

Remember submissions close at 5pm, Friday 21 October 2022

Proposed District Plan submission form

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Feel free to add more pages to your submission to provide a fuller response.

Form 5: Submission on Proposed Far North District Plan

TO: Far North District Council

This is a submission on the Proposed District Plan for the Far North District.

1. Submitter details:

Full Name:	Jeff Devine, Strategy & Planning Manager		
Company / Organisation Name: (if applicable)	Northland Transportation	Alliance	
Contact person (if different):	Elizabeth Stacey, Road Sa	fety Engineer	
Full Postal Address:	Level 1, Walton Plaza, 4 Al	lbert Street	
	Whangarei, 0148		
Phone contact:	Mobile: 021786237	Home:	Work:
Email (please print):			
2. (Please select one of th	e two options below)		
 X I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission 			
If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission, please complete point 3 below 3 X am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:			
 (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition 			
 I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (A) Adversely affects the environment; and 			that:
(B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition			
Note: if you are a person who could gain advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991			
The specific provisions of the Plan that my submission relates to are: (please provide details including the reference number of the specific provision you are submitting on)			
See attached document			

Ris Mark	
ROPOSED. ISTRICI	>
PLAN	
\sim	

Confirm your position: Support X Support In-part Oppose (please tick relevant box)
My submission is: (Include details and reasons for your position)
See attached document
Least the following desision from the Council.
I seek the following decision from the Council: (Give precise details. If seeking amendments, how would you like to see the provision amended?)
Consideration of the points raised.
 I wish to be heard in support of my submission X I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission
(Please tick relevant box)
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing Yes No
Do you wish to present your submission via Microsoft Teams? Yes No
Signature of submitter: (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)
HER X
Date: 20/10/2022
(A signature is not required if you are making your submission by electronic means)

Important information:

- 1. The Council must receive this submission before the closing date and time for submissions (5pm 21 October 2022)
- 2. Please note that submissions, including your name and contact details are treated as public documents and will be made available on council's website. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the District Plan Review.



3. Submitters who indicate they wish to speak at the hearing will be emailed a copy of the planning officers report (please ensure you include an email address on this submission form).

Send your submission to:

Post to: Proposed District Plan Strategic Planning and Policy, Far North District Council Far North District Council, Private Bag 752 KAIKOHE 0400

Email to: pdp@fndc.govt.nz

Or you can also deliver this submission form to any Far North District Council service centre or library, from 8am – 5pm Monday to Friday.

Submissions close 5pm, 21 October 2022

Please refer to pdp.fndc.govt.nz for further information and updates.

Please note that original documents will not be returned. Please retain copies for your file.

Note to person making submission

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- It contains offensive language
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

SUBMISSION NUMBER

184



20 October, 2022

TO: Far North District Council District Plan Team

FROM: Jeff Devine, Manager Strategy and Planning, Northland Transportation Alliance

RE: NTA Submission on the Transport Chapter of the FNDC District Plan

Thank you for the opportunity to provide staff comment and feedback on the proposed changes to District Plan. As the FNDC Roading Division, we appreciate the opportunity to collaborate on the future growth and development of our District.

Overall, we are supportive of the proposed changes, but have made a few specific comments for your consideration. Comments have been identified by the relevant section within the Transport Chapter.

Heading Number	Description of Issue	Potential Resolution	
Objectives	Consider additional objective or an addition to Objective 6.	Consider rephrasing climate change objective to include active and public transport - "The transport network is resilient to the likely current and future effects of climate change, and supports urban environments designed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, by encouraging development of active mode and public transport networks."	S184.001
TRAN-P2, Item c.	Road classification (listed as under most current National Transport Network Classification system - does this incorporate ONF as ONRC is phased out?	Include both ONF and ONRC - consider changing language as follows " recognises the different movement and place functions and the design requirements for each road classification under the most current National Transport Network classification, which may include both the One Network Framework (ONF) or One Network Road Classification (ONRC) system;	S184.002
TRAN-P2	Add language for safety	Add alignment with national Road To Zero policy and include language to item a) provides safe and efficient linkages and connections for all users using Safe System Principles.	S184.003
TRAN P-3	Add language about connectivity	Discourage the design and construction of "no exit" roads, particularly in commercial and industrial areas (see WDC District Plan Policy TRA-P1, Item 5)	S184.004
TRAN P-4	Parking Requirements	Is it the intent of FNDC to move towards the national policy statement on parking? If so, add item g to Objectives for urban areas.	S184.005
TRAN-P5, P6	Public transport not specifically mentioned in any of the rules. Consider adding language to TRAN P-5	Include public transport language in TRAN P-5 item a.	S184.006

NORTHLAND TRANSPORTATION ALLIANCE

TRAN-P5,	End of Trip facilities	Consider revising item b as follows:	1
Item B		b. the provision of safe and secure parking facilities for bicycles and provision of active transport end of trip facilities	S184.007
TRAN-P5 & TRAN-S1	Bike Parking - "Safe and Secure"	The rule and standard call for "safe and secure" bike parking. Is design of bike parking included in the Engineering Standards? Suggest that safe and secure parking should also be covered.	S184.008 & S184.009
Notes Section	General question on the following statement "Roads to be in compliance with April 2022 Engineering Standards"	Have these been adopted yet or will they be adopted along with the District Plan? Consider revising language to be "most recently adopted Engineering Standards" to avoid minor updates to the DP if the standards change or are updated.	S184.010
TRAN-R2, PER-3	Vehicle crossing off arterials for ONRC - consider including ONF classification	Include ONF street categories for limited crossings - ex. Interregional connectors, or transit corridor	S184.011
TRAN-R2	Private Accessway requirements - sealing requirements	Consider addition here or in TRAN-Table 9 requirements for sealing of private accessways. Suggest the following requirements: permanent all-weather surface in the following instances: Residential Zone Rural and Rural Production sites with an area of less than 2000m2 Any accessway serving more than 5 residential units Where the gradient exceeds 12.5% (to confirm this gradient, check against new Engineering Standards)	S184.012 & S184.013
TRAN R-3 PER-2	Permitted activities for maintenance or upgrade of existing roadway requires compliance with TRAN S-4 (Engineering Standards)	Would maintenance/upgrade of FNDC roads fall under a discretionary activity if not compliant with Eng. Standards? Will this trigger the FNDC renewals program as needing resource consent for routine upgrades or renewals?	S184.014
TRAN R-4	No trigger for provision of EV spaces	If the intent of this rule is to allow the installation of EV charging stations as a permitted activity then no further comment. If the intent of the rule is to require the installation of EV charging stations in developments of a certain size or character then consider the addition of a trigger for their installation.	S184.015
TRAN R-5	Consider adding to the notes the requirements for an Integrated Transport Assessment.	Add trigger for Integrated Transport Assessment. Consider using WDC language in separate table (WDC District PlanTable TRA 15). Currently all new roads to vest or upgrade of vested roads trigger an ITA; suggest that this requirement is unfair for small developments that only have to upgrade the site frontage.	S184.016
TRAN R-6	Permitted activities for trail	Consider adding signage to list of permitted activities. Road crossings, bridges, boardwalks and retaining walls should be considered a discretionary activity	S184.017

NORTHLAND TRANSPORTATION ALLIANCE

TRAN S-1	Alternatives to minimum parking	Current DP allows for provision of bicycle	S184.018
	spaces	parking and green space in lieu of parking as a discretionary activity - consider including here. Use of an ITA to assess and approve alternatives to minimums. Further question -	
		Kerikeri/Waipapa is close to Tier 3 City - should this area be called out separately in line with the Urban Policy Statement on parking?	
TRAN S-4	Requirements for Road Design - ITA Requirement	Not all upgrades to existing roads should require an ITA - consider using a trip trigger rather than "all". Suggest development over the permitted trip generation require an Integrated Transport Assessment. See comment on TRAN R-5	S184.019
TRAN Table 1	Minimum Parking	Consider adding a column for required EV spaces either here or in separate location if the intent is to encourage installation of EV charging stations (see note under TRAN R-4). Note that bicycle parking is determined by employee numbers (in most cases) not by business type/size. Consider an alternative to the employee number as trigger.	S184.020
TRAN Table 4	End of trip facilities	End of trip facilities - agree with the additional of this section however if we are describing end of trip facilities should there be a requirement for covered, secured bike parking? See note about design guidance for bike parking.	S184.021
TRAN Table 5	Parking Dimensions	Consider including the layout/dimensions/ for accessible parking in the district plan as well or reference NZS 4121- link provided (https://nzrf.co.nz/techdocs/Accessible- Parking-Guide.pdf)	S184.022
TRAN Table 6	Number of VC's allowed for frontage too high	The number of VC's allowed for 61-100m frontage (3) seems excessive. Please reconsider the number allowed. Consider adding language that VC must be taken from the lower classification of roadway to reinforce TRAN R2.	S184.023
TRAN Table 9	Private Accessway requirements	Please double check this matches the draft engineering standards - particularly regarding .95m footpath width. Also consider if there should be a requirement to seal over a certain gradient	S184.024
TRAN Table 10	Road classification	Recommend that both the ONRC and ONF are included or that ONRC is replaced by the ONF. Advise if table of ONF street classifications is needed.	S184.025
Zoning Designations	Rural Residential Zoning	We are not supportive of the small lot, rural development adjacent to urban centres. We are supportive of development which encourages active and public transportation systems. Large urban lot and small rural lot zoning are not economical to provide active and public transport to as we require a certain level of density in order to create those networks.	S184.026



Please advise if you need any further clarification on any of the issues raised. Thank you for your consideration of our input.