

Remember submissions close at 5pm, Friday 21 October 2022

Proposed District Plan submission form

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Feel free to add more pages to your submission to provide a fuller response.

Form 5: Submission on Proposed Far North District Plan

TO: Far North District Council

This is a submission on the Proposed District Plan for the Far North District.

1. Submitter details:

a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 The specific provisions of the Plan that my submission relates to are: (please provide details including the reference number of the specific provision you are submitting on)		T			
Name: (if applicable) Contact person (if different): Nina Pivac (Tohu Consulting Limited) Full Postal Address: 39A Commerce Street, Kaitaia 0410 Phone contact: Mobile: 0210614725 Email (please print): nina@tohuconsulting.nz 2. (Please select one of the two options below)	Full Name:	FNR Properties Limited			
Full Postal Address: 39A Commerce Street, Kaitaia 0410	Name:				
Phone contact: Mobile: 0210614725 Email (please print): nina@tohuconsulting.nz 2. (Please select one of the two options below) could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission lam directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition Am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition Note: if you are a person who could gain advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 The specific provisions of the Plan that my submission relates to are: (please provide details including the reference number of the specific provision you are submitting on)		Nina Pivac (Tohu Consulting Limited)			
Email (please print): nina@tohuconsulting.nz 2. (Please select one of the two options below) could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission f you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition Note: if you are a person who could gain advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 The specific provisions of the Plan that my submission relates to are: (please provide details including the reference number of the specific provision you are submitting on)	Full Postal Address:	39A Commerce Street, Kaitaia 0410			
Email (please print): nina@tohuconsulting.nz 2. (Please select one of the two options below) could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission f you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition Note: if you are a person who could gain advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 The specific provisions of the Plan that my submission relates to are: (please provide details including the reference number of the specific provision you are submitting on)	Phone contact:	Mobile:	Home:	Work:	
2. (Please select one of the two options below) Could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission Could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission, please complete point 3 below I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition Note: if you are a person who could gain advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 The specific provisions of the Plan that my submission relates to are: (please provide details including the reference number of the specific provision you are submitting on)					
I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission, please complete point 3 below 3. I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition Note: if you are a person who could gain advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 The specific provisions of the Plan that my submission relates to are: (please provide details including the reference number of the specific provision you are submitting on)	Email (please print):	nina@tohuconsulting.nz	<u>,</u>		
See attacrieu iuii Submission.	I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission, please complete point 3 below 3. I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition Note: if you are a person who could gain advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 The specific provisions of the Plan that my submission relates to are:				



Confirm your position: Support Support In-part Oppose				
(please tick relevant box)				
My submission is:				
(Include details and reasons for your position)				
See attached full submission.				
I seek the following decision from the Council:				
(Give precise details. If seeking amendments, how would you like to see the provision amended?)				
See attached full submission.				
☑ I wish to be heard in support of my submission				
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission				
(Please tick relevant box)				
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing				
Yes No				
Do you wish to present your submission via Microsoft Teams?				
Yes V No				
Signature of submitter:				
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)				
A = A = A = A = A = A = A = A = A = A =				
Date: 21 October 2022				
(A signature is not required if you are making your submission by electronic means)				

Important information:

- 1. The Council must receive this submission before the closing date and time for submissions (5pm 21 October 2022)
- 2. Please note that submissions, including your name and contact details are treated as public documents and will be made available on council's website. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the District
- 3. Submitters who indicate they wish to speak at the hearing will be emailed a copy of the planning officers report (please ensure you include an email address on this submission form).



Send your submission to:

Post to: Proposed District Plan

Strategic Planning and Policy, Far North District Council

Far North District Council,

Private Bag 752 KAIKOHE 0400

Email to: pdp@fndc.govt.nz

Or you can also deliver this submission form to any Far North District Council service centre or library, from 8am – 5pm Monday to Friday.

Submissions close 5pm, 21 October 2022

Please refer to pdp.fndc.govt.nz for further information and updates.

Please note that original documents will not be returned. Please retain copies for your file.

Note to person making submission

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- It contains offensive language
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.



<u>Submission on the Far North Proposed District Plan</u>

Full name: FNR Properties Limited

c/o Tohu Consulting Limited

Attn: Nina Pivac

Postal Address: 39A Commerce Street, Kaitaia 0410

Mobile: +64 21 061 4725

Email: nina@tohuconsulting.nz

FNR Properties Limited could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

The specific provisions of the Plan Change that FNR Properties Limited's submission relate to are set out in the attached document.

FNR Properties Limited oppose the proposed plan change subject to amendments as listed in the attached document. The reasons for FNR Properties Limited's submission are provided in the attached document.

The relief sought by FNR Properties Limited are contained in the attached document.

FNR Properties Limited wish to be heard in support of its submission.

If others make a similar submission, FNR Properties Limited will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Ngā Mihi,

Agent:

Tohu Consulting Limited

Nina Pivac

Planner/Director

Date: 21 October 2022



1.0 INTRODUCTION AND GENERAL FEEDBACK

FNR Properties Limited (FNR Properties) are the asset management arm of FNR Group Limited, the latter of whom have provided a variety of civil and construction services to the Far North District for over 10 years. Since its establishment, FNR Properties have been involved in many regionally significant development projects, making a positive contribution to the social and economic growth of the Far North District.

FNR Properties have an interest in multiple properties in the Far North District, including a property located in Waipapakauri/Paparore, legally described as Lot 1 DP 405379 and Sec 91, 94 Blk VII Opoe SD (held within one CT, ref. 418658). A number of lawfully established activities are currently undertaken on the site, including residential activity and grazing (on a small-scale). It is noted that a large part of the site is also subject to a QEII Covenant.

FNR Properties acknowledge and appreciate the work that Far North District Council (FNDC) have put in to developing the Far North Proposed District Plan (PDP).

The subject site is currently zoned Rural Production (RPZ), and the PDP proposes to retain the RPZ zoning of the site which FNR Properties generally support. However, it is noted that rules relating to residential intensity and minimum lot sizes are more restrictive under the PDP (Figure 1), which FNR Properties strongly oppose.

Specific submission points have been outlined in **Section 2.0** below, including the relief sought to improve the PDP.



Figure 1: Map showing proposed zoning of subject site (Far North PDP Maps)



2.0 FEEDBACK ON FAR NORTH PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

Feedback	Feedback Topic	Support/Oppose/	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
Point		Seek Amendment/Clarification		
1	RPROZ-R3 Residential Activity	Oppose/Seek Amendment	FNR Properties generally support the	To amend the RPZ provisions to allow
			RPZ zoning of the subject site.	for a higher residential intensity in the
			However, they do not support the	RPZ, and/or to provide for more
			RPZ provisions relating to residential	options for residential intensity as a
			intensity.	Controlled, Restricted Discretionary,
				and Discretionary Activity.
			As notified in the PDP, it is noted	S334.001
			that the permitted threshold for	0004.001
			residential intensity will be reduced	
			from one residential unit per 12ha to	
			one residential unit per 40ha.	
			Further, the total number of	
			residential units on one site in the	
			RPZ shall not exceed six.	
			It is also noted that the PDP does not	
			provide for any subdivision in the	
			RPZ as a Restricted Discretionary	
			Activity, and that the Discretionary	
			Activity thresholds have been	
			significantly reduced.	
			Overall, it is considered that such a	
			substantial reduction in the	
			permitted residential intensity	
			threshold in the RPZ is extremely	



Feedback	Feedback Topic	Support/Oppose/	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
Point		Seek Amendment/Clarification		
			heavy-handed and will result in	
			significant adverse effects on the	
			socio-economic wellbeing of the Far	
			North District. Reasons are as	
			follows:	
			It is noted that the majority of the	
			Far North District is proposed to be	
			zoned RPZ which does not recognise	
			the immediate need for more	
			housing in the district. Imposing	
			such restrictions on residential	
			intensity will only contribute further	
			to the current housing crisis that is	
			being observed both locally and	
			nationwide.	
			Further, the RPZ objectives and	
			policies as notified primarily provide	
			for primary production activities in	
			the RPZ and do not recognise that	
			some properties are no longer	
			suitable for production, or never	
			have been suitable or used for	
			production (e.g. due to factors such	
			as topography, soil type and	
			productivity, the preservation of	



Feedback	Feedback Topic	Support/Oppose/	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
Point		Seek Amendment/Clarification		
			indigenous flora and habitats of	
			fauna).	
			Whilst it is acknowledged that the	
			Far North District largely identifies by	
			its rural character and amenity, the	
			PDP also needs to recognise that	
			housing developments can occur in a	
			manner that will not adversely affect	
			rural amenity and character to a	
			'more than minor' degree. Providing	
			more options for residential intensity	
			as a Controlled, Restricted	
			Discretionary, and Discretionary	
			Activity would be more appropriate	
			as this will enable such development	
			to occur in the RPZ while providing	
			for case by case consideration of any	
			proposed residential activity within	
			the context of the subject site and	
			immediate surrounding environment	
			(as opposed to a 'one size fits all'	
			approach).	
2	Subdivision Chapter –	Oppose/Seek Amendment	FNR Properties generally support the	To amend SUB-S1 Minimum Allotment
	SUB-S1 Minimum Allotment Sizes		RPZ zoning of the subject site.	Sizes (Rural Production) and reduce
	Rural Production		However, they do not support the	the minimum lot sizes in the RPZ,
			RPZ provisions relating to minimum	and/or to provide for more options for



Feedback Topic	Support/Oppose/	Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought
	Seek Amendment/Clarification		
		allotment size for the same reasons	subdivision in the RPZ as a Controlled,
		outlined above.	Restricted Discretionary, and
			Discretionary Activity.
			S334.002
	Feedback Topic		Seek Amendment/Clarification allotment size for the same reasons