Appendix 2 – Officer's Recommended Decisions on Submissions (Renewable Energy)

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
\$559.008	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia	General / Process	Support in part	For example there are amenity-based rules on 'reflectivity', building height or similar that unduly limit opportunities for small to medium scale solar or wind generation.		e to ensure there are no climate change mitigation.	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
F\$151.141	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support Allow			Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous	
FS25.014	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the aspirations of Ngāti Rēhia in respect of climate change mitigation.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
FS570.2198	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
FS348.035	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose	The submission was not made by the closing date and is therefore not a valid submission under RMA	Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
FS566.2212	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
FS569.2234	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S483.076	Top Energy Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support	In general, Top Energy supports the outcomes sought within this chapter. The nature of this chapter is unique to others in that it seeks to manage a specific activity on a district wide basis. It is not clear how this Chapter interacts with Part 3 - Area Specific Matters. It is assumed that the standards within Part 3 would apply (except where more permissive standards are provided in the REG chapter). However, without specific direction within the chapter confirming that this is the case, this could generate confusion for plan users. The How the Plan works Chapter gives specific direction as to how Part 2: District - Wide Matters interacts with the Sensitive Environment Overlays, but not how this chapter should be treated.	integration of this Chapters in the F	o provide clarity around chapter with other lan so that the provisions of have precedence.	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
FS78.048	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter supports this submission as it will improve the clarity of the plan.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
FS346.061	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Not appropriate to specify that the REG provisions have precedence over other plan provisions.	Disallow disallow the original submission		Reject	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
FS345.127	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).		Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
S454.033	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The Energy chapter of the FNPDP contains provisions that provide for infrastructure, including the National Grid, however not all provisions relating	Retain the Energy chapter but amend it to ensure that all provisions relating to infrastructure, including the National Grid, are contained within that chapter and cross		Accept in part	Section 5.2.1

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				the infrastructure are located within the chapter. The FNPDP contains provisions in a number of other chapters that relate to infrastructure, including the National Grid. These include for example: Natural Hazards Hazardous substances Historic Heritage Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity Natural character Natural features and landscapes Subdivision Earthworks Notable trees Where necessary, Transpower has made more specific submission on provisions throughout the FNPDP as drafted seeking to ensure that critical infrastructure, such as the National Grid, is appropriately provided for and the NPSET is given effect to efficiently and effectively. However, Transpower's preference is for a standalone set of provisions for infrastructure, including the National Grid, within the Infrastructure Chapter as it avoids duplication (for example in the zone rules) and provides a coherent set of rules which applicants/users can refer to. The ability of the EPlan to provide links within the plan would ensure plan users can be directed to the Infrastructure chapter as required, when looking in other chapters. It could also be made clear that the objectives, policies and rules in the infrastructure chapter have primacy, in accordance with the requirements of the NPSET for example, where there is a conflict.	references within all other chapters of the FNPDP make it clear that the infrastructure provisions apply, or have primacy where necessary. Should the FNPDP not be amended as requested, ensure that the District Wide Matters, Zones and Overlays and other relevant sections of the Plan (such as the How the Plan Works chapter) are amended to ensure that infrastructure is appropriately provided for and the cross-referencing between chapters clearly directs the plan user to the provisions of the Infrastructure chapter that apply to an activity and where these have primacy.		Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS354.011	Horticulture New Zealand		Support	The submitter seeks that all provisions for energy are included in the energy chapter. The intent is supported to the extent that there needs to be clarity where relevant provisions are located within the Plan.	Allow	Allow S454.033 to the extent that it provides clarity to the Plan	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
FS346.021	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird opposes amendments that would give Infrastructure and transport provisions primacy over other sections of the plan, particularly IB, NATC, ONFLs and Notable Trees.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
FS369.012	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy supports appropriate cross-referencing between the Energy Chapter and other District Wide Chapters and that provisions are consistently applied across topics.	Allow	allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
S359.033	Northland Regional Council	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Renewable energy provisions need to recognise that some forms of renewable energy (such as wind speeds required for larger-scale wind farms for example) are limited geographically and may be location specific in the same way in which mineral resources or highly versatile soils are	recognise that so	able energy provisions to me forms of renewable I geographically and may ic	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
FS369.007	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy agrees that the renewable energy provisions should recognise the geographical limitations associated with some forms of renewable energy. Top Energy sought amendments to REG-O3 to this effect.	Allow	allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
FS570.1069	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS346.494	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB.Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
FS566.1083	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
FS569.1105	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
S359.034	Northland Regional Council	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	As the nation shifts to a low carbon economy, the need for our Northland communities to maximise their use of renewable energy sources for electricity generation within the next decade will become paramount and we recommend careful consideration of the provisions which must enable this access.	Amend the provisions to enhance access to renewable energy sources.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
FS369.008	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy agrees that access to renewable energy sources should be enhanced	Allow	allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
FS570.1070	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
FS346.495	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB.Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				with that sought in Forest & Birds submission				
FS566.1084	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2	Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous	
FS569.1106	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.1 Key Issue 1: General Miscellaneous
\$483.004	Top Energy Limited	COMMUNITY SCALE RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY GENERATION ACTIVITIES	Support	Top Energy supports this definition as worded.		on of 'Community Scale ricity Generation Activities'	Accept in part	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
FS345.055	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
S483.007	Top Energy Limited	LARGE SCALE RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY GENERATION ACTIVITIES	Support	Top Energy supports this definition as worded.		ion of 'Large Scale ricity Generation Activities'	Accept in part	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
FS345.058	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
S483.014	Top Energy Limited	RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY GENERATION	Support	Top Energy supports this definition as worded.	Retain the definiti Generation'	ion of 'Renewable Electricity	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS345.065	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
S483.015	Top Energy Limited	RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY GENERATION ACTIVITIES	Support	Top Energy supports this definition as worded.	Retain the definiti Generation Activi	on of 'Renewable Electricity ties'	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
FS351.002	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	Footprint is a clear layman's term for the pubic to understand.	Disallow	No change as definitions are adequate.	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
FS371.002	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose	Footprint is a clear layman's term for the pubic to understand.	Disallow	No change as definitions are adequate.	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
FS449.002	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	Footprint is a clear layman's term for the pubic to understand.	Disallow	No change as definitions are adequate.	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
FS345.066	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
S511.014	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY GENERATION ACTIVITIES	Support in part	The meaning is firstly set out to be "of structures associated with renewable electricity generation". However, the further inclusions appear to extend to infrastructure beyond "structures" and possibly to earthworks and planting for site rehabilitation works.	to generation stru associated/ancilla the definition to R generation is of m electricity and its	ary infrastructure and to limit	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	164 M4 Sommetique			It is also unclear whether the definition includes structures specifically for renewable electricity generation rather than just associated with it. The inclusion of ancillary activities needs to be reconsidered and captured within this definition only where renewable electricity generation meets the definition of RSI. Limiting the definition to renewable electricity generation within the scope of the definition for RSI is appropriate given the special considerations provided for in provisions, including ECO and CE chapters for these activities in terms of adverse environmental effects.	the electricity distribution and transmission networks.			
FS164.014	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
FS369.072	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy opposes the submission point in relation to this definition as it supports the definition as notified.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS570.1585	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
FS566.1599	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
FS569.1621	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
\$442.034	Kapiro Conservation Trust	RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY GENERATION ACTIVITIES	Support in part	The meaning is firstly set out to be "of structures associated with renewable electricity generation". However, the further inclusions appear to extend to infrastructure beyond "structures" and possibly to earthworks and planting for site rehabilitation works. It is also unclear whether the definition includes structures specifically for renewable electricity generation rather than just associated with it. The inclusion of ancillary activities needs to be reconsidered and captured within this definition only where renewable electricity generation meets the definition of RSI. Limiting the definition to renewable electricity generation within the scope of the definition for RSI is appropriate given the special considerations provided for in provisions, including ECO and CE chapters for these activities in terms of adverse environmental effects.	to generation stru associated/ancilla the definition to R generation is of m electricity and its where the electric	ry infrastructure and to limit SI. That is where	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
FS369.071	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy opposes the submission point in relation to this definition as it supports the definition as notified.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS570.1730	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
FS346.645	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
S483.016	Top Energy Limited	SMALL SCALE RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY GENERATION	Support	Top Energy supports this definition as worded.	Retain the definiti Renewable Electi	on of 'Small Scale icity Generation'	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
FS351.003	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	Adopting this definition risks the plan being reinterpreted by Top Energy to navigate around the intent of the PDP.	Disallow	Do not adopt "operational need' definition as written by Top energy.	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
FS371.003	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose	Adopting this definition risks the plan being reinterpreted by Top Energy to navigate around the intent of the PDP.	Disallow	Do not adopt "operational need' definition as written by Top energy.	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
FS131.003	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe		Oppose	Adopting this definition risks the plan being reinterpreted by the original submitter to navigate around the intent of the proposed FNDP.	Disallow	Reject the 'operational need' definition as sought by the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorportation							
FS449.003	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	Adopting this definition risks the plan being reinterpreted by Top Energy to navigate around the intent of the PDP.	Disallow	Do not adopt "operational need' definition as written by Top energy	Reject	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
FS345.067	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.6 Key Issue 6: Definitions
S483.077	Top Energy Limited	REG-01	Support	Top Energy supports acknowledgement of the significance of the local regional and national benefits of renewable energy and recognition in the Plan of its inclusion as a matter of national significance in the RMA. Further the acknowledgment of the operation, functional, technical needs and constrains.	Retain Objective REG-O1		Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS196.15	Joe Carr		Support	an absolutely essential provision for the sustainability of the planet earth	Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS345.128	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
S394.015	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust	REG-01	Support in part	The wording of this provision elevates the importance of renewable energy. Benefit from renewable energy is not a matter of national importance that must be recognised and provided for, but a 'other matter' that attracts particular regard, per s 7(j) RMA.	The significant loo benefits from the renewable electric and their technica	and constraints, are	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.262	Top Energy	nergy Oppose	Oppose	Top Energy supports this objective as notified.	Disallow		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS363.015	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS538.015	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS537.015	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS536.015	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS535.015	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS533.015	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS532.015	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS531.015	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS530.015	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS529.015	Aaron Rupapera	Rupapera	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS528.015	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS527.015	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS526.015	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS525.015	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS524.015	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS523.015	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS522.015	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS521.015	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS520.015	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS519.015	Huia Solomon	luia Solomon	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS518.015	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS517.015	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS516.015	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS515.015	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS514.015	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS513.015	Ester Rangi Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS512.015	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS511.015	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS510.015	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS509.015	Clinton Matiu	linton Matiu	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS508.015	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS507.015	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS506.015	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS505.015	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS504.015	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS503.015	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS502.015	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS501.015	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS500.015	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS499.015	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS498.015	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS497.015	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS496.015	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS495.015	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS494.015	lan Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS493.015	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS492.015	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS491.015	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS490.015	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS489.015	Josephine Lawrence	•	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS487.015	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS486.015	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS485.015	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS483.015	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS482.015	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS481.015	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS480.015	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS479.015	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS478.015	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Further Submitter (FS)	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS477.015	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS476.015	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS475.015	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS474.015	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS473.015	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS472.015	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS471.015	Willliam Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS470.015	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS469.015	Anne-maree Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS468.015	Elias Reihana- Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Further Submitter (FS)	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS467.015	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS466.015	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS465.015	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS464.015	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS463.015	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS462.015	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS461.015	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS460.015	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS459.015	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS458.015	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	urther (FS) ina Lesley	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS457.015	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS456.015	Rhys Alexander Lawrence- Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS455.015	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS454.015	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS453.015	Marlaine Urlich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS452.015	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS451.015	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS450.015	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS447.015	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS440.015	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS439.015	Rahera Fiaui	ahera Fiaui	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS436.015	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS435.015	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS434.015	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS433.015	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS432.015	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS431.015	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS430.015	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS429.015	Cedric Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS428.015	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS427.015	Jacey Horan	acey Horan	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS426.015	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS425.015	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS423.015	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS422.015	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS421.015	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS420.015	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS418.015	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS417.015	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS416.015	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Further Submitter (FS) Michelle	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS415.015	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS408.015	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS388.015	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS387.015	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS386.015	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS385.015	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS382.015	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS381.015	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS380.015	Ashleigh Hetaraka- Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS379.015	Kaya Hetaraka- Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS378.015	Maanu Reihana	aanu Reihana	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS365.015	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS360.015	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS359.015	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS358.015	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS357.015	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS356.015	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS568.015	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS567.015	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS563.015	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS562.015	Rhonda Raharuhi	=	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS561.015	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS560.015	Dylan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS559.015	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS558.015	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS557.015	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS556.015	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS555.015	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS553.015	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS552.015	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of D	Pecision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS551.015	Alamein Drummond	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives	
FS546.015	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS545.015	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS544.015	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS178.015	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS413.015	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS588.015	lan Taylor Bamber		Support	Support original submission to protect our wāhi tapu sites of significance and rights as tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
S421.045	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-O1	Support	Federated Farmers supports objectives REG-01, REG-02 and REG-04 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.		ve REG-O1 or ensure that include similar wording that imperior intent	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS369.263	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy supports this objective as notified.	Allow	Allow	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS570.1277	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS346.279	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM. NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS566.1291	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS569.1313	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
S421.046	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-O2	Support	Federated Farmers supports objectives REG-O1, REG-O2 and REG-O4 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Objective REG-O2 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS369.264	Top Energy		Oppose	It is unclear whether this objective seeks to direct minimum requirements or to recognise the benefits of renewable energy. Top Energy considers that this objective should be deleted or amended	Disallow	Delete or Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS570.1278	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS346.280	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS566.1292	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS569.1314	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
S483.078	Top Energy Limited	REG-O2	Not Stated	It is unclear whether this objective seeks to direct minimum requirements, or recognise the benefits of renewable energy. On review of the policies, Top Energy considers it is likely the latter, and if this is the case the objective is unnecessary given that REG O1 recognises and provides for the benefits and REG - P4 establishes what the benefits include.	Delete Objective REG-O2 OR amend to avoid the objective being interpreted as a list of minimum requirements.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS345.129	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
S421.048	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-O3	Support in part	We have concerns over the proposed wording for objective REG-O3. The generation of renewable energy needs to occur where the energy source is located. The objective as currently drafted does not recognise this functional need as renewable energy needs to be located where the renewable source is located to ensure effective and efficient production. On farms, renewable energy generation occurs from the wind, the sun and in some instances, the capture of methane. The infrastructure to capture these renewable energy sources needs to be located where the resource is.	Amend Objective REG-O3 as follows: Renewable electricity generation activities where practical are located and designed to avoid and minimise adverse effects on areas with historical, cultural, environmental, and coastal values. or wording with similar intent		Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS51.55	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Oppose	HNZPT recognises the importance of the provision for renewable electricity generations. However, HNZPT considers the proposed amendment is unnecessary and opposes this amendment. Objective REG-O3 specifically addresses and recognises the potential for such infrastructure to cause adverse effects on areas with historic heritage & cultural values.	Disallow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS369.266	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks amendment to this objective and considers that the proposed wording is unclear whether adverse effects on values should be avoided or minimised. Managing adverse effects is more aligned with the Regional Policy Statement (Policy 5.3.3) noting that renewable energy generation is considered regionally significant infrastructure	Disallow	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS570.1280	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS346.282	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS566.1294	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS569.1316	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
S483.079	Top Energy Limited	REG-O3	Not Stated	The current wording is unclear whether adverse effects on values should be avoided or minimised. Managing adverse effects is more aligned with the Regional Policy Statement (Policy 5.3.3) noting that renewable energy generation is considered regionally significant infrastructure. Further, the reference to values should align with what is mapped in the Plan.	Adverse effective energy general values mapped managed. Resigned and designed minimise adverse with historica	erse effects on areas	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS131.020	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorportation		Oppose	The current wording is clear and the original submitter is attempting to remove the directive by the proposed FNDP to avoid or minimise. Managing adverse effects is inappropriate and undermines the original intent.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission (inferred).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS346.062	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Not all important values are mapped in the plan. The amendment sought would therefore be inadequate to manage effects on values.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS404.082	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation	eneral	Oppose	The mapping is not complete in the notified FNDP, for example, SNAs have been identified but not scheduled and areas of the coastal environment have been omitted. It is appropriate that effects on these values are assessed, and an avoidance directive is applied where required by the higher order planning instruments.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS345.130	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
S511.047	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	REG-O3	Support in part	The word 'minimise' is not appropriate and does not reflect the terminology used in RMA, s5 This chapter does not have any rules that address indigenous biodiversity. It may be that this objective is better reflected in the IB chapter.	Amend: " avoid, remedy or mitigate-minimise"		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS164.047	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS548.152	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Support in part	Federated Farmers also suggested an amendment to objective REG-O3 to recognize the functional need of renewable electricity generation activities to be located where the renewable source is located to ensure effective and efficient production.	Allow in part	Grant the relief sought along with the relief sought in Federated Farmers original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS369.268	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks amendment to this objective and considers that the proposed wording is unclear whether adverse effects on values should be avoided or minimised. Managing adverse effects is more aligned with the Regional Policy Statement (Policy 5.3.3) noting that renewable energy generation is considered regionally significant infrastructure	Disallow	Amend	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS570.1618	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS566.1632	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS569.1654	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
S399.030	Te Hiku lwi Development Trust	REG-O3	Not Stated	The wording of Objective REG-03 is ambiguous, it is not possible to avoid and minimise adverse effects	Renewable electrare located and d	REG-03 as follows: icity generation activities esigned to avoid and ificant adverse	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					cultural, enviro	es with historical, conmental and coastal he words "avoid and" ed		
FS369.265	Top Energy		Oppose	It is unclear whether this objective seeks to direct minimum requirements or to recognise the benefits of renewable energy. Top Energy considers that this objective should be deleted or amended.	Disallow	Delete or Amend	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
S442.066	Kapiro Conservation Trust	REG-O3	Support	The word 'minimise' is not appropriate and does not reflect the terminology used in RMA, s5 This chapter does not have any rules that address indigenous biodiversity. It may be that this objective is better reflected in the IB chapter.	Amend: " avoid, remedy or mitigate minimise "		Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS369.267	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks amendment to this objective and considers that the proposed wording is unclear whether adverse effects on values should be avoided or minimised. Managing adverse effects is more aligned with the Regional Policy Statement (Policy 5.3.3) noting that renewable energy generation is considered regionally significant infrastructure	Disallow	Amend	Accept	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS570.1763	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS346.677	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
\$304.002	Ngati Rangi ki Ngawha Hapu	REG-O4	Support in part	As reiterated in Ngati Rangi Hapu objectives in regards to renewable energy: Clean, renewable energy is harnessed in a way that does not impact the environmental, cultural, visual, and spiritual connections Ngāti Rangi have with Ranginui, our Whenua, and waterways. To protect the mauri of air from adverse effects related to the discharge of contaminants into the air. Ngāti Rangi is involved in regional decision-making on air quality issues.	Amend to ensure consistency with Ngati Rangi Hapu objectives in regards to renewable energy, including: Clean, renewable energy is harnessed in a way that does not impact the environmental, cultural, visual, and spiritual connections Ngāti Rangi have with Ranginui, our Whenua, and waterways. To protect the mauri of air from adverse effects related to the discharge of contaminants into the air. Ngāti Rangi is involved in regional decisionmaking on air quality issues. The council will investigate joint approaches to renewable energy development and use, including the possible Transfer of Power or Joint Management Agreements, between itself and Far North District Council, Northland Regional Council, or other authorities, including Recognised lwi Authorities and Mandated lwi Authorities.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
S331.018	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	REG-04	Support	The submitter supports objective REG- 04 to avoid or mitigate reverse sensitivity effects from sensitive activities, like schools, in close proximity to community and large-scale renewable electricity activities.	Retain as propose	ed.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
S515.007	Ngati Rangi ki Ngawha	REG-O4	Support in part	As reiterated in Ngati Rangi Hapu objectives in regards to renewable energy: Clean, renewable energy is harnessed in a way that does not impact the environmental, cultural, visual, and spiritual connections Ngāti Rangi have with Ranginui, our Whenua, and	Rangi Hapu object renewable energy Clean, renewable way that does not cultural, visual, an Ngāti Rangi have	consistency with Ngati tives in regards to r, including: energy is harnessed in a impact the environmental, ad spiritual connections with Ranginui, our erways. To protect the	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Hortigultura Now			from adverse effects related to the discharge of contaminants into the air. Ngāti Rangi is involved in regional decision-making on air quality issues.	the discharge of on Ngāti Rangi is invertible. The council will into renewable eneincluding the possible. Joint Management itself and Far Nor Northland Regionauthorities, including the discharge of the discharg	adverse effects related to contaminants into the air. olved in regional decisionality issues. vestigate joint approaches rgy development and use, sible Transfer of Power or at Agreements, between th District Council, al Council, or other ing Recognised Iwi andated Iwi Authorities.		
S159.039	Horticulture New Zealand	REG-O4	Support	An objective that seeks to avoid or otherwise mitigate reverse sensitivity effects from sensitive activities is supported	Retain Objective REG-O4		Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS129.7	Waste Management New Zealand Limited		Support		Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS151.198	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS548.045	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Support	Highly productive land is a limited resource and needs to be recognized and provided for. The Proposed District Plan also needs to be consistent with the National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land.	Allow	Grant the relief sought.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS369.269	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to provide for "repair" and "maintenance	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS570.201	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS566.215	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2	Conservation Trust 2	Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS569.237	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
S483.080	Top Energy Limited	REG-O4	Support	Top Energy supports the enablement of operation, maintenance, and upgrade of these activities, and the protection from reverse sensitivity effects, but consider that repair should also be included. Top Energy also highlights that the use of "or otherwise mitigating" reverse sensitivity effects is inconsistent with and does not give effect to the Northland Regional Policy Statement, and in particular Policy 5.1.1(2) which requires that subdivision use and development be located, designed and built in a manner that "should not result in incompatible land uses in close proximity and avoids the potential for reverse sensitivity".	Amend Objective REG-O4 as follows: The ongoing efficient operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading of existing renewable electricity generation activities is enabled, including through avoiding, or otherwise mitigating, the reverse sensitivity effects from sensitive activities in close proximity to community and large-scale renewable electricity activities.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS131.021	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorportation		Oppose	It is unclear how maintenance doesn't capture repair unless repair is being used as a vehicle for upgrading. The original submitter seeks to mitigate effects when encroaching on others interests but also seeks to not enable land owners the same right when encroaching on their assets.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission (inferred).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS345.131	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
S421.047	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-O4	Support	Federated Farmers supports objectives REG-01, REG-02 and REG-04 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.		REG-O4 or ensure that ude similar wording that e intent	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS570.1279	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS346.281	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS566.1293	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
FS569.1315	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.2 Key Issue 2: Objectives
S483.093	Top Energy Limited	Policies	Support	Method 5.4.3 of the RPS directs Council to Encourage and Provide for activities associated with investigation for the identification renewable energy sources. In the context of Top Energy's interests, this is of particular relevance to geothermal energy where sub surface investigation is often required to understand the availability of the resource to inform feasibility.	Include a new policy as follows (or to the same effect):REG - PXEnable activities associated with the investigation, identification and assessment of potential sites and energy sources for renewable electricity generation		Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				The inclusion of such a policy also supports REG - 3 and REG - 4 which provide for investigation activities associated with hydro and wind.				
FS196.187	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS196.188	Joe Carr		Support		Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.066	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Policy is inappropriate without management of effects.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS345.144	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S394.016	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust	REG-P1	Support in part	The wording of this provision elevates the importance of renewable energy. Benefit from renewable energy is not a matter of national importance that must be recognised and provided for, but a 'other matter' that attracts particular regard, per s 7(j) RMA.	Consider the electricity ger supply electric Grid or local enetwork or di	benefits of renewable eration activities that city to the National lectricity distribution rectly to high energy nally significant	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
F\$369.272	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified. The amendment sought is inconsistent with the national direction and weakens the	Disallow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				wording of this objective				
FS363.016	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS538.016	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS537.016	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS536.016	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS535.016	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS533.016	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS532.016	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS531.016	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS530.016	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS529.016	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS528.016	Erana Samuels	Erana Samuels	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS527.016	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS526.016	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS525.016	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS524.016	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS523.016	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS522.016	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS521.016	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS520.016	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS519.016	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS518.016	William Boyd Rupapera	,	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS517.016	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS516.016	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS515.016	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS514.016	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS513.016	Ester Rangi Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS512.016	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS511.016	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS510.016	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS509.016	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Further Submitter (FS)	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS508.016	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS507.016	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS506.016	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS505.016	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS504.016	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS503.016	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS502.016	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS501.016	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS500.016	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS499.016	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS498.016	Aaron George Lawrence	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies	
FS497.016	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS496.016	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS495.016	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS494.016	lan Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS493.016	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS492.016	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS491.016	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS490.016	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS489.016	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS487.016	Timothy Matiu	Fimothy Matiu Sup	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS486.016	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS485.016	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS483.016	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS482.016	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS481.016	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS480.016	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS479.016	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS478.016	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS477.016	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS476.016	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS475.016	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS474.016	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS473.016	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS472.016	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS471.016	Willliam Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS470.016	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS469.016	Anne-maree Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS468.016	Elias Reihana- Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS467.016	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS466.016	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS465.016	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS464.016	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS463.016	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS462.016	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS461.016	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS460.016	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS459.016	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS458.016	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS457.016	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS456.016	Rhys Alexander Lawrence- Busby	awrence-	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS455.016	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS454.016	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS453.016	Marlaine Urlich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS452.016	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS451.016	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS450.016	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS447.016	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS440.016	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS439.016	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS436.016	Parehuia Jane Williams	Williams	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS435.016	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS434.016	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS433.016	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS432.016	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS431.016	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS430.016	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS429.016	Cedric Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS428.016	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS427.016	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS426.016	Toni Maheno	ni Maheno Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies	
FS425.016	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS423.016	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS422.016	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS421.016	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS420.016	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS418.016	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS417.016	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS416.016	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS415.016	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS408.016	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS388.016	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS387.016	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS386.016	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS385.016	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS382.016	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS381.016	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS380.016	Ashleigh Hetaraka- Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS379.016	Kaya Hetaraka- Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS378.016	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS365.016	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS360.016	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS359.016	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS358.016	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS357.016	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS356.016	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS568.016	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS567.016	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS563.016	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS562.016	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS561.016	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS560.016	Dylan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS559.016	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS558.016	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS557.016	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS556.016	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS555.016	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS553.016	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS552.016	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS551.016	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS546.016	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS545.016	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS544.016	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS178.016	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS413.016	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS588.016	lan Taylor Bamber		Support	Support original submission to protect our wāhi tapu sites of significance and rights as tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S421.049	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P1	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.		G-P1 or ensure that ude similar wording that e intent	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.273	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS570.1281	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.283	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
			RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.					
FS566.1295	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS569.1317	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S483.081	Top Energy Limited	REG-P1	Support	Top Energy supports this recognition and provision for renewable energy generation activities as Regionally Significant Infrastructure, including where the electricity is directed to a high energy user.	Retain Policy RE	G-P1	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS345.132	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S483.082	Top Energy Limited	REG-P2	Support	Top Energy supports the provision for ongoing maintenance, operation and upgrade but considers that this should be enabled and seeks wording to reflect this in the policy and amendments to associated rules to reflect this	for Enable the operation, maupgrading and renewable electrical	intenance, repair, I replacement of ectricity generation aintain and increase	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.063	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Not all important values are mapped in the plan. The amendment sought would therefore be inadequate to manage effects on values.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Allow Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS345.133	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.			Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S421.050	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P2	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.		G-P2 or ensure that ude similar wording that e intent	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.274	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS570.1282	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.284	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS566.1296	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS569.1318	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S421.051	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P3	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Policy REG-P3 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS570.1283	Vision Kerikeri 3	su	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies	
FS346.285	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS566.1297	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS569.1319	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S483.083	Top Energy Limited	REG-P3	Support	Top Energy supports this policy.	Retain Policy RE0	G-P3	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS345.134	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S483.084	Top Energy Limited	REG-P4	Support	Top Energy support the intent of this policy but seek amendments to: - Recognise and provide for the benefits in alignment with RPS and NPS-REG; - Specifically acknowledge improved resilience (through a variety of energy sources) as a benefit; - Remove REG-P4(g) as it is not a 'benefit' and does not fit in this policy.	the benefits o scale and new renewable ele activities, whi	se and provide for f new community large-scale ectricity generation ch include: electricity supply;	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					in resilience of from local gence. economic be regional or nade. helping to recentral governelectricity and targets; e. improved questandard of lives.	enefits to the local, tional economy; neet local, regional or ment renewable emission reduction uality of life and ing; and n.; andg. adverse naged in accordance		
FS196.17	Joe Carr		Support	provides for RMA sec 2 sustainability requirements	Allow		Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS345.135	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S368.030	Far North District Council	REG-P4	Support in part	Typo: REG-P5 is incorrectly referenced in g.	scale and new lar electricity generat include: a. security of elect b. increased energeneration; c. economic benerational economy	gy independence from local fits to the local, regional or	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					government renewable electricity and emission reduction targets; e. improved quality of life and standard of living; f. public health; and g. adverse effects are managed in accordance with REGE P5 and P6			
FS369.275	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to remove clause (g) as it is not a benefit and does not fit in this policy.	Disallow	Remove clause	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S399.031	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	REG-P4	Not Stated	Point g. of Policy REG-P4 contains reference to policies that don't exist (REE-P5 and P6)	e. adverse effect	Amend point g. of Policy REG-P4 as follow e. adverse effects are managed in accordance with REEG-P5 and P6.		Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.276	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seek to remove clause (g) as it is not a benefit and does not fit in this policy.	Disallow	Remove clause	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S421.052	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P4	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.		G-P4 or ensure that ude similar wording that e intent	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.277	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS570.1284	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.286	Royal Forest and Bird Protection		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Society of New Zealand Inc.			RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.				
FS566.1298	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS569.1320	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S364.025	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	REG-P5	Support in part	The Director-General is generally supportive of Policy REG-P5, however, notes that SNAs and the coastal environment have value in of itself, not just in characteristics and qualities and the wording should reflect this.	follows: In the coastal envelfects of the development of the developme	g adverse effects on the ies and characteristics nificant natural areas, anding natural res or landscapes, of outstanding al character; g significant adverse effects or natural features and apes, and areas of natural	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS196.18	Joe Carr	Oppose	THE SURVIVAL OF THE PLANET IS Dis	Disallow		Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies	
FS339.044	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust		Support	Avoiding adverse effects on significant natural areas is one mechanism by which s 6(c) RMA can be implemented.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.278	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS570.1106	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.165	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS566.1120	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS569.1142	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S511.048	Royal Forest and Bird	REG-P5	Oppose	This policy mirrors I-P2. Forest & Bird concerns are the same as those for I-	Amend same as the words Region	relief as for I-P2, replace ally Significant	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Protection Society of New Zealand			There are no rules in this chapter that regulate the removal of indigenous biodiversity. For this reason this chapter may not be the most appropriate place for these policies. It means that plan users have to go to the IB chapter then if they are undertaking an infrastructure activity then they will need to go back to the Infrastructure chapter to pick up on these policies. This policy elevates all infrastructure in the Far North to the status of RSI, National Grid, electricity transmission and renewable electricity generation activities. The definition for RSI is already far ranging and including all of infrastructure is a step to far and has no support from higher order planning documents. This policy does not meet the requirements of the NZCPS because it provides access to the effects mitigation hierarchy for all infrastructure in the Far North. This is contrary to the express requirements of the NZCPS in regards to ONLs, ONFs, and policy 11(a) matters. It also runs counter to RPS, policies 5.3, 3, 4.4.1, 4.6.1 and 4.6.2. The RPS only provides access to the mitigation hierarchy for RSI not infrastructure as a whole in certain circumstances. These suggested amendments reflect these restrictions. Further the RPS does not provide access to offsetting and compensation principles in the Coastal Environment for new or re-consenting of RSI under RPS, policy 5.5.3, 4.4.1 (1) and (2) The RPS, policy 5.5.3 only provides access to offsetting and compensation for maintenance, and upgrading of existing RSI, in the	Infrastructure with 'Renewable Electricity Generation' Amend REG-P5 In the coastal environment, manage the effects of the development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of infrastructure and new Regionally Significant Infrastructure or re-consenting of existing of Renewable Electrivity Generation activities by: a. avoiding adverse effects on the values, qualities and characteristics of: a. significant natural areas, b. The outstanding natural features or landscapes, areas of outstanding natural character; b. Avoiding adverse effects on: a. Indigenous taxa that are listed as threatened or at risk in the NZ Threat Classification System lists; and b. Areas set aside for full or partial protection of indigenous biodiversity under other legislation c. avoiding significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy, mitigate other adverse effects on: a. other natural features and landscapes, and areas of natural character;b.areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation; c.Habitats of indigenous species important for recreational, commercial,traditional, or cultural purposes; d.Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification,		Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				coastal environment. Under the RPS infrastructure in general must comply with RPS policies 4.4.1 and 4.6.1 and 4.6.2. No further policy direction is required for infrastructure in general and it should fall to the natural environment chapter objectives and policies to assist resource consent applications.	including estuaries, lagoons, coastal wetlands, dunelands, intertidal zones, rocky reefs systems, eelgrass, northern wet heathlands, coastal and headwater streams, floodplains, margins of the coastalmarine are and freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas and saltmarsh; ande. Historic heritaged. recognising the technical, operational and functional needs and constraints of infrastructure activities; and e.having regard to offsetting and environmental compensation measures where there are more than minor residuadverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated.	a	
FS164.048	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery.	Allow Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).		Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.				
FS369.283	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS570.1619	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS566.1633	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS569.1655	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S483.085	Top Energy Limited	REG-P5	Not Stated	Top Energy consider that more balance needs to be provided for in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Renewable energy activities (e.g., wind in particular) are inevitably located within the coastal environment. An outright 'avoid' directive for any adverse effects is not realistic and will unduly constrain renewable energy generation activities. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	effects of the devimaintenance and electricity general a. avoiding adversion more than micharacteristics areas, and manatural featur	rironment, manage the elopment, operation, upgrading of renewable ion activities by: se effects that are	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					effects that an on other map and landscape natural characters. d. having regal environmental measures included compensation local environmental fected when than minor re	re more than minor ped natural features es, and areas of eter; rd to offsetting and al compensation uding measures or n which benefit the ment and community re there are more sidual adverse effects e avoided, remedied		
FS346.064	and Bird Protection Society of New		Oppose	Not all important values are mapped in the plan. The amendment sought would therefore be inadequate to manage effects on values.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS404.083	Director-General		Oppose	The relief is inconsistent with the s6 of the Act and higher order planning documents, including the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS345.136	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
\$394.017	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust	REG-P5	Support in part	The natural character of the coastal environment must be preserved under s 6(e) RMA. Protection of SNA, ONF and ONL is a starting point. Effects should not need	effects of the dev	rironment, manage the elopment, operation, upgrading of renewable	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				to be significant to trigger avoidance. Provision to address potential effects on cultural values within the coastal environment is also important in the context of ss 6(e), 7(a), 8 RMA.	character of the environment and characteristics areas, outstand or landscapes, natural characteristics areas, outstand characteristics areas, outstand characteristics on other and landscape natural characteristics on cult	and the qualities and of significant natural ding natural features areas of outstanding ter; nificant adverse er natural features s, and areas of ter; nificant adverse cural values and mitigating other		
FS369.279	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS363.017	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS538.017	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS537.017	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
								Key Issue 3: Policies
FS536.017	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS535.017	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS533.017	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS532.017	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS531.017	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS530.017	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS529.017	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS528.017	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS527.017	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS526.017	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS525.017	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS524.017	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS523.017	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS522.017	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS521.017	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS520.017	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS519.017	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS518.017	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS517.017	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS516.017	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS515.017	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS514.017	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS513.017	Ester Rangi Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS512.017	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS511.017	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS510.017	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS509.017	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS508.017	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS507.017	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS506.017	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary o	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS505.017	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS504.017	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS503.017	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS502.017	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS501.017	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS500.017	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS499.017	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS498.017	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS497.017	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS496.017	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS495.017	Jasmine Cook	mine Cook Sur	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS494.017	lan Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS493.017	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS492.017	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS491.017	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS490.017	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS489.017	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS487.017	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS486.017	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS485.017	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS483.017	Mate Simon Covich Horan	Covich Horan	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS482.017	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS481.017	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS480.017	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS479.017	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS478.017	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS477.017	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS476.017	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS475.017	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS474.017	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS473.017	Beryl Chase	,	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS472.017	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS471.017	Willliam Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS470.017	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS469.017	Anne-maree Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS468.017	Elias Reihana- Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS467.017	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS466.017	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS465.017	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS464.017	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS463.017	Jayden Murray	yden Murray Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies	
FS462.017	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS461.017	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS460.017	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS459.017	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS458.017	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS457.017	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS456.017	Rhys Alexander Lawrence- Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS455.017	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS454.017	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS453.017	Marlaine Urlich	Varlaine Urlich S	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS452.017	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS451.017	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS450.017	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS447.017	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS440.017	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS439.017	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS436.017	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS435.017	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS434.017	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS433.017	Christian Horan	Christian Horan	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS432.017	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS431.017	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS430.017	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS429.017	Cedric Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS428.017	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS427.017	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS426.017	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS425.017	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS423.017	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS422.017	Sharmaine Hepi	Sharmaine Hepi	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS421.017	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS420.017	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS418.017	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS417.017	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS416.017	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS415.017	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS408.017	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS388.017	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS387.017	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS386.017	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS385.017	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS382.017	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS381.017	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS380.017	Ashleigh Hetaraka- Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS379.017	Kaya Hetaraka- Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS378.017	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS365.017	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS360.017	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS359.017	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS358.017	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi	saruhi Suppo	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS357.017	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS356.017	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS568.017	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS567.017	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS563.017	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS562.017	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS561.017	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS560.017	Dylan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS559.017	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of	f Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS558.017	Timothy John Doyle	nn Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies	
FS557.017	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS556.017	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS555.017	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS553.017	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS552.017	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS551.017	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS546.017	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS545.017	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS544.017	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS178.017	Hera Johns	Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies	
FS413.017	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS588.017	lan Taylor Bamber		Support	Support original submission to protect our wāhi tapu sites of significance and rights as tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S399.032	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	REG-P5	Not Stated	For avoidance of doubt, make reference to biodiversity offsetting	d. having regard offsetting and compensation there are moradverse effect	Policy REG-P5 as follows: to biodiversity environmental measures where than minor residual s that cannot be died or mitigated.	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.280	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S421.053	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P5	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.		G-P5 or ensure that ude similar wording that e intent	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.281	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
		w	amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.					
FS570.1285	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.287	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS566.1299	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS569.1321	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S442.067	Kapiro Conservation Trust	REG-P5	Oppose	This policy mirrors I-P2. Forest & Bird concerns are the same as those for I-P2. There are no rules in this chapter that regulate the removal of indigenous biodiversity. For this reason this chapter may not be the most appropriate place for these policies. It means that plan users have to go to the IB chapter then if they are undertaking an infrastructure activity then they will need to go back to the Infrastructure chapter to pick up on these policies. This policy elevates all infrastructure in the Far North to the status of RSI, National Grid, electricity	the words Region Infrastructure with Generation' Amend REG-P5 In the coastal envertees of the deventee maintenance and and new Regional or re-consenting a Electrivity General a. avoiding adverqualities and chall a. significant natub. The outstanding	ironment, manage the elopment, operation, upgrading of infrastructure lly Significant Infrastructure of existing of Renewable tion activities by: se effects on the values, acteristics of:	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				transmission and renewable electricity generation activities. The definition for RSI is already far ranging and including all of infrastructure is a step to far and has no support from higher order planning documents. This policy does not meet the requirements of the NZCPS because it provides access to the effects mitigation hierarchy for all infrastructure in the Far North. This is contrary to the express requirements of the NZCPS in regards to ONLs, ONFs, and policy 11(a) matters. It also runs counter to RPS, policies 5.3.3, 4.4.1, 4.6.1 and 4.6.2. The RPS only provides access to the mitigation hierarchy for RSI not infrastructure as a whole in certain circumstances. These suggested amendments reflect these restrictions. Further the RPS does not provide access to offsetting and compensation principles in the Coastal Environment for new or re-consenting of RSI under RPS, policy 5.5.3, 4.4.1 (1) and (2) The RPS, policy 5.5.3 only provides access to offsetting and compensation for maintenance, and upgrading of existing RSI, in the coastal environment. Under the RPS infrastructure in general must comply with RPS policies 4.4.1 and 4.6.1 and 4.6.2. No further policy direction is required for infrastructure in general and it should fall to the natural environment chapter objectives and policies to assist resource consent applications.	character; b. Avoiding adverse effects on: a. Indigenous taxa that are listed as threatened or at risk in the NZ Threat Classification System lists; and b. Areas set aside for full or partial protection of indigenous biodiversity under other legislation c. avoiding significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy, mitigate other adverse effects on: a. other natural features and landscapes, and areas of natural character;b.areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation; c.Habitats of indigenous species important for recreational, commercial,traditional, or cultural purposes; d.Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including estuaries,lagoons, coastal wetlands, dunelands,intertidal zones, rocky reefs systems, eelgrass, northern wet heathlands,coastal and headwater streams,floodplains, margins of the coastalmarine area and freshwater bodies,spawning and nursery areas and saltmarsh; ande.Historic heritaged. recognising the technical,		

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					and constraint activities; and offsetting and compensation there are mor adverse effect	nd functional needs its of infrastructure e. having regard to environmental measures where e than minor residual its that cannot be edied or mitigated.		
FS369.282	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART -Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.288	Top Energy		Support	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS570.1764	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.678	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S511.049	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	REG-P6	Oppose	This policy mirrors I-P3 Forest & Bird's concerns are the same as those for I-P3. The policy does not give effect to the RPS, policies 5.3.3, 4.4.1(3) and 4.6.1.	replace the words	a relief above for I-P3, s Regionally Significant n 'Renewable Electricity ear on what is meant here.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS164.049	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.289	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS570.1620	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS566.1634	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS569.1656	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S483.086	Top Energy Limited	REG-P6	Not Stated	Top Energy seeks amendments to better align with the NPS-REG and the RPS.	the effects of the maintenance and electricity general a. avoiding signite more than minatural areas, historical and significant natioutstanding in landscapes to practicable; b. minimising effects on macultural value	al environment, manage development, operation, upgrading of renewable ion activities by: ficant effects that are nor on significant and mapped cultural values, ural areas, and atural features or the extent or remedying adverse pped historical and	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.065	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Not all important values are mapped in the plan. The amendment sought would therefore be inadequate to manage effects on values.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS404.084	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Oppose	The relief is inconsistent with the s6 of the Act and higher order planning documents, including the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				It is also noted that significant effects are by inherently "more than minor".				
FS345.137	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S364.026	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	REG-P6	Support	The Director-General supports Policy REG-P6.	Retain Policy RE	G-P6	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.284	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS570.1107	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.166	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS566.1121	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS569.1143	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	the s	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S399.033	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	REG-P6	Not Stated	For avoidance of doubt, make reference to biodiversity offsetting	Amend point d. of Policy REG-P6 as follows: d. having regard to biodiversity offsetting and environmental compensation measures where there are more than minor residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated.		Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.285	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S421.054	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P6	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.		G-P6 or ensure that ude similar wording that e intent	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.286	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS570.1286	Vision Kerikeri 3	Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies	
FS346.288	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS566.1300	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS569.1322	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S442.068	Kapiro Conservation Trust	REG-P6	Oppose	This policy mirrors I-P3 Forest & Bird's concerns are the same as those for I-P3. The policy does not give effect to the RPS, policies 5.3.3, 4.4.1(3) and 4.6.1.	replace the words Infrastructure with	a relief above for I-P3, s Regionally Significant n 'Renewable Electricity ear on what is meant here.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS570.1753	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.679	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S421.055	Northland Federated	REG-P7	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are	Retain Policy REG-P7 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Farmers of New Zealand			currently drafted in the proposed district plan.				
FS369.290	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified	Allow	Retain	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS570.1287	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.289	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS566.1301	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS569.1323	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S483.087	Top Energy Limited	REG-P7	Support	Top Energy supports separate policy direction for solar electricity generation that recognises the discrete nature of the adverse effects associated with the activity.	Retain Policy RE	G-P7	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS345.138	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S483.088	Top Energy Limited	REG-P8	Support	Top Energy supports protecting large scale renewable electricity generation activities.	Require sensitive	EG-P8 as follows: a activities to be designed roid to the extent	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				However, Top Energy is concerned that the use of "to the extent possible, or otherwise mitigate" reverse sensitivity effects is inconsistent with, and does not give effect to the Northland Regional Policy Statement, and in particular Policy 5.1.1(2) which requires that subdivision use and development be located, designed and built in a manner that "should not result in incompatible land uses in close proximity and avoids the potential for reverse sensitivity"	reverse sensit existing or con scale and larg	therwise mitigate, ivity effects on asented community escale renewable eration activities.		
FS196.185	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS345.139	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S331.019	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	REG-P8	Support	The submitter supports the policy REG-P8 and the need for sensitive activities to be designed to avoid or mitigate reverse sensitivity effects on renewable electricity generation activities, where a new school is established in close proximity to these activities.	Retain policy REO	G-P8 as proposed.	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.291	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy supports protecting large scale renewable electricity generation activities. However, Top Energy is concerned that the use of "to the extent possible, or otherwise mitigate" is inconsistent with, and does not give effect to the Northland Regional Policy Statement,	Disallow in part	Amend	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				and in particular Policy 5.1.1(2).				
S421.056	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P8	Support	REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are	Retain Policy REG-P8 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.292	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy supports protecting large scale renewable electricity generation activities. However, Top Energy is concerned that the use of "to the extent possible, or otherwise mitigate" is inconsistent with, and does not give effect to the Northland Regional Policy Statement, and in particular Policy 5.1.1(2).	Disallow in part	Amend	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS570.1288	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.290	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS566.1302	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS569.1324	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Amend Policy REG-P9 as follows: Avoid locating large-scale renewable electricity generation activities outside the Rural Production zone or highly productive land unless it can be demonstrated that adverse effects will be no more than minor.		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
S159.040	Horticulture New Zealand	REG-P9	Support in part	The policy seeks that large scale renewable electricity generation activities should generally be in the Rural Production Zone. There should be consideration that such activities do not locate on highly productive land.			Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS151.199	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.293	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to delete this policy noting that renewable energy is not just located within the rural production zone	Disallow	Delete	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS570.202	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS566.216	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS569.238	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S483.089	Top Energy Limited	REG-P9	Oppose	Given that renewable energy resources are located throughout the district and not just within the Rural Production Zone, Top Energy notes that in some instances there will be technical, operation and functional needs for such an activity to be located outside of the Rural Production Zone. It is acknowledged that the opportunity for this will generally be limited due to	Delete Policy RE	G-P9	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				the area of land required for such an activity, none the less Top Energy seeks that this provision be deleted.				
FS196.186	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS345.140	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S421.057	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P9	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.		G-P9 or ensure that ude similar wording that e intent	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.294	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to delete this policy noting that renewable energy is not just located within the rural production zone	Disallow	Delete	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS570.1289	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.291	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS566.1303	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS569.1325	Vision Kerikeri 2	keri 2	Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S421.058	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P10	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.		G-P10 or ensure that ude similar wording that se intent	Accept	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.295	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to delete this policy and insert it as a matter for consideration as part of REG-P11.	Disallow	Delete	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS570.1290	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.292	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS566.1304	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS569.1326	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S483.090	Top Energy Limited	REG-P10	Support	While Top Energy has no problem with this policy in principle, it would be better placed as a matter under REG - P11 for consideration at resource consent stage	Delete Policy REG-P10 and insert it as a matter for consideration as part of REG-P11.		Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS345.141	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S399.034	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	REG-P11	Not Stated	With respect to removal of indigenous vegetation it is also relevant to consider the ecological and cultural significance of that vegetation	submission (S483). Amend point 3. of Policy REG-P11 as follows: 3. the extent of earthworks or indigenous vegetation removal and the ecological and cultural significance of that vegetation;		Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS339.028	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust		Support	Cultural relationships with flora should be considered at all planning phases.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS369.296	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to delete REG-P10 policy and insert it as a matter for consideration as part of REG-P11	Disallow	Delete	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S421.059	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P11	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.		G-P11 or ensure that ude similar wording that e intent	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS570.1291	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS346.293	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS566.1305	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS569.1327	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S483.091	Top Energy Limited	REG-P11	Support	While Top Energy has no problem with this policy in principle, it would be better placed as a matter under REG - P11 for consideration at resource consent stage		elete Policy REG-P10 and insert it as a latter for consideration as part of REG-P11.		Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS345.142	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
\$483.092	Top Energy Limited	REG-P11	Support	Top Energy considers that this policy gives useful direction for plan users but seek some amendments to provide additional direction.	Insert new point in Policy REG-P11 as follows (or to the same effect):12. plan for rehabilitation of the site following decommissioning of any renewable electricity generation activity, including removal of buildings, and concrete areas.		Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
FS345.143	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.3 Key Issue 3: Policies
S483.101	Top Energy Limited	Rules	Not Stated	Top Energy considers that adverse effects associated with solar renewable electricity generation are readily understandable and therefore this activity should be	Insert new restricted discretionary rule as follows (or to the same effect): REG - RX Large scale solar renewable electricity generation activity		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				enabled as a restricted discretionary activity with clear matters of discretion. This rule could be applied to specific zones only e.g. Rural Production where the Chapter encourages renewable electricity generation activities to locate.	are restricted amenity effects cale of the brand whether effectively material characteristics on adjudyerse effect on etwork resurents on adjudyerse construction to effects on adjudyerse on adjudyerse effect on adjudyer	Matters of discretion to: a. adverse visual its resulting from the uildings or structures landscaping can anage any such erse effects on the cter of the site or area c. adverse noise oining properties d. its on transportation ling from traffic e. adverse oining properties or chment resulting		
FS196.193	Joe Carr		Support		Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS346.068	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Large scale solar can have adverse effects, and these are not well understood for biodiversity and other natural values. Discretionary status is therefore appropriate.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS345.152	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S483.102	Top Energy Limited	Rules	Not Stated	Method 5.4.3 of the RPS directs Council to 'Encourage and Provide' for activities associated with investigation	,	offerred) as follows (or to the G-RX Renewable	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				for the identification renewable energy sources, this is of particular relevance for geothermal renewable electricity generation. Top Energy seeks a new rule to provide for investigation activities other than those currently provided in REG - 3 and REG - 4. Without such a rule, these activities would default to discretionary	activity.Permi building or str above ground investigation exceed a GFA building or str with the height relation to bo	ation investigation ittedWhere:PER 1Any ructure located associated with the activity does not of 25m²PER 2Any ructure can comply ht, setback, height in undary performance the underlying zone.		
FS196.194	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS345.153	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S483.095	Top Energy Limited	Rules	Support	Top Energy seeks amendment to this rule, or addition of a new one to enable minor upgrades to an appropriate scale and increased power generation. Enabling such upgrades as a permitted activity without triggering the need for a discretionary resource consent (as would otherwise be required pursuant to REG - R7 for large scale projects) is critical to ensuring the viability and resilience of this critical infrastructure, noting that this is consistent with how it is addressed in the Infrastructure Chapter.	Include a new permitted activity rule as follows (or to the same effect): REG - RX - Upgrading or repowering existing renewable electricity generation activitiesPermittedWhere:1. The upgrade or repowering does not result in an overall increase to the footprint or envelope of the renewable electricity generation activity.2. For wind farms, compliance is achieved with NZS 6808:2010 Acoustics - Wind farm noise.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of De	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS346.067	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Upgrading or repowering is not appropriate as a permitted activity, particularly where there are not standards associated with that rule.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS345.146	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S511.050	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Notes	Support in part	Note 1 only refers to other District Wide Matters as potentially applying. It should say that Area Specific Matters may apply as well	Amend to include Matters Chapter	e reference "Area-Specific	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Notes
FS164.050	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Notes
FS369.298	Top Energy		Support in part	area. Top Energy seeks to amend the notes to clarify how renewable energy is to be treated within area specific chapters	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Notes

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS570.1621	Vision Kerikeri 3	Su	Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Notes
FS566.1635	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Notes
FS569.1657	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Notes
S442.069	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Notes	Support in part	Note 1 only refers to other District Wide Matters as potentially applying. It should say that Area Specific Matters may apply as well.	Amend to include reference "Area-Specific Matters Chapter".		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Notes
FS369.297	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend the notes to clarify how renewable energy is to be treated within area specific chapters	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Notes
FS570.1765	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Notes
FS346.680	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Notes
S483.094	Top Energy Limited	Notes	Not Stated	it is not clear how this Chapter interacts with Part - 3 Area Specific Matters. An additional Note is one way this clarity could be provided.	Amend to provide clarity in the Plan around how renewable electricity generation interacts with Part - 3 Area Specific Chapters.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Notes

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	sision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS345.145	Ngawha Generation Limited	Sup	Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.4 Key Issue 4: Notes
S421.060	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-R1	Support	Federated Farmers supports Rules REG-R1 and REG-R2 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.		-R1 or ensure that ude similar wording that e intent	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS570.1292	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS346.294	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS566.1306	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS569.1328	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S368.031	Far North District Council	REG-R2	Support in part	Plan usability: Improve PDP usability by including 'small scale renewable electricity generation activity' within the rule to provide a link to the definition.	Amend REG-R2 PER-1 The small scale renewable electricity generation activity does not exceed the permitted maximum height standard for the zone it is located in, by more than 3m.		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS369.299	Top Energy	Support in part	Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend REG-R2 to apply to all zones consistently.	Allow in part	Amend	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S421.061	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-R2	Support	Federated Farmers supports Rules REG-R1 and REG-R2 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.		-R2 or ensure that ude similar wording that le intent	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS369.300	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend REG-R2 to apply to all zones consistently.	Allow in part	Amend	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS570.1293	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS346.295	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS566.1307	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS569.1329	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S483.096	Top Energy Limited	REG-R2	Support	Top Energy supports the inclusion of these permitted thresholds but seek that these rules apply to all zones. Renewable energy generation activities are located based on the availability and feasibility of the resource, and should not be constrained by artificial zoning lines on	Amend Rule REG consistently.	G-R2 to apply to all zones	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	cision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				a map. The Overlays will still apply, and can address any particular sensitivities in sensitive environments.				
FS345.147	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S483.097	Top Energy Limited	REG-R3	Support	Top Energy supports the inclusion of these permitted thresholds but seek that these rules apply to all zones. Renewable energy generation activities are located based on the availability and feasibility of the resource, and should not be constrained by artificial zoning lines on a map. The Overlays will still apply, and can address any particular sensitivities in sensitive environments.	Amend Rule REG-R3 to apply to all zones consistently.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS196.189	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS345.148	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S511.051	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	REG-R3	Support in part	The scope of these activities is not clear. Within the Restricted discretionay matters of discretion it list vegetation clearance. The context of the wording seems to imply that the activity includes vegetation clearance. It should be made abundantly clear that this rule does not apply to indigenous vegetation clearance and that the IB	Amend to make abundantly clear that these activities do not include indigenous vegetation clearance or areas of non-indigenous vegetation that is significant habitat for indigenous fauna.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of D	ecision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				chapter on indigenous vegetation clearance apply				
FS164.051	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS369.302	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy considers that the relief sought is inconsistent with the NPS-IB and overly restrictive	Disallow	Reject	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS570.1622	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS566.1636	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS569.1658	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S421.063	Northland Federated	REG-R3	Support in part	Federated Farmers supports the rule in part but would like performance	Amend PER-3 of Rule REG-R3 as follows: PER-2		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
	Farmers of New Zealand			standard PER-2 amended so that it is recognised that an activity is permitted where the appropriate approval or consent has been obtained. Doing this will reduce any unnecessary barriers for landowners wanting to pursue these types of activity.	received if it esplanade res marginal strip planned publi (inferred)	sonsent has been sonsent has been sonserve or strip, or any consented or ic access area		Key Issue 5: Rules
FS369.301	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend REG-R3 to apply to all zones consistently	Allow in part Amend	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS570.1295	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS346.297	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS566.1309	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS569.1331	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S442.070	Kapiro Conservation Trust	REG-R3	Support in part	The scope of these activities is not clear. Within the Restricted discretionay matters of discretion it list vegetation clearance. The context of the wording seems to imply that the activity includes vegetation clearance.	Amend to make abundantly clear that these activities do not include indigenous vegetation clearance or areas of non-indigenous vegetation that is significant habitat for indigenous fauna.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				It should be made abundantly clear that this rule does not apply to indigenous vegetation clearance and that the IB chapter on indigenous vegetation clearance apply.				
FS369.303	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy considers that the relief sought is inconsistent with the NPS-IB and overly restrictive	Disallow	Reject	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS570.1766	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS346.681	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S483.098	Top Energy Limited	REG-R4	Support	Top Energy supports the inclusion of these permitted thresholds but seek that these rules apply to all zones. Renewable energy generation activities are located based on the availability and feasibility of the resource, and should not be constrained by artificial zoning lines on a map. The Overlays will still apply, and can address any particular sensitivities in sensitive environments.	Amend Rule REG consistently.	-R4 to apply to all zones	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS196.190	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS345.149	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
						its submission (S483).		
S511.052	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	orest REG-R4 Support in part The scope of these activities is not clear. Within the Restricted activities do not include in discretionay matters of discretion it list vegetation clearance or a indigenous vegetation that		nce or areas of non- ation that is significant	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules		
FS164.052	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS369.304	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy considers that the relief sought is inconsistent with the NPS-IB and overly restrictive	Disallow	Reject	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS570.1623	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	ision Requested	Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS566.1637	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2	Support n	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules	
FS569.1659	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S442.071	Kapiro Conservation Trust	REG-R4	Support in part	The scope of these activities is not clear. Within the Restricted discretionay matters of discretion it list vegetation clearance. The context of the wording seems to imply that the activity includes vegetation clearance. It should be made abundantly clear that this rule does not apply to indigenous vegetation clearance and that the IB chapter on indigenous vegetation clearance apply.	Amend to make abundantly clear that these activities do not include indigenous vegetation clearance or areas of non-indigenous vegetation that is significant habitat for indigenous fauna.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS369.305	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy considers that the relief sought is inconsistent with the NPS-IB and is overly restrictive.	Disallow	Reject	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS570.1767	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS346.682	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S483.099	Top Energy Limited	REG-R5	Support	Top Energy supports the inclusion of these permitted thresholds but seek that these rules apply to all zones. Renewable energy generation activities are located	Amend Rule REG-R5 to apply to all zones consistently.		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				based on the availability and feasibility of the resource, and should not be constrained by artificial zoning lines on a map. The Overlays will still apply, and can address any particular sensitivities in sensitive environments.				
FS196.191	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS345.150	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S511.053	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	REG-R5	Support in part	The scope of these activities is not clear. Within the Restricted discretionay matters of discretion it list vegetation clearance. The context of the wording seems to imply that the activity includes vegetation clearance. It should be made abundantly clear that this rule does not apply to indigenous vegetation clearance and that the IB chapter on indigenous vegetation clearance apply	Amend to make abundantly clear that these activities do not include indigenous vegetation clearance or areas of non-indigenous vegetation that is significant habitat for indigenous fauna.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS164.053	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will helps support the Northland foreshore and	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)		Position Reasons		Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.				
FS369.309	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy considers that relief sought is inconsistent with the NPS-IB and is overly restrictive.	Disallow	Reject	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS570.1624	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS566.1638	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS569.1660	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S368.015	Far North District Council	REG-R5	Support in part	Spelling error in REG-R5 PER-3. Should read as 'notional boundary' not 'national boundary'	Amend REG-R5 PER-3 Any structure is setback at least three times the height of the structure from the boundary of any other site and is not within the national notional boundary of any other site.		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS369.306	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports correction of the spelling error.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S421.062	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-R5	Support	Federated Farmers supports Rules REG-R1 and REG-R2 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Rule REG-R5 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Dec	Summary of Decision Requested		Relevant section of S42A Report Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS369.307	Top Energy	Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend REG-R5 to apply to all zones consistently	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part		
FS570.1294	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS346.296	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS566.1308	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS569.1330	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S442.072	Kapiro Conservation Trust	REG-R5	Support in part	The scope of these activities is not clear. Within the Restricted discretionay matters of discretion it list vegetation clearance. The context of the wording seems to imply that the activity includes vegetation clearance. It should be made abundantly clear that this rule does not apply to indigenous vegetation clearance and that the IB chapter on indigenous vegetation clearance apply.	activities do not ir vegetation cleara	abbundantly clear that these nolude indigenous noe or areas of nonation that is significant nous fauna.	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS369.308	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy considers that relief sought is inconsistent with the NPS-IB and is overly restrictive.	Disallow	Reject	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
FS570.1768	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS346.683	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S483.100	Top Energy Limited	REG-R6	Support	Top Energy supports the inclusion of these permitted thresholds but seek that these rules apply to all zones. Renewable energy generation activities are located based on the availability and feasibility of the resource, and should not be constrained by artificial zoning lines on a map. The Overlays will still apply, and can address any particular sensitivities in sensitive environments.	Amend Rule REG-R6 to apply to all zones consistently.		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS196.192	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS345.151	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S368.016	Far North District Council	REG-R6	Support in part	Spelling error in REG-R6 PER-3. Should read as 'notional boundary' not 'national boundary	Amend REG-R6 PER-3 Any structure is setback at least three times the height of the structure (including supporting structures) from the boundary of any other site and is not within the national		Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
					notional bour site.	ndary of any other		
FS369.310	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports correction of the spelling error	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S163.015	Julianne Sally Bainbridge	REG-R7	Oppose	There is a need to protect our rural landscape from the production loss and eyesores of solar and wind electricity generation. There is already lots of roof space available in urban settings where the electricity is required most. There should be no further approvals of solar or wind farms in the Far North.	Amend activity status to pohibited (inferred)There should be no further approvals of solar or wind farms in the Far North		Reject	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS369.311	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy supports the provision of renewable electricity generation within the rural environment, and considers that its proposed new restricted discretionary rule affords appropriate management of potential effects	Disallow	Reject	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
S364.027	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	REG-R7	Support	The Director-General supports the requirement for large scale renewable electricity generation activities (such as wind farms) to require resource consent as a Discretionary Activity.	Retain Rule REG	-R7.	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS570.1108	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS346.167	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Officer recommendation	Relevant section of S42A Report
				relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.				
FS566.1122	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules
FS569.1144	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept	Section 5.2.5 Key Issue 5: Rules