



Submission on Proposed Far North District Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Far North District Council - District Planning

Date received: 19/10/2022

This is a submission on the following proposed plan (the **proposal**): Proposed Far North District Plan

Address for service:

Robert Adams
131 Long Beach Road Russell 0202
New Zealand
Email: longbeachrussellnz@gmail.com

I wish to be heard: Yes

I am willing to present a joint case: No

Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?

- **No**

Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that

(a) adversely affects the environment; and

(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition

- **Yes**

Submission points

Point 45.1 **S155.001**

Section: Rural lifestyle

Sub-section: Rules

Provision:

RLZ-R4 **Visitor accommodation**

Rural **Activity status: Permitted**

Activity status where compliance not

Lifestyle zone

Where:

achieved with PER-1, PER-2 or PER-3:

Discretionary

PER-1

The visitor accommodation is within a residential unit, accessory building or minor residential unit.

PER-2

The occupancy does not exceed 10 guests per night.

PER-3

The site does not share access with another site.

Sentiment: Support in Part

Submission:

PER 3. Please clarify share access with another site. Particular if a common concrete drive exists for two separate legal properties who each have their own legal access lot of 3.3m wide each and each have ROW access over the others access lot. The concrete driveway is 3.0 m wide with half on each access lot. Both sites want to have visitor accommodation so want to continue sharing the access over the common driveway. Having two driveways makes no sense from permeability, waste of resources and site coverage on a Rural lifestyle zone. Also decreases the landscaping. The rule is one dimensional and needs justification to be allowed to continue in the district plan.

Relief sought

Remove rule completely or change it to give the right outcomes. Certainly in some circumstances one owner may not want a increase in visitors but if neighbours want to share a drive and reduce their environmental impact then that should be encouraged not discouraged by a poorly drafted rule.