Submission# 365



Remember submissions close at 5pm, Friday 21 October 2022

Proposed District Plan submission form

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Feel free to add more pages to your submission to provide a fuller response.

Form 5: Submission on Proposed Far North District Plan

TO: Far North District Council

This is a submission on the Proposed District Plan for the Far North District.

1. Submitter details:

| Full Name: | Michael Jurlina | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|-------|
| Company / Organisation Name: (if applicable) | | | |
| Contact person (if different): | Nine Dives (Tabu Consulting Limited) | | |
| Full Postal Address: | II Postal Address: 39A Commerce Street, Kaitaia 0410 | | |
| | | | |
| Phone contact: | Mobile: | Home: | Work: |
| | 0210614725 | | |
| Email (please print): | nina@tohuconsulting.nz | Ζ | |
| 2. (Please select one of th | e two options below) | | |
| I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission, please complete point 3 below | | | |
| 3. I am directly affect | ted by an effect of the subject | t matter of the submission that: | |
| (A) Adversely affects the environment; and (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition | | | |
| | | | |
| I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: | | | |
| (A) Adversely affects the environment; and(B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition | | | |
| Note: if you are a person who could gain advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 | | | |
| The specific provisions of the Plan that my submission relates to are: | | | |
| (please provide details including the reference number of the specific provision you are submitting on) | | | |
| See attached full submission. | | | |

| Te kirk | |
|------------|--|
| (DISTRICT) | |
| PLAN | |

| Confirm your position: Support Support In-part Oppose (please tick relevant box) |
|---|
| My submission is: |
| (Include details and reasons for your position) |
| See attached full submission. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| I seek the following decision from the Council: |
| (Give precise details. If seeking amendments, how would you like to see the provision amended?) |
| |
| See attached full submission. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| I wish to be heard in support of my submission |
| L I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission |
| (Please tick relevant box) |
| |
| If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing Yes No |
| Yes No |
| Do you wish to present your submission via Microsoft Teams? |
| Yes V No |
| |
| Signature of submitter: |
| (or person_authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) |
| $(\Lambda \mathcal{D})$ |
| A dame. |
| |
| Date: 20 October 2022 |
| |
| (A signature is not required if you are making your submission by electronic means) |
| |

Important information:

- 1. The Council must receive this submission before the closing date and time for submissions (5pm 21 October 2022)
- 2. Please note that submissions, including your name and contact details are treated as public documents and will be made available on council's website. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the District Plan Review.
- 3. Submitters who indicate they wish to speak at the hearing will be emailed a copy of the planning officers report (please ensure you include an email address on this submission form).



Send your submission to:

Post to: Proposed District Plan Strategic Planning and Policy, Far North District Council Far North District Council, Private Bag 752 KAIKOHE 0400

Email to: pdp@fndc.govt.nz

Or you can also deliver this submission form to any Far North District Council service centre or library, from 8am – 5pm Monday to Friday.

Submissions close 5pm, 21 October 2022

Please refer to pdp.fndc.govt.nz for further information and updates.

Please note that original documents will not be returned. Please retain copies for your file.

Note to person making submission

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- It contains offensive language
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

SUBMISSION NUMBER



Submission on the Far North Proposed District Plan

| Full name: | Michael Jurlina c/o Tohu Consulting Limited Attn: Nina Pivac |
|----------------------------|--|
| Postal Address: Mobile: | 39A Commerce Street, Kaitaia 0410 +64 21 061 4725 |
| Email: | nina@tohuconsulting.nz |

Michael Jurlina could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

The specific provisions of the Plan Change that Michael Jurlina's submission relates to are set out in the attached document.

Michael Jurlina generally supports the proposed plan change subject to amendments as listed in the attached document. The reasons for Michael Jurlina's submission are provided in the attached document.

The relief sought by Michael Jurlina are contained in the attached document.

Dion and Timothy Spicer wish to be heard in support of its submission.

If others make a similar submission, Michael Jurlina will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Ngā Mihi,

Agent: Tohu Consulting Limited Nina Pivac Planner/Director

Date: 20 October 2022



1.0 INTRODUCTION AND GENERAL FEEDBACK

Michael Jurlina and his family are long-term residents of the Lake Ohia area, Far North. They own several properties in the locality including 4873B State Highway 10 (SH10), Kaingaroa. This site is legally described as Pt Sec 41 and Pt Sec 44 Blk VIII Rangaunu SD (NA91D/255). A number of lawfully established activities are undertaken on these properties including residential activity and production activities.

Michael Jurlina acknowledges and appreciates the work that Far North District Council (FNDC) have put in to developing the Far North Proposed District Plan (PDP).

The PDP proposes to retain the Rural Production zoning of the site. However, a small portion of the site will be subject to the Mineral Extraction overlay as notified in the PDP (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Map showing extent of Mineral Extractions Overlay within subject site (Far North PDP Maps)

In general, Michael Jurlina supports the Rural Production zoning of the site. However, he does not support the application of the Minerals Extraction overlay within the subject site. Specific submission points have been outlined in **Section 2.0** below, including the relief sought to improve the PDP.



2.0 FEEDBACK ON FAR NORTH PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

| Feedback Point | Feedback Topic | Support/Oppose/ Seek Amendment/Clarification | Comments/Reasons | Relief Sought | |
|-------------------|----------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|----------|
| . onit | | | | | |
| 1 | Mineral Extraction Overlay | Oppose | It is noted that the Mineral | To amend PDP maps so that the | \$365.00 |
| | | | Extraction overlay will be applied to | Mineral Extraction overlay does not | |
| | | | a small section of the subject site, as | encroach the subject site. | |
| | | | per Figure 1. It is believed that the | | |
| | | | inclusion of this segment in the | | |
| | | | Mineral Extraction overlay is due to | | |
| | | | historical quarrying activity on two | | |
| | | | adjacent properties to the north of | | |
| | | | the subject site, being Sec 1 SO Plan | | |
| | | | 30459 and Sec 1 SO Plan 39879 | | |
| | | | (NA77D/161) and Lot 1 DP 70397 | | |
| | | | (NA27A/882). This quarry has not | | |
| | | | been in operation for an extended | | |
| | | | period of time, and Michael Jurlina is | | |
| | | | not aware of any plans to reinstate | | |
| | | | the quarry in the foreseeable future. | | |
| | | | On the basis of the above, Michael | | |
| | | | Jurlina considers that there is no | | |
| | | | logical reason to include his property | | |
| | | | in the Mineral Extraction overlay, | | |
| | | | and that it will only result in | | |
| | | | unnecessary restrictions on his | | |
| | | | property. For example, Rule RRPOZ- | | |
| | | | S7 requires sensitive activities to be | | |
| | | | setback 100m from the boundary of | | |



| Feedback Point | Feedback Topic | Support/Oppose/ Seek Amendment/Clarification | Comments/Reasons | Relief Sought |
|-------------------|----------------|---|--|---------------|
| | | | the Mineral Extraction Overlay. This means that any future dwelling within Michael Jurlina's property will be required to be setback more than what should be necessary from the northern boundary of his site. | |