haigh Workman Ltd does not take responsibility for factors that affect the engineering assessment of the proposed development that are not covered in the agreed brief.



3 Site Description

3.1 Site Location

Site Address: 114 Waipapa Road

Legal Description: Lot 3 DP 167464

Total Site Area: 1.1067 ha



Figure 1: Site Plan view

3.2 Site Features

The Site is built on the north side of Waipapa Road on a northeast facing incline (Approx. 4%). Runoff travels as sheet flow towards a swale within the western boundary of 13 Silkwood Lane. No: 13 has a Council 450mm culvert collecting the outfall and discharging into the Silkwood Lane swale. The swale continues along the



Silkwood Lane corridor to a stormwater pond at the end of the Lane. The overflow to this pond is an overland flowpath directly into the Waipapa River.

The soil on the Site is considered good draining and has historically been used for horticulture. The location of the proposed building currently has grass coverage.

Other infrastructure on the Site includes an existing dwelling, two smaller buildings, a gravel driveway and parking bay and a pool with patio area.

3.3 District Plan Zoning

According to the Far North District Plan the Site is zoned as 'Rural Living'.

3.4 Proposed Development

The proposed scheme plan can be found in the Appendix. A proposed building of 64.8m² roof coverage is to be built in an area of existing grass coverage.

No other alterations to the existing site layout are understood.



4 Stormwater Management

4.1 Impervious Surface Area

The proposed development will see the existing roof area and concrete access and parking area increased.

The Post Development impervious percentage is determined below:

Existing Roof Cover	507m ²
Existing Pool Area	100m ²
Existing Gravel Driveway and Parking	1080m ²
Proposed Roof Cover	64.8m ²
Total Impervious	1715.8m ²
Total Site Area	11067m ²
Impervious Percentage	15.8%

Under Rule 8.7.5.1.5 of the Far North Operative Plan, to be a Permitted Activity the maximum proportion of a gross site area in the Rural Living Zone that can be impermeable is 12.5%. The proposed development exceeds this provision.

Under Rule 8.7.5.2.2, to be a Controlled Activity the maximum proportion or amount of the gross site area covered by buildings and other impermeable surfaces shall be 20% or 3300m², whichever is the lesser. The proposed development meets this provision.

The proposed development is considered a **Controlled Activity**. Rule 8.7.5.2.2 states that stormwater mitigation is required. While the Operative District Plan references the Verification Method E1/VM1 in the NZ Building Code as the design standard, it is understood that the FNDC Engineering Standards are now preferred.

4.2 Stormwater Quantity Control

4.2.1 *Regulative Framework*

The 2023 Far North Engineering Standards allows for the Rational Method for assessing runoff effects, with C values that are more suited for Far North Conditions detailed in Table 4-3. Table 4-1 stipulates that the 50% and 20% AEP event is to be attenuated to 80% predevelopment flowrate. It is understood from previous Haigh Workman projects that this refers only to the land being developed and not to the entire lot area.

Where flood is required, the 1% AEP event is to be detained to the 80% pre-development flowrate.

The historical flood data can be used. The 80% pre-development flowrate requirement is adequate allowance for climate change.

Rule C.6.4.2 of the Northland Regional Plan provides for the diversion and discharge of stormwater from outside a public stormwater network provided (amongst other conditions) the diversion and discharge does not cause or increase flooding of land on another property in a storm event of up to and including a 10 percent annual exceedance probability or flooding of buildings on another property in a storm event of up to and including a not including a one percent annual exceedance probability.



4.2.2 Downstream Flooding Risk

Stormwater from the Site travers as sheet flow in the northeast direction towards the Waipapa River. The NRCPriority River Model for the Waipapa River shows inundation for several bankside dwellings in a 1% AEP flood event (with climate change allowance). For example, 1 Waipapa Landing Place (see Figure 2). Because of the downstream flood risk, and because of the long time of concentration in comparison with the catchment length, it is necessary to provide attenuation to 80% pre-development flowrates in a 1% AEP + CC event to comply with Table 4-1 in the 2023 FNDC Engineering Standards



Figure 2: Downstream Flooding Risk to buildings in a 1% AEP event.

4.2.3 Runoff Effects

9

Runoff effects for the 50%, 20% and 1% AEP events (10 min intensity) were assessed for changes in flowrate. Rainfall intensities are taken from the NIWA HIRDs database using the historical data. Runoff Coefficients were taken from Table 4-3 in FNDC Engineering Standards.





Post-Development Runoff

	Area	С	15	Q5	I ₁₀₀	Q100	I ₂	Q2
	m²		mm/hr	L/s	mm/hr	L/s	mm/hr	L/s
Proposed Roof Area	64.8	0.96	84.6	1.5	147	2.5	65.4	1.1
Existing Roof Area	507	0.96	84.6	11.4	147	19.9	65.4	8.8
Existing Gravel Driveway	1080	0.74	84.6	18.8	147	32.6	65.4	14.5
Open Space (75%+ grass coverage), Type C soil	9415.2	0.59	84.6	130.5	147	226.8	65.4	100.9
Total	11067			162.2		281.9		125.4

Pre-Development Runoff

	Area	С	15	Q5	I ₁₀₀	Q100	12	Q2
	m²		mm/hr	L/s	mm/hr	L/s	mm/hr	L/s
Roof Area	507	0.96	84.6	11.4	147	19.9	65.4	8.8
Gravel Pavement	1080	0.74	84.6	18.8	147	32.6	65.4	14.5
Open Space (75%+ grass coverage), Type C soil	9480	0.59	84.6	131.4	147	228.4	65.4	101.6
Total	11067			161.7		280.9		125.0
Excess run-off				0.6		1.0		0.4
Required Attenuation (to 80% predevelopment)				0.7		1.3		0.6

In order to detain flowrate in accordance with Table 4-1 of the FNDC Engineering Standards 2023, 0.6L/s, 0.7L/s, and 1.3L/s needs to be attenuated in a 50%, 20%, and 1% AEP respectively.

4.2.4 Stormwater Detention Tank Details

The detention calculation was conducted using a 6-hour nested design storm for the historic rainfall intensities for the 50%, 20%, and 1% AEP events.

It is proposed that a standard 5000L HDPE tank (with standard 1.9m diameter) be utilised for the detention tank. The intake of the detention tank is to be the roof water collection of the proposed building (64.8m² roof area). With a 20mm internal diameter outlet orifice set 100mm above the invert of the tank. The achieved attenuation is 0.7L/s, 0.7L/s, and 1.8L/s for the 50%, 20%, and 1% AEP events respectively.

The maximum storage expected in the tank is 2.433m³ in a 1% AEP event. An emergency overflow (100mm diameter) is to be positioned at the top of the tank in case of blockage. An access hatch is required for inspecting and cleaning the outlet orifice.

Outflows are to be directed in the northeast direction and dispersed with a T bar disperser laid parallel with the contours.



Stormwater Management Report for Proposed Building 114 Waipapa Road Jim Terrll



Figure 3: Attenuation in 50% AEP event.



Figure 4: Attenuation in a 20% AEP event.





Figure 5: Attenuation in a 1% AEP event.

4.2.5 Consideration for the 10% AEP event

Standard 4.1.3(e) in the 2023 Engineering Standards states that primary stormwater systems shall be capable of conveying a 10% AEP event without surcharge. Stormwater is discharged into a swale on the Silkwood Lane corridor. The swale is of a good size and is judged to have capacity for a 10% AEP event.

Attenuation calculations of a 10% AEP event was not considered necessary as the required detention is likely to be achieved with attenuating the 20% and 1% AEP events.

4.3 Assessment Criteria

Assessment Criteria is taken from 11.3 of the FNDC Operative Plan:

Assessment	Comment	Acceptable
(a) The extent to which building site coverage and impermeable surfaces result in increased stormwater runoff and contribute to total catchment impermeability and the provisions of any catchment or drainage plan for that catchment.	The proposed detention tank system will mitigate any quantity effects.	Y
(b) The extent to which Low Impact Design principles have been used to reduce site impermeability.	The increase in impermeable surface is limited to the roof coverage of the proposed building. The dimensions of the access and parking areas are to remain unchanged.	γ
(c) Any cumulative effects on total catchment impermeability.	Not applicable.	N/A
(d) The extent to which building site coverage and impermeable surfaces will alter the natural contour	The are no changes to the natural contour or drainage patterns proposed.	Y



Stormwater Management Report for Proposed Building 114 Waipapa Road Jim Terrll

нw	Ref 24 165
28 A	ugust 2024

or drainage patterns of the site or disturb the ground		
and alter its ability to absorb water.		
(e) The physical qualities of the soil type.	Not applicable.	N/A
(f) Any adverse effects on the life supporting capacity of soils.	Not applicable.	N/A
(g) The availability of land for the disposal of effluent and stormwater on the site without adverse effects on the water quantity and water quality of water bodies (including groundwater and aquifers) or on adjacent sites.	The soil is not considered suitable for a soakage bed. However, some infiltration and evapotranspiration can be expected in the Silkwood Lane swale.	Y
(h) The extent to which paved, impermeable surfaces are necessary for the proposed activity.	The only new impermeable surface proposed is the roof for the proposed dwelling.	Y
(i) The extent to which landscaping may reduce adverse effects of run-off.	A small amount of landscaping is to be expected with residential usage. This has not been factored into the runoff effect calculation for the sake of conservatism.	Y
(j) Any recognised standards promulgated by industry groups	Not applicable.	N/A
(k) The means and effectiveness of mitigating stormwater run-off to that expected by the permitted activity threshold.	The existing infrastructure of the Site already exceeds the Permitted Activity threshold so it is not feasible.	N/A
(I) The extent to which the proposal has considered and provided for climate change.	Attenuation calculations were conducted using the NIWA HIRDS Historical Data. As per the 2023 Engineering Standards, attenuation is required to return flowrates to 80% predevelopment. This 20% discount is adequate allowance for the effects of climate change.	Y
(m) The extent to which stormwater detention ponds and other engineering solutions are used to mitigate any adverse effects.	A 5L detention tank is demonstrated to mitigate all stormwater quantity effects.	Y



Appendix A – Scheme Plan

CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON SITE PRIOR TO STARTING . ALL DIMENSIONS IN MM UNLESS STATED Note: Construction to comply with NZS3604.2011 and the New Zealand Building Code

Project Information: Lot 3 DP167464 Area: 1.1067ha Val'n No. 00213-28800

Wind Zone: HIGH A/Open/Exposed/T1 as per NZS3604:2011 Section 5.2

Exposure Zone: C

District Plan Zone: Rural Living

Earthworks: for foundations only

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Impermeable Surfaces: **Existing roof area: Existing driveway: Existing pool:** Proposed building roof area: **Total Impermeable Area:** (15.8%) Permitted Activity maximum: the lesser of 12.5% or 3000m² $(12.5\% \text{ of } 1.1067\text{ha} = 1383\text{m}^2)$



Building Coverage: Existing Buildings footprint: 447m² **Proposed Building footprint:** Total Building Coverage:

Versatile

(4.6%)

64.8m²

511.8m²





PROPOSED VERSATILE BUILDING FOR: TERRELL 114 WAIPAPA ROAD, KERIKERI

DRAWING TITLE:

SITE PLAN

3.00	m		
	<u> </u>		
	Sheet: 02		
m	1		
-			
	1		
	—7.93 m 256° 04' 00"		
	—8.18 m 237° 29' 00"		
	—10.81 m 229° 20' 00"		
	—5.33 m 215° 31' 00"		
	—18.87 m 201° 06' 00"		
	—10.69 m 198° 10' 00"		
	10.05 11 190 10 00		
	—8.08 m 194° 10' 00"		
	—10.92 m 190° 55' 00"		
	—8.30 m 185° 37' 00"		
	—8 32 m 176° 38' 00"		
	0.52 11 170 50 00		
	—19.42 m 172° 50' 00"		
eway (1080m² estimated)		
.ess			
	REVISIONS:		
	A 24.07.24 Show existing pool, update Impermeable s	Surfaces	
	SCALE @ A3 DATE:		0.
	1 : 1000 JULY 2024	0 1	
		UT	
	C.A.D. PROJECT #: V24639	OF	3



01' 30"

80°

92.81





(b) Cover greater than 375mm Bedding type "D" of NZS 4452

where cover depth is less than 375mm but greater than 125mm provide 75mm minimum of concrete instead of fill depth of compacted granular bedding over pipe may then be reduced to 50mm minimum

for trench width at top greater than 600mm provide 75mm concrete instead of fill

Acceptable fill materials:

- bedding material of clean granular non-cohesive material with a maximum particle size of 20mm (eg pea gravel)
- selected compacted fill of any fine-grained soil or granular material which is free from topsoil and rubbish and has a maximum particle size of 20mm
- Ordinary fill which may comprise any fill or excavated material

refer also NZBC E1/AS1



PROPOSED VERSATILE BUILDING FOR: TERRELL 114 WAIPAPA ROAD, KERIKERI DRAWING TITLE:

DRAINAGE DETAIL

-					
SCALE @ A3	DATE:	DATE:		SHEET No.	
1:5		JULY 2024	0	3	
C.A.D. PROJECT #:	V24639		OF	3	

REVISIONS: