

DOC-7140083

DOC Reference: CP123

21 October 2022

Far North District Council Private Bag 752 Kaikohe 0440

Email: pdp@fndc.govt.nz

Attn: Strategic Planning and Policy Group

Far North District Council – Proposed District Plan

Please find enclosed a submission by the Director-General of Conservation in respect of the Far North District Council's Proposed District Plan, specifically the sections that relate to Significant Natural Areas and the coastal environment. The submission identifies the Director-General's concerns.

The Director-General remains committed to working with Far North District Council to resolve the matters set out in this submission.

Please contact Ashiley Sycamore (RMA Planner) in the first instance if you wish to discuss any of the matters raised in this submission (asycamore@doc.govt.nz or 027 234 4847).

Yours sincerely

Sue Reed-Thomas

Operations Director – Whangārei Department of Conservation *Te Papa Atawhai*

Department of Conservation *Te Papa Atawhai* RMA Shared Services
Private Bag 3072, Hamilton 3240, New Zealand www.doc.govt.nz

Form 5: Submission on notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Pursuant to clause 6 of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991

To: Far North District Council (the Council)

Name of submitter: Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation (the

Director-General)

1. This is a submission on the Far North Proposed District Plan.

- 2. I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission
- 3. This submission specifically relates to the Proposed District Plan (PDP) in its entirety. The Director-General is particularly concerned to ensure that provisions are in place to protect, restore, and enhance Significant Natural Areas. The Director-General notes that the s32 reports prepared for the PDP¹ have identified that it is effective and efficient to align the PDP approach with the expected policy direction and requirements of the exposure draft of the National Policy Statement on Indigenous Biodiversity (NPSIB)². The NPSIB is anticipated to come into effect during the PDP further submissions and hearing process.
- 4. The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates, and the detailed decisions sought to are set out in **Attachment 1** to this submission.
- 5. I **seek** the following decision from the Council:
 - a. That the particular provisions of the Proposed District Plan that I support, as identified in Attachment 1, are retained;
 - b. That the amendments, additions and deletions to Proposed District Plan sought in Attachment 1 are made; and
 - c. Any other similar, alternative, additional, or consequential relief which will address the matters outlined in this submission.
- 6. The decisions sought in this submission are required to ensure that the Proposed District Plan:
 - a. Gives effect to the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 and the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020;

¹ See <u>section-32-ecosystems-and-indigenous-biodiversity.pdf</u> (fndc.govt.nz)

² See https://environment.govt.nz/assets/publications/NPSIB-exposure-draft.pdf

 Provides for the preservation of the natural character of wetlands, lakes and rivers and their margins, and the protection of them from inappropriate subdivision, use and development as required by section 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA);

c. Provides for the protection of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna as required by section 6(c) of RMA;

d. is otherwise consistent with Part 2 of the RMA;

e. Has particular regard to the other matters in section 7 of the RMA;

f. Gives effect to the Regional Policy Statement for Northland as required by section 75(3) of the RMA;

g. Promotes the sustainable management of natural and physical resources in the Far North District as required by Part 2 of the RMA; and

h. The changes sought are necessary, appropriate and sound resource management practice.

7. I wish to be heard in support of my submission, and if others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

Sue Reed-Thomas

Operations Director

Whangārei

Department of Conservation Te Papa Atawhai

Acting pursuant to delegated authority on behalf of Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation

Date: 21 October 2022

Note: A copy of the Instrument of Delegation may be inspected at the Director-General's office at Conservation House Whare Kaupapa Atawhai, 18/32 Manners Street, Wellington 6011

Address for service:

Attn: Ashiley Sycamore, RMA Planner RMA Shared Services Private Bag 3072 Hamilton 3240 New Zealand

Email: asycamore@doc.govt.nz

Phone: 027 234 4847

ATTACHMENT 1:

FAR NORTH PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN SUBMISSION BY THE DIRECTOR-GENERAL OF CONSERVATION

The decision that has been requested may suggest new or revised wording for identified sections of the Proposed District Plan. This wording is intended to be helpful but similar, alternative, or additional wording which will address the matters outlined in this submission may be equally acceptable. Text quoted from the Proposed District Plan is shown in *Italics*. The wording of relief sought shows proposed amendments in **bold** with new text as <u>underlined</u> and original text to be deleted as <u>strikethrough</u>.

Unless specified in each submission point my reasons for supporting are that the policies are consistent with the purposes and principles of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA).

PLAN PROVISION	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
GENERAL – PLAN-W	IDE PROVISIONS		
Kauri Dieback	Amendment	Kauri Dieback is caused by a pathogen that is easily spread	Include provisions to address the management of Kauri
	requested	through soil movements, including when it is carried on	Dieback within the earthworks chapter, particularly around
		footwear, equipment, and vehicles. The disease is	earthworks and measures to prevent spread of the disease.
		threatening Kauri with functional extinction and requires	S364.001
		collaborative work to manage the disease and control any	Provide clear guidance for the management of Kauri
		further spread. Any land disturbance works within three	Dieback disease, such as laid out in the Thames Coromandel
		times the radius of the canopy of the dripline of New	District Plan. S364.082 & S364.083
		Zealand Kauri Tree ("the kauri hygiene zone") can cause	
		potential contamination of an uninfected site and spread the	Any other amendments that may be necessary or
		disease.	appropriate to address my concerns.
		The Director-General considers that the provisions of the	
		Thames Coromandel District Plan, as they relate to the	
		management of Kauri Dieback disease, should be adopted	
		into the Proposed District Plan where appropriate.	
Significant Natural	Oppose	There are no scheduled SNAs within Schedule 4 of the	Use the report prepared for Council titled "Significant
Areas		Proposed District Plan. The Director-General is strongly	Indigenous Vegetation and Habitats of the Far North District
		opposed to this decision, which is considered contrary to	- Volume 1" prepared by Wildlands Consultants (Contract

PLAN PROVISION	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
		section 6(c) of the RMA, the objectives and policies of the Regional Policy Statement for Northland, and the NPSIB exposure draft.	Report No. 4899d, December 2019) to include SNAs in the Proposed District Plan. S364.002 Include more stringent controls to allow for the
		The Director-General is concerned that the current wording of the subdivision chapter will allow potential SNA sites to be subdivided with minimal ability to consider the adverse effects of the subdivision on indigenous biodiversity.	consideration and scheduling of SNAs in the subdivision chapter. S364.003 Due to the lack of scheduled SNAs, review all Restricted Discretionary Activity and Controlled Activity rules and add matters of discretion/control for indigenous biodiversity where not already identified. S364.004
National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity	Amendment requested	The Director-General notes that the s32 reports prepared for the PDP³ have identified that it is effective and efficient to align the PDP approach with the expected policy direction and requirements of the exposure draft of the National Policy Statement on Indigenous Biodiversity (NPSIB)⁴. The NPSIB is anticipated to come into effect during the PDP further submissions and hearing process. For this reason, the PDP should be reviewed and updated to be consistent with the NPSIB exposure draft. Local authorities must include objectives, policies, or methods in their policy statements and plans for managing the adverse effects of new subdivision, use, and development on highly mobile fauna areas, in order to maintain viable populations of specified highly mobile fauna across their natural range.	 Update the Proposed District Plan to be consistent with the NPSIB exposure draft. Specifically, but not limited to: Protect SNAs and identified taonga on Māori lands in line with clause 3.18 of the NPSIB exposure draft. Include objectives, policies, or methods in the PDP for managing the adverse effects of new subdivision, use, and development on highly mobile fauna areas. Incorporate NPSIB Appendices 3 and 4 or like principles into the PDP. Update proposed Policy IB-P4 to require that any biodiversity offset, or biodiversity compensation be in accordance with these principles. S364.005 Any other amendments that may be necessary or appropriate to address my concerns.
Kiwi Conservation	Amendment requested	Kiwi conservation is a primary concern of the Director-General and it is particularly important in the Far	The Director-General expects to see overlays in the Proposed District Plan that identify locations of 'kiwi

³ See <u>section-32-ecosystems-and-indigenous-biodiversity.pdf</u> (fndc.govt.nz)
⁴ See <u>https://environment.govt.nz/assets/publications/NPSIB-exposure-draft.pdf</u>

PLAN PROVISION	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
		North District context. Although it is noted that the North	present' or 'high-density kiwi areas'. In addition, a
		Island Kiwi is "Not Threatened", it has only reached this	mechanism should be included in the plan for updating
		improved conservation status after significant community	these maps. \$364,006
		conservation efforts. These efforts should not go to waste	
		and specific kiwi conservation objectives, policies, and rules	Incorporate framework into the District Plan to promote
		should therefore be incorporated into the Proposed District Plan.	pet-free subdivisions in high-density kiwi areas.
Clearance and	Amendment	With the advent of myrtle rust, all Kunzea and	Amend objectives, policies and rules as appropriate to
management of	requested	Leptospermum taxa are currently considered threatened ⁵ .	recognise and implement measures to address and manage
manuka and			the increased threat status of myrtle rust for manuka and
kanuka		The taxonomy and current threatened status of manuka and	kanuka. S364.008 to S364.010
		kanuka should be reflected and managed appropriately	
		through objectives, policies and rules in the proposed plan.	
		The Director-General acknowledges that this is a complex	
		issue. The relief sought is to be confirmed during the	
		subsequent District Plan review stages once the 2022	
		version of the "Conservation status of New Zealand	
		indigenous vascular plants" is available.	
PART 1 – INTRODUC	TION AND GENERAL PR	OVISIONS	
Interpretations > Dej	finitions		
Biodiversity	Oppose in part –	The Director-General supports the inclusion of Biodiversity	Replace this definition of Biodiversity Offsets with the
Offsets	Amendment	Offset provisions, however, requests that the provisions are	corresponding definition found within the NPSIB exposure
	requested	updated to be in line with the NPSIB exposure draft.	draft. \$364.011
			Add the NPSIB exposure draft biodiversity offset and
			compensation principles to the District Plan, ideally within
			an appendix that can be referenced in relevant provisions. \$364.012
			Note: If the proposed definition is retained, it should be amended to fix the two spelling errors.

⁵ Refer to Conservation status of New Zealand indigenous vascular plants, 2017 (https://www.doc.govt.nz/Documents/science-and-technical/nztcs22entire.pdf)

PLAN PROVISION	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
Conservation Activity	Support	The Director-General supports the proposed definition of Conservation Activity.	Retain as notified. \$364.013
Environmental	Oppose in part –	The Director-General supports the inclusion of Biodiversity	Replace this definition of Environmental Biodiversity
Biodiversity	Amendment	Compensation provisions, however, requests that the	Compensation with the corresponding definition found
Compensation	requested	provisions are updated to be in line with the NPSIB exposure draft.	within the NPSIB exposure draft. \$364.014
			Add the NPSIB exposure draft biodiversity offset and
			compensation principles to the District Plan, ideally within
			an appendix that can be referenced in relevant provisions.
			\$364.015
			Note: If the proposed definition is retained, it should be
			amended to fix the two spelling errors.
Net Gain	Support	The Director-General supports the proposed definition of	Retain as notified. \$364.016
		Net Gain.	
Residual Adverse	Support	The Director-General supports the proposed definition of	Retain as notified. \$364.017
Effect		Residual Adverse Effect.	3504.017
Significant Natural	Support	The Director-General supports the proposed definition of	Retain as notified.
Area		Significant Natural Area.	S364.018
Wetland	Support in part –	The Director-General supports the inclusion of a definition	Update the definition of Wetland to give effect to the
	Amendment	for Wetlands, however, requests the definition be amended	Natural Wetlands definition under Clause 3.21 (definitions
	requested	to give effect to the Natural Wetland definition within the	relating to wetlands and rivers) of the NPS-FM. \$364.019
		NPS-FM ⁶ .	
PART 2 – DISTRICT-V	VIDE MATTERS		
Strategic Direction >	Natural Environment		
SD-EP-O3	Support	The Director-General supports proposed Objective	Retain as notified.
		SD-EP-O3.	S364.020
SD-EP-O5	Support	The Director-General supports proposed Objective	Retain as notified. \$364.021
		SD-EP-O5.	

⁶ See <u>National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 (environment.govt.nz)</u>

PLAN PROVISION	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
SD-EP-O6	Support – Amendment requested e, and Transport > Infras Support in part – Amendment requested	The Director-General supports proposed Objective SD-EP-O6, however, requests the wording be amended to fix the error. Structure The Director-General is generally supportive of proposed Policy I-P2, however, notes that SNAs and the coastal environment have value in of itself, not just in characteristics and qualities and the wording should reflect this.	Change the wording of proposed Objective SD-EP-O6: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna and are protected for current and future generations. S364.022 Change the wording of proposed Policy I-P2 to: In the coastal environment, manage the effects of the development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of infrastructure activities by: a. avoiding adverse effects on the qualities and characteristics of significant natural areas, outstanding natural features or landscapes, areas of outstanding natural character; b. avoiding significant adverse effects on other natural features and landscapes, and areas of natural character; c. recognising the technical, operational and functional needs and constraints of infrastructure activities; and d. having regard to offsetting and environmental compensation measures where there are more than
			minor <u>residual adverse effects</u> that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated. \$364.023
I-P3	Support	The Director-General supports proposed Policy I-P3.	Retain as notified. \$364.024
Energy, Infrastructur	e, and Transport > Rene	wable electricity generation	
REG-P5	Support in part – Amendment requested	The Director-General is generally supportive of proposed Policy REG-P5, however, notes that SNAs and the coastal environment have value in of itself, not just in characteristics and qualities and the wording should reflect this.	Change the wording of proposed Policy REG-P5 to:

PLAN PROVISION	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
REG-P6 REG-R7	Support	The Director-General supports proposed Policy REG-P6. The Director-General supports the requirement for large scale renewable electricity generation activities (such as wind farms) to require resource consent as a Discretionary	In the coastal environment, manage the effects of the development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of renewable electricity generation activities by: a. avoiding adverse effects on the qualities and characteristics of significant natural areas, outstanding natural features or landscapes, areas of outstanding natural character; b. avoiding significant adverse effects on other natural features and landscapes, and areas of natural character; c. recognising the technical, operational and functional needs and constraints of renewable electricity generation activities; and d. having regard to offsetting and environmental compensation measures where there are more than minor residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated. Retain as notified. S364.025 Retain as notified. S364.027
Hazards and Risks >	Natural Hazards	Activity.	
NH-P7	Amendment requested	The heading of this policy has a spelling error.	Change "costal hazard" to "coastal hazard".
NH-P12	Support	The Director-General supports proposed Policy NH-P12.	Retain as notified. \$364.029
NH-P13	Support	The Director-General supports proposed Policy NH-P13 as it gives effect to Policy 25 of the NZCPS which seeks to discourage hard protection surfaces and promote the use of alternatives (including natural defences).	Retain as notified. \$364.030

PLAN PROVISION	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
IB-O1	Support in part – Amendment	The Director-General supports proposed Objective IB-O1, however requests an amendment to the wording to	Change the wording of proposed Objective IB-O1 to:
	requested	promote the enhancement of Significant Natural Areas.	Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant
			habitats of indigenous fauna (Significant Natural Areas) are
			identified -and , protected, and enhanced for current and
			future generations. S364.031
IB-O2	Oppose in part	The Director-General supports the intention of proposed	Change the wording of proposed Objective IB-O2 to:
		Objective IB-O2, however, considers the wording could be	
		amended to better align with the NPSIB exposure draft.	Indigenous biodiversity is managed to maintain its extent
			and diversity protected, maintained, and restored in a way
			that provides for the social, economic and cultural
			well-being of people and communities. \$364.032
IB-O5	Support	The Director-General supports proposed Objective IB-O5.	Retain as notified. \$364.033
IB-P1	Oppose in part	As previously stated, there are no scheduled SNAs within	Change the wording of proposed Policy IB-P1 to:
		Schedule 4 of the Proposed District Plan. The	
		Director-General is strongly opposed to this decision, which	Identify Significant Natural Areas by:
		is considered contrary to section 6(c) of the RMA, the	a. using the ecological significance criteria in Appendix
		objectives and policies of the Regional Policy Statement for	5 of the <u>RPS</u> or in any more recent National Policy
		Northland, and the NPSIB exposure draft. The	Statement on indigenous biodiversity;
		Director-General holds concerns that the wording of the	b. including areas that meet the ecological
		policy in its current format will result in no SNAs being	significance criteria as Significant Natural Areas
		scheduled in the Proposed District Plan, as landowners will	in Schedule 4 of the District Plan and on the
		have the ability to refuse. It is requested that the wording of	planning maps where this is agreed with the
		Policy IB-P1 be amended to ensure areas that meet SNA	landowner and verified by physical inspection
		criteria are suitably protected.	where practicable;
		The Bineston Communication of the United Inc.	c. encouraging landowners to include including
		The Director-General encourages ground truthing/physical	identified Significant Natural Areas in Schedule 4
		inspection to ensure the areas scheduled as SNAs meet the	of the District Plan at the time of subdivision and
		relevant criteria.	development;

PLAN PROVISION	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
		The Director-General is concerned that the current wording of the subdivision chapter will allow potential SNA sites to be subdivided with minimal ability to consider the adverse effects of the subdivision on the SNA.	d. providing assistance to landowners to add Significant Natural Areas to Schedule 4 of the District Plan; and e. requiring an assessment of the ecological significance for indigenous vegetation clearance to establish permitted activity thresholds in Rule IB R2-R4. S364.034 Use the report prepared for Council titled "Significant Indigenous Vegetation and Habitats of the Far North District
			- Volume 1" prepared by Wildlands Consultants (Contract Report No. 4899d, December 2019) to include SNAs in the Proposed District Plan. S364.002 Add a separate policy for mapping additional SNAs as they are identified. S364.035
New Policy – IB-Px	New policy	The Director-General requests the addition of a new policy to ensure the characteristics that contribute to the significance of SNAs (i.e fauna) are protected.	Insert wording, or similar wording, as follows: Recognise and protect SNAs by ensuring the characteristics that contribute to their significance are not adversely affected. S364.036
IB-P2	Oppose in part	The Director-General requests proposed Policy IB-P2 be updated to give effect to Policy 11(a) of the NZCPS.	Amend 'clause a' of proposed Policy IB-P2 to incorporate the wording under Policy 11(a) of the NZCPS. \$364.037
IB-P3	Support in part – Amendment requested	The Director-General supports the intention of proposed Policy IB-P3, however requests an amendment to recognise and provide for the matters of national importance under section 6(c) of the RMA and to give effect to the RPS for Northland.	Change the wording of proposed Policy IB-P3 to: Outside the coastal environment: a. avoid, remedy or mitigate significant adverse effects of land use and subdivision on Significant Natural Areas to ensure adverse effects are no more than minor; and

PLAN PROVISION	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
			b. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse <u>effects</u> of land use and <u>subdivision</u> on areas of important and vulnerable indigenous vegetation, habitats and ecosystems to ensure there are no significant adverse <u>effects</u> .
IB-P4	Support in part	The Director General supports the intention of proposed Policy IB-P4, however requests amendments to ensure the policy incorporates the principles of the NPSIB exposure draft.	Incorporate NPSIB Appendices 3 and 4 or like principles into the PDP. Update proposed Policy IB-P4 to require that any biodiversity offset, or biodiversity compensation be in accordance with these principles. \$364.039\$
IB-P5	Oppose in part – Amendment requested	The Director-General considers that proposed Policy IB-P5 should be amended to ensure that land use and subdivision in relation to SNAs is managed in an appropriate way. It is unclear what circumstances would meet the criteria for "unreasonable restriction". It is further considered that the "operational need" of "some activities" should not have a higher priority than SNAs.	Change the wording of proposed Policy IB-P5 to: Ensure that the management of land use and subdivision to protect Significant Natural Areas and maintain indigenous biodiversity is done in a way that: a. does not impose unreasonable restrictions on existing primary production activities, particularly on highly versatile soils; b. recognises the operational need and functional need of some activities, including regionally significant infrastructure, to be located within Significant Natural Areas in some circumstances; c. allows for maintenance, use and operation of existing structures, including infrastructure; and d. enables Māori land to be used and developed to support the social, economic and cultural well-being of tangata whenua, including the provision of papakāinga, marae and associated residential units and infrastructure. S364.040

PLAN PROVISION	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
IB-P6	Support in part – Amendment	The Director-General supports the intention of proposed Policy IB-P6, however, the current wording of the policy is	Change the wording of Policy IB-P6 to:
	requested	considered limiting.	Require landowners to manage pets and pest species,
			including dogs, cats, possums, rats and mustelids, to avoid
		Both "Threatened" and "At Risk" species should be captured	risks to threatened indigenous species <u>At Risk or</u>
		under this policy in line with the New Zealand Threat	Threatened indigenous fauna, including avoiding the
		Classification System (2007).	introduction of pets and pest species into kiwi present or
			high-density kiwi areas. S364.041
		Lists of "Threatened" and "At Risk" species in the Far North	
		also include plants that would not be affected by the	The Director-General expects to see overlays in the District
		presence of cats, dogs and mustelids. The term 'fauna' more	Plan that identify locations of 'kiwi present' or 'kiwi
		appropriately recognises the intent of this policy.	high-density areas'. In addition, a mechanism should be
			included in the plan for updating these maps. \$364.006
IB-P7	Support in part –	The Director-General considers control is a more	Change the wording of Policy IB-P7 to:
	Amendment	appropriate wording here as management can also relate to	
	requested	biodiversity assets.	Encourage and support active management control of pest
			plants and pest animals. \$364.042
IB-P8	Support in part	The Director-General supports this policy, however, requests	Add a definition to the District Plan for "ecological district".
		a definition be included in the District Plan to specify the	
		meaning of "ecological district".	S364.043
IB-R1	Oppose	The Director-General requests clarity on the inclusion of	Clarify the inclusion of vegetation clearance for biosecurity
		vegetation clearance for biosecurity reasons. For example, in	reasons. Add a definition for "biosecurity reasons", ig364.044
		what circumstances would an unlimited amount of	appropriate \$364.084
		indigenous vegetation be cleared as a Permitted Activity for	
		biosecurity reasons? Can any member of the public remove	Change the wording of point 9 of Rule IB-R1 to:
		indigenous vegetation for biosecurity reasons or is it only	The construction of a new fence where the purpose of the
		specific organisations/entities?	new fence is to exclude stock and/or pests from the area of
			indigenous vegetation provided that the clearance does not
		Excessive clearance of indigenous vegetation can create	exceed 3.5m <u>2.0m</u> in width either side of the fence line;
		further fragmentation and isolation of indigenous	S364.045
		ecosystems communities. Under point 9 of this rule, a 7m	

PLAN PROVISION	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
		wide strip of indigenous vegetation could be removed to allow for the construction of a new fence. The	Consider the updated threat status of manuka and kanuka and amend the rules as appropriate to reflect the updated
		Director-General queries whether this width is necessary for the function of the fencing activity. It is considered that a reduced width (e.g., 2.0m in width either side of the fence line) would be just as appropriate and result in less vegetation loss.	threat status. \$364.010
		With the advent of myrtle rust, all Kunzea and Leptospermum taxa are now considered threatened ⁷ . The Far North District also has several species of "Manuka" and	
		"kanuka" that have always been on the threatened species lists, e.g., Kunzea linearis, Kunzea amathicola and Leptospermum scoparium var. incanum. This threat status should be considered in the rule framework.	
IB-R3	Oppose	Excessive clearance of indigenous vegetation can create further fragmentation and isolation of indigenous ecosystems communities. The Director-General considers that allowing 100m² of indigenous vegetation clearance per site in any calendar year is enabling the incremental loss of SNAs. It is acknowledged that some removal of indigenous vegetation is necessary, but only in circumstances that can be or are already identified in the District Plan. The removal of 100m² of indigenous vegetation within a SNA per site/year with no reason should require resource consent and should not be allowed as a Permitted Activity.	Remove Rule IB-R3. Only allow the removal of indigenous vegetation as a Permitted Activity in specific circumstances that have an identified need. The removal of indigenous vegetation for non-specified purposes should require resource consent as a Non-Complying Activity. \$364.046
IB-R4	Oppose in part	Proposed Rule IB-R4 in its current format does not appear to allow Council discretion to review the ecologist reports and request further information or formally list SNAs in Schedule 4 if it's clear the indigenous vegetation meets the definition	Alter Rule IB-R4 to a Controlled Activity to enable greater Council oversight of the ecologists' report. Delete PER-2 of Rule IB-R4.

⁷ Refer to Conservation status of New Zealand indigenous vascular plants, 2017 (https://www.doc.govt.nz/Documents/science-and-technical/nztcs22entire.pdf)

PLAN PROVISION	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
		of a SNA. The Director-General requests the rule be amended to ensure indigenous vegetation that meets the criteria for being a SNA is suitably protected.	
IB-R5	Oppose in part	To ensure consistency with the relief sought under proposed Rule IB-R3, Rule IB-R5 should be a Non-Complying Activity.	Alter Rule IB-R5 to a Non-Complying Activity.
Natural Environment	tal Values > Natural Cha	racter	
Entire chapter	Support	The Director-General is generally supportive of the entire Natural Character chapter for giving effect to the NPS-FM.	Retain entire chapter as notified. \$364.049 to \$364.052 \$364.085 to \$364.094
Subdivision > Subdivi	ision		550 11055 to 550 1105 1
SUB-O2	Oppose in part – Amendment requested	The Director-General supports the intention of proposed Objective SUB-O2, however considers 'clause a' dilutes the objective which should recognise and provide for the matters of national importance. Highly productive land is not a matter of national importance under section 6 of the RMA.	Change the wording of proposed Objective SUB-O2 to: Subdivision recognises and provides for the: a. Protection of highly productive land; and b. Protection, restoration or enhancement of Outstanding Natural Features, Outstanding Natural Landscapes, Natural Character of the Coastal Environment, Areas of High Natural Character, Outstanding Natural Character, wetland, lake and river margins, Significant Natural Areas, Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori, and Historic Heritage. S364.053
SUB-O4	Support	The Director-General supports proposed Objective SUB-O4.	Retain as notified. S364.054
SUB-R6	Oppose	The Director-General considers the word "significant" should be removed from RDIS-2 of proposed Rule SUB-R6. The vegetation that should be assessed by the ecologist is any "indigenous vegetation". Currently, the wording implies that the ecologist only assesses the vegetation if it is already considered to be significant.	Change the wording of proposed Rule SUB-R6 to: RDIS-2 Each separate area of significant indigenous vegetation, significant indigenous habitat or natural wetland included in the proposal must be assessed by a suitably qualified and experienced ecologist as satisfying at least one criteria in

PLAN PROVISION	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
			Appendix 5 of the Northland RPS (Criteria for determining
			significance of indigenous biodiversity). S364.055
SUB-R17	Oppose	Rule SUB-R17 requires the subdivision of a site containing a	Change the wording of SUB-R17 to "Subdivision of a site
		scheduled SNA to be considered as a Discretionary Activity.	containing a scheduled <u>or qualifying</u> <u>SNA</u> ". S364.056
		The Director-General is supportive of this activity status,	
		however, is concerned with the effectiveness of the	Include more stringent controls to allow for the
		subdivision chapter in relation to SNA sites given there are	consideration and scheduling of SNAs in the subdivision
		no SNAs currently listed under Schedule 4 of the Proposed	chapter. For example, add new objectives, policies, and/or
		District Plan.	rules that deal with the direct avoidance of adverse effects on SNAs and their characteristics.
		The subdivision chapter does not appear to take into	S364.057
		account the subdivision of a site with a potential SNA that is	
		not yet scheduled. The Director-General submits that this	Review all Restricted Discretionary Activity and Controlled
		could lead to potential SNA sites being subdivided with no	Activity rules and add matters of discretion/control for
		ability to consider the adverse effects on the SNA at	indigenous biodiversity where not already identifie \$364.058
		subdivision stage.	Alternatively, and preferably, formally include sites that
			meet SNA criteria under Schedule 4 of the Proposed District
			Plan.
SUB-R18, SUB-R19,	Support	The Director-General supports the activity status associated	Retain as notified. \$364.059 to \$364.062
SUB-R20, SUB-R21		with Rules SUB-R18, SUB-R19, SUB-R20, and SUB-R21.	330 1.032 10 330 1.002
General District-Wide	e Matters > Coastal Envi	ronment	
CE-P2	Support in part –	This policy is generally supported by the Director-General as	Change the wording of proposed Policy CE-P2 to:
	Amendment	being consistent with Policy 13 & 15 of the NZCPS; however,	
	requested	the coastal environment has value in of itself, not just in	Avoid adverse <u>effects</u> of land use and <u>subdivision</u> on the
		characteristics and qualities and the wording should reflect	characteristics and qualities of the coastal environment
		this.	identified as:
			a. outstanding natural character;
			b. <u>ONL</u> ;
			c. <u>ONF</u> . S364.063

PLAN PROVISION	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
CE-P3	Support in part – Amendment	This policy is generally supported by the Director-General as being consistent with Policy 13 & 15 of the NZCPS; however,	Change the wording of proposed Policy CE-P3 to:
	requested	the coastal environment has value in of itself, not just in	Avoid significant adverse <u>effects</u> and avoid, remedy or
		characteristics and qualities and the wording should reflect	mitigate other adverse <u>effects</u> of land use and <u>subdivision</u>
		this.	on the <u>natural character</u> , <u>natural features</u> , <u>and natural</u>
			<u>landscapes (including seascapes)</u> characteristics and
			qualities of the <u>coastal environment</u> not identified as:
			a. outstanding natural character;
			b. <u>ONL</u> ;
			c. <u>ONF</u> . \$364.064
CE-P4	Support	This policy is generally supported by the Director-General as being consistent with Policy 6 of the NZCPS.	Retain as notified. \$364.065
CE-P5 & CE-P6	Oppose in part	As noted above, the coastal environment has value in of	Change the wording of proposed Policy CE-P5 & CE-P6 to:
		itself, not just in characteristics and qualities and the	
		wording of the proposed policies should reflect this.	b. the use is consistent with, and does not compromise the
			characteristics and qualities coastal environment.
			S364.066 and S364.067
CE-P8	Support in part-	To better align this policy with Policy 14 of the NZCPS, the	Change the wording of proposed Policy CE-P8 to:
	Amendment	Director-General requests the replacement of	
	requested	"enhancement" with "rehabilitation". Enhancement is	Encourage the restoration and enhancement rehabilitation
		referenced in the NZCPS when referring to water quality and	of the natural character of the <u>coastal environment</u> .
		natural defences against coastal hazards, but not for natural	5354.050
		character.	\$364.068
CE-P9	Support in part –	This policy is generally supported by the Director-General as	Change the wording of proposed Policy CE-P9 to:
	Amendment	being consistent with Policy 13 & 15 of the NZCPS; however,	
	requested	the coastal environment has value in of itself, not just in	Prohibit land use and <u>subdivision</u> that would result in any
		characteristics and qualities and the wording should reflect	loss and/or destruction of the characteristics and qualities
		this.	in outstanding natural character areas. \$364.069
CE-R3	Oppose –	The Director-General considers that proposed Rule CE-R3	Remove proposed Rule CE-R3. Alternatively clarify how
	Clarification	does not adequately give effect to Policy 11 of NZCPS.	proposed Rule CE-R3 gives effect to Policy 11 of the NZCPS.
	requested		S364.070

PLAN PROVISION	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT
		The Director-General requests clarity on the inclusion of vegetation clearance for biosecurity reasons. For example, in what circumstances would an unlimited amount of indigenous vegetation be cleared as a Permitted Activity for biosecurity reasons? Can any member of the public remove indigenous vegetation for biosecurity reasons or is it only specific organisations/entities?	Clarify the inclusion of vegetation clearance for biosecurity reasons. Add a definition for "biosecurity reasons", if \$364.07 appropriate. For example, limit to 'pest' and 'unwanted organism' as defined in the Biosecurity Act 1993.
General District-Wide	e Matters > Earthworks		
Earthworks Rules and Policies	Amendment requested	The Director-General submits that the earthworks rules and policies should recognise the potential threat posed by Kauri Dieback where it can be easily spread through soil movements.	Ensure earthworks policies and rules allow consideration and management of kauri dieback. S364.072 and S364.073
General District-Wide	e Matters > Light		
Additional objective/policy	Amendment requested	The Director-General requests the addition of an objective, policy, and/or rule that acknowledges the potential adverse effects that bright lights can have on indigenous fauna. The additional objective/policy should seek to avoid, minimise/remedy, or mitigate adverse effects from lighting on indigenous fauna. The policy should apply for activities adjacent to or within SNAs.	Include a policy with lighting recommendations in line with the following document, which New Zealand is a party to as part of the United Nations Convention on Migratory Species: National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife Including Marine Turtles, Seabirds and Migratory Shorebirds - DAWE In summary, best practice lighting design incorporates the following design principles: 1. Start with natural darkness and only add light for specific purposes. 2. Use adaptive light controls to manage light timing, intensity and colour. 3. Light only the object or area intended – keep lights close to the ground, directed and shielded to avoid light spill. 4. Use the lowest intensity lighting appropriate for the

PLAN PROVISION	SUPPORT/OPPOSE	REASON	RELIEF SOUGHT			
			5. Use non-reflective, dark-coloured surfaces.			
			6. Use lights with reduced or filtered blue, violet and ultra-			
			violet wavelengths with a correlated colour			
			temperature of 2700K or warmer. S364.074 to S364.076			
General District-Wid	General District-Wide Matters > Temporary Activities					
TA-R5	Support	The Director-General supports proposed Rule TA-R5.	Retain as notified. \$364.077			
PART 4 – APPENDICE	S AND SCHEDULES					
Schedules						
SCHED4 – Schedule	Oppose –	There are no scheduled SNAs within Schedule 4 of the	Use the report prepared for Council titled "Significant			
of significant	Amendment	Proposed District Plan. The Director-General is strongly	Indigenous Vegetation and Habitats of the Far North District			
natural areas	requested	opposed to this decision, which is considered contrary to	- Volume 1" prepared by Wildlands Consultants (Contract			
		section 6(c) of the RMA, the objectives and policies of the	Report No. 4899d, December 2019) to include SNAs in the			
		Regional Policy Statement for Northland, and the NPSIB	Proposed District Plan			
		exposure draft.	S364.002			
SCHED5 – Schedule	Support	The Director-General supports the Council to identify, map	Retain as notified.			
of Outstanding		and protect outstanding natural landscapes, especially those				
natural landscapes		within the coastal environment in line the NZCPS.	S364.078			
SCHED6 – Schedule	Support	The Director-General supports the Council to identify, map	Retain as notified.			
of Outstanding		and protect outstanding natural features, especially those	5254.070			
natural features		within the coastal environment in line the NZCPS.	\$364.079			
SCHED7 – Schedule	Support	The Director-General supports the Council to identify, map	Retain as notified.			
of High natural		and protect the natural character of the coastal environment				
character		in line with Policy 13 & 14 of the NZCPS.	\$364.080			
SCHED8 – Schedule	Support	The Director-General supports the Council to identify, map	Retain as notified.			
of Outstanding		and protect the natural character of the coastal environment	5354.004			
natural character		in line with Policy 13 & 14 of the NZCPS.	\$364.081			