

Remember submissions close at 5pm, Friday 21 October 2022

Proposed District Plan submission form

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Feel free to add more pages to your submission to provide a fuller response.

Form 5: Submission on Proposed Far North District Plan

TO: Far North District Council

This is a submission on the Proposed District Plan for the Far North District.

Full Name:	Yvonne Steinemann		
Company / Organisation Name: (if applicable)			
Contact person (if different):			
Full Postal Address:	702 Honeymoon Valley Road, Peria, RD 2, Kaitaia, 0482		
Phone contact:	Mobile:	09 4085588	Work:
Email (please print):	yvonne@paradisedesign.co.nz		

Submitter details:

2. (Please select one of the two options below)

I **could not** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission I **could** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission

If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission, please complete point 3 below

- 3. I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:
 (A) Adversely affects the environment; and
 - (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition

I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- (A) Adversely affects the environment; and
- (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition

Note: if you are a person who could gain advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991



The specific provisions of the Plan that my submission relates to are:

(please provide details including the reference number of the specific provision you are submitting on)

Submission from Yvonne Steinemann

My submission is in red text

Black text is copied from your FNDC Proposed District Plan

Note: I found the online search option related to the Plan did not open up our address but always that of our neighbours property. This was frustrating. I tried 3 times on different days and then gave up.

All I could do was read the Proposed Plan and not use the map system.

I do support:

3

- Strengthening of rural subdivision provisions to prevent inappropriate land fragmentation and preserve highly productive land for primary production activities.
- Activity based rules to minimise incompatible activities in rural zones that may compromise primary production activities.
- A new rural lifestyle zone that specifically enables rural lifestyle blocks only when this zone is appropriately situated near good roads between towns and the larger farming rural areas. Large land blocks should not be subdivided when they are remote.

S455.001

I do not support chemical horticulture taking precedence over home owners having the right to fresh air at all times. FNDC should have better zone planning so there is not this conflict between neighbouring zones. Organic and non-polluting methods should be incentivised.

For example, we have a lot of problems in our local Taipa area with chemical horticulture situated right next to residential area and school, kindergarten, childcare centres etc.

Kiwifruit chemicals are well known to interfere with human health and hormonal systems.

S455.002 S455.003 A horticulture special purpose zone should have clear parameters of operation that give residents top priority, and do not impact whatsoever on residents and nearby rural lifestyle folks.

- A new horticulture special purpose zone, surrounds parts of Kerikeri and Waipapa to:
 - protect the significant established horticulture industry and supporting water infrastructure
 - providing for its growth due to its high economic contribution to the District
 - preserve this highly productive land for horticulture use.

This is only appropriate when situated next to existing services, schools, shops,



Confirm your position: (please tick relevant box)	Support	Support In-part	Oppose
My submission is: (Include details and reasons	s for your positio	on)	
See above for my submissi	on.		
I seek the following decision (Give precise details. If seek See above for my submission of the submis	king amendment	ts, how would you like	to see the provision amended?)
I wish to be heard in I do not wish to be h (Please tick relevant box)			
If others make a similar sub Yes No	omission, I will co	onsider presenting a jo	oint case with them at a hearing
Do you wish to present you Yes No	ır submission via	a Microsoft Teams?	
Signature of submitter: (or person authorised to sig	gn on behalf of s	ubmitter). <mark>Yvonne Ste</mark>	inemann
Date: 21 October 2022 (A signature is not required	l if you are maki.	ng your submission by	electronic means)



- 1. The Council must receive this submission before the closing date and time for submissions (5pm 21 October 2022)
- 2. Please note that submissions, including your name and contact details are treated as public documents and will be made available on council's website. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the District Plan Review.
- 3. Submitters who indicate they wish to speak at the hearing will be emailed a copy of the planning officers report (please ensure you include an email address on this submission form).

Send your submission to:

Post to: Proposed District Plan

Strategic Planning and Policy, Far North District Council

Far North District Council,

Private Bag 752 KAIKOHE 0400

Email to: pdp@fndc.govt.nz

Or you can also deliver this submission form to any Far North District Council service centre or library, from 8am – 5pm Monday to Friday.

Submissions close 5pm, 21 October 2022

Please refer to pdp.fndc.govt.nz for further information and updates.

Please note that original documents will not be returned. Please retain copies for your file.

Note to person making submission

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- It contains offensive language
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

