

## Submission on Proposed Plan Variation 1 – Minor Corrections and Other Matters to the Far North Proposed District Plan

This submission was lodged online

## Submitter details

Submitter name:	Kathy Davies
Submitter number:	S589
Date received:	23/10/2024
Company/Organisation Name: (if applicable):	
Contact person (if different):	
Full Postal Address:	Mission Bay, Auckland, 0293
Email:	kathy.davies38@gmail.com
Wish to be heard in support of my submission:	Yes
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing:	No
Trade competition declaration:	I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
Trade competition direct affect declaration:	N/A

Submission points The following submission points were made by the submitter.

Point	Plan section	Plan	Position	Decision sought	Reasons for position
		provision			

	1	Planning maps	Coastal	Oppose	This property is at the	The various LINZ and
SE00 001		5 1	Flood	••	end of the Hauparua	NRC maps used to create
5589.001			Hazard -		Inlet but there is no	the Coastal Hazard maps
			Kerikeri Inlet		provision to select this	are not correct for this
					area in the 'Provision"	area of the Hauparua Inlet
					above.	- possibly why the area is
					This submission is in	not shown in the
					relation to Variation 1 of	Provisions selection.
					PDP which says	
					changes are minor and	The LINZ maps currently
					insignificant. The	show a lot of our existing
					proposed changes are	property as 'hydro', which
					not at all insignificant to	is not correct. This has
					this property.	likely been used as a base
					The decision I require is	starting point.
					to delete the proposed	
					new coastal hazard	The NRC hazard maps (I
					maps or correct them	assume used to generate
					ior this property.	the updated FNDC maps)
						are incorrect as very
						inconsistent with the NZ
						Searise data and maps -
						which I assume are a
						base point for all
						Councils. If NZ Searise is
						not the base data set for
						Coastal Hazard modelling
						could you please let me
						know what data has been
						used?
						The new maps show all
						land up to 2m above sea
						level as being in Coastal
						Hazard In the 50 year
						data shows the median
						estimate of sea level rise
						at 39m for this property
						by 2070 and this includes
						the vertical land level
						change estimated. At 100
						years (2120) the NZ
						Searise shows the rise at
						this property at .82m
						The 'sea' / water at this
						end of the estuary is
						protected by an extremely
						narrow entry ('the
						narrows') to the basin of
						water.
						Mountains rising steeply
						to 50m elevation on
						wharau Ro surround the
						from wind Honco it in

		very protected and there is no 'wave action' to be considered. The flood zone should equate to the sea level rise estimates along with vertical land level changes which equal .39m at 2070 and .82m at 2120 on the NZ Searise site.
		Why then do the maps show hazard zones up to and over 2m? It is obviously very incorrect to map coastal hazard to this level at 50 years and still actually incorrect at the 100 year projection.
		I note that the current flood zone assessment on the NRC maps shows some existing flooding hazard where the current district plan shows none. I can confirm that during our ownership we have never seen flooding on the land and this includes time during cyclone Gabriel when winds were at a maximum and a tree was downed on our road. Also no flooding during the tsunami warning a few years ago that saw the evacuation of the area
		and in which social media notes from people on the Kerikeri Inlet that they noticed high water levels. We noticed none as we watched on our cameras from afar.

Supporting documents The following documents were included with the submission.

Supporting documents	

